

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, Local Plan

Responses to Consultation on Proposed Main Modification in respect of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation at Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington (republished 25.9.19)

List of all respondents to the public consultation

Please note, the responses outlined in this document are not alphabetically ordered or in any other order of priority

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1	Rev Dominic Stockford
2	Sarah Fawcett
3	CJ Roberts
4	E.H. O'Donnell
5	J.A. Schomberg
6	R Knight
7	Name unclear
8	Jenna Herman
9	Bertie Heath
10	Thomas Herman
11	Juliet Bullock
12	Alastair Procter
13	Gillian Bowman
14	Baroness J Hilton
15	Colin Keam-George
16	Andrew Hall
17	Gillian Mulcahy
18	Rupa Tamsitt
19	Nadine Born
20	Julian Mancell Smith
21	Julia Mancell Smith
22	Owen Mancell Smith
23	Philip Downer
24	Jean Wong
25	Elizabeth (Pippa) Hyde
26	Helena Milner-Smith
27	Felicity Chadwick-Histed

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
28	David Hogben
29	Roger Richardson
30	Gillian Sandy
31	Orsi Cowell-Lehoczky and family (Andrew and Anna Cowell)
32	Steve Bateman
33	B J Harris
34	Steve Wilsher
35	Saoirse and Paul Davis
36	Chris Histed
37	Bruce Walder
38	Peter Bide
39	Martyn Sandy
40	Jennifer Opie
41	Dilys Walker
42	Andrew Walker
43	Eleni Bide
44	Geoffrey Opie
45	Alice Amissah
46	Cécile Delattre
47	Veronica Barnes
48	Emmanuel Delattre
49	Barry and Patricia Jones
50	David Mayne
51	Michael Haworth
52	Collis Primary School and Sacred Heart Catholic Primary School (Kevin Hogston and Bernadette Smith on behalf of)

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
53	Andrew Alexander
54	Judy Morrall
55	A H and J C Ellis
56	Angus Prentice
57	David Mills
58	Hayley McDonald
59	Liz Waters
60	Tom Bide
61	Jonathan Dunn and on behalf of Thamesians RFC
62	N De Silva
63	Jake Oram
64	Yarianne Kirby
65	Ajay Patel
66	M Archard
67	Name unclear
68	Lloyd King
69	M J Blennerhasset
70	Felicity Aitchison
71	N C Hopper
72	Martin Mathew
73	Daren Phelan
74	W Boots
75	Alan Brocklehurst
76	Cllr Peter Buckwell
77	James Moirano
78	Carole Woodfield
79	Julia Hennessey
80	Caleb Cheuk Yin Kwong
81	Hayley Leake
82	Lynn Main
83	Jennie Dettmer
84	David Haigh
85	Carey Neil
86	Anthony Stewart
87	Cherry Haigh
88	Peter Cousins

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
89	Claire Lyons
90	K A Maclean
91	Jo Byrne
92	Ellen Purton
93	Sarah Veichmanis
94	Teresa Ford
95	Ian Lupson
96	Emily Button
97	Pauline and Stephen Cox
98	Michael Mahoney
99	John Stillman
100	Maurice Parry-Wingfield
101	Gerald Walker-Smith
102	Ann Cornick
103	Emily Bussell
104	Peter Heighes
105	Michael Harold and Gillian Hill
106	Clare Wardrop
107	Rosamund Thelu
108	Olivia Shannon
109	Liz Heaton
110	Wendy Dorgan
111	Katie Hammond
112	Richard Beasley
113	Carmen Fraser
114	Honor & Alan Brown
115	Iain Sutherland
116	John and Moya Meredith Smith
117	Annie Walder
118	Rachel Blackman
119	Margaret Beasley
120	Juliet Hartridge
121	Andrew Law
122	Michell Redmond
123	Mike Kelly
124	Carol Stokes

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
125	Jennifer Todd
126	Simon Tompsett on behalf of Richmond and Twickenham Friends of the Earth
127	Carol Horne
128	P Reid
129	Mr D P and Mrs E A England
130	Aileen Jordan
131	Philip Mundy
132	Peter and Elizabeth Henderson
133	Elizabeth McClellan
134	Grant Irwin
135	Jon Parker
136	Stuart Bourne
137	Roy S Conway-Smith
138	Geraldine and Dave Hobday
139	Helen Pryce
140	Morag Turton
141	David Harris
142	Rob Sanders
143	Emma Gilthorpe
144	Patricia Allan
145	June Harrison
146	Hélène Blackwell
147	John Blackwell
148	Lesley Ralph
149	Judith Rutherford
150	Bernard Cressy
151	Katherine Peachey
152	Catherine Denye
153	Paul Ashworth
154	Rob Rainey
155	A Hockey
156	Susannah Clark
157	Name unclear
158	Mark Grun
159	Lisa Marklew

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
160	Emma and Rob Chan-Paxton
161	Nicky Browne and Richard Barry
162	Wy In Homow
163	P M Turner
164	Ashu Pruthi
165	Robert Abrahams
166	Ryan Ovington
167	Kate Ewings
168	Deborah Strange
169	Sheila Stanley
170	Katharine Blair
171	Azaria Pastakia
172	Katherine Shelest
173	Name unclear
174	Susan Mapp
175	Kelly Cook
176	Name unclear
177	Vanessa Olson-Kerrigan
178	Hebab Massouda
179	Russ Groombridge
180	Name unclear
181	Paul Woolf
182	Christine Lawrence
183	C M Chaney
184	Vivienne Sankey
185	Name unclear
186	Lucy Ah-Nen
187	Leonard Wills
188	C S Rampling
189	Name unclear
190	C F Christensen
191	Sue Edwards
192	Peter Mayne
193	Joan Baldwin
194	Ana Ibor
195	Sreekanth Malluru

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
196	Name unclear
197	Name unclear
198	R Matthews
199	Susan Morgan
200	M B Malyon
201	Sophie Crane
202	L. Maude
203	Name unclear
204	Jeffrey Bacon
205	Miriam Masunda
206	Steven Trowles
207	Grace Hall
208	Gillian Zettle
209	Mr G. R Paget
210	Clare Melia
211	Heather Farmer
212	Amanda Evans
213	Christopher Downes
214	Jaqueline Wood
215	Caroline Sine
216	M Turner
217	Olivia Wray
218	A Deburgh
219	Michael Hill
220	Jordan Ayres
221	Mr T Jones
222	Richard John Agas
223	Name unclear
224	Marnie Satchwell
225	James Ward
226	Munnus Naritha
227	James Beckinsall
228	Lisa Ritchie
229	Name unclear
230	J F Rogers
231	Name unclear

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
232	Lynda Brophy
233	Name unclear
234	Alice McDermott
235	Sri Lakshmi Katragunta
236	Peter Greenwood
237	Carolyn Forsyth
238	Julie & Rob Snelling
239	Gill Hiley
240	Phil Fitzsimmons
241	Stephanie Kirby
242	Glyn & Jane Walton
243	Dan Kirk
244	Michael Newman
245	Denise Foudy
246	Janet Pollock
247	Name unclear
248	Name unclear
249	M Rogers
250	Name unclear
251	Name unclear
252	Wilma Ferguson
253	Igor Panfilov and Family
254	Alex Verdan QC
255	Jan Elvin
256	Tom Harrison , England and Wales Cricket Board
257	Veronica Laughrin
258	Andrew Roberts
259	Stephen Clark
260	Paul Turton
261	James M. Forsyth
262	Clare Beck
263	Frances Wilding
264	Michael D. Leyden
265	John Herries
266	Paul Jackson
267	Martin Bridgewater

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
268	Carol Turkie
269	Jim Gregory
270	Keith & Jo James
271	Sammi Macqueen
272	Evelyn Richardson
273	Paul Sanders
274	Katie and Alex Skrine
275	Fontaine Poole
276	Jane Hudspith
277	Lawrence Springall
278	Nicki Faircloth
279	Howard Tollit
280	Pippa Hartwell
281	Howard Dix
282	Andy and Frankie Warner Lacey
283	Name unclear
284	G W Hawkins
285	James Balderstone
286	Name unclear
287	B M Szczygiel
288	Peter Roberts
289	J Tridgwell
290	Alex Jones
291	Name unclear
292	Mr I Dyer
293	Emma Heard
294	Gregory Spragg
295	Gertrud Lesbirel
296	Name unclear
297	Mrs J C Hawkins
298	Name unclear
299	Cilian Bhuta Mahdi
300	Pam Fowler
301	Clio Peake
302	Juliet Eaglesfield
303	Name unclear

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
304	Nina Jasavala
305	Tom Aldwinckle
306	Monika Kennedy
307	Judith Rogers
308	C Bourgeois
309	Name unclear
310	Jean-Marc Aluernhe
311	Viv Webb
312	Teresa Seall
313	Carol and Martin Sands
314	Ian and Kat Brockman
315	Robin Andrew
316	John Meredith-Smith
317	Jonathan Dodd
318	John Egan
319	Ruth Featherstone
320	Ben Tomlinson
321	Name unclear
322	Name unclear
323	S Lalenia
324	Name unclear
325	Max Hogben
326	Mike Bate
327	Jack Kidd
328	Marshall Christie
329	Carolyn Pemberton
330	Sue Conder
331	Stewart Colley
332	Jenny Gilbert
333	Marie-Louise and Stephen Drake
334	Sue McNuff
335	Paul Hansell
336	Nadia Day
337	Jackie Pearson
338	Penny Ward
339	Patricia Hyde

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
340	Jane Hansell
341	Jane Harnden
342	Diana Bucknall
343	Adrien Farrabee
344	Richard Hughes
345	Chris Deavin
346	Neal Hostettler-Smith
347	Gillian Hughes
348	Richard Jell
349	Simon Tolson
350	Julie Hostettler-Smith
351	Matthieu Comard
352	Caroline Hostettler-Smith
353	Derek Devine
354	Susan Kohl/Mrs. S. Roberts
355	Name unclear
356	Name unclear
357	Isabel Huet
358	I Taylorson
359	Sarah Clark
360	Jamie Foggon
361	Renuka Rai
362	Caroline E. Taylorson
363	Richard Musson
364	Shirley Prince
365	Veronica Flynn
366	John Cullen
367	Pam Hodgson
368	Lucie Maxwell
369	John Charalmabous
370	Amelia Burman
371	U Midgley
372	Name unclear
373	H Gardner
374	Astrid Cooper
375	James Burton

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
376	Sally Heyhoe
377	Elizabeth Bickford-Sawkings
378	David Bertram
379	Vici and Leo King
380	Becky Unsworth
381	Phillip White
382	Roger Goodier
383	Sabina Bennett
384	Emma Poskitt
385	Joanne Baines
386	Marcus Hamilton
387	Paul Sinton-Hewitt CBE
388	Rhian McHardy
389	Terence Farley
390	Georgina Brown
391	Karen Hindle
392	Dr Jeremy Bryan
393	Duncan Faircloth
394	Rachel Bide
395	Steve Nolan
396	Jessica Kemp
397	Hilary Gooch
398	Grant Kunneke
399	Nicola Hanley
400	Philip Cox
401	Rebekah Morgan
402	Bryan Gordon-Smith
403	C Nunn
404	Name unclear
405	Pete Doyle
406	Name unclear
407	Name unclear
408	R Lawrence
409	V Bridges
410	F J Pulford
411	N Sykes

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
412	Helen Bridge
413	C Walsh
414	M Handor
415	Nicola Anderson-Gibbs
416	Deborah Whiston
417	Anna Cheggins
418	Name unclear
419	Paul Young
420	B Evans
421	Name unclear
422	Cheryl Gaskin
423	Danni Waterman
424	Georgina Lynn
425	Amelia Perkins
426	Mary Russell
427	Alessia Mazzoni
428	Hannah Collard Gray
429	Sara Miller
430	Carmen Parfenie
431	Lynne Buchanan
432	Silvano Rispoli
433	D Vickers
434	B Vickers
435	Pauline Gardner
436	Dennis Gilbert
437	Emma Murray
438	Ian Blackwood
439	L Mulberry
440	Chris Gooch
441	Celia Till
442	Joanne McGuiggan
443	Colin Clode
444	Rachel Webster
445	Janny van Minnen
446	Dean Oliver
447	Ruth Ursell

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
448	Lisa Whiteman
449	Paul North
450	Barry Rideout
451	Open Spaces Society (Nicola Hodgson)
452	South West London Environment Network (Colin Cooper / Vicky Phillips)
453	Name unclear
454	Name unclear
455	Name unclear
456	Peter Cowley
457	Michelle Curle
458	George Burns
459	Name unclear
460	Name unclear
461	A Hollaway
462	Name unclear
463	Name unclear
464	John C Van Den Bosch
465	Leslie Welch
466	Colin Annette
467	Andrew Nursaw
468	Sarah Kelso
469	Name unclear
470	D M Dodd
471	Peter Tomlin
472	Name unclear
473	D Y Hooker
474	J P Lynch
475	Margaret Karanja
476	Name unclear
477	Name unclear
478	J Webber
479	Patricia Pepper
480	Charlotte ennis
481	Name unclear
482	Delia Cooper

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
483	J Winfield
484	P B Hills
485	Mehdi Ibrihmi
486	Sarah Hoskins
487	Debbie Wagner
488	Gillian Carrick-Cowlin
489	Alex and Gabrielle Stevenson
490	Helen George
491	Ashley Nichols
492	Giovanni Pezzino
493	Richard Hooker
494	D Awbery-Maskell
495	Name unclear
496	P A Lawes
497	Rick Galdstein
498	W Bitiney
499	Rowena Dorcing
500	M J L Kenny
501	S H Wild
502	Elizabeth Gill
503	Bobby Frost
504	Name unclear
505	M A M Congleton
506	Name unclear
507	Mrs Anne Mills
508	E Burns
509	A. Awbery-Maskell
510	Janet M Warrior
511	S F Garrett
512	Nicole Olbe
513	M Warner
514	Pat Yeates
515	Name unclear
516	Ayse Celiic
517	B May
518	C Willington

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
519	Kate Allan
520	A A Holt
521	Dr Graeme Robertson
522	Nicola Colley
523	Bill and Gloria Savage
524	Anne Wingrove
525	Nick Dendy
526	Judith North
527	Trevor Chamberlain
528	Mr C J Loughton
529	Lian Spencer
530	Barbara Lynn
531	Mike Ruddick, NPL Youth Football Club
532	Jatish Mistry & Roger Chidwick, Hearts of Teddlothian FC
533	Jaya Louvre
534	Linda Ziegler
535	M L Strange (Mrs)
536	M J Richards
537	Caitlin Rochester
538	Name unclear
539	Name unclear
540	Lizette Villverde
541	Joseph Stanley
542	Marius Toader
543	James Hutchinson on behalf of Teddington Town Sports Club
544	Sue Craig
545	Ian McNuff
546	D McLaughlin
547	Andy Lord
548	Kate Hostettler-Smith
549	M J and J R Perry
550	Chris Lodge
551	Diane Davies
552	Neville Orton

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
553	Helga Foss
554	Tina Cooke
555	Susan Sutton
556	Theodore Dimaras
557	Patricia Winter
558	Tony Arbour , Chairman of the London Assembly, Member for South West
559	Avril and Alan Tyrrell
560	Alex Welsh, London Playing Fields Foundation
561	J E Stableforth
562	Name unclear
563	Barbara Atherton
564	Name unclear
565	Name unclear
566	Mrs C. Leonard
567	Joan E Stick
568	G Krajewski
569	Name unclear
570	Claudia Heather
571	Bethan Cartmell
572	Rob Howe
573	Nick Sellick
574	Andrew Scott
575	Rosie Newton
576	Sally Davis
577	Natalie Haidari
578	Ashley Juett
579	Barry Horrell
580	Janie Petersen
581	Brian Davison
582	Rachel & Steven Elkington
583	Hudson Pace
584	C Anderson-Davies
585	Steve Jones
586	Christine Thorne
587	Victoria Andrews

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
588	Roy Norwood
589	Alison Crabtree
590	David Jones
591	A Mirjany
592	Emma Davis
593	Name unclear
594	Damian Thornton
595	Lisa Fullicks
596	Mark Humphreys
597	Name unclear
598	Name unclear
599	Oliver Upton
600	Jonathan Guest
601	Name unclear
602	Dave Mackinney
603	David & Jane Evans
604	Michael Pemberton
605	Robyn Ross
606	Simon Roberts
607	Sarah Dunn
608	Name unclear
609	Anthony Anderson
610	Name unclear
611	C Pearce
612	Name unclear
613	Sharon Johal
614	Steve Munday
615	Rowena Jopling
616	Denise Roberts
617	David Love
618	Anne Greany
619	Gabriel Auty
620	Anna Jones
621	Michelle Forth
622	Ding Kiuu
623	Olivia Marshall

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
624	Name unclear
625	Name unclear
626	Harry Wilkinson
627	Leonard & Sue Garrett
628	Name unclear
629	Name unclear
630	Jacqueline Boga
631	Matthew Joyce
632	Amanda Sell
633	Simon Cartmell
634	Thomas Cartmell
635	Laura Templeman
636	Carol Ager
637	Andrew Wilkie
638	Robert Fullicks
639	Bryony, Emily & Connor Roberts
640	Henry Lodge
641	Nicola Evered
642	Lesley Norris
643	Mike Ridding
644	B T Herdman
645	Joanne Mair
646	C Walsh
647	Ann Skegg
648	K Mercer
649	Philomina Arshed
650	R Rumsey
651	P D Hue
652	Kathryn Harrison
653	S Foster
654	B Stevens
655	Jimmy Collins
656	W Nielsen
657	A R Larijani
658	Benis Luwawu
659	M L Panton

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
660	Blinthall
661	Juana Lubian-Taracido
662	A Miller
663	Name unclear
664	Name unclear
665	Name unclear
666	Will Woodhouse
667	Clair Ivison
668	Name unclear
669	Name unclear
670	Sharon Moodie
671	Name unclear
672	Richard Welton
673	Phillip Barnes
674	John Perry
675	Peter Molloy
676	P Parthieban
677	Raul Ivanescu
678	Mark Chamberlain
679	Name unclear
680	Charlotte Oldfield
681	A M Cooper
682	K J Nicholls
683	David Isaac (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
684	Alfie Johnson
685	B Goodship
686	Marc Wilson
687	J Smith
688	Pat Mays
689	John Stanley
690	S Wood
691	Name unclear
692	Mrs Harman
693	Andrew Royle
694	Stephen Miles (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
695	B Daniel

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
696	D Panton
697	Pia von Wuntsch
698	Keith Wincott (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
699	Thomas Bedford
700	Mark Jones
701	John and Maria Norris
702	David Power
703	E Mann
704	Charlotte Byford
705	Jackie Allen
706	Andrew Pepperell
707	Sarah Kershaw
708	Simon Woodall
709	Connor Ladd
710	Dan Wheeler
711	Michelle Rumbol
712	Johnny Humphreys
713	Robin Bell
714	Dan Aust
715	P M Fursdon
716	Nicky Porter
717	Charles Grantham (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
718	Maggie Korczynske
719	Phil Hunt (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
720	E Donohoe
721	Name unclear
722	Name unclear
723	Robert Metenard
724	Dawn Murphy
725	Timothy Gredot (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
726	P Mason
727	Adam Brake
728	Roberta Harrill
729	Robert Marsh
730	Dorothy Bush
731	Peter Jones

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
732	Jamie Capon
733	M.R. Sykes
734	A Bush
735	Sarah Bwandondo
736	Jennifer Hyder
737	Christina Edge
738	Richard Harrison
739	Bernard GreenWalker
740	David Moynihan on behalf of Locality
741	Lesley Forster
742	Alice Roberts on behalf of CPRE London
743	Mel Shantonas
744	Jeremy Fisher
745	Matthew Evans
746	Tony Kavanagh
747	Laura Marsh (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
748	Rob Whiteford
749	Finn Collins
750	Ruth Scott
751	M Mills
752	Tom Fisk
753	Emily Walsh
754	Ruped Wallacdy
755	Paul Lish
756	Sanela Lopez
757	Paul Short
758	Holly King
759	Mona Daher
760	Dan Pearson
761	Attila Cseko
762	Donna Wilson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
763	Nigel Caddock
764	Peter Atkinson
765	P Altmann
766	B Drury
767	Simon Macson

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
768	Kate Anstey (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
769	Nadine Wunderlich
770	Kalpana Bhalla
771	David Sink
772	Angela Riches
773	Sophia Athanas
774	Michael Blair (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
775	Name unclear
776	Chris Rice
777	John Barrett
778	John McGregor
779	D M Green
780	Kris Whelan
781	Mary Burtenshaw
782	Andrew Law
783	C O'Neill
784	Jason Keever
785	S Rust
786	Kate Proudman
787	Lorraine Parker
788	Jouan Rajapakse
789	Name unclear
790	Rita De Cassia Reche
791	Francis McCormack (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
792	Max Wright
793	Nick Mellor
794	Clare Mann
795	Cristina Dragne
796	Carlos A F de Freitas
797	Name unclear
798	Corrina Lane
799	Sam Atkinson
800	Jennifer McCarthy
801	Ertan Tas
802	Kiza Florence
803	T. Thomas

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
804	Name unclear
805	K. Denbury
806	George Hook
807	John Parker
808	Laura Garnham
809	Name unclear
810	Mr. Inderjit Singh Grewal
811	Ben Cusack
812	Paul Hook
813	Tara Kerr-Elliott
814	Paldo Pullen
815	Ms Carol A Edwards
816	Name unclear
817	Efosa Idguen
818	John Farrell
819	Steven Unlug-Harris
820	Sascha Burnham
821	Jane Stewart
822	M Perkins
823	C Britton
824	Shirley Byrne
825	Eliot Roberts
826	Annabel Burrows
827	Anne and Bruce Dawson-Moray
828	Bruce Dawson-Moray
829	Elizabeth Joy Power
830	Gareth Bullock
831	Philip Whittam
832	Angus Smith
833	Danny Agarwal
834	John and Sarah Clapton
835	Ralph Meyer on behalf of Teddington Town Cricket Club
836	Stuart Ridler
837	Peter Church
838	Doreen Nagitsa

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
839	J Madden
840	Jane Whitworth
841	David Whitworth
842	Sarah Strong
843	Russel John Mirams (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
844	Nigel Yeates
845	Jane Macfarlane
846	Alan Hodson
847	Samuel Sen
848	Stephen Brown
849	Laura Mackay
850	Sallie Crook
851	Chris Richards
852	David Morgan
853	Harry Crespin
854	Name unclear
855	J A Porter
856	Claire McCarthy (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
857	Gerry O'Connor
858	Davinder Puvar
859	Helen O'Brien
860	Name unclear
861	Ana Bostan
862	A Patel
863	Name unclear
864	Sue E Stevens
865	David Clark
866	Sally Maxwell
867	E Oliva-Hauxwell
868	Luke Penney
869	Rupert Daniels
870	Shaun Perry
871	Mrs Chegwin
872	Joanna Adams
873	Natalie Nesbitt (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
874	Emerson Allen

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
875	James Etheridge
876	Cartmell Daniel
877	Glen Washington
878	Julian Goodman
879	Joshua Stevens
880	Philippa Donn
881	Jennifer Neal
882	Haley Currie
883	Sue Haran (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
884	Andrew Sims (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
885	Karin Noble (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
886	Richard Moody (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
887	Richard Padginton
888	John Swan
889	Aileen Kilshaw
890	Marta Alonso Puente
891	Stuart Flynn
892	Dominique Pascale Mouziyah (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
893	Madeline Thomas
894	Catherine Murphy
895	Tim Hagon
896	Simon Hobson
897	Debbie Walker
898	Dave Stewart
899	Daniel Thomas
900	Matt Poole
901	Hugh Brasher
902	Darran Lucas
903	Jonathan Gill
904	Stephane England
905	Andres Etchebarne
906	Cécile Arbus
907	Jonathon O'Neill (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
908	Neil Scammell (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
909	Name unclear (3rd Teddington Scout Group)

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
910	Julia Penney
911	Stewart Penney
912	Oliver Penney
913	Simon Buss (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
914	Martin Carr and Tracy Munro-Carr
915	Rosemary Perry
916	Mikko Hietanen
917	Carole Tupper
918	Jacqueline Mina
919	Susan Blake
920	Caroline Sayer
921	Kate Wells
922	Steve Clark
923	S C Parker
924	Tom Parker
925	Kevin McMahon
926	Simon Davis on behalf of Teddington RFC Ltd
927	Rebecca Rock
928	Janet Dilly
929	Richard Gough
930	Matthew Sims
931	Calum Roberts
932	O C Jones
933	Cathy Colnaghi
934	Kerry Chauhan
935	Amy Eungblut
936	Mark Turnbull
937	Heather Naylor & Ann Maloy
938	Nick Dexter
939	Laure Marlio-Marette
940	Martin Nicholds
941	Jane Shillaker
942	Peter Maple
943	Alison Kennealy
944	Lewis Ward
945	Dan Collins

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
946	Kevin Day
947	David Richardson
948	Keith Norman
949	Rhys Williams
950	Christopher Clarke
951	Michelle Williams
952	Ben Fuller
953	Lewis Ward
954	Jonathan King
955	Sarah Taylor
956	Luke Frankenberger
957	Lewis White
958	Paul Roach
959	Christopher Dickson
960	Oliver George
961	Kate Burgess
962	Jason Frost
963	Olly Holland
964	Hannah Roberts
965	Graeme Harper
966	P Van de Wiel (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
967	David Stewart
968	Nancy Moss
969	Mansi Melita
970	Sara Burn Edwards
971	D R Coppen
972	Nadir Abdulla (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
973	Lester Hewett (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
974	Ben Moxon
975	Joe Appleby
976	Norma Dyson
977	Gary Welch
978	Demetrios Pieros
979	Name unclear
980	Name unclear
981	Michael Robson

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
982	Nicholas Troke
983	Roger Albert Stanley (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
984	Name unclear
985	Charlie Walker
986	Catriona McNabb
987	David Brooke
988	Margaret Turk
989	David O'Shea
990	Margaret O'Connor
991	Alison Newman
992	Chloe Butler
993	Fiona Weber
994	Julia Schumacher-Anderson
995	Mike Lightfoot
996	Nick Hollaway
997	Mike Morris
998	Louise Peacock
999	Helen M Davison
1000	Name unclear
1001	Lisa Novell (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1002	Christina Rueth
1003	Name unclear
1004	Elspeth Rendall
1005	Josh Penberthy
1006	Karen Scott
1007	M. Jayaweera
1008	Name unclear
1009	Stuart Burnett
1010	Nicola Lupton
1011	Debbie Cross
1012	Alije Dervisholli
1013	Ryan Copeland
1014	Simon Davis
1015	Tara Maysey
1016	Name unclear
1017	Upo Reicheit Schaurer

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1018	Siobhan Flude
1019	Sara Edison
1020	Name unclear
1021	Name unclear
1022	Henry Paul
1023	Linsey van Niekerk
1024	Elaine Cross
1025	Victoria Heverin
1026	John Gage and Briony Martin
1027	Ile Islamaj
1028	Zoe Bryans
1029	Keith Falcon
1030	Helen de Gruchy
1031	Na-Young Webb
1032	Nick Bishop
1033	Bradley Shedden
1034	Richard Chalmers
1035	Abbie Lumley
1036	Richard Keogh
1037	Kevin McKiernan
1038	Bob Smith, Teddington Athletic Football Club
1039	Name unclear
1040	Chris Wright
1041	Lilian Highmore
1042	Holly Gittens
1043	Sarunas Zujus
1044	Briony Martin
1045	Kathryn Ksiazek (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1046	Danny Daley
1047	Sarah Edwards
1048	Sam Evans
1049	David Box
1050	Jack Miller
1051	Rob Padmore
1052	Name unclear
1053	N S Bulmer

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1054	Michael Box
1055	Susan Wright
1056	K A Smith
1057	Jonathan Hughes
1058	Name unclear
1059	Isabel Lockett (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1060	Liam Spragg
1061	John Miller
1062	Victoria Phillips
1063	Winston Taylor
1064	Daniel Spencer
1065	Caroline & Tom Miskin
1066	John Connolly
1067	D Aitchison
1068	Lynn Chakraborti
1069	Fraser Truscott
1070	Akison Donnelly
1071	John Richards
1072	Fiona Camino
1073	W Addis
1074	Liz Sweeney
1075	Melissa Moriarty
1076	Emma Arnold (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1077	Dan Ward
1078	Jeremy Goodall
1079	Niamh Sims (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1080	Andrew Care
1081	Fraser Simpson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1082	Name unclear
1083	Jenny Edwards
1084	Simon Bisset
1085	Jack Jeffery
1086	David Gentle
1087	Mary Ann and James Ellery
1088	Joanna Lewis
1089	Christopher French

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1090	Chris Ryalls
1091	Martin Atherton
1092	Gina George
1093	Nigel Dark
1094	Mark Cochrane
1095	Nicola Kerr Elliot
1096	Amrit Chakraborti
1097	Nikki Harrison
1098	Phil Richens
1099	Name unclear
1100	Chris Richards
1101	Bob Smith
1102	D Curry
1103	N L Grigg
1104	D Ritan
1105	Name unclear
1106	Name unclear (Medco Pharmacy)
1107	Keith Atkinson for and on behalf of the Teddington Society
1108	N Maugham
1109	Tom Tiwers
1110	T R Burrows
1111	Nick Gittins
1112	Agnieszka Majda
1113	James Callard
1114	Jennie Green Walker (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1115	Amanda-May Watson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1116	Karsten Hill (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1117	John Shanks (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1118	Janet Shanks (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1119	Kate Archer (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1120	Amy Sampson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1121	Charlotte Simpson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1122	Stuart Mills
1123	Anna Zientala
1124	Stephanie Law

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1125	Christian Gapor
1126	Name unclear
1127	Joanna Moss
1128	Name unclear
1129	Cathy Maker
1130	Lucia Prentice
1131	David Clay
1132	Claire and Tim Jones
1133	Charles Worth
1134	Gabriella Wilkins
1135	Sally Hinkley CBE
1136	Matt Bernard
1137	Claire Maden
1138	Stuart Muncer
1139	Robin Crees
1140	Ian Smith
1141	David Harnden
1142	Kaari Crees
1143	Karen Askew Sparkes
1144	Odile Kasolowsky
1145	Angela Smith
1146	Jon Owen
1147	Jean Strachan
1148	Sue White
1149	David Morley
1150	Alan and Brenda Plumb
1151	Simon Pinnell
1152	Amy Bernard
1153	Mr & Mrs Metcalf
1154	Martin Dawson
1155	Paul Devenport
1156	Jonathan Mayne
1157	Toby Everett
1158	Sarah Gigg
1159	Philip D Tope
1160	Chris Gearey

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1161	Shweia Chauhan
1162	John Andrews
1163	Jim Sykes
1164	Charlie Firebrace
1165	Matthew Morey
1166	Simon Fitch
1167	Ami Patel
1168	Jeff Magris
1169	Colin Smith
1170	A Leen
1171	S Sidaway
1172	Beatrice Brennan
1173	Luke Malcher
1174	Caitlyn Miller
1175	Helen Lane
1176	Christina Evans
1177	Geoff Lane
1178	John Day
1179	Lisa Stafford
1180	Richard Wilding
1181	Martine Gowie
1182	Colin Massie
1183	Sebastian Kaiser
1184	Ann Ryalls
1185	Angelica Crudi Torrente
1186	Teresa Gibson
1187	Mark Elgar (3rd Teddington Scout Group)
1188	David Gooch
1189	Colin Page
1190	Dr Jane Maloney
1191	Dylan Kelly
1192	Geoff Cooper
1193	David Lawton
1194	John Jenkins & Norma Clarke
1195	Philip Dowgierd
1196	Alfie Evans

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1197	Jayne Chace
1198	Katie Parsons, Historic England
1199	Jamie Brewin
1200	Christopher Bubb
1201	Andy Millbank
1202	Margareta de la Touche
1203	Julia Taylor
1204	David Tregear
1205	Susan Cramond
1206	Claire Price
1207	Harpreet Robertson
1208	Neil Morgan
1209	Lucy Cartmell
1210	Tim Davies
1211	Jane Boydell
1212	Shaun Alpine-Crabtree
1213	Nicholas Worsley
1214	Mark Jessett
1215	Jo Gerloch
1216	Jennie Bartholomew
1217	Christine Berrocal
1218	Sally Owen
1219	Moira Griffiths-Camino
1220	Karina Sax
1221	Dr Christine Wright
1222	Stephen Pearce
1223	Nick Farnsworth
1224	David Brewin
1225	Mike Hibbard
1226	Ian Lilley
1227	Becky Paton
1228	Luke Arthur
1229	Rachel Derrick
1230	Matt Wilkinson
1231	Lucy Goy
1232	Liz Brewin

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1233	Nicky Barlow
1234	Gary Neville
1235	Mr & Mrs Yeo
1236	Tom Mtandabari
1237	Diana Rice
1238	Neil Harrison
1239	Anders Henriksson
1240	Anilkumar Patel
1241	Pablo Basombrio
1242	David Urban
1243	Name Unclear
1244	Name unclear
1245	Val Klyn
1246	S Thomson
1247	Name unclear
1248	H Whitaker
1249	Innes Buchanan
1250	Kate Mtandabari
1251	Harvey Woolfe
1252	David Tyas
1253	Catherine & Simon Steele
1254	Lynette Williams
1255	Mrs Tamila Robinson / Davoudzadeh
1256	Neil Syers
1257	Alun German
1258	Alexey Karpovich
1259	Diego & Dillaro Prina
1260	Emer Kennedy
1261	Julie Stoker
1262	Jo Massie
1263	Richard Dermott
1264	Finlay James
1265	Phil Thompson
1266	Nick Sellick
1267	Samantha Olsen
1268	Sandra Succar

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1269	Wendy Balch
1270	Caroline McIntosh
1271	Justin Ryan
1272	Laura Bowers
1273	Gemma Johnson
1274	Paolo Frasson
1275	Sarah Tomlinson
1276	Jack Harrison
1277	Ross Goodall
1278	Neil Marcus
1279	Thibault Law
1280	Donald Maxwell Macdonald
1281	Janet Wildgoose
1282	Jasper Gibb
1283	S Wild
1284	Tom Collett
1285	David Walch
1286	Michael Loakman
1287	Dean Sullivan
1288	Mike Hiscox
1289	Thomas Pye
1290	Julie Dawson
1291	Mark Evans
1292	Keith Bush
1293	Christopher Rankin
1294	Lindsey Ellis
1295	L Adams
1296	Tushar Shegaonkar
1297	Keith Tomlinson
1298	Gareth Cross
1299	Harriet Jarvis
1300	Christopher Malbon
1301	Matthew Ellis
1302	Alice Maguire
1303	Darren Johnson
1304	John Plastow

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1305	Clive Mosey
1306	Rob Wood
1307	Niaz Samadzadeh
1308	Neil Thomas
1309	Adam Crossley
1310	Katie Bevan
1311	Geoff Boyes
1312	Hatty Bates
1313	Nick Witney
1314	Melanie Spencer
1315	Alistair Ramsay
1316	Eve Nay
1317	Mark Worrall
1318	Ruth Blacksell-Russell
1319	Jason Martin
1320	Francis Sirl
1321	P A Satchwell
1322	Sandra Forrest
1323	George Walker
1324	S Dawson
1325	Paul Morley
1326	Dr L da Costa
1327	Mrs Edwards
1328	Pru Pavendale
1329	John Anderson
1330	Ian Glover
1331	Oliver Round
1332	Dom Hawkings
1333	Robert Mos
1334	Deanne Afflick
1335	Angela Bairstow
1336	Michael Bayon
1337	Dr A Hegab
1338	John Kelly
1339	Isabelle Witney
1340	Adrian Roscoe

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1341	Mark Horlock
1342	Richard Barlow
1343	S Dicks
1344	Juliette O'Carroll
1345	Gordon Edwards
1346	Jessica Fisher
1347	Paul Hurst
1348	David Poole
1349	Christine Thorpe
1350	Dan Bates
1351	Belinda Finlay-Laanen
1352	Malipande Kasonso
1353	Scott Hinchcliffe
1354	Christopher McTear
1355	R. Cord
1356	Posen Wang
1357	Name unclear
1358	Harold Ivie
1359	David Tarrant
1360	Gillie Abid
1361	Christine Hill
1362	Ryan Allen
1363	Leonie Groves
1364	Name unclear
1365	Dion Steward
1366	Lee-Anne Eastwood
1367	Hilary Caminer
1368	Augusta Annesley
1369	Juliette Froud
1370	Matthew Bond
1371	Tom Hadcroft
1372	Jack Cullen
1373	Rebecca King
1374	Jennifer Hutton
1375	Kerry Prescott Brann
1376	Name unclear

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1377	Jamie Kerr Elliott
1378	Alan Jones
1379	Viktoria Bohta
1380	Martin Nurse
1381	Dean Smith
1382	Tasneem Asaad
1383	Mrs Jean Wright
1384	Camilla Danson
1385	Jonathan Burson
1386	H Raymond
1387	Vimal Patel
1388	Sunil Rana
1389	Katie Shedden
1390	Sally Jackson
1391	C Triantafillou
1392	Martin Pretty
1393	Joanna Walker
1394	Thierry Busset
1395	Julian and Jane Plant
1396	Eileen Folan
1397	David and Beth Summerson
1398	James Lynch
1399	Nicola Archidiacono
1400	Andy Brampton (Club Secretary, Harlequins Amateurs RFC)
1401	Luke Povey
1402	Will O'Donnell
1403	Jennifer Stoker
1404	Luke Nugent
1405	Sandra James
1406	Adam Blackwell
1407	Karen Hiscox
1408	M R Hatherall
1409	Lynda Daroga
1410	R Keiss
1411	Tamsin Burland

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1412	James Corbishley
1413	Name unclear
1414	Rupert Postlethwaite
1415	Zac Kirkwood
1416	Shaukat Razzaq
1417	Gillian Booth
1418	Alistair Mackechnie
1419	Steven Hill
1420	Robert Harte
1421	Jono Venter
1422	Aaron Donnell
1423	Sandra Rustomji
1424	Jamie Adam
1425	William Day
1426	Stephen Cook
1427	Adrian Luckins
1428	A A Langridge
1429	Rory Mercer
1430	Susan Harper-Clarke
1431	Name unclear (Playball)
1432	Jenny Box
1433	R Wilson (Playball)
1434	Name unclear
1435	John Forrest
1436	SA Colver
1437	Michael Manlienne
1438	Mo Savey
1439	Sian Isaac
1440	Matthew Connelley
1441	J Pond
1442	N Read
1443	Name unclear
1444	Dr Sara Hunton
1445	Chris Hunton
1446	Claire Wilmot
1447	Michael & Gillian Baldwin

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1448	William John Bourne
1449	H Thames
1450	Mengelberg Edgar
1451	Jonathan Short
1452	Name unclear
1453	Bob Beevers
1454	Name unclear
1455	Linda Birkett
1456	Mathew Frith, London Wildlife Trust
1457	John Blower
1458	Shane Barber
1459	R Hamerton
1460	Gavin McQuater
1461	Mrs A P Godwin
1462	Nick Godwin
1463	Angela Kidner
1464	Penny McQuater
1465	Dominic Caffery
1466	Paula Goodman
1467	Wendy Norman
1468	Gianna Bicknell
1469	Tim George
1470	Hugo Studholme
1471	Name unclear
1472	E.L. Vine
1473	Oliver Haine
1474	Christina Robertson
1475	Mark Sutton
1476	Warren May
1477	E. Lamar
1478	Kyle Parsons
1479	JJ Wicks
1480	K. O'Neil
1481	Tara Kaufman
1482	Oliver Shore
1483	Jason Imber

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1484	D Smith
1485	James Cornewall-Walker
1486	Mr M.F. D'Netto
1487	Gero White
1488	Name unclear
1489	Helen Gibson
1490	Eleanor Packham
1491	Neil Lacey
1492	Dr. Nicholas Grundy on behalf of Park Road Surgery, Teddington
1493	Cath Goold
1494	James Olsen
1495	Paul Shiels
1496	S Gibbons
1497	Stephen Boynton
1498	Audrey Sinnott
1499	Margaret Ruygrok
1500	Nick Dexter, Twickenham Labour Party
1501	Konstanze Scharring
1502	Jean Scott
1503	Jonathan Williams
1504	Guy Robinson
1505	Jon Rowles
1506	Andrew Norman
1507	Adrian de la Touche
1508	Edward Delaney
1509	Sandra Horsfield
1510	Colin Hicks
1511	Sarah Rowe
1512	Karine Even Mendoza
1513	Jennifer Hyder
1514	R Cassell
1515	Martin Ephson
1516	Salwa Abu-Wardeh
1517	Nicola Case
1518	Marilyn Inglis

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1519	Francis Lawrence
1520	Joe Garner
1521	Caroline Hughes
1522	Dan O'Leary
1523	Barbara & Ian Haynes
1524	Brandon van der Westhuizen
1525	Nick O'Kelly
1526	Brant Palin
1527	Derek Grant
1528	James Martin
1529	Ryan Cornell
1530	Graeme Kirkwood
1531	Charles Titcombe
1532	Robyn Titcombe
1533	Richard Sharples
1534	Anna McCarthy
1535	Trevor Huggins
1536	Alistair Brewin
1537	Elodie Teyletche - Gillham
1538	Mark Gee
1539	Mara Yamauchi
1540	Linda Redding
1541	Anna Rudenko
1542	Sebastian Turner
1543	Steven Ewington
1544	Emily Eccles
1545	Valentina Sadat
1546	Paul Cattermole
1547	J R Flower
1548	Rosemarie Campin
1549	Name unclear
1550	Heather Smith
1551	Michael David Short
1552	Hima Bindu
1553	Jordan Williams
1554	C Lewis

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1555	Name unclear
1556	Julie Rennie
1557	Rosie Nieper
1558	Rhiannon Levey
1559	Rita Janoshazi
1560	Ilona Kunneke
1561	Mrs M A M Loughton
1562	D Fazakerley
1563	Name unclear
1564	Name unclear
1565	Michaela Barton
1566	Name unclear
1567	Luana Forrest
1568	Alex Lyons
1569	D Betts
1570	David Merkel
1571	Jane Taylor
1572	Alison Dunn
1573	Margaret Docker
1574	T Delaney
1575	Name unclear
1576	Name unclear
1577	Mrs M Hook
1578	Kathryn Santos
1579	Name unclear
1580	C Baker
1581	B Grant
1582	Name unclear
1583	Margaret Young
1584	Name unclear
1585	Amanda Chesney
1586	S M Leatherdale
1587	J Whitehead
1588	J Moir
1589	Gerald McMahon
1590	R D Moir

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1591	P Bolton
1592	Name unclear
1593	Christine Craik
1594	M F Nolan
1595	Joanna McKinson
1596	Diana MacDonald
1597	C Fleetwood
1598	D Hall
1599	William Elkins
1600	C Goodman
1601	Fran Canals
1602	Emma Goodwin
1603	Nadine Goodall
1604	Mr KJ & K Edge
1605	Julie Holmes
1606	Mrs Anne Bogod
1607	Carole Andrews
1608	Lynda Norman
1609	Laura Carew Gibbs
1610	Brent Lawson
1611	Samantha Burrough
1612	Craig Upshall
1613	Alice Thatcher
1614	Gayle Byford
1615	Darren McCormick
1616	Heather Worsley
1617	Andy Jones on behalf of Lions Sports Academy
1618	Matthew Battle (Newland House School)
1619	Shirley Gibbs
1620	K Cooper
1621	Terence Worster
1622	Louise Davies, Historic England - Archaeology Advisory Services
1623	Richard Annetts
1624	Deepa Reddy
1625	S A Allison

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1626	Mari Day
1627	Gavin Miller
1628	John Woolley
1629	Amy Parker
1630	Melanie Spencer, on behalf of 3rd Teddington Scout Group
1631	David Cull
1632	Clive Jenkins
1633	Brian Cornick
1634	Carolyn O'Leary
1635	Ken Thatcher
1636	Joan Gibson
1637	John Cole
1638	Nicola Lawrie
1639	Winston Taylor, for and on behalf of Richmond and Twickenham Green Party
1640	Kel Razza
1641	Enda Hendron
1642	David Blaikley
1643	Kate Rashkes
1644	Gavin Clarke
1645	Kate Brookes
1646	M Tomlinsen
1647	R A Carr
1648	L Miles
1649	D Hunter
1650	Name unclear
1651	Mr P Hales
1652	Bradley Schofiels
1653	Kathryn Parkin
1654	Tim Parkin
1655	Jacques Frankenberger
1656	Michaela Millard
1657	Ann & Bernard King
1658	Robert Williams
1659	Olivia Kerigan

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1660	Alison Campbell
1661	Harry Stafford
1662	Stephen Paul Kerigan
1663	Linda Cartwright
1664	Sophie Ramsay
1665	Katy Watson
1666	Dean Potter on behalf of the Football Foundation
1667	Jo Casley
1668	Charlie Greenwood
1669	Corrina Turner
1670	Tom Kemp-Potter
1671	Philippa Smith
1672	Name unclear
1673	Vincent Harte
1674	Name unclear
1675	Name unclear
1676	Name unclear
1677	Michelle Lyons
1678	Susan Reeves
1679	P Mills
1680	A Hunt
1681	L Alexander
1682	Mrs P James
1683	Joanna Freeman
1684	Name unclear
1685	V Ritchie
1686	Name unclear
1687	Name unclear
1688	Tom Doran Tom Doran
1689	Laura Howarth
1690	Kate Finch
1691	Rui Ma
1692	Alasdair Turner
1693	Sian Gaughan
1694	P Syverson
1695	J Gosschalk

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1696	Dr A R Greenway
1697	Mark Gaughan
1698	Alan Roe
1699	Jane Gear
1700	Ivan Parks
1701	Paul Brown
1702	Allan Fraser
1703	Carl Jansen
1704	A Fitzgerald
1705	M Clayden
1706	Michelle Kent
1707	Name unclear
1708	Fergus Farrell
1709	Martin Hodges
1710	C Lovegrove
1711	Robert Allen
1712	S C Lovegrove
1713	M E Oton
1714	Caryn Jenner
1715	Rebecca Saunders
1716	Mrs Mackenzie
1717	Edward Davies
1718	Jonathan Harte
1719	K Hussein
1720	Marcus Sweeney
1721	George Reid
1722	Hugh Hartley
1723	Byron Madden
1724	Huw Davies
1725	Giane Telles
1726	Stuart Flynn
1727	G M Caffey
1728	X Shomalia
1729	G A Jehu
1730	Susan Cooper
1731	Frank Offer

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1732	M Baldwin
1733	Mrs S Perrier
1734	Name unclear
1735	Michele Hall
1736	Sarah Shovlin
1737	A M Rigge
1738	Helen Morgan
1739	Elizabeth Banigan
1740	Eoin O'Neill
1741	Gareth Jones
1742	Kevin Rudd
1743	John Veichmanis
1744	Jessica Petelin
1745	John McCarthy
1746	Alan Gardner
1747	Warwick Shillaker
1748	Gabbie Filmer-Pasco
1749	Nicola Spencer
1750	Martin Bridge
1751	Stewart Horne
1752	Liz Tidhar
1753	Simon Cartmell for and on behalf of the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC
1754	Oxana Dorrington
1755	Emma Baker
1756	Paul Caldwell
1757	Phil Bailes
1758	Mike Allsop
1759	Joanna Oliffe
1760	Rt Hon Sir Vince Cable MP for Twickenham, Teddington, The Hamptons and Whitton
1761	Mary Bennett
1762	Sarah-Jane Middleton
1763	James Tullo
1764	Nigel Dodds
1765	P G & E Rigge

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1766	Stefania Cerasale
1767	Duncan Jenkinson (England & Wales Cricket Board)
1768	Harry Mann
1769	William Langbridge
1770	David & Sheila Browning
1771	Jane & Jerry Parle
1772	John Simpson
1773	Linda McMahon
1774	Barry Rideout on behalf of the Udney Park Playing Fields Trust (background report from Greenspace Information for Greater London (GiGL) CIC)
1775	Max Elston
1776	Helen Thresh
1777	Michael Vass
1778	Simon Passmore
1779	Sarah Gough
1780	Joyce Painton
1781	Anthony Irwin
1782	Hayley-Joanne Keatley
1783	Joe Napper
1784	Name unclear
1785	Nicholas Collett
1786	Mr S Ghuman
1787	Alan Perrien
1788	Name unclear
1789	Fiona Auty
1790	Christine Mayhew-Smith
1791	J.B. Whiting
1792	C Kearns
1793	Trixie Youngs
1794	Juliet Touw
1795	R Cook
1796	Paul Gatehouse
1797	S Rigge
1798	Name unclear

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1799	Steve and Emma Nicholls
1800	Jack Peachey
1801	Professor Judith Harwin
1802	Ann Curtis
1803	Emma Callaway
1804	John Turner
1805	Mrs N Hawke
1806	Mr B A Holder
1807	Dr Judith Lawton
1808	Richard Hill
1809	Charlotte Ruddick
1810	Philip Briggs on behalf of the Richmond Bat Species Action Plan Steering Group
1811	HTK Leong
1812	Douglas Craik
1813	Calum Tavender-Tait
1814	Name unclear
1815	Dylan Patel
1816	Tim Christian
1817	David Ford
1818	Frances Konden
1819	Suzanne Janis
1820	David Cloke
1821	Ann Flood
1822	B Hainey
1823	Elsbeth Lee
1824	Malcolm Slater
1825	Kimm Stevens
1826	Tim Godfray
1827	Michael and Kirsten Darowski
1828	Stuart Withycombe
1829	Sarah Page
1830	Judith Wilks
1831	Craig Nicholson on behalf of the Middlesex Football Association
1832	Ian Moore on behalf of Middlesex Cricket

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name
1833	Vicky Aston, Sport England
1834	Katarina Hagstrom
1835	Ken Howe
1836	Daniel Osborne, Barton Willmore on behalf of the Quantum Group
1837	Adam Wallace, Natural England – Thames Team
1838	Chris Whitaker, England and Wales Cricket Board
1839	Mark Jopling on behalf of the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields, the Teddington Society and the Udney Park Playing Fields Trust
1840	Sam Hobson, AHH (Affordable Housing & Healthcare Group – formerly Quantum)
1841	David Gillingham
1842	Michael Walker
1843	Stephen Drudge
1844	Mr J. Burns
1845	Name unclear
1846	Name unclear
1847	Jenevieve Burns
1848	Anjela Kilenyi
1849	A Drudge
1850	Dave Smith
1851	Mr M.J. Sloggett
1852	A Warrior
1853	M Warrior
1854	J Warrior
1855	Roseanna Moore
1856	Peter R Smith
1857	Nevmide Smith
1858	Mary C Barnes
1859	Rosemary McGlashon

Schedule of Responses Explanatory Notes

All responses have been provided to the Inspector, in a schedule format and in full (confidentially as the full responses contain personal details). Each response has been entered and given a MM Representation number. Duplicates where identified have been removed/collated into one response (e.g. comment (a) and comment (b)). Some households may have submitted more than one response, from different individuals, or on behalf of other groups etc. and are recorded as such.

The schedule shows where any personal information within responses relating to contact details, particularly full address data, has been removed stating e.g. ***[personal details removed for data protection]***.

Some hand written names could not be read. There are approximately 150 responses recorded in the schedule shown as “Name Unclear” which were mainly postal responses with signatures or writing that could not be distinguished, however these have been cross checked against the postal details (although removed from the published schedule for confidentiality) to ensure there is no duplication. Any errors in spelling of names can be notified to LocalPlan@richmond.gov.uk

A small number of 19 responses received have not been entered into the schedule as they were not considered duly made – as asked not to be published, anonymous responses, or those missing or with unclear contact details. However, it should be noted these all contain comments that have been made by others. Responses received after the deadline of 5th April are shown in grey highlighted cells.

Appendices have been made available separately where due to the length or nature of responses they could not be captured within the main Schedule. The officer references added are shown in the Schedule as ***[See Appendix....]*** or ***[See Comment MM Rep No. ...]*** for any cross references.

Schedule of Responses to Consultation on Proposed Main Modification in respect of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation at Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1	Rev Dominic Stockford	Can I register my pleasure at the Inspector making clear in his final report (April 2018) that he did not consider the Local Green Space designation of Udney Park Playing Fields adequately justified. I personally would oppose the spending of more residents money by the Borough in pursuing this matter further. Instead, I would encourage the Council (and Individuals) to seek to work with anyone making any proposals regarding that space – for the purpose of ensuring that the future of the grounds has some reasonable form of balance between developers desires and residents wishes. If LBRuT fights this too much we may well find that what we end up with is something no-one foresaw, and no-one locally is happy with. Beware of unforeseen consequences.
2	Sarah Fawcett	I do not agree with the site being designated as Local Green space and I do not believe the Council has demonstrated why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria. The Inspector's recent decision to remove several of the criteria from the list of criteria for LGS demonstrates the LGS designation was based on inadequate evidence and was not consistent with national policy. In addition, The Council's views do not represent the views of the local community as required for LGS status as the 2 minority groups, (the FUPPF's and the Teddington Society) did not actively consult members of the community. As a local resident I had no opportunity to share my views with these groups. I support the planning Inspector's original decision to keep the site as OOLTI.
3	CJ Roberts	I understand that the planning inspector has already made his decision once and I agree with him – this land needs to remain as OOLTI. It's not special enough to me in its current configuration. I've seen the current planning application for the site and think it has great potential. Ultimately, we have a responsibility to consider the wider needs of the community and I don't think as LGS all these benefits could be delivered. Thousands of people supported the planning application which was submitted by Quantum for extra care housing, the new surgery and sports facilities. Why is the Council not taking their views into account?
4	E.H. O'Donnell	I am a local resident and know how desperate the local GP surgery needs a new facility that is fit for purpose. This will benefit everyone in the local community, and it would be a real shame if it could not happen because of this designation. This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
5	J.A. Schomberg	I am writing regarding your proposed modification in the Local Plan relating to designation of land at Udney Park Road. Mr Jopling and his friends have already unsuccessfully tried to change this site to a place of local Greenspace. Despite the Planning Inspectorate over-ruling this, we are back to square one again for the sake of a few greedy residents. I can see no valid reason for the greenspace designation. Based on the NPPF criteria outlined in paragraph 100(b) below I am convinced that your Local Plan will become unsound if you allow this to go ahead: "The local Greenspace is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, recreational value (including a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife." Does Mr Jopling think the current site is any of the above? It's a rundown private sports field with a few trees round the outside and a scruffy clubhouse that has seen better days. We have plenty of places of historic significance, beauty and tranquillity in our borough which are not Local Green Space. The current designation of OOLTI carries perfectly the right amount of protection from inappropriate use. What we are lacking is more space for our GP surgeries to cope with the increasing population in Teddington and beyond.
6	R Knight	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I am at a loss to understand why this decision is being revised and how this will benefit local residents. My understanding is that Local Green Space has a special value to the local community. The only people who have ever benefitted from using this piece of land are people who were involved with Imperial College or Newlands House school. How can that be of value to the whole community? Why does the Council think this site is so special? I don't know of anyone who has accessed this site and it looks pretty rundown from what can be seen when walking past it although most of the land is obscured by damaged fencing, unmaintained hedges and a few unkept trees. If this land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit it from it. The status should stay as it is – to enable something positive to happen in the future for all of us.
7	Name unclear	I oppose the council's decision to allocate the site as local green space. The decision to allocate the site as Local Green space prevents adequate consideration of any development which would see the site better utilised for health and community use and provide Teddington and the wider Borough with publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which has never been available before. The group supporting designation as LGS state has come up with all sorts of tenuous reasons as to why the site is special to

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>them in an attempt block useful proposals for the community. I've seen the current planning application for the site, which I support, and even if these are not approved, I believe it makes sense for the land to remain as OOLTI which provides adequate protection from the wrong type of development and ensures the possibility that some use of land could be put to better use going forwards. In its current state the facilities are basic and do not contribute meaningfully to the character of the area because most of the site is screened from view. To be honest, I don't see how anyone could say it is demonstrably special to the community.</p>
8	Jenna Herman	<p>I am emailing to STRONGLY object to the removal of London Green Space status from the Udney Park playing fields. Udney Park is extremely special to our community - we are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more.</p> <p>Udney Park is also a vital part of the local ecology network, home to 8 protected species.</p> <p>Quantum only want to remove the LGS status because they stand to make huge profit by building on it. Destruction of any playing fields is socially unacceptable.</p> <p>We need more playing space not more building plots. Once this green space is built on, it's gone forever.</p>
9	Bertie Heath	<p>I object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space (LGS) protection of Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park is special to our community - we are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more.</p> <p>Udney Park is also a vital part of the local ecology network, home to 8 protected species.</p> <p>Sport England, Richmond Council and the GLA all want to keep Udney Park free from development. LGS protection is only at risk because of the developers expensive and extensive lobbying. We must see through Quantum's "camouflage for a grubby land deal". Investing in the destruction of playing fields socially unacceptable.</p> <p>Keeping LGS is critical to defeating the Planning Application for luxury flats and keeping all of Udney Park for the community. Teddington already has 300 luxury flats under construction on brown-fields - we need more playing space not more building plots.</p>
10a	Thomas Herman	<p>I am emailing to STRONGLY object to the removal of London Green Space status from the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>Quantum only want to remove the LGS status because they stand to make huge profit by building on it. Destruction of any playing fields is socially unacceptable.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We need more playing space, not more building plots. Teddington already has 300 flats under construction on local brownfield sites and does not need more. Teddington doesn't need more flats, especially at the cost of our remaining green space.</p> <p>Furthermore, the construction of more flats within this residential area will create more traffic, parking, and safety issues very near to the Collis primary school, and on the Collis school run.</p> <p>Udney Park is extremely special to our community - we are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more. Udney Park is also a vital part of the local ecology network, home to 8 protected species.</p> <p>Once this green space is built on, it's gone from our community forever.</p>
10b	Thomas Herman	<p>Please note my desire for Udney Park to have LGS status and my objection to any other plan. It is green space used by local children to exercise and stay healthy. Developers are trying to manipulate the regulations to allow them to profit at the community's expense. Please don't allow this to happen!</p>
11	Juliet Bullock	<p>I wish to object to the proposed building works by the property development company Quantum, on the existing Udney Park sports fields, Teddington.</p> <p>This valuable green space was donated originally for the use of sports and outdoor activities. The use of green space within a conurbation for anything other than its current use is unacceptable, in an era of obesity and poor health. Developments driven entirely by financial reward are not a good enough reason to forgo this green space, in favour of luxury retirement homes.</p> <p>The borough needs and uses all available green space for sports, and this should be the continued aim of the local council.</p> <p>If we start nibbling away at the green spaces we will never again be able to recover them, when built over. Udney Park provides a necessary green lung to the community and also provides an environment for some wild animals.</p> <p>I trust the Richmond Planning Department have the interests of their community in mind and share the desire to preserve our special and beautiful area and its valuable green spaces and parks, and will do 'the right thing' in designating Udney Park as a 'local green space'.</p>
12	Alastair Procter	<p>I am writing to express my strongest objection to the potential removal of 'Local Green Space' designation for Udney Park Playing Fields which I believe is under consideration currently. There are a number of reasons why I believe that removal of LGS designation would be wrong, those being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, enjoyed and used by the local community for a variety of recreational purposes: there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally, and several local

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>sports clubs have no permanent home. There are many live examples of playing fields being optimised and used without the need for a developer to effectively destroy a part of them and limit usage in return for some 'improvements' that are modest in comparison to what might otherwise be possible; it is a matter of public knowledge that Quantum's proposed plans for the site would materially reduce and limit the amount of available space for recreational purposes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is home to up to 8 protected species of bat, and is one critical part of a connected ecological network of local parks and river embankments, and should be cherished and protected. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space for the entire local community which is at risk from being lost for ever in order to allow a commercial enterprise with no links or ties to the local neighbourhood, to construct approximately 300 luxury apartments to fill its own coffers; Quantum has no interest whatsoever in the local community (other than profiteering from it), and its proposed development is unnecessary, considering there are currently several other brown-field developments of a similar nature in construction within a small radius of the Udney Park site. • a decision to remove LGS status (and ultimately make it easier for predatory, opportunistic developers to have their way and destroy local green space) is one that can never be reversed, once events have taken their course. <p>Thank you for your consideration in this matter.</p>
13	Gillian Bowman	<p>I object to the removal of LGS from Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons: Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.</p>
14	Baroness J Hilton	<p>I object strongly to the possible removal of Local Green Space status from Upney Park. It is very important to retain open green spaces to retain biodiversity at a time of serious extinction of species. The epidemic of</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		obesity and diabetes in our children also make it essential to retain playing fields and spaces for outdoor exercise. I have been a resident of Teddington for more than 40 years and hope the Borough will set a fine example of concern for the environment and well-being rather than the profits of developers.
15	Colin Keam-George	<p>I write to object to the removal of Local Green Space status from these playing fields. These playing fields, in use for 100 years, were donated under covenant for amateur sport – all of the playing fields!</p> <p>Several local clubs have no permanent home and this facility could and should be used entirely for sport and to facilitate and encourage community involvement in sport with the attendant health and well-being that sport brings to people, especially children.</p> <p>This open space is a valuable and irreplaceable asset forming part of a connected ecological network of local parks and riverside. I understand that it is home to 8 protected species of bat, and of course, of considerable benefit to our wildlife generally.</p> <p>There is no requirement for luxury apartments in this locality since 300 luxury flats are currently being built on brown field sites within half a mile. Keeping Udney Park Playing Fields designated as Local Green Space will help to protect it from developers and ensure that all of it is available to the community and especially the young who will ultimately judge us on our values and the legacy we pass on.</p>
16	Andrew Hall	<p>I object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space (LGS) protection of Udney Park. Udney Park is special - we are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more. Under the proposed changes cricket would be rendered impossible here.</p> <p>Udney Park is also a vital part of the local ecology network.</p>
17	Gillian Mulcahy	<p>I object to the removal of the LGS because it is important to the the local society to have places where children can plays sports and interact with each other. This is vital in these days of young people spending less and less time outdoors and hours looking at a screen. There are few enough playing areas in the borough without removing more.</p>
18	Rupa Tamsitt	<p>I would like to raise a strong objection to the removal of the LGS status of the above fields. This area has been an area of significant beauty and recreational amenity for local residents, community and schools for many many years, and it would be devastating to see that change.</p>
19	Nadine Born	<p>I object to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need. There are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park.
20	Julian Mancell Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
21	Julia Mancell Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
22	Owen Mancell Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
23	Philip Downer	<p>I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed removal of LGS status at Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>Udney Park is long established as green space at the heart of Teddington, acting as a "green lung" for the surrounding built up area, offering valuable wildlife habitat around its perimeter, and functioning as valuable playing field space, keeping children and adults active and healthy.</p> <p>It has functioned as a playing field for 100 years, created as a memorial to the fallen of World War One, and donated in perpetuity by Lord Beaverbook over 80 years ago. Large enough for a cricket pitch and several rugby/football pitches, it is an invaluable asset for the town and its population.</p> <p>Removal of LGS may open the way for the development of apartments on a large part of the grounds (sufficient at least to eliminate its use for cricket, and leaving space for at most one football/rugby pitch) - commercial development which should be restricted to existing brownfield sites (as has been the case at Teddington Studios and earlier on Somerset Road). This would be an appalling legacy for future generations.</p> <p>Udney Park is lined by trees and hedgerows on all four sides, and this provides valuable habitat for urban fauna. Removing the uncertainty surrounding the site at the moment would provide impetus to improve these habitats.</p> <p>In summary, this is a long-established Green Space, and there is no justifiable reason to remove its LGS status.</p>
24	Jean Wong	<p>I write to strongly object to the potential removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields must retain it's Local Green Space status.</p> <p>This green space has been here for about a century. It gives Teddington it's identity.</p> <p>It serves many local clubs* who have no green space facilities.</p> <p>* local clubs = many many individuals</p> <p>The playing field is a 'lung' for the area and it is home to wildlife, including protected bats.</p> <p>There is ample supply of luxury apartments in the vicinity, which to date are not selling well, which indicates the market for this type of development is saturated.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Park Road Surgery patients were polled and they indicate they do not wish to have their surgery in Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Roads surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields are narrow and congested, further dense occupation with compound the problem and could potentially affect traffic to Kingston-upon-Thames, Twickenham, Hampton Hill and Hampton Court.</p> <p>Safety for children and parents accessing Collis Primary also comes into question.</p>
25	Elizabeth (Pippa) Hyde	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space designation for the Udney Park playing fields. The field has been a playing field for 100 years; locally, school playing fields have been eaten away for development both for school buildings, and some for other development. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally; some local sports clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>I was shocked when the fields were sold for a derisory amount of money - for that quantity of land - to an investment company. I am sure the original owner Lord Beaverbrook would be turning in his grave thinking that the fields were sold for so little, for such a cynical property company, when he covenanted it for amateur sport. As far as I understand it Quantum do not even intend to develop the site themselves, just to sell on at a huge profit, with planning permission.</p> <p>The ecology of the site is special, with (amongst other wildlife) protected species of bats, who fly around in spring and autumn; this is a special thing, and should be kept unspoilt. Udney Park Road has suffered from considerable 'infill' building and densification in the last two decades, as have many green spaces, even down to bits of people's gardens, locally. The infrastructure round here is not suitable for continued densification, especially with the huge development around the old TV studios. We need this Local Green Space.</p> <p>It would be a crying shame if this site was developed, especially for the luxury apartments proposed. The use of some for facilities for older people sounds more plausible, but it is highly unlikely that this would be intended for the needier elderly, far more likely that it would be for affluent older people - and there is already a substantial facility like this, built within the last 20 years, in Udney Park Road; the proposed development is for profit only, not for social benefit. We desperately need to retain it as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>If there is any change of ownership, this should be to a not-for-profit community group like the UPPF Trust who genuinely want to improve our community, by retaining this very special place both as a beautiful</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		natural facility for local wildlife, and for the use of local sports clubs that are crying out for spaces like this. I strongly object to the potential loss of the Local Green Space designation.
26	Helena Milner-Smith	<p>I write to object to the potential removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington.</p> <p>The playing fields are one of the very few in the area for local sports clubs to enjoy as there is a severe shortfall in the area. Given that the fields were donated under a covenant for amateur sport, it would be shocking if they were allowed to be sold for development into luxury flats - for which there is no need in the area given other massive developments nearby (including Teddington riverside).</p> <p>It also provides an increasingly rare green space sanctuary for local wildlife including bat species.</p>
27	Felicity Chadwick-Histed	<p>I am a resident of Teddington, living just off Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed removal of local green space status for Udney Park Playing Fields, under the criteria of how the space is “Special to a local community, (eg) because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife”</p> <p>I object because of the key following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. There are in existence in this community many examples of viable playing fields which have not been broken up by a developer’s commercial plans. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. • The loss of Local Green Status would be detrimental to local health and well-being through loss of active sport activities and access to green space. <p>Thank you for noting my objection.</p>
28	David Hogben	I object to the Public Inspectorate removing LGS status on Udney Park, I cannot see how this decision was even considered? If Udney Park does not fulfil the criteria of Local Green Space no other green space in

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>the country could qualify. Please see the following points which prove without doubt Udney Park should be given LGS status in the local plan as set out by the criteria of LGS by the Government.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Its historic as it's a WORLD War One memorial ground donated by Lord Beaverbrook who served in Churchill's war cabinet who donated the land for Sports Use Only in perpetuity. 2. There are at least three football clubs and two rugby clubs in the area who do not have a home ground to use. Hampton and Richmond football club have lost their training facilities recently and have 800 members who have nowhere to train at present following Harlequins taking over their training facility in Bushy Park. 3. The site is a natural habitat for rare bats and any development on the site would disrupt or even push them away from the area. 4. The ground has two beautiful cricket pitches that the proposed development would be remove forever, should planning be approved on the site , it is essential therefore that the LGS status is reinstated to protect this land. "Playing Fields are for People not Profit. 5. There are 250 unsold flats 250 metres away at Teddington Lock there is no requirement for more flats to be built for rich people on a centrally located Playing Field which is a beautiful sight in the heart of our local town. 6. With the epidemic of obesity and mental health issues affecting so many people, playing fields will prevent young people from a sedentary life style which causes these issues in the first place. 7. Udney Park will serve the community when its restored to 100% community use, as is the goal of those objecting to this site becoming a block of 107 flats, with a much reduced artificial playing field being left as a sop to a community, so a developer can walk off with circa £30 million profit. 8. It will be a national scandal if this land is not granted LGS status.
29	Roger Richardson	<p>I wish to register my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>This site is very special from the point of view of the local community of which I, living only a few hundred yards away, am very much part. It is beautiful, it is tranquil, but, above all, it has enormous value as a much needed recreational space including, as it does its playing fields – hence its name. Just look!</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		 <p>The following additional points are relevant to my objection: Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years There is a severe shortfall of playing fields in and around Teddington - several local clubs have no permanent home Udney Park is home to protected species of bat Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from becoming a development of luxury flats three hundred of which are under construction within half a mile of this Park Above all, Udney Park was gifted by its donor after the first world war by its then owner <u>under covenant</u> as a space for amateur sport and a war memorial It is our, and your, duty to respect that intention and its status</p>
30	Gillian Sandy	The removal of the LGS status on Udney Park Playing Field would be a tragedy. We must robustly protect our dwindling green spaces.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There are too many flats being built in this area - especially the monstrous amount of building by the river near Teddington Lock. This in turn brings more cars and pollution already way off legal limits here. We need to keep green spaces for generations to come and that was the idea when the Udney Park playing field was originally donated under a covenant for amateur sport. The field is a home to protected species and trees.</p>
31	Orsi Cowell-Lehoczky and family (Andrew and Anna Cowell)	<p>I am writing regarding the consultation on the Main Modification in respect of the former Imperial College Private Ground, Udney Park Road, Teddington TW11 9BB.</p> <p>We strongly oppose the notion of excluding UPPF as Local Green Space.</p> <p>The LGS status was important some years ago, as we had treasuring this sport facility, the open green space within the built up Teddington village area, and its importance for local wildlife, even the story of its existence, that unique local historical curiosity: we wanted to preserve it for the next generations of school kids to enjoy.</p> <p>But now, in 2019, we feel even stronger about the need to protect the space, in every possible way we can, from possible re-development as housing or other non green space based ventures (we are opposing artificial surfaced playing fields also, as they are no good for any kind of wildlife!).</p> <p>Teddington / Twickenham doesn't need more housing, there are 4 major building sites in the area that are already providing enough new housing. And there will be more kids in need of a big green space to run around.</p> <p>We are convinced, that the protection of endangered species: bats who need the full area WITHOUT night-time light pollution in its centre, the protection of the remaining mature trees and open grass-land, that would soak up rainwater and provide for hedgehogs, are much more important, than more flats, or another GP's office that can be installed in any of the above mentioned new developments.</p> <p>We think of the site as essential to our children's healthy activities, we miss it as a hub for parents to meet and socialise, a place to keep up traditional sports, like playing cricket... without the constant worry of it disappearing, or being so expensive, that local schools or clubs won't be able to afford the fees (St Mary's, or NPL have limited space and are expensive).</p> <p>My understanding is that there are plenty of local clubs, businesses and private people who would be more than happy to run the place without the need to sell off part of it for housing, so I am not worried about its sustainability. I see it as a space that could generate income by organising fairs, having a cafe run from it's</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>premises (as was done before all this)... Maybe not so generous, but still some employment opportunities - and that is without mentioning the sporting activities that can create enough to pay for up-keep. Its central location ensures that kids can walk or use the bus to get to the fields. Any location away from Teddington centre may need parents to drive their kids there. I take this as a positive in tackling air - pollution issues.</p> <p>UPPF would help sustain the good health-rate the neighbourhood can be proud of - take LGS as an insurance policy for keeping the pressure lower on local NHS institutions for generations to come. (Even more so it will be needed as the "lung of Teddington village" with a possible Heathrow expansion: another blow to air quality.)</p> <p>I heard that the immediate neighbourhood is suffering from the place being abandoned: the area has become a gathering point for non desirable activities.</p> <p>We urge the Council to try and solve this issue as soon as possible by including the area as LGS without further delay, so the case can be moved on to the next level, and UPPF can be utilised again, as it was given to the local community a hundred years ago.</p> <p>Developing / building projects now seem to be more like court case fights and less like the considerate 'bettering of neighbourhoods' building operations we hope planning regulations are there to protect. We need law to protect against those who would do anything, twist any paragraph of any planning regulation to get more profit, regardless of the needs / best interests of local communities and a liveable neighbourhood. There would be no one who would actually want to and can lead a meaningful, healthy life in rows of soulless housing developments - as we have ample examples of that.</p> <p>My husband is a member of the Lensbury leisure and sport centre, and we have just received a note that it is being sold. We are concerned it eventually will also be 'further developed', another possible blow for another sports related facility in the neighbourhood. So we feel even more urgently the need to protect any green space designated for sport and leisure that is left, in any possible way, BEFORE they disappear and make the area another dusty, urbanised concrete jungle on the outskirts of London.</p> <p>So please, please let Udney Park Playing Fields be protected by the LGS.</p>
32	Steve Bateman	<p>I would like to object to the removal of Local Green Space status at Udney Park Playing fields. These playing fields are an important asset to the local community and should remain accessible to all. Increasing amounts of open space are being consumed by housing development and we cannot afford to lose even one square metre of Udney Park. They are also an immensely valuable asset for teenagers and</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>young people to play sports, helping to keep them off the streets and away from knife & other nefarious crime. Removing any of these playing fields is counter to a key national government policy to provide sporting facilities to improve the nation's health. How can central government targets and policies be so easily ignored locally?</p> <p>There are several other tangible reasons for also keeping LGS status of the playing fields. Building on these playing fields will only increase pollution in both the short and long term, which is contrary to both the Mayor of London's aims and those of Central Government. There are several protected species of bat on the site and Udney Park is a war memorial, protected by covenant.</p> <p>The sports fields have been here for a long time and building on them is a breach of National Planning Policy. If Richmond Council considers that they are on the side of their residents then there is only one outcome and the fields should remain protected as Local Green Space, so allowing them to be used by the whole community.</p>
33	B J Harris	<p>Having had a caller & discussing the new proposals- it was suggested that I contact you.</p> <p>The new proposals are far better than before & I should be happy for them to be accepted BUT with reservations as I don't trust the Council or the builders to adhere to these plans.</p> <p>I should like to propose a penalty clause for BOTH the Council & the Builders for any deviation from the proposed plans. Then & ONLY then would I support the new proposals.</p>
34	Steve Wilsher	<p>The attempted removal of Local Green Space status from the Local Plan is beyond belief in the present climate where environment is key to the mental and physical health of all communities across the country. The logic of the inspector responsible for such a move brings into question the ethical and moral values by which that person (whoever they may be) operates.</p> <p>How, when there is a public groundswell of objection to the removal of LGS status, can this be considered fair, decent and unbiased?</p> <p>Local Green Space is designated to ensure that the lungs of the community are maintained by providing facilities for local sporting activity, social gatherings and the protection of wildlife within these boundaries. The removal of LGS status destroys this objective in one fatal blow contravening the terms of the original covenant when the site was gifted to the community.</p> <p>This cannot be allowed to happen. The LGS status must be instated in the Local Plan. I vehemently object to the proposed removal.</p>
35	Saoirse and Paul Davis	I wish to post my objection to the potential removal of LGS from Udney Playing Fields in Teddington.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There are so few wide open green spaces available for sports or generally enjoying, it would be awful to lose yet more to unnecessary developments.</p> <p>Already we have well over 100 units by the riverside as new developments- with further being built, our need locally is for recreational space. I have 3 children all at local schools that struggle for the space to offer sports or just to explore and enjoy.</p>
36	Chris Histed	<p>I wish to submit an objection to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields – ie I object to the Proposed Main Modification in respect of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation at Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington . I believe Udney Park Playing Fields SHOULD BE designated Local Green Space.</p> <p>My family lives immediately adjacent to Udney Park Playing Fields, in Davenport Close. It is special to our family, our neighbours, and our community of local friends.</p> <p>The green and open tree-bounded area of Udney Park Playing Fields is truly beautiful, and walking past its boundary at least twice per day confirms this in my mind each day. Beauty is subjective, but I testify to Udney Park Playing Fields being beautiful to me. Its wildlife, especially the high volumes of birds which nest in its bounding trees provides a natural habitat for wildlife which is a benefit to our locality. When sitting in our garden at dusk we have bats flying overhead, and we know that these live in the trees bounding Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>In recreational terms, Udney Park Playing Fields offers a good place to take a walk – it's not just a playing field, but to our family acts as a local park to take good walking exercise, and sporting exercise in a variety of sports.</p> <p>Udney Park is a crucial local green space that must be protected from development for which there is no local need. There are significant volumes of other new residential flats being developed locally within half a mile on brown-field site where Thames TV Studios used to be.</p>
37	Bruce Walder	<p>I wish to express my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing field in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>The field complies with the criteria laid out in paragraph 77 of the NPPF in that it holds particular significance for the local community through</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Having considerable historical significance – it was originally donated in perpetuity as part of a war memorial • Providing extensive recreational value - it has been a local playing field for over a hundred years

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Being rich in wildlife – it provides a habitat for a variety of protected species of bat and is an important location in local ecological framework <p>In an age when, on a worldwide scale, natural habitats are disappearing at an alarming rate and obesity continues to take its toll on the population, especially amongst the young, it is abhorrent that we should even be considering allowing this vital, local resource to be turned into yet more luxury flats.</p>
38	Peter Bide	<p>I am emailing to register my objection to the Planning Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>My reasons for objection are:</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields is special to the community because it is an important and sizeable green space in a dense urban area. It is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. It is also special to the community because it is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields serves the community by providing open greenspace and playing fields. It has been a playing field for over 100 years, in an area with an acute shortage of playing fields. It would serve several local sports clubs with no permanent home.</p> <p>For these reasons Udney Park Playing Fields must be protected from development and retained as playing fields in perpetuity.</p>
39	Martyn Sandy	<p>These fields have played a key role in the health and well-being of Teddington residents since they were donated under a covenant for amateur sport over 100 years ago.</p> <p>By arrangement with Imperial college they were, until their acquisition by Quantum, available to, and widely used by, numerous schools and local sports clubs.</p> <p>The damaging effects of loss of playing fields is widely recognised and accepted by both local and national government who have developed policies to protect them.</p> <p>Whilst change is to expected authorities have a primary responsibility to protect the health of the local population. Pollution, as a direct result of the massive rise in housing development in Teddington over the last decade, now stands at unacceptably dangerous levels and the loss of precious green space will not only exacerbate this but also deprive residents of one of the few remaining areas where organised sport, an essential contributor to combatting the effects of pollution, can be undertaken. Local schools and clubs already struggle to find playing fields that they can use, and this restricted capacity results in there being forced restrictions upon those who are able to participate.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The demand for such green spaces is therefore clear and unsatisfied, and must be protected, for once any area, however small, is lost it will never be regained. By contrast the need for extra housing in Teddington is questionable with many recent developments currently struggling to sell the properties they have built. Any threat to remove LGS from Udney Park must therefore be strenuously resisted, with the health and well being of current and future residents of Teddington being ,rightly, put ahead of enhancing the wealth of the already rich investors in Quantum.</p> <p>If further reasons should be required it should be noted that Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of the connected ecological network of local parks and river embankments.</p>
40	Jennifer Opie	<p>I wish to register my objection to the Planning Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields are very special to our community as a much-valued open green space used by local schools and sports clubs. It has been a playing field for 100 years and there are now local and safe plans to improve facilities there without endangering or, worse, actually losing its environmental credentials. With these discreet improvements, it will serve several local sports clubs which at present have no permanent home.</p> <p>It is home to 8 species of protected bats and it is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. You only have to walk in either of these areas and also past the playing fields at dusk or early morning to see these and other wildlife. The Fields are a peaceful space.</p> <p>It is particularly special to our community as a war memorial, donated under covenant, specifically for amateur sport. This is a precious, historic gift and should be respected and preserved. It should not be sacrificed for yet another luxury homes project, of which there are several very locally.</p>
41	Dilys Walker	<p>I wish to register my objection to the recent removal of LGS on Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF). I am pleased to have an opportunity to lodge my reasons.</p> <p>The reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - London's green spaces should be protected wherever possible and the UPPF particular space is a proven home to a number of bat species and other wildlife. As such it forms part of the network of local parks and river embankments which are essential for protecting wildlife from habitat loss. - There is a shortage of playing fields for local clubs and the fields will provide several pitches for the enjoyment and health of the local population and visitors provided they are not built on in any way.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>- The Fields were once a War Memorial (in 1922) and were bequeathed in 1937 as amateur sporting grounds by Lord Beaverbrook for ALL time. A covenant which should be respected and upheld as intended.</p> <p>- The UPPF are a gem and landmark for visiting migrating wildlife and for people of all ages to enjoy as a sports field or just a calm green space in town. It is a joy to witness the seasons of the surrounding trees and hedges and the sports being played for the good of community health and fitness in an age of increasing obesity and sedentary lives.</p> <p>- UPPF is a green space that offers calm breathing space and important pollution and rainfall absorption. A local space which deserves full protection against development for profit from outsiders with no interest in our local environment.</p> <p>Please do reinstate the Local Green Space Award.</p>
42	Andrew Walker	<p>Please log my objections to removal of LGS on UPPF as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for a century or more. • There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need. • Furthermore: • Udney Park provides green space for absorbing pollution and rainfall and provides some of the best drained pitches to play on. • Udney Park provides pleasure as a green landmark breathing space in town for wildlife, locals and visitors. • Udney Park can play its part in combating the current obesity crisis and sedentary living by remaining as Fields for Play.
43	Eleni Bide	<p>I am writing in response to a public consultation on LGS status to Udney Park Playing Fields. My reasons for objection are as follows: Udney Park Playing Fields are an important community resource, providing a significant green space in what is a built-up urban environment. Being such a space confers a number of benefits on the local</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>community. One is ecological: Udney Park is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Another links to memory and identity, in its role as a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. The spirit of this donation, celebrating movement, freedom, friendship and play, is highly significant and cannot be adequately replaced by a static plaque or similar.</p> <p>Finally, Udney Park Playing Fields serves the local community by providing open greenspace and playing fields in an area where such things are in short supply. It has been a playing field for over 100 years, and without it a number of local sports clubs would have with no permanent home.</p> <p>For these reasons Udney Park Playing Fields must be protected from development and retained as playing fields in perpetuity.</p>
44	Geoffrey Opie	<p>I would like to register my protest at the Planning Inspector's proposal to remove Local Green Space status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The proposal is contrary to the needs and wishes of the local community. It is space that has been valued and used by local schools and sports clubs for over 100 years. Furthermore it is an important part of the areas local ecology, a green space for local wild life, home to eight protected species of bat, and together with our other parks and river banks, provides a critical link in the connected environment which should not be endangered by a project that includes more flats and houses, when there is already a large increase in residential building in the immediate vicinity, such as that at the former Teddington Studios.</p> <p>It should be remembered that this area was donated to the community as a war memorial under covenant to be used for amateur sport. For all these reasons Udney Park Playing fields must be retained as such and protected from development for the foreseeable future.</p>
45	Alice Amissah	<p>I hereby write to voice my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington. The Playing Fields is a special place to the local community for many reasons including its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquility and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>I have listed some of the main reasons for my objection below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. • Just the removal of a precious and much needed Local Green Space status.
46	Cécile Delattre	<p>I strongly oppose the removal of local green space status for Udney Park playing fields as it's very special to my local community.</p> <p>It's the green heart of Teddington, it has a strong historic significance (Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport) and has an invaluable recreational value (as a playing field), and obviously its richness of its wildlife. As you may know Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>It's very sad to see that developers are trying to challenge this status for their own profit. I think the community, we represent, is wiser than short terms investors, we know how priceless it is to keep green spaces within London for the future generations. Let me remind you that there are plans for Udney Park for a construction of luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites!</p> <p>We don't need luxury flats; green space will be our luxury! Let's be wise and clever!</p>
47	Veronica Barnes	<p>I, Veronica Barnes, of [Hampton address details removed for data protection] object to the Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) is a special green space in many ways. In the centre of Teddington UPPF provide the local community with a large, open, green space, large enough for several football pitches and also a full-sized cricket pitch in the Summer months. There is a shortage of local Playing Fields for community use. UPPF would provide several local sports clubs, which at present have no permanent home, with such a wonderful facility.</p> <p>Historically, Udney Park is extra-special as it has been an open green space and playing field area for 100 years. It is special to the local people, as it is a War Memorial, donated, under covenant, to the community of Teddington for amateur sport. Last year, 2018, saw the commemoration of the 100th. Anniversary of the Armistice - the end of the 1st. World War. Udney Park was given to the community in memory of those who</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>gave their lives in WWI, so that the people of this country could live democratically and in safety, under the rule of good Laws. LGS status for UPPF is an excellent way of preserving such a special War Memorial space for local community use. UPPF could provide football and cricket pitches for all local clubs to use. It would be a truly democratic community space.</p> <p>In this decade there is an alarming rise in obesity in children and adults and a great need for accessible open air sports facilities for all; removing LGS status from UPPF would deny the local community the benefit of a large, green open space. This would be an appalling legacy of the London 2012 Olympics.</p> <p>I object to the Inspector's potential removal of LGS status on UPPF from the draft local plan as the Fields at Udney Park are part of the "lungs" of the Greater London area. Locally, Udney Park is a special part of a green corridor, connecting wildlife habitats from the banks of the Thames to local parks and other green spaces. Udney Park is a large green space which is presently home to 8 protected species of bat, an important living zoological find for any local area in a London Borough.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields are a precious resource for human and ecological life.</p> <p>I object strongly to the potential removal of LGS status on Udney Park from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p>
48	Emmanuel Delattre	<p><u>Objections to the Removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Field</u></p> <p><i>The National Planning Policy criteria for LGS: Special to a local community, (eg) because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife.</i></p> <p>Having the policy criteria in mind, I object to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Filed because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
49	Barry and Patricia Jones	We strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We have lived in Teddington for nearly 30 years and raised our family here. Whilst it remains a very desirable place to live we have seen a steady deterioration of the local environment as a result of housing development and increasing road and air traffic. Apart from the fact that it is wrong in principle to build on open land which was donated to be used for sport and recreation, we believe that it is essential to protect these scarce playing fields and the wildlife which lives there for the health and future benefit of the local community.</p> <p>We believe that Richmond Council was right to grant LGS status to Udney Park in the draft local plan and that the Planning Inspector was not acting in the best interest of the community by removing it. The attempt by Quantum Group to have LGS status removed again is motivated solely by their wish to profit from developing the land for luxury housing with no regard for what is best for Teddington and its residents.</p>
50	David Mayne	<p>I wish to register my objection to the potential removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing field. This is an important recreational facility for the community which has been a playing field for 100 years. It was donated under a covenant for amateur sport use and such a requirement should not now be broken when sporting facilities are in such short supply.</p>
51	Michael Haworth	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to any removal of Local Green Space status of the Udney Park Playing Fields. I have lived next to these playing fields since 2003 and have seen my children - and thousands of other local children - playing rugby, football and cricket on it. These grounds belong to the community and its children and were donated for this specific purpose.</p> <p>There is such a shortfall of local playing fields that no developer should be allowed to build unwanted luxury flats on this precious ground, making a short term financial profit out of permanently destroying these precious playing fields.</p> <p>Preserving LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields is the minimum we can do to protect these increasingly rare green fields.</p>
52	Collis Primary School and Sacred Heart Catholic Primary School (Kevin Hogston and Bernadette Smith on behalf of)	<p>As Headteachers of Primary Schools in Teddington, we feel we are significant community stakeholders in the future of Udney Park. We strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space status at Udney Park. Our schools have used Udney Park for various sporting events for at least 30 years and we would like to see this community use increased. We take sport seriously for our boys and girls and we would like to see an increase in the local green spaces available for our pupils to use – not a reduction. Sport within the school day is critical, as we know that several local clubs are short of space and many children are on</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>waiting lists for places. Hence, Udney Park is clearly critical to the local community for its recreational value when we have such a well-quantified shortfall in playing fields in our neighbourhood.</p> <p>As school leaders, we have an obligation to deliver physical education for our pupils. The connection between physical activity, academic performance and children's overall mental well-being gets stronger with every new piece of academic research and is further enforced by new government policy. There are several initiatives that encourage physical activity such as The Daily Mile and Healthy Schools London, and evidence shows that the foundations for an active adult lifestyle begin at an early age.</p> <p>The issues for <i>Sacred Heart</i> are the loss of a green space for local residents who attend the school, there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally. This space is a potential home for many local sports organisations - organisations that can and do offer provision for our pupils. The loss of such a space would negatively impact our pupils through the loss of local sports club provision. This in turn would have a negative impact on the health and well-being of local pupils, as the opportunities to access such provisions locally is reduced. Additionally, a number of our pupils live locally and they walk/scoot/cycle to school. The building of 100 luxury flats in an area enclosed by residential housing and crowded residential roads will have an impact on the traffic volumes in the area. The increase in traffic volume, in an already congested area, is a potential danger to pupils walking/scooting/cycling to school from the area surrounding Udney Park. Moreover, the increase in traffic volume will have a negative impact on air quality locally; this will subsequently impact the health and wellbeing of our pupils, especially those who live locally and walk/scoot/cycle to school.</p> <p>The additional issues for <i>Collis</i> are the proposed motor vehicle entrance into the Udney Park site is immediately opposite the pedestrian entrance to Collis School that opens onto narrow residential streets. We have strongly opposed the Planning Application on the grounds of road safety as well the loss of recreation space.</p> <p>In summary, we must protect our playing fields for our children as obesity and inactivity are major public health issues. Local Green Space status helps to protect Udney Park from development and must be maintained.</p>
53	Andrew Alexander	I wish to make you aware I object to this modification on the simple basis that the proposal to designate the land as 'Local Green Space' is merely to serve the self-interests (principally material interests) of the community members with interests immediately adjacent to this ground rather than the needs of the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		broader community in the Teddington area. I believe the OOLTI designation is complete appropriate and that the proposed development should go ahead.
54	Judy Morrall	I feel very strongly about the threat to Udney Park Playing Fields. These must not be lost to the inhabitants of Teddington , they provide an important wild life habitat and the vegetation helps to moderate the high temperatures we experience in the summer. This will become increasingly important as climate change makes extreme weather more likely.
55	A H and J C Ellis	We feel that the proposals to redevelop the Udney Park site would enhance its use for the local community. Because of this we oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI.
56	Angus Prentice	<p>I am writing to submit my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington. My principal reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. • We need to preserve greenfield sites in Teddington for the use of our community - particularly children - now and for the future.
57	David Mills	<p>Please find below my personal objections to the removal of LGS at Udney Park playing fields. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
58	Hayley McDonald	<p>I am emailing to STRONGLY object to the removal of London Green Space status from the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>Quantum only want to remove the LGS status because they stand to make huge profit by building on it. Destruction of any playing fields is socially unacceptable.</p> <p>We need more playing space, not more building plots. Teddington already has 300 flats under construction on local brownfield sites and does not need more. Teddington doesn't need more flats, especially at the cost of our remaining green space.</p> <p>Furthermore, the construction of more flats within this residential area will create more traffic, parking, and safety issues very near to the Collis primary school, and on the Collis school run.</p> <p>Udney Park is extremely special to our community - we are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more. Udney Park is also a vital part of the local ecology network, home to 8 protected species.</p> <p>Once this green space is built on, it's gone from our community forever.</p>
59	Liz Waters	<p>I would like to register my objection to the proposal to remove Local Green Space designation from Udney Park Playing Fields in the Richmond Council Local Plan.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields holds a particular local significance to Teddington as a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Sport has been played on the site for nearly 100 years. It is important to the community to protect this area as playing fields in perpetuity to allow sport to continue to be played on the site by schools and clubs in the area. There is already a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>If we build on our playing-fields where will children and adults find the space to play organised field sports in the future? National policy on sport and healthy living overwhelmingly supports the retention of playing fields. At a time when a significant number of additional homes are being added to the area (eg Teddington Riverside) we should be looking to increase playing field capacity not build on existing open spaces. Once lost, they will be gone forever.</p> <p>Building on long established playing fields is just wrong and I urge the Planning Department to reject this proposed change to the Local Plan.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
60	Tom Bide	<p>I am emailing to register my objection to the Planning Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields serves the community by providing open greenspace and playing fields. It has been a playing field for over 100 years, in an area with an acute shortage of playing fields. It would serve several local sports clubs with no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields is special to the community because it is an important and sizeable green space in a dense urban area. It is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. It is also special to the community because it is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>For these reasons Udney Park Playing Fields must be protected from development and retained as playing fields in perpetuity.</p>
61	Jonathan Dunn and on behalf of Thamesians RFC	<p>I am writing to confirm again that Thamesians RFC wholly object to the proposal to remove the Local Green Space classification for Udney Park Playing Fields. It would be a travesty if after 100 years as a sports fields, this facility was lost. Sports fields are continually being built on to accommodate school and university buildings, or being sold off to speculative developers like Quantum, and as a result the lack of playing fields is now acute. Should St Mary's proceed to build the proposed new student blocks on their campus then no doubt the fields at Lockside will be less able to accommodate local teams and the problem will become more acute. The tide needs to be turned or the opportunity to play amateur sport within Richmond Borough will be lost to future generations.</p> <p>Aside from the issue of the erosion of the playing field space within the borough -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur rugby - Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. <p>Thamesians RFC have a particular interest in this as we are local club regularly facing the immediate problems of providing the pitches and facilities to match what we are achieving on the pitch. At present we play our home games at both Marble Hill and Waldegrave School. Our training is spread over three further venues at Hampton Sports Centre, Whitton Fields and St Mary's College. None of the playing or training facilities are located proximate to our clubhouse which is the cricket pavilion on Twickenham Green.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>At the pavilion we have taken a lead in refurbishing the building – including making substantial financial input over the years. Although we have now been sub-tenants to the cricket club since 1993 in truth we have out grown the space that this building provides. This year the club fielded 3 men’s teams, a ladies team (that won their league) and a Vets team. The men’s sides play on Saturdays; the ladies on Sundays; and the Vets play monthly on Friday evenings. We are now at a crunch point where our growth and ambition are being curtailed by our nomadic status. In particular we are unable to develop a minis and youth section, and maintain the momentum achieved with our ladies section.</p> <p>Thamesians RFC was originally formed by school leavers from Thames Valley Grammar School (now Waldegrave School). In part due to this backdrop we are keen to develop our relationship with Waldegrave School – albeit this is in its infancy. We are stymied in this through not having the facilities to offer mini rugby – although we are linked to Half Backs (https://www.halfbacks.co.uk/) who provide training to infants and use the cricket pavilion on Sunday mornings.</p> <p>With its roots firmly based in the local community Thamesians would very much like to see ourselves as anchor tenants should Udney Gardens be retained as playing fields. We have an extremely strong balance sheet and a local main sponsor in J P Dunn Construction Ltd (http://www.jpduunconstruction.co.uk/). Our records of fund raising, and subscriptions/membership collection is strong. With a long term tenancy in place we could readily attract the sort of grants that have seen the development of Teddington RFC within Bushey park. The lack of proper tenancy agreements for our pitches and clubhouse have always curtailed this opportunity in the past.</p> <p>Previous attempts to find a permanent home have failed including an application to use the fields at Kneller Gardens in the 2000’s. We have construction expertise within our ranks who could readily manage the refurbishment of the existing Udney Gardens pavilion – thus removing the cost of expensive professionals. My own position on this very much mirrors the club position set out above. I object as a local resident of Hampton Wick, as a local employer based in Thames Ditton - employing 250 people (many living within the borough), and as a community sports volunteer</p> <p>Hopefully all of this will be considered and the LGS protection will be retained rather than succumbing to the overtures of a private developer who’s plans pay scant regard for the community needs.</p>
62	N De Silva	I understand that the planning inspector has already made his decision once and I agree with him – this land needs to remain as OOLTI.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It's not special enough to me in its current configuration. It is private land which is not accessible by the local community and is expensive to maintain and run. The land is currently just a few football pitches with some run-down tennis courts. Nearly all 4 sides of the land are surrounded by housing. With all this in mind, I do not believe the land meets the required criteria for Local Green Space.
63	Jake Oram	I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group. I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
64	Yarianne Kirby	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.
65	Ajay Patel	I cannot support the application to change the site at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to (LGS) Local Green Space. The land is not demonstrably special as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The land is not beautiful, it has a derelict clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. There is an apparent lack of wildlife present. If the current designation were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development and careful reintroduction of indigenous wildlife species.
66	M Archard	The local plan was not positively prepared in respect of the LGS designation which I oppose. It did not adequately engage with local healthcare providers despite the plan acknowledging the need for improved primary care provision in the Borough. Park Road surgery has been seeking new premises for over 8 years and their need is recognized by Richmond Clinical commissioning group and the local council. The proposed new surgery would dramatically improve healthcare for local residents. 65% of patients in Park Road surgery who were surveyed were supportive of the practice relocating to this site who why are the views of a GP practice which has the second largest list in the Borough and their patients not being considered as part of the public consultation? This is against Policy LP30 and shows a complete lack of

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		duty to cooperate. At the moment the site simply does not have the qualities required to call itself a local green space.
67	Name unclear	I don't agree with making the land at Udney Park Local Green space. If the designation of this land is changed, we are in danger of the private land being hoarded up until Quantum decide what to do with it and that will not benefit anyone. How does making this area Local Green Space benefit the community more than the developer's plans? In my view the site has no significance to the local community – how can it when no one is allowed to use it.
68	Lloyd King	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I am at a loss to understand why this decision is being revised and how this will benefit local residents. My understanding is that Local Green Space has a special value to the local community. The only people who have ever benefitted from using this piece of land are people who were involved with Imperial College or Newlands House school. How can that be of value to the whole community? Why does the Council think this site is so special? I don't know of anyone who has accessed this site and it looks pretty rundown from what can be seen when walking past it although most of the land is obscured by damaged fencing, unmaintained hedges and a few unkempt trees. If this land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit it from it. The status should stay as it is – to enable something positive to happen in the future for all of us.
69	M J Blennerhasset	I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have "special qualities and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves" – as specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS and have merely been influenced by a handful of local residents who state they represent the local community but who failed to consult or engage with the community on any level. The believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.
70	Felicity Aitchison	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.
71	N C Hopper	<p>I am writing to let you know that I disagree with any adjustments you might make as part of your main modification relating to Udney Park Road. My main reason for this is that it clearly does not meet any of the Government's criteria for this status. It is not a place of beauty or tranquility; it is a private sports field that was owned and used exclusively by Imperial College London who have since moved on.</p> <p>The designation of Local Green Space for this ground will mean that hundreds of clubs could be denied some much needed facilities in favour of a keeping half a dozen people happy that the view from their homes won't change.</p> <p>Unfortunately, not everyone in your borough is aware of what the Local Plan is; nor about the input they can have to it. The handful of people who have shown any passion for the future of their borough are driven only by selfish needs, and nobody has questioned the fact that these are not a true and fair representation of the whole community.</p> <p>These people are the reason why, 2 years after a public hearing which did not uphold the Local Green Space designation, we find ourselves back to square one.</p> <p>It is a shameful waste of resources and I would like to see this resolved in the interest of your residents.</p>
72	Martin Mathew	<p>The designation of Local Green Space (Map proposal changes) will fail to support the needs of our community under Local Plan policies LP28; LP30 and LP31; making it unsound.</p> <p>Quantum's recommendations are more in line with what local people need – a safe place for elderly people to live, as well as the means for people to have access to sport and other community facilities including a much needed GP surgery.</p> <p>This land is private land and so is of no benefit to the general community. Most people in Teddington have never accessed the site so it does not fit in with the LGS criteria of being of value to the community. In addition, it looks rather empty and run down so I do not agree it has special qualities. With all these points above I do not agree it is sound to make this land Local Green Space.</p>
73	Daren Phelan	I write to object to the planning to remove the open space at Udney park. It should be used as a local school.
74	W Boots	The site makes very little contribution to the character of the area because the majority of the site is screened from view and all of it is inaccessible. Without public access to the site and without a visual

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		benefit through lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! For those few that are allowed onto the site, there are currently very limited recreational facilities, yet I know there is an unmet demand for outdoor recreational space in the Borough as identified in the Local Plan. I support the current planning application for the site and consider that a Local Green Space designation is both unjustified and detrimental to the chances of creative solutions being found for the site.
75	Alan Brocklehurst	I object to the potential removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status of the Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park is a central green space: it should remain so and be protected from plans to develop it.
76	Cllr Peter Buckwell	I wish to register my strong objection to Udney Park being developed and particularly since it has been granted Local Green Space Status. How dare a developer think that they can simply remove this at their whim. They have gone too far. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. LRUT is a borough with a large number of sports clubs of all sorts and we need to keep all of our sports fields. They should not be built over. Udney Park is a precious open space and its Local Green Space Status must be respected.
77	James Moirano	I agree and support the new development.
78	Carole Woodfield	I oppose the redesignation of LGS and support OOLTI
79	Julia Hennessey	I support Udney Park retaining it's OOLTI status and to allowing a new surgery here. We are blessed by many amazing spaces in our area but we desperately need a new surgery and actually priority for any of this land should go to new schools. Time to stop just pandering to the rich of Teddington and look after the next generation.
80	Caleb Cheuk Yin Kwong	I am a resident of [address details removed for data protection] , Teddington. I am also an employee at the St Mary's University. I consider Udney Park as Local Green Space for the following reasons: Udney Park is special to our community. Udney Park is a vital part of the local ecology network, home to 8 protected species. This is of significant value to the borough's ecology. It is very close to the heart of the community, Teddington town centre. The pitch is significant for the town's health and wellbeing. The town is expanding with many new builds. The population will increase and we must keep all the playing pitch that we can. At the moment the town we

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		are very short of playing pitches, we must not lose more. The pitch is sizable and can accommodate 2 cricket pitches and has been valued for its use for sports and recreation since 1919. As mentioned in the report, the policy has a positive sustainability impact. Therefore, please consider strongly to keep it as Local Green Space.
81	Hayley Leake	I support the current designation. The site is not demonstrably special nor should it have any other designation.
82	Lynn Main	I strongly feel this area should be kept as a local green space and not a Gp surgery. We need to keep our green spaces and playing fields for the health of the planet and our children.
83	Jennie Dettmer	As somebody who has walked past Udney Park Playing Fields every weekday for nearly 20 years, I would like to express my firm opinion that the Udney Park playing fields, <u>in their entirety</u> , should be designated Local Green Space. Depending on the time of day, the area is either a tranquil space, with quiet calm and nothing but the tweeting of birds, or lively, packed playing fields providing enjoyment, community and healthy exercise to so many. The Local Green Space MUST be protected for our generations to come.
84	David Haigh	I oppose the redesignation of Upney Park Road playing fields as Local Green Space.
85	Carey Neil	As a long-term patient of The Park Road Surgery, I write to support the overall proposals for Udney Park as benefit for the community, including a GP surgery. I thus oppose the re-designation of Udney Park as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI.
86	Anthony Stewart	I believe that Udney Park should continue with its designation as an OOLTI area. I further believe that the development should be in line with the government planning inspectors original report.
87	Cherry Haigh	I live in TW11 and I oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI.
88	Peter Cousins	I have become aware of the challenge to the current designation of Udney Park as a “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”. I have lived in the area since 1997, know the site and always considered it a pleasant open space but nothing more. I am therefore content with the current designation and would not support a change.
89	Claire Lyons	I support the designation of Udney Park, Teddington as “Local Green Space” (LGS). It is demonstrably special to my local community and in particular - It is an important part of my mental health: I lived in neighbouring Kingston Close, Teddington for over 10 years and walked to and from the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		station every day. I looked forward to my walk because of the trees and birds along the walk and because of the far reaching view across the playing fields. It was a great anti-dote to the stresses of the day. I loved to see the teams playing on a Saturday and was always proud that I lived in a Borough that had such facilities. I now live at the Broad Street end of Teddington and even now I prefer to walk or drive from Kingston Road to Teddington High Street along Cromwell Road rather than along the High Street because I can see the fields and take pleasure from the view. I often park my car on the edge of the park, especially when I am taking care of my mental health. It gives me an opportunity to breathe and take in the view during an otherwise busy day. Please don't take it away.
90	K A Maclean	NO , this area is not of significant local interest or beauty or wildlife , and should not have its OOLTI status altered under any circumstances .
91	Jo Byrne	I write to confirm that I thoroughly support the plan to develop the above site as an OOLTI, as I believe that this will be of great benefit to the local community, both now and in the future. I do not believe that the land and surrounding area merits allocation as an LGS as few have access to the area and it is not well maintained nor special (in terms of green space or wildlife habitat).
92	Ellen Purton	As a local resident who is an active volunteer in delivering youth sport in Twickenham (founder and still club secretary of Twickenham Sharks football club, executive committee member of Hearts of Teddlothian, I have spent a considerable part of my time over the past ten years looking for and booking space to play football. I can vouchsafe to you that green space in which to play the national sport is precious and hard to find in this area, especially with the demand for leisure sports growing. This growth is positive and to be encouraged, and we cannot do that if there is nowhere to play. In addition to Teddington Athletic, who are involved in this process, Hearts of Teddlothian is another local club without a home base. Like TAFC, Hearts offers top quality, inclusive football to hundreds of boys and girls in the western side of the Borough. I strongly support keeping Udney Park as a sports facility for the community. I know there are many other local sports that would also benefit from additional facilities. This is chance to improve what the space can offer, rather than lose it. Please preserve this space and ensure that it is put to good use for the community.
93	Sarah Veichmanis	The purpose of this letter is to <u>strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields</u> and outline why the playing fields are not only special to our community, but also essential to the well-being of our community:

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The playing fields have huge recreational value to our community. I have three young boys who are football mad and the lack of playing field space in our local community, coupled with the huge demands placed on the small amount of existing playing field space, results in limited access to sports playing fields in our community. This problem is compounded by the Developer sectioning off a large part of Udney Park Playing Fields in anticipation of part of their building project. The boys' football team (Hearts of Teddlothian) have been unable to access local playing fields and therefore every Tuesday night they travel for 20 minutes by car to the nearest available playing fields (in Whitton). Some local children are not able to make this journey and therefore are unable to participate in the football training. Space for home fixtures is also scarce. This is our personal example of how special and precious this land is to our community and how any reduction in this very special playing field space will damage the community.</p> <p>The historical significance of the playing fields also makes them special to our community. Lord Beaverbrook, who was a wartime cabinet minister instrumental in two World Wars, specifically gifting the playing fields to the community for amateur sport. The playing fields are a War Memorial, opened in 1922 by the WW1 Home Secretary, and are clearly special, worthy of the additional protection afforded by LGS from predatory developers.</p> <p>Finally, independent ecology reports have identified that the playing fields are home to eight protected species. This clearly demonstrates how special the playing fields are in terms of the richness of wildlife which has made Udney Park Playing Fields their home.</p> <p>One final point, I am very concerned about the local doctors' surgery e-mailing their 13,000 patients encouraging them not to support the designation of LGS, instead support it remaining as OOLTI, without a clear outline of the implications of this dilution of protection. The Park Road Surgery email doesn't even mention Quantum though pleads for opposition to LGS to support their joint Planning Application, surely a misuse of power in the doctor/patient relationship and personal patient data? What is more, local people are being given the view that patient healthcare in the Teddington community will be compromised unless there is support for the development on UPPF. This is untrue fear-mongering, and could result in a distorted picture of how the community feels about the loss of this special green space.</p>
94	Teresa Ford	I wish to add my voice to the demand to re install the status of Local Green Space to the above. It was outrageous to have it overturned and needs to be re-instated.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The obvious reasons for this are the real need for preservation of our few remaining local spaces and I believe that this land was donated originally for the benefit of the local people IN MEMORIAM - which must be honoured. Please register this, thank you.</p>
95	Ian Lupson	<p>1) I write to object to the potential removal of Udney Park Playing Fields' Local Green Space ("LGS") designation. 2) I understand this is being proposed by the Property Development Company which presently owns the Playing Fields. 3) I believe that Udney Park Playing Fields comprise a vital facility for local sports teams, and have done so - as I understand it - for over a hundred years. 4) As I type this in my study overlooking the Playing Fields I can see and hear various games in progress, as they have been since around 9 am (It's a Saturday morning). 5) That is just as it should be - and as it should remain. 6) Such is the demand for facilities there are even floodlit training sessions on winter evenings. 7) The lights are doused around 9pm, and good neighbourliness abounds. 8) Again, this is all just as it should be. 9) The Property Development Company which presently owns the Playing Fields seems to have fenced half the grounds off. 10) I don't know why they have done this, but I hope this is not going to prevent cricket being played in the summer. 11) There used to be quite a decent wicket. 12) In my view all of this space needs to be preserved for its intended purpose - ie, sport. 13) I regard Udney Park Playing Fields as a special place, originally a War Memorial I believe, and gifted to one of the London teaching hospitals (subsequently part of Imperial College) under covenant for amateur sport. 14) As well as its community and sporting use it is a haven for wildlife, part of London's " green lung " and a refuge - so I'm told - for numerous protected species of bat. 15) At this time of increasing realisation of the need to protect and support our ecological balance I am mystified frankly why anybody should be proposing replacing Local Green Space with however many tons of poured concrete !</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>16) I do not personally see the need for another luxury apartment development in Teddington in any event.</p> <p>17) A very large such development (200 + flats I gather) is already in the course of construction on a brownfield site (the old TV Studios) only three quarters of a mile or so from the Playing Fields.</p> <p>18) In short I believe any decision to remove Local Green Space designation from Udney Park Playing Fields would be misconceived</p>
96	Emily Button	<p>The purpose of this letter is to <u>strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</u></p> <p>The playing fields have huge recreational value to our community and there is already a lack of playing field space available to local kids and sports teams meaning many people have to travel out of teddington (if they can) to play sports.</p> <p>The playing fields also mark one of the very few remaining green spaces locally in teddington, building anything on them would be ludicrous.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the local doctors' surgery e-mailing their 13,000 patients encouraging them to support the removal of the LGS designation. This site is actually next to thameside medical practice- a very good surgery which is currently under subscribed so we certainly don't need another one here. Regardless of this fact, the use of the database for this purpose is, in my opinion, immoral.</p>
97	Pauline and Stephen Cox	<p>I am writing in support of retaining the current designation of Udney Park as OOLTI (Other Open Land of Townscape Importance).</p> <p>We have lived in the Teddington area for 49 years now and frequently pass the Udney Park playing fields. We have rarely and infrequently seen any use of the playing fields for sport by the university, or other users.</p> <p>The prospect of community use of this area for sport as well as a GP surgery and sheltered accommodation is a very welcome and helpful development. Our GP surgery has 13,000 patients and Teddington has an ageing population with very little in the way of sheltered accommodation. The development of Udney Park for these and other benefits would be very positive.</p> <p>We do not consider that Udney Park fits the definition of Local Green Space (LGS) as it is demonstrably NOT special to a local community as there has been no community use of it as a recreational space (note the high fences to maintain its present exclusivity). It is not significantly beautiful, nor of historic significance in an area which boasts Bushy Park and Hampton Court Palace and Park. It has no special</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		tranquillity or rich wildlife as is found close by in the Wilderness Woodland in Bushy Park and extensively along the River Thames. We therefore strongly support retaining its present designation as an OOLTI and its future development to the benefit of the local community.
98	Michael Mahoney	Hi, I support the Other Open Land and Townscape (OOLTI) view rather than the local green space designation.
99	John Stillman	Having considered the arguments as presented thus far, I am of the decided opinion that the land in question adjacent to Udney Park Road and the subject of possible development for community use is a legitimate development. The subject land's designation as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' has more relevance than does the designation of 'Local Green Space'. Local green space is not applicable here; but is more correctly applied to those spaces that give access to the general public and whose facility is of a general benefit and is of merit in an aesthetic consideration. Therefore I am firmly in favour of this space being classified as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'.
100	Maurice Parry-Wingfield	I cannot understand why the Planning Inspector should have removed LGS status from Richmond Council's draft Local Plan, and I formally object to the action. I understand the Park was given to the neighbourhood as a war memorial, subject to a covenant for use in amateur sport. I cannot believe there's any overwhelming need to over-ride the terms on which the gift was made. There's a shortage of playing fields in the area, and it has been well documented that participating in sport and walking in the fresh air are probably the best way of counteracting the increasing epidemic of unfitness and obesity, especially among the young. If there's a need for luxury apartments, the developers should go for brown-field or other sites that don't steal from a valuable local amenity. Udney Park is also an important green space, part of a corridor of local parks and riversides. As green land is cumulatively removed for building, wildlife struggles to survive and biodiversity is reduced. Harmony with nature is an important contributor to our wellbeing at a time when life in built-up areas becomes subject to increasing stress from noise, pollution and transport frustration.
101	Gerald Walker-Smith	This is to advise you that I oppose the redesignation of Udney Park as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI as I believe that the overall proposals for Udney Park, including the provision of a new GP Surgery, will be of significant benefit to the community.
102	Ann Cornick	I wish t object to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years giving space for many sports including rugby, cricket and football. It was given in perpetuity as a sports field. There is a severe shortfall in the area for these sports facilities.</p> <p>It is a war memorial given under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to protected species of bat and other wildlife.</p> <p>It is a central green space in an area which has become heavily developed especially since the creation of 300 flats on a brown field site nearby.</p> <p>It abuts on to roads which are heavily used by motor vehicles especially those leading to the High Street and Cromwell Road which is much used by school children attending Collis School and as such is important in balancing air quality.</p>
103	Emily Bussell	I oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI.
104	Peter Heighes	I support the current designation of Udney Park and think it should be designated in planning terms as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI).
105	Michael Harold and Gillian Hill	Regarding the designation of Udney Park as a Local Green Space, we support this judgment. The land was originally given to Imperial College to be used as a sports field, and to alter its use would be a betrayal of the donor's wishes. Its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance is, to the layman, quite inexplicable. We therefore object to the removal of the designation of Udney Park as Local Green Space. There are other sites local to the area which can be used for building a new surgery.
106	Clare Wardrop	I oppose the redesignation of Udney Park as LGS and I support the space being an OOLTI.
107	Rosamund Thelu	I would like to add my support to the current designation of Udney Park in planning terms as OOLTI. I have never actually used the field at Udney Park and certainly do not view it as a green space which is demonstrably special to Teddington and its community. However, I do have health needs for myself and my family and feel strongly that the provision of a more fit for purpose GP surgery and its 13,000 patients is a far more appropriate community use for this space. It would actually serve the whole community in a practical way and is a highly needed.
108	Olivia Shannon	<p>I am writing to register my support for Local Green Space status for the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>I have lived in Teddington for six years. As a woman in her thirties, I do not use the playing fields myself, but I often go running, and my route sometimes takes me past the playing fields.</p> <p>As an allergy sufferer, I enjoy the reduced air pollution when I run past the playing fields. I also enjoy the natural beauty and tranquility of the area, which is currently quiet and peaceful compared with busy roads</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>nearby (e.g. Twickenham Road). The Udney Park Playing Fields add character, peace and beauty to this part of Teddington.</p> <p>Quantum's proposed development would destroy that peace and tranquility and diminish much of the local character.</p> <p>If Quantum develops on that land, the area will become much busier. There will be significantly more air pollution from vehicles, as well as increased pedestrian traffic.</p> <p>I will no longer be able to go running on that route. Children from nearby Collis Primary School will be less safe walking home with the increased traffic. There will be less natural beauty and more noise, crowdedness and air pollution.</p> <p>In a very real way, Quantum's development will detract from the natural tranquility and beauty of the area. The playing fields therefore deserve LGS status even before considering the impact this development would have on amateur athletes, for whom the playing fields were originally dedicated.</p> <p>Playing fields are not just vital for athletes, but also for health and environment. It is important to preserve what green spaces we have, not only for the athletes, but also for the community.</p> <p>It is misleading to argue that Quantum's development would still 'preserve' the playing fields because it is reducing the fields only by half. A fifty percent reduction in green space is enormous, especially when you consider the added traffic and air pollution to this area.</p> <p>The playing fields were gifted to the public for amateur sport in perpetuity. Developing on a vast swathe of this green space does not honour the original intent of that gift. It will also diminish the local character.</p> <p>Please do not relegate the Udney Park Playing Fields to cordoned-off squares crowded in by luxury flats, businesses and restaurants.</p> <p>Please do not destroy my running route and increase the traffic and air pollution in this fairly leafy and quiet part of Teddington.</p> <p>Please grant the Udney Park Playing Fields Local Green Space Status.</p>
109	Liz Heaton	<p>I write to oppose the designation of the Udney Park Playing fields as a Local Green Space, and support the retention of it's current designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance.</p> <p>The reason I support the retention is that whilst I see the value to Teddington of having some green spaces in addition to the numerous parks and riverside areas, Udney Park Playing fields does not, in my opinion as a VERY local resident, meet the criteria of being 'demonstrably special to a local community and holds a</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife'.</p> <p>I have lived within 50 metres of the playing fields for 25 years and they have never been available to the local community, only to members of private institutions, and therefore cannot possibly be described as being demonstrably special to a local community. The only local significance they have for me is as a cause of great irritation that they are inaccessible</p>
110	Wendy Dorgan	<p>I would like to register my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for the playing fields in Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I have lived in Teddington since 1994, and my 4 children have all taken part in various sports activities. My older children, who are now grown up, were not able to make much use of the Udney Park sports fields as public use was much more restricted then. The sports clubs they belonged to regularly struggled to find local sports fields to use. We often had to drive quite a distance to weekly training. My youngest son has benefitted from having activities in Udney Park within walking distance, and I would like to see this continue and extend to more opportunities. There are still several Teddington-based sports clubs which do not have a permanent home in Teddington.</p> <p>It is a wonderful park in which to relax. When my son was attending his training sessions, the park was big enough to find a quiet spot to have a moment to myself and enjoy nature, a bit of respite from my busy life. The park is a vital part of the the local ecology network.</p> <p>Udney Park was given to the community for the express purpose of fostering amateur sport. As a swimming teacher at Teddington Pool since 1996, I am part of the network of sports coaches with a concern for the health and wellbeing of our children. I see children every week who are unfit, lack co-ordination skills, have poor social skills, etc, all due to a more sedentary, isolated lifestyle. Removing LGS status from the playing fields, thereby reducing access to sport and time spent outdoors, can only have a detrimental effect on our community's children, in my view.</p> <p>Teddington was a very different place when we moved here in 1994. It was a much more quiet village where it was easy to get access to public services. In the last 10-15 years every available space has been built on, the population has grown enormously, and now it is much more difficult to find parking, get a doctor's appointment, get a school place, etc. With 300 apartments being built on Teddington Riverside, I fail to understand why Teddington needs the Quantum scheme. One could say that as a result of the new flats being built at this moment, the need for public outdoor space is even greater.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I look forward to Local Green Space status being reinstated for Udney Park playing fields.
111	Katie Hammond	I support the petition to make udney park: Local Green Space is " demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife."
112	Richard Beasley	I wish to oppose the designation of Udney Park, Teddington as a Local Green Space (LGS), and wish it to be kept as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI). This is in line with the government planning inspector's original report. It is not special to the local community as a whole. We have lived here over 40 years and, as a family keen on sport and nature, we have never had any opportunity to use it or benefit from it. The Quantum development seems of great benefit all round.
113	Carmen Fraser	I wanted to submit my view to council consultation that Udney Park should remain it's current 'Other Open Landon Townscape Importance' in planning terms.
114	Honor & Alan Brown	I support the OOLTI Proposal strongly. The surgery is in urgent need of extra space to be able to work efficiently and satisfy the demands of its many patients.
115	Iain Sutherland	Udney Park, Teddington, should be designated at Local Green Space to preserve its use as playing fields.
116	John and Moya Meredith Smith	WE both support the use of Udney Park Playing fields as in the current designation OOLTI. It would seem that mixed and open access use of this area will benefit the local community of Teddington in a most practical way with a new Health Centre. Also the provision of retirement homes for the elderly, in warden supplied independent living.
117	Annie Walder	I object to the removal of local green space Status for Udney Park for several reasons. There are 8 protected species of Bats known to inhabit the area as well as a general richness of other wild life in this space. The existence of this green space is of historical significance and dates back over one hundred years. The green space was bequeathed in perpetuity as a Sports facility and therefore should remain as thus.
118a	Rachel Blackman	I'd like to make my strong objections known about the plan to build on Undney Park Playing Fields. I received an email from my GP surgery encouraging me to support Quantum's plans. Please include my objections to any building on that land. It is important green space which should be protected from development. There are other, brown field sites more suitable for a GP surgery.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Please do not allow Quantum to buy their way past the planning laws and covenants designed to protect and preserve.
118b	Rachel Blackman	<p>I'd like to register my objection to the removal of LGS status of Udney Park Playing Fields. I support the council and planning department's decision to protect the land from development. It is home to a number of protected species of wildlife. It should be used, in its entirety for amateur sport, as intended when it was given to the community as a war memorial playing field and pavilion.</p> <p>There are other, brownfield sites more suited to the plan for a new doctors surgery AND several hundred flats have already been built on the former Teddington Studios site. More luxury flats are not needed so near.</p> <p>I strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
119	Margaret Beasley	I support the OOLTI on this land
120	Juliet Hartridge	I object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection of Udney Park
121	Andrew Law	I am writing to oppose the redesignation of Udney Park as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI as I feel that the land is of no particular local significance
122	Michell Redmond	<p>I write to oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI.</p> <p>The need for improved community services in my opinion would support the loss of this green space in favour of better provided services, particularly healthcare needs, to meet the growing population of the area.</p>
123	Mike Kelly	As a local resident I oppose the proposal to modify teh playing fields to one of Local Green Space designation as I don't believe is adequately covers the elements to merit its inclusion and view the designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) as more relevant and benefiting to the broader community
124	Carol Stokes	I support the playing fields remaining as OOLTI for planning purposes.
125	Jennifer Todd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I would like to lodge my objections to removal of LGS at Udney Park playing fields <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. We need to make outdoor space available and easily accessible for children and young people to counteract the rapidly growing problem of obesity. Udney Park is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
126	Simon Tompsett on behalf of Richmond and Twickenham Friends of the Earth	<p>I am writing on behalf of Richmond and Twickenham Friends of the Earth to request that Udney Park retains in LGS status. This is important because of its role as home to 8 protected species of bat. It is critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments and we believe that any development on this site will endanger this.</p> <p>We also understand that Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. This must be respected and the shrinking of the playing fields associated with the proposed development would undermine the covenant.</p>
127	Carol Horne	<p>I am horrified to learn that you are considering allowing developers to build on the green space at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>With London experiencing such high levels of pollution, (https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/mar/17/air-pollution-london-low-emission-zone-deadly-toxic-fumes) every inch of green space is precious and should be protected. Pollution related illnesses are going to cost the NHS and the council money in the future. It is madness to destroy green spaces. My children go to Collis school very near this beautiful site and they are avid wild life spotters. There will be fewer birds, bees, ladybirds and butterflies in our neighbourhood if this area is developed and the air quality will be worse for all of us. One of the reasons our family moved from zone two to the borough of Richmond was for the green spaces. If this area is built upon there will be more cars, more people, yet more buildings and the tranquillity of the neighbourhood will be ruined. You must see that once a green space is built upon it is gone forever – buildings are never demolished to make way for nature so this decision is irreversible and would destroy something so valuable to us all.</p> <p>Not only does pollution damage our health but obesity does too. Local children need places to play sport. Healthier people means massive savings to the council and the NHS in the longer term. Think of the impact on the population on health when school sports grounds were sold off under Thatcher. (https://www.telegraph.co.uk/sport/othersports/schoolsports/11092344/Is-it-game-over-for-school-playing-fields.html) This a short term financial gain with with long term repercussions on our health (both human term and financial terms). Kids need places of recreation and time playing outdoors which has all kinds of benefits for mental and physical health. (<a 841="" 860"="" 890="" 916="" data-label="Page-Footer" href="https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2016/mar/25/three-</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div> <div data-bbox=">72</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>quarters-of-uk-children-spend-less-time-outdoors-than-prison-inmates-survey). To deliberately remove a venue for this vital outdoor play is madness.</p> <p>Finally, the historical significance of the playing fields also makes them worthy of your protection. Lord Beaverbrook, who was a wartime cabinet minister, specifically gifting the playing fields to the community for amateur sport. The playing fields are a War Memorial, opened in 1922 by the WW1 Home Secretary and deserve to be looked after for the next generation.</p> <p>Please do the right thing and keep the playing fields as a green tranquil space where children can enjoy sports. Thank you for listening.</p>
128	P Reid	I can't even believe Richmond Council are considering selling more of our green areas to greedy developers. Please don't. Please make the people you work for in Richmond, proud.
129	Mr D P and Mrs E A England	I wish to register my opposition to the LGS and to agree to OOLTI This to agree to whatever is involved in the building of a new Doctors Surgery to be transferred from its existing site in Park Road Teddington.
130a	Aileen Jordan	I am writing to confirm that I consider the overall proposals for Udney Park are a benefit and oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation at OOLTI. Both my children play football at Teddington Athletic there and I fear that the change to LGS means it will not be possible for clubs like Teddington Athletic to make use of this site.
130b	Aileen Jordan	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
131a	Philip Mundy	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status at Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>I consider that Udney Park is a special place to the community, as it provides a recreational value as a playing field which it has been for over 100 years since it was donated to the community to be used exclusively for sporting purposes. The area has a growing population and needs more open space. Removing the LGS status would lead to less space available. The Udney Park site is also a War Memorial so is unique and should not be lost</p>
131b	Philip Mundy	<p>I think you should be aware of some tactics being used by supporters of the developers Quantum to try and distort / hijack this consultation.</p> <p>If you google "save Udney Park" the top listing is a paid for google advert for a website named Teddingtonactnow.com. This website is linked to Teddington CIC and Park Road Surgery. The website produces automated letters opposing the LGS to help the developer, and could easily be abused as you have no trace of authenticity. The wording on the website is clearly aimed to mislead people who do not want to see this site developed.</p> <p>I believe that the council should reject all automated letters and only accept representations that are truly submitted by traceable consultees.</p>
132	Peter and Elizabeth Henderson	<p>Please include this with any other objections to the loss of this valuable community asset when the new public consultation on the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from the playing fields etc at Udney Park is considered.</p> <p>Having only recently having been granted LGS status it would seem perverse to now remove it to provide short term benefit to a property developer who made an opportunistic investment at the expense of current and future members of the community at large.</p> <p>It is my understanding that the land was originally granted by the Beaverbrook family to ensure it provided open space and playing fields. Since then it has benefitted not just the borough but a wider sporting group. To consider removing this green lung at this time when the need is even greater than it was seems extraordinary.</p> <p>School playing fields are being built upon, providing additional classrooms to meet the needs of the borough's increasing population, particularly the growing number of school age children. If for only this reason retaining scarce land for shared sports facilities is even more important.</p> <p>Over the years the land was not just a barren green space, but has also provided an area of mixed vegetation including mature trees which has been, and has the potential to be significantly more attractive,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>whilst being a rich habitat for wildlife. The chance for greater availability to a wider group of users and the provision of an even greater diversity of leisure uses is not to be ignored or destroyed.</p> <p>I believe Udney Park in its entirety deserves to retain its status as a LGS not just because it is a potentially an irreplaceable sporting facility, but because it also has the potential to provide even greater benefit to all.</p>
133	Elizabeth McClean	<p>I strongly object to the potential removal of LGS status at Udney Park Playing Fields on a number of counts.</p> <p>* Firstly Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) was donated under covenant as a War Memorial 100 years ago for amateur sport and should not be considered as a suitable site for property development, especially the luxury development proposed by Quantum. Nearby schools will be affected adversely by increased levels of pollution from resulting traffic congestion.</p> <p>* Secondly UPPF is one of only a few playing fields in the local area dedicated to amateur sports. It is vital for the healthy development of our young people that they continue to have access to local playing fields. The location of UPPF is central to the Teddington Ward. There are at least 3 Primary Schools and 2 Secondary Schools which are nearby and which could access these fields as well as a number of local sports clubs who currently have no permanent home.</p> <p>* Thirdly there are national concerns about children and young people being overweight because of lack of opportunities to take part in sporting activities, more passive pursuits (screen time) being more readily available.</p> <p>* Fourthly the open and protected UPPF has enabled several species of bats to thrive in the locality which is part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p>
134	Grant Irwin	<p>I object to the removal of the LGS status at Udney Park Playing Fields. This is a small Green space which is lacking in Teddington and provides the community with a local area for use by families and clubs. In addition the area provides a home to much wildlife and is a war memorial. Furthermore, with the development of 300 flats within 300m there is no need for residential development on this area. Should you require further details please don't hesitate to contact me by email.</p>
135	Jon Parker	<p>I'm writing to strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space designation from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>They provide invaluable green space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in terms of natural habitat. Living opposite the fields our garden is are often visited by bats which live in the fields. Developing the fields would represent a major risk to their prospects

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>- in terms of amenity and recreational value. My children currently have to travel by car for their sports given the lack of playing fields nearby. Quantum have made a big deal of how they have opened the fields to sports clubs but there is currently substantial spare playing field space that is being underutilised as they have fenced it off. Additionally, their proposals would not leave enough space for cricket to be played there in the summer.</p> <p>- in terms of ensuring there isn't excessive building density with the associated traffic which would bring with it air quality concerns and increased risk to the high number of pedestrians (including many children) using the narrow roads which are difficult to cross safely.</p> <p>I trust these factors will be taken into account and sincerely hope that a sensible, sustainable approach is taken and local green status is retained.</p>
136	Stuart Bourne	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection of Udney Park. I love community sport, we desperately need all of Udney Park as the Borough is short of pitches. I love green space, we need Udney Park as it is home to many protected species and an important part of the local ecology "connected" network. Please protect this Local Green Space as it is an essential asset to the local community of Teddington.</p>
137	Roy S Conway-Smith	<p>I OBJECT to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. . Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. . Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. . Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown field sites.
138	Geraldine and Dave Hobday	<p>We object to the removal of LGS designation from Udney park playing fields. We live opposite the fields and see how much the whole playing field area is used currently by many local sports clubs. The full space being retained and managed appropriately is vital for the community as it would allow many more clubs and even schools to use the fields. Any development would leave only a small amount of useable pitch space for clubs.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>All local junior football and rugby clubs are booming with a growing number of children in the area. We need to protect all the playing fields we have in the Teddington area to guarantee the offer of sport for all for our children. The growing obesity issue in the UK supports further the need to get our children playing sport and as such the protection of the field is critical for the community.</p> <p>There is no need for more luxury flats in the area, with the current Teddington Riverside development overpriced and significantly unsold.</p> <p>Every year we enjoy seeing the early evening display of bats flying in this area from mid-May. The bats roost in houses bordering the field and within the field itself. These rare and endangered species must be protected, and this green space needs to be retained for them.</p> <p>It seems incredible we even have to put forward reasons to protect the Udney Park playing fields when a covenant was put in place to protect this valuable green space from just this sort of challenge.</p>
139	Helen Pryce	<p>I'm writing in relation the challenge to the current designation of Udney Park as a "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p> <p>I do not support a change in the designation. I have been an active team sports player for 50 years, so am naturally sensitive to the potential loss of playing fields and green space. However, Udney Park is not extensively used and as such cannot be said to be 'special'. We are blessed in this area with a number of active sports clubs who all have community schemes, keen to attract youngsters and all ages into team sports. We also have the truly 'special' green space of Bushey Park 10 minutes walk away.</p> <p>The proposed development includes a multi use games area, which, by its nature (all weather/multi use, maybe lighting) is more likely to be used by a wider section of the community. Logically so would the proposed green space in the plans - the medical centre would naturally bring people into the space.</p> <p>I am therefore content with the current designation and would not support a change.</p>
140	Morag Turton	<p>I would like to object to the removal of the Green Space status on the Udney Park playing fields for a number of reasons.</p> <p>Primarily and most importantly because the land was gifted with the covenant that it was used as a space for sport. To develop on the land would take this away and contravene the requests of the original owner and his wishes. A covenant should be as good as law.</p> <p>The area is short of playing fields and as local clubs are becoming more popular more pitches are required to stop clubs from having to turn adults and children away from playing sport.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I also understand that there is a lot of wildlife, particularly bats which reside on the fields and would no doubt disappear with development. This is an important community space and greedy developers are wanting this status removed in order to provide an unwanted and unnecessary development. Please don't let this happen.
141	David Harris	As a patient with the Park Road Surgery, I support Udney Park remaining as an OOLTI
142	Rob Sanders	I support the current designation as OOLTI and oppose the proposed redesignation to "Local Green Space"
143	Emma Gilthorpe	I am writing in connection with your consultation regarding Udney Park and whether it should be designated in planning terms as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I consider the overall proposals for the development of Udney Park and the introduction of a new GP surgery for our 13,000 patients to bring material benefits to the local area and surrounding community and so I oppose the redesignation of Udney Park as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI enabling the development to go ahead
144	Patricia Allan	I support the retention of the current designation as OOLTI of Undey Park and oppose any change
145	June Harrison	I am registering my support for this space to come under " Other Open land of Townscape Importance". In every report that I read about this area suggests that this space is open to the community. It certainly isn't and is only used by schools and sports clubs. No one else is allowed into the area and is therefore empty much of the time. There are no trees or landscaping which would benefit the area considerably so the only wildlife one might see would be the odd fox!
146	Hélène Blackwell	I am writing to object to the proposed removal of LGS status of the Udney Park Playing Fields. As a close neighbour of the area, I am aware how much this green space is used for football practice by boys and girls, and as a rugby pitch for local teams. At weekends and in the evenings there are many young people out there playing sport. It is a huge asset for our local community at a time when the Government is keen to promote exercise and a healthy lifestyle to our schools. Udney Park was originally given as a war memorial for Teddington, and never intended to be used for expensive luxury apartments - of which we have an abundance in the area. Another consideration is that the Park is home to a large variety of bats and bird life, and a "staging post" for geese, ducks and gulls. If we lose our LGS designation then we have no defence against property developers

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
147	John Blackwell	<p>As Chairman of Fullerton Court Ltd. I am writing on behalf of the 38 Owners of Apartments at Fullerton Court, to express our views regarding the essential retention of the designation of Udney Park Playing Fields as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>The Playing Fields are both visually and mentally demonstrably special to the local Community, and have undisputed Historical, Sporting and Environmental significance.</p> <p>The Playing Fields offer the only significant Open Green Space, suitable for Community Sport and Recreation in the centre of a well populated area and are of essential Community Value.</p> <p>The historical sporting significance of the Playing Fields is well documented, but we think it is well worth mentioning that, prior to the recent acquisition by a development company, we were able to witness a wide range of summer and winter sporting activities of varying abilities, undertaken by groups of preschool children, through the ages to appropriate mature group competitive sports.</p> <p>We are assured that the Playing Fields could well, at minimum cost, be incorporated into the Council's Programme of Friendly Parks, incorporating pathways for wheelchair and walking members of the local community.</p> <p>The Playing Fields are surrounded by a well-established, visually attractive, hedgerows with mature trees, again with well documented benefits to and for wildlife.</p> <p>We urge you to retain the Playing Fields as a Local Green Space, for the benefit of future generations.</p>
148	Lesley Ralph	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>This land was donated to the community to be used exclusively for sporting purposes, and I do not agree to a change of use.</p> <p>We all need recreational activity space, and need to protect our Local Green Space from the slow and steady encroachment of business and the replacement of the playing fields with buildings and carparks.</p> <p>Udney Park is important to Teddington and has provided recreational facilities for more than a century and should continue to do so. Once lost this space cannot be reclaimed.</p>
149	Judith Rutherford	<p>As part of the public consultation on the status of Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) I wish to lodge my objection to the Inspector's ruling that Local Green Space designation was not adequately justified. My reasons for supporting the LGS status are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UPPF is one of the rare number of playing fields within Teddington and it has been so for over a century; - It was donated under covenant for amateur sport as part of the memorial to the Great War which we have just commemorated and this should continue to be respected;

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There have been around 300 new luxury flats created within half a mile of UPPF on the riverside and there is therefore all the more reason for UPPF to be retained as an important green space in the centre of Teddington. We certainly do not need, nor can the area sustain, further intensive development for luxury flats especially on such special green land. - UPPF is a special place for the local community, with community use - it is also home to a rich variety of wildlife, including rare bats. Please note my support for LGS status
150	Bernard Cressy	<p>I wish to object to the potential removal of Local Green Space from Udney Park Playing fields, for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The playing fields are well used despite an area being cordoned off and therefore unusable. This has reduced the number of pitches available. 2) Local children need somewhere to go and activities to follow. The grounds were donated under covenant for amateur sports purposes and have been playing fields for over 100 years. 3) There is a severe lack of sporting amenities in the area and we cannot afford to lose more green space. Children in the surrounding areas need somewhere to go where there is organised activity for them. It is much better than roaming the streets at night, looking for trouble. Thankfully the murder epidemic hasn't reached our neighbourhood so far and giving children a purpose and an opportunity to participate in sport is vital for their future. 4) Udney Park is a central green space which must be protected from development. All the time we hear about the need to protect our environment and a development on this area would be very wrong. There are already other developments under way in Teddington so there should be no need to deprive the community of this precious resource. 5) We need to stand up for children who are our future and have very little voice of their own. Udney Park playing fields should remain a Local Green Space.
151	Katherine Peachey	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) designation from Udney Park Playing Fields in the Local Plan because these playing fields are of a special significance to my local community which is not only longstanding but also necessary for the future health and wellbeing of our community's children. LGS designation is needed to protect our precious local environment and the wildlife it supports.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing fields are at the heart of our local community. I pass them on average twice a day, walking to the shops or exercising. The grass side walk on Udney Park Road is a welcome contrast with the concrete paving of usual suburban streets; from here the beautiful view over the park to the boundary trees</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>is relaxing and much calmer than the congested, polluted and noisy streets nearby. I pass people walking around the park on their way to school, the High street and the Train station.</p> <p>As a society, we are increasingly aware of the positive impact of exposure to nature on our mental and physical wellbeing. Udney Park needs LGS designation to protect the positive contribution it makes to the health of local people. It should not be necessary to drive somewhere to be exposed to the beauty of the natural world, particularly for those who are less mobile, can no longer drive themselves or want to avoid the pollution associated with driving. The recent addition of high ugly railings to subdivide the wonderful openness of Udney Park illustrates how necessary it is to further protect the playing fields with Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>Sometimes Udney Park is filled with the sound of happy young children playing football whilst parents relax nearby. Energetic teenagers practice rugby and football. Sadly, the clack of cricket ball on bat is no longer heard under the new ownership. Whilst it was owned by Imperial College, Udney Park was more widely used in the daytime by a local school and children from neighbouring properties. The England Rugby 7s also trained there regularly as well as Imperial College students. The fields had a reputation for being the best drained fields in the area and could be used for sport when other fields in the area were water logged. I have enjoyed watching sport played there through the years and have attended many community events and cricket teas in the lovely old Pavilion.</p> <p>As a society, we are becoming increasingly aware of the positive effect of physical activity on our health. The provision of spaces which allow exercise within a natural environment is very important to both children and adults. Many schools sold their playing fields to fund education, only to find that obesity levels and associated health risks have risen dramatically. It is only common sense that we protect those playing fields that we still have in our local communities. Udney Park needs to be protected as Local Green Space so that it can continue to promote the exercise of local people, especially children, in a natural environment.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a local historical landmark for decades and was previously known as Old Merchant Taylor's War Memorial Sports Ground in memory of the fallen in World War 1. The grounds were bequeathed for use as sports fields. To maintain the wishes of both locals and their benefactor these playing fields need protection by the designation of Local Green Space.</p> <p>As well as serving the sporting needs of the community, Udney Park also provides an invaluable haven for wildlife and a connecting green space between other important wildlife areas. The more obvious signs of this are the different individual and flocks of birds which rest on the fields. Forward thinking predecessors</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>protected the natural world with conservation areas such as the Barnes Wetland Centre but these areas cannot exist in isolation. Animals and birds must migrate through our suburban landscape and it is our responsibility to protect the connecting green spaces we have.</p> <p>I have been lucky enough to hear a couple of owl calls at night recently which I haven't heard anywhere else in Teddington. I'm sure you are aware that Udney Park supports 8 species of bat. One swooped through my nearby garden a couple of times, too quick to see properly! Udney Park Playing fields need the protection of Green Space designation so that the insect population on which the bats and birds feed is not disrupted. We must protect our remaining green spaces and wildlife if we are to ask other countries to maintain their biodiversity and protect the much greater green spaces which produce most of the oxygen our species needs to survive. In many other countries, the decision to conserve can cause severe economic hardship on the people that live there. How can we ask them to make those decisions unless we protect our own green spaces from development for profit?</p> <p>I watched with horror as tree after tree was felled on Udney Park shortly before it was put up for sale. I rang the council to ask why so many beautiful trees were being destroyed but they didn't know. Decades of tree growth and interconnected transport systems, our own local oxygen producers, pollution absorbers and wildlife habitats were gone within two days. Udney Park should have LGS protection so that the council can be aware of and avert planned future actions which will adversely affect this environment for both people and wildlife. Brownfield sites lay undeveloped; development profit cannot be an excuse for permanently altering the character of our beautiful local green space.</p> <p>Part of the original site has already been developed into expensive flats for the elderly. This action does not protect the rest of the green space from development. If LGS designation is removed, I believe that over time excuses will continue to be made to strip this site of grass, plants and trees in favour of buildings, artificial surfaces and cars. This site is a place where local sporting and environmental needs exist in harmony; without LGS protection, the character of Udney Park Playing Fields will be changed irreversibly; they will be formerly known as park playing fields.</p> <p>I contributed to the public consultation of the borough's local plan. The plan was divided into local areas and so I could select my local area, which included Udney Park Playing Fields, for comment. I am hoping that this further consultation applies due weight to the wishes of those who are local to Udney Park Playing Fields over those from other localities. It surprised me to hear about the new consultation from Park Road Doctors' surgery rather than from the Council itself, particularly since Park Road Practice's email to patients</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>asked them to support Udney Park remaining as OOLTI. NHS Richmond's Park Road Surgery has over 13,000 patients to influence. If all these prompted patient views are to be taken into consideration rather than the views of people living in the Udney Park Locale, then the terms Local Plan and Local Community become misleading.</p> <p>Given the opportunity, our Local Community has the strong will and the means to maintain Udney Park Playing fields as grass playing fields, a beautiful, tranquil and natural environment for local people, the wider community and future generations, whilst simultaneously supporting our precious environment and its wildlife. Udney Park and its pavilion has the potential to reunite our community in a positive way. Please do not remove the Local Green Space designation on Udney Park Playing Fields from our Local Plan.</p>
152a	Catherine Denye	<p>I write to confirm my support of the current designation of the Land at Udney Park as OOLTI and DO NOT support a change to LGS, as this seems to pander to a a few local NIMBYs who do not wish their views to be disrupted, rather than allowing the site to massively improve the local area.</p> <p>It is not currently a public open space for locals or anyone to enjoy and the site would be far better used providing a new GP surgery and somewhere safe for the local Riding for Disabled programme at Park Lane Stables to happen, along with all the other amenities a new development would provide.</p>
152b	Catherine Denye	<p>I realise my email below [see comment (a) above] did not confirm that I do not believe that the site has any historical importance, despite living in the area for more than 10 years I have never been on the site as it is not accessible to the public given it a private site. In my opinion it is in no way "demonstrably special", it simply seems to be a haven for the local pests ... i.e. foxes judging from the number I have seen running in and out of the fields.</p> <p>It is in no way beautiful with its tumbledown/broken featherboard fences either.</p>
152c	Catherine Denye	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquillity or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
152d	Catherine Denye	<p>As a supported of Park Lane Stables, I wish to highlight this under recognised community asset which assists the quality of life for children with disabilities. Access to Udney Park would further enhance the stables ability for the local area. In its current state, Udney Park land offers neither access, utility or even visual enjoyment.</p> <p>I believe one of the key arguments to give the land the LGS status is because of its recreational value. I struggle to see the recreational merit that it brings currently, considering it is not very inclusive for all ages and abilities with a simple rudimentary field . With improvement, access and development it could a much more special place for the community to enjoy.</p>
153	Paul Ashworth	<p>My wife and I live at [Teddington address details removed for data protection]. We have lived in the area for 35 years. We are writing to ask you to retain Udney Park as a designated "Local Green Space". This land lies at the heart of Teddington and is special. It is beautiful and is useful to the local community. The fields have enormous recreational value and represent a tranquil area with a rich wildlife. We do not think you should remove Local Green Space designation because Udney Park:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Is a core central green space in Teddington which must be protected 2) Was donated under covenant for amateur sport and thus should morally and legally remain so. 3) Has been used as playing fields and other recreation for 100 years 4) Is an important asset for the community because there is a severe shortfall of playing fields. Once gone it is lost forever. What right has our generation to take the decision to remove this asset. 5) It has a lot of wildlife and we understand it is home to 8 protected species of bat. It is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. 6) Is a war memorial, 7) The proposed development of luxury apartments is not needed. There are already 300 constructed on the old film studios site. This is a good example of destruction of the Teddington environment by over-development. Witness the 5/6 storey "houses" less than 10 metres from the narrow Broom Road as just one example. <p>We implore you to consider the long-term health and wellbeing of Teddington and its residents. In 100 years', time this green space will still be cherished by residents if you retain its status. Please do not remove</p>
154	Rob Rainey	<p>I am a resident of Teddington/ Cromwell Road. I am also a coach for the local club Hearts of Teddlothian. Our over-subscribed and fast growing club has to travel extensively to find pitch space as we</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>are not endowed like other clubs with a permanent home. Some of the pitches we use are council run, others are private eg St Marys. At the same time there is a significant space at Udney Park Road a major part of which could be lost permanently through the threat of development by Quantum. This will put increased pressure on TW11s remaining pitch spaces, and increase the Club's carbon footprint yet further as the teams have to travel further for training/ matches – currently our children have to train at Twickenham academy, during rush hour.</p> <p>I am also a patient at Park Road surgery, who as far as I can see do not need to build over part of the remaining green space in the community in order to develop their surgery which would be far from their current catchment area, next to another NHS clinic (Thameside), especially when there is an (empty?) former police station just across the road from their current site.</p>
155	A Hockey	<p>I strongly oppose the criteria for Local Green space in the Local Plan and the impact this will have on the site at Udney Park (Former ICL private grounds).</p> <p>The grounds are currently just as a field, which serves no benefit to the local community. The current boundary is made up of unattractive, dilapidated fencing with untidy hedges around the perimeter, so I do not understand why this space justifies Local Green Space designation. As a resident and member of the local community I feel strongly that designating these grounds Local Green space will hinder the community from benefitting from this great opportunity and for what purpose? How will the local community benefit if these grounds are designated as Local Green Space as it will only restrict the amount of people able to use it which has always been the problem in the past?!</p> <p>I believe there are a few local people who wish to keep the area as it is for their own benefit and they should not be allowed to represent the views of our local community as they are in the minority. As space becomes a premium, we need to maximise the use of under-utilised land and grasp this opportunity to deliver real social benefit by securing the future of the site – changing it from a private enclosure for the privileged few to a real community asset!</p> <p>I believe Quantum's plans are sound and will support the community in a variety of ways, by providing sports facilities, a community space, a much-needed GP surgery and extra care housing for older people.</p>
156	Susannah Clark	<p>I think the plans to build extra care housing for older people is a wonderful idea. This will free up family housing in the area and enable older people to be cared for in their own homes, reducing the burden on the NHS and their families. This is in line with Policy LP35 which recognises the need to provide opportunities</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		for people to downsize and Policy LP17 – where there is an identified need for extra care housing in the Borough. If the LGS status is granted, this wonderful opportunity will be lost to the local community.
157	Name unclear	<p>I am writing regarding the Local Plan and the new consultation on Udney Park Playing Fields. Firstly, I would like to state that I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to maintain the site as OOLTI. As a local resident, I am very familiar with this site and it only seems to be used sporadically and when Imperial College owned the site it was expensive for small local clubs to hire.</p> <p>The clubhouse is very rundown, there is solid fencing bordering the site with scrappy hedges around the perimeter, so I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as "beautiful", there is limited recreational value in its current state and the only reason it is tranquil is because no one can access it.</p> <p>Therefore I support the current land designation of OOLI.</p>
158	Mark Grun	<p>I do not agree with the changes to the Local Plan policy to change the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space from OOLTI. My main reason for this is that it will likely result in making it harder for the public to access this site. The land is private land surrounded by roads on 3 sides in a busy suburban area and as public access is not allowed, it cannot hold particular significance to the local community. I understand that a Local Green Space designation needs to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted or given the opportunity to express my views.</p> <p>By retaining its current status, it will enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.</p>
159	Lisa Marklew	<p>Please take this letter as a sign of my support in the Planning inspector's original decision maintain the sports ground at Udney Park as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance.</p> <p>I believe any alteration to the planning policy could restrict and limit the ability to open these grounds up to the public for community sport.</p>
160	Emma and Rob Chan-Paxton	<p>Please be advised that we fully support the udney park gardens and proposed OOLTI development. Thus will give our local community the opportunity to enhance the area and especially give the opportunity to our wonderful Park Road Surgery to have new larger premises.</p>
161	Nicky Browne and Richard Barry	<p>Nicky Browne & Richard Barry local to the Borough, are supporting the development of Udney Park into an <i>OTHER OPEN LAND of TOWNSCAPE IMPORTANCE OOLTI</i>.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park was never a freely usable local space in the same way that is the nearby Bushy Park. It is of general importance to the Borough's residents to use what was never an open space to provide a crucial new GP's Surgery. This would not change the character of the area in the way that, say, making this into general building land would do. The new amenity would be of enormous benefit to the health and welfare of local residents and the Borough in general.</p>
162	Wy In Homow	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. Tying up this underutilized plot of land in unnecessary red tape has the real possibility of it never achieving its full potential. At the moment there is a planning application on the site that offers some amazing benefits to the community. Designating this land as Local Green Space could deny this very average plot a useful future e.g. the provision of community, sporting, healthcare, affordable housing and recreational facilities, thus failing to support the needs of the community under proposed Local Plan policies, or acknowledging sustainable development, rendering the plan unsound. How can this land be of "value to the local community" when it is private, and the public are inhibited from using it? I was never consulted on the original application to make it LGS and if I had I would have made it clear I was in opposition.</p>
163	P M Turner	<p>I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udney Park Road (Formerly ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS application must be required from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how this land can be described as having "special qualities" or holds "particular significance" as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very few people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.</p>
164a	Ashu Pruthi	<p>I understand that the designation of the private ground of Udney Park is being re-consulted on due to an appeal on the process of determination. In my opinion the original designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) is still relevant to Udney Park and agree with the inspector who determined that it should remain OOLTI when he finalized the Local Plan adopted July 2018. With the site currently being private there is controlled access which restricts the openness providing very little benefit to anyone. Maintaining the designation hopefully will allow the Udney Park to be opened up for the public but in its current state it provides very limited benefit to anyone.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Please take these comments as me objecting to Udney Park be re-designated to Local Green Space.
164b	Ashu Pruthi	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
165	Robert Abrahams	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
166	Ryan Ovington	<p>The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. I agree with the planning inspector original decision for it to remain its current designation that it has always been.</p> <p>Local Green Space designation is unjustified and detrimental to the chances of creative solutions of being found for the site. The ideas for the site in regards to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		space sound sustainable to me. I am worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
167	Kate Ewings	I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status. LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and do not think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.
168	Deborah Strange	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I am at a loss to understand why this decision is being revised and how this will benefit local residents.</p> <p>My understanding is that Local Green Space has a special value to the local community. The only people who have ever benefitted from using this piece of land are people who were involved with Imperial College or Newlands school. How can that be of value to the whole community? Why does the Council think this site is so special? I don't know of anyone who has accessed this site and it looks pretty rundown from what can be seen when walking past it although most of the land is obscured by damaged fencing, unmaintained hedges and a few unkempt trees.</p> <p>If this land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit from it. The status should stay as it is – to enable something positive to happen in the future for all of us.</p>
169	Sheila Stanley	<p>I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view.</p> <p>The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character.</p> <p>If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>
170	Katharine Blair	I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe that it should stay as OOLTI.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community to finally being able to access this land.
171	Azaria Pastakia	The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
172	Katherine Shelest	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. It is currently protected as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any inappropriate development. • The land must remain predominantly Open and Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
173	Name unclear	This land is private land so I do not understand how making it Local Green Space will be of benefit to the local community and the Borough of Richmond? Quantum's ideas of gifting most of the area to the community, enabling a new health centre to be built and building extra care housing for older people should be supported. Hopefully this will improve the current scruffy appearance of the site, and open it up for local sports team and conservation groups which are completely absent at the moment. I do not support the Local Plan proposals to designate the site as Local Green Space and do not consider that requisite criteria for LGS have been met.
174	Susan Mapp	I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. There is considerable protection under its current designation 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. It is safeguarded by the following:

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows for potential to appropriately develop the site into something special that may contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
175	Kelly Cook	<p>I understand that the planning inspector has already made his decision once and I agree with him – this land needs to remain as OOLTI. It's not special enough to me in its current configuration. I've seen the current planning application for the site and think it has great potential. Ultimately, we have a responsibility to consider the wider needs of the community and I don't think a designated green space will deliver these needs. Thousands of people supported the planning application which was submitted by Quantum for extra care housing, the new surgery and sports facilities. Why is the Council not taking their views into account?</p>
176	Name unclear	<p>If the land was made Local Green Space and it was wrapped in strict planning policy, then surely it would be very difficult to provide much needed facilities that could be brought to Teddington. Space is very much at a premium and I believe as a local resident we must be flexible to meet the needs of our community. Whilst I do not condone 'over' development, the status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance will protect it from becoming over developed anyway whilst taking into consideration the needs of Teddington. Therefore, I oppose the potential designation of Local Green Space instead of its current Other Open Land of Town space Importance (OOLTI).</p>
177	Vanessa Olson-Kerrigan	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park Local Green Space and these are my reasons why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not in any way special to the local community. • In its current states it is not beautiful – rather shabby and bland in appearance • There is no lasting historical significance with no fixed statue/memorial on the land so only a handful of people would even know about Lord Beaverbrook! • It currently has virtually no recreational value as it is private land, so the public do not have a right of way to use this land without permission from the owners • It is only tranquil because it is hardly every used!

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Do the right think and keep the current OOLTI status of this land – to enable the WHOLE community to benefit rather than a handful of NIMBY’s who just want to protect their view and the status quo for their own benefit.
178	Hebab Massouda	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.
179	Russ Groombridge	I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that the playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group. I support the Community Interest Company (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
180	Name unclear	I question the validity of giving the land at Udney Park Road the classification of Local Green Space because I doubt the evidence to show it holds significance to our community in its current form. By giving it this level of LGS protection, it would hinder the development to provide essential services needed in our borough. We need more healthcare provision which is desperately over-subscribed. We must also consider the needs of our elderly population, and the need for more affordable housing in our borough. Lastly, we need more inclusive recreational facilities- which with the land in its current form cannot be provided. In policy 30 of the local plan it states the council will support development that “encourages an inclusive development which considers the needs of all, including the older population and disabled children”. The sporting and recreational facilities in the proposals from Quantum would provide more inclusive play and sporting opportunities than there is currently.
181	Paul Wolf	I question the validity of giving the land at Udney Park Road the classification of Local Green Space because I doubt the evidence to show it holds significance to our community in its current form. By giving it this level of LGS protection, it would hinder the development to provide essential services needed in our borough. We need more healthcare provision which is desperately over-subscribed. We must also consider the needs of our elderly population, and the need for more affordable housing in our

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>borough. Lastly, we need more inclusive recreational facilities- which with the land it its current form cannot be provided.</p> <p>In policy 30 of the local plan it states the council will support development that “encourages an inclusive development which considers the needs of all, including the older population and disabled children”. The sporting and recreational facilities in the proposals from Quantum would provide more inclusive pay and sporting opportunities than there is currently.</p>
182	Christine Lawrence	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. Tying up this underutilised plot of land in unnecessary red tape has the real possibility of it never achieving its full potential. At the moment there is a planning application on the site that offers some amazing benefits to the community. Designating this land as Local Green Space could deny this very average plot a useful future e.g. the provision of community, sporting, healthcare, affordable housing and recreational facilities, thus failing to support the needs of the community under proposed Local Plan policies, or acknowledging sustainable development, rendering the plan unsound. How can this land be of “value to the local community” when it is private, and the public are inhibited from using it? I was never consulted on the original application to make it LGS and if I had I would have made it clear I was in opposition.</p>
183	C M Chaney	<p>Please take this letter as a sign of my support in the Planning inspector’s original decision maintain the sports ground at Udney Park as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance.</p> <p>I believe any alteration to the planning policy could restrict and limit the ability to open these grounds up to the public for community sport.</p>
184	Vivienne Sankey	<p>As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>By awarding this land with the LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria as it is not demonstrably special currently.</p>
185	Name unclear	<p>I think the plans to build extra care housing for older people is a wonderful idea. This will free up family housing in the area and enable older people to be cared for in their own homes, reducing the burden on the NHS and their families. This is in line with Policy LP35 which recognises the need to provide opportunities for people to downsize and Policy LP37 – where there is an identified need for extra care housing in the Borough. If the LGS status is granted, this wonderful opportunity will be lost to the local community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
186	Lucy Ah-Nen	<p>This is private land that before Quantum’s ownership was only limited to a select few groups and clubs. Parts of the land are currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space. What makes this fenced-off private land so special that it should be the only site in the borough with LGS designation?</p> <p>If this land changed to Local Green Space designation, it will remain private and under-used. This would not be of any significance to the local community that it serves.</p>
187	Leonard Wills	<p>I understand it is a requirement that the application for Local Green Space is made by the community and holds “particular significance”. I am a local resident of Teddington and I was not consulted on this application and the groups who state they represent Teddington do not represent my views. The term “Local Green Space” is very misleading – the site is not particularly green and doesn’t contribute much for the purposes of open space provision because it is private and has limited open visual aspect at street level. Most people who live behind the immediate row of houses next to it aren’t even aware it is there! For these reasons I do not think it should become ‘local green space’ and should be given the opportunity to flourish with more creative proposals – ie the proposals by the land owner which would be of far more use to me.</p>
188	C S Rampling	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as ‘Local Green Space’. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from these.</p>
189	Name unclear	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS.</p> <p>Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained.</p> <p>The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.</p>
190	C F Christensen	<p>I write to you to show my interest in the former ICL ground on Udney Park remaining as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance as it was accepted when the Local Plan was adopted on the 3rd July 2018.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I can see nothing that changes this previous designation and entrust the same decision is made again.
191	Sue Edwards	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”.</p>
192	Peter Mayne	<p>I wish to retain the OOLTI designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I understand that some of the criteria for LGS designation of a site are its’ beauty, tranquility and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>Beauty – “Beauty is in the eye of the beholder” <i>Margaret Wolfe Hungerford</i></p> <p>Tranquility – For the wrong reasons – it is under-used! This sports ground should be used intensively by our children. Designating this privately-owned site as LGS will not improve access for local children.</p> <p>Richness of wildlife – Udney Park Playing Fields have been used as sports ground for nearly 100 years. The site is lined by trees but consists of mowed lawn that does not give any opportunity for a “richness of wildlife” to establish.</p>
193	Joan Baldwin	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group.</p> <p>I support the Community Interest Company (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
194	Ana Ibor	<p>I do not agree with making the land at Udney Park Road Local Green space. If the designation of this land is changed, we are in danger of the private land being hoarded up until Quantum decide what to do with it and that will not be of benefit to anyone.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Making this area Local Green Space does not benefit the community in anyway and in my view the site has no significance to the local community.
195	Sreekanth Malluru	<p>I would like to see Udney Park Sports Ground opened up for public access with the facilities improved to benefit the community. Currently the site provides benefit to a very small few and has limited appeal to the wider community.</p> <p>It is my opinion that the site should remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance and not be assigned Local Green Space which would constrain unnecessary options that could allow this space to be opened to the public.</p>
196	Name unclear	<p>As a resident of Teddington, I am writing into the Local Plan consultation to give my view on the proposed designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Before the spring of 2016 the site was only special for those who have the privilege to see it from the boundaries of their homes and clubs that were affiliated with Imperial College Lodnon.</p> <p>Since the implementation of the Teddington CIC and Quantum's ownership local clubs and groups including the scouts, Teddington athletic football club and Teddington Rugby Club have been able to access the private grounds.</p> <p>Quantum and the CIC's plans sound like the best opportunity that has been brought to the table to secure the future of this sports ground for the use of the majority.</p> <p>I therefore support the existing decision by the Planning Inspector to retain the site as OOLTI as I believe it offers the correct level of protection for the site and the possibility of a brighter future.</p>
197	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
198	R Matthews	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
199	Susan Morgan	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
200	M B Malyon	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
201	Sophie Crane	<p>As a resident of Teddington I do not agree that this should change from OOLTI status to Local Green Space.</p> <p>It is my understanding that to be awarded Local Green Space the land needs to have significance, including 'beauty' and 'tranquility'. I struggle to understand how a field that is basic, and a clubhouse that is in dire need of investment and regeneration could be currently described a significantly 'beautiful'. In reference to tranquility it is enclosed by houses and busy roads.</p> <p>If the area was to be landscaped and there was investment, then it could become a valuable community resource then there were be greater benefits rather than wrapping it up in Local Green Space policy protection. The current status already protects it form inappropriate development, and therefore I think this designation would be unsound.</p>
202	L. Maude	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
203	Name unclear	I do not agree with the changes to the Local Plan policy to change the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space from OOLTI. My main reason for this is that it will likely result in making it harder for the public to access this site. The land is private land surrounded by roads on 3 sides in a busy suburban area and as public access is not allowed, it cannot hold particular significance to the local community. I understand that a Local Green Space designation needs to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted or given the opportunity to express my views. By retaining its current status, it will enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.
204	Jeffrey Bacon	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.
205	Miriam Masunda	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
206	Steven Trowles	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
207	Grace Hall	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
208	Gillian Zettle	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
209	Mr G. R Paget	I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS). I cannot see how the argument that its demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view. The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.
210	Clare Melia	This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
211	Heather Farmer	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. There is considerable protection under its current designation 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open and Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows for potential to appropriately develop the site into something special that may contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
212	Amanda Evans	<p>The land is private land so I do not understand how making it Local Green Space will be of benefit to the local community and the Borough of Richmond? Quantum's ideas of gifting most of the area to the community, enabling a new health centre to be built and building extra care housing for older people should be supported and I believe making this land Local Green space contravenes Policy LP28, Policy LP30 and Policy LP31 of the draft local plan and therefore making the Local Plan unsound.</p> <p>I do not support the Local Plan proposals to designate the site as Local Green Space and do not consider that requisite criteria for LGS have been met.</p>
213	Christopher Downes	<p>This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.</p>
214	Jaqueline Wood	<p>I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udney Park Road (Former ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS designation must be requested from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		this land can be described as having “special qualities” or holds “particular significance” as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.
215	Caroline Sine	As a resident of Teddington, I am writing into the Local Plan consultation to give my view on the proposed designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road. Before the spring of 2016 the site was only special for those who have the privilege to see it from the boundaries of their homes and clubs that were affiliated with Imperial College London. Since the implementation of the Teddington CIC and Quantum’s ownership local clubs and groups including the scouts, Teddington athletic football club and Teddington Rugby Club have been able to access the private grounds. Quantum and CIC’s plans sound like the best opportunity that has been brought to the table to secure the future of this sports ground for the use of the majority. I therefore support the existing decision by the Planning Inspector to retain the site as OOLTI as I believe it offers the correct level of protection for the site and the possibility of a brighter future.
216	M Turner	I think the plans to build extra care housing for older people is a wonderful idea. This will free up family housing in the area and enable older people to be cared for in their own homes, reducing the burden on the NHS and their families. This is in line with Policy LP35 which recognises the need to provide opportunities for people to downsize and Policy LP37 – where there is an identified need for extra care housing in the Borough. If the LGS status is granted, this wonderful opportunity will be lost to the local community.
217	Olivia Wray	I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udney Park Road (Former ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS designation must be requested from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how this land can be described as having “special qualities” or holds “particular significance” as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very few people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.
218	A Deburgh	I want to let you know that I will oppose any decisions made to convert the Udney Park Road site to Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I want to see some more public facilities here in Teddington and allowing public access to Udney Park will improve the lives of the community.</p> <p>Whether the developer's plans go ahead or not, the change from Other Open Land of Townscape Importance status will restrict any future sustainable development.</p> <p>Restricting sustainable development has a negative impact on the Richmond borough and as a resident of Teddington I desire to see more facilities for the community.</p>
219	Michael Hill	<p>I live nearby. This is private land that before Quantum's ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. The land is private and fenced fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector has already made his decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, I don't believe there is sufficient evidence to overturn re-allocate the site</p> <p>The current level of protection under OOLTI is correct and I support the Inspectors current decision to remove LGS status. LGS designation would add a detrimental level of protection particularly when one considers the benefits of the current planning application on the site.</p>
220	Jordan Ayres	<p>This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.</p>
221	Mr T Jones	<p>This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.</p>
222	Richard John Agas	<p>This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
223	Name unclear	I am a local resident of Teddington and have been made aware of the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields. It is my understanding that it is being proposed for the site to become Local Green Space. I believe we should take this opportunity to ensure that everyone can enjoy the space and maximise the use of this under-utilised and rather uninspiring piece of land. Development proposals are trying to support our local community in a variety of ways, by providing sporting facilities, a community space and much needed homes. I know several people locally who are looking to downsize and/or for somewhere they can live with additional support as and when required.
224	Marnie Satchwell	This land is private land, hardly ever used, certainly never by me, and run down, hardly meeting the NPPF criteria for Local Green Space. How can making this land local green space benefit the local community and the constituents this Council represents? We MUST take this opportunity to ensure the status of this land remains as OOLTI to ensure we do not restrict the potential opportunities this site can generate for our local community.
225	James Ward	If the land was made Local Green Space and it was wrapped in strict planning policy, then surely it would be very difficult to provide much needed facilities that could be brought to Teddington. Space is very much at a premium and I believe as a local resident we must be flexible to meet the needs of our community. Whilst I do not condone 'over' development, the status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance will protect it from becoming over developed anyway whilst taking into consideration the needs of Teddington. Therefore, I oppose the potential designation of Local Green Space instead of its current Other Open Land of Town space Importance (OOLTI).
226	Munnus Naritha	I do not support the local plan map changes to designate the Former Imperial College Grounds as LGS. I do not see how Local Green Space is more beneficial than a wide range of benefits that everyone needs which will be so much harder to achieve if the land remains as OOLTI. I do not believe it fits with the LGS criteria as the land in its current state is uninspiring, under used, lack lustre private land. It could be something wonderful for the Borough.
227	James Beckinsall	I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have "special qualities and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves" – as specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS and have merely been influenced by a handful of

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		local residents who state they represent the local community but who failed to consult or engage with the community on any level. The believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.
228	Lisa Ritchie	If the land was made Local Green Space and it was wrapped in strict planning policy, then surely it would be very difficult to provide much needed facilities that could be brought to Teddington. Space is very much at a premium and I believe as a local resident we must be flexible to meet the needs of our community. Whilst I do not condone 'over' development, the status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance will protect it from becoming over developed anyway whilst taking into consideration the needs of Teddington. Therefore, I oppose the potential designation of Local Green Space instead of its current Other Open Land of Town scape Importance (OOLTI).
229	Name unclear	I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LRBuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.
230	J F Rogers	I am a local resident of Teddington and have been made alerted to the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields. I am aware of the proposals for sporting facilities, a community space including sporting and recreational activities, a GP surgery and much needed affordable homes. I understand the site is under proposal to become Local Green Space, and this will prevent public access to the site and community facilities including sports pitches and GP surgery will not be built. I believe we should take this opportunity to ensure that everyone can enjoy the space and maximise the use of this inaccessible under-utilised and undervalued land. It currently holds little recreational, tranquil or beautiful value but with improvement it could be a far better resource.
231	Name unclear	I am writing regarding the proposals to make the land at Udney Park Road Local Green Space. I understand in order to designate something as LGS it needs to be of special value to the community. As this is 12.5 acres of private land, I fail to see how this can be of special value to the community. If the site

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		keeps its current status as OOLTI, it will have the correct amount of protection without restricting the opportunities this site could offer our local community in the future. I do not believe this land in its current state meets LGS criteria and do not believe the Council has justified why they think its status should change. How would LGS be a benefit to the majority of residents in Teddington?
232	Lynda Brophy	I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udney Park Road (Former ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS designation must be requested from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how this land can be described as having “special qualities” or holds “particular significance” as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very few people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.
233	Name unclear	I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.
234	Alice McDermott	I understand there is a chance that the land at Udney Park will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I do not understand why the Planning Inspector’s decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit local residents. In its current state, the site doesn’t even seem to meet with the Council’s criteria for LGS and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than a handful of residents (FUPPFs and Teddington Society) who claim they represent the local community.
235	Sri Lakshmi Katragunta	I am connected with Park Road Surgery and the local Pharmacy and have seen for myself how desperate the GP surgery in Park Road is for space. They have far too many patients on their list, the practice is not DDA compliant and they have been looking for new premises for years.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I think the proposals by a developer to provide local residents with much needed facilities, not just a new doctors surgery but homes for older people and recreational facilities for all ages should be supported and I understand that designating this land Local Green Space could inhibit this. I have friends who have young children who would love a space for them to play and for many years this site has been under utilized by the people of Teddington as the land is private.</p> <p>The land holds no historical significance, with no memorial or statue on the land, it is in the middle of a residential/commercial area, the land itself is very bland looking and feels unloved and yet it holds so much potential for everyone, given the right investment and the right opportunity.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with this becoming Local Green Space.</p>
236	Peter Greenwood	<p>I am writing to <u>object</u> to the <u>removal</u> of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park (supportive of it retaining LGS status)</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. I speak both as a parent and as a volunteer coach for a local football club (Hearts of Teddlothian) - where will our children & the future generations play sport? This is especially critical with the proposal to develop St Mary's University Teddington Lock site which will result in local clubs not being able to use it</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - the developer knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
237	Carolyn Forsyth	<p>I would like to make an objection to the removal of the LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Field.</p> <p>Udney Park Has been a community asset for 100 years, as a playing field which is still greatly needed as Teddington becomes ever more densely populated. This also applies to its home for bats and other wildlife and a vital green lung.</p> <p>There have been recent luxury developments in Teddington and currently 300 luxury flats going up by the river. More development of this nature is not needed.</p> <p>When Quantum bid for this site they knew it was a war memorial site with a covenant for amateur sport so their planning proposals are completely inappropriate.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
238	Julie & Rob Snelling	<p>We strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space status to Udney Park playing field. This is an incredibly important field for sport/recreational use in an area that has hardly any green space. Many local clubs do not have a permanent home and it's becoming increasingly hard to find playing fields available locally.</p> <p>We also object to the removal of LGS status as Udney Park is a war memorial, donated for amateur sport. It should not be stripped of its status and sold in order to build more luxury flats in an area that is saturated with them already. There are hundreds of flats in development on the riverside and others a short walk from the station.</p> <p>We need more local green space not less!</p>
239	Gill Hiley	<p>I wish to object to any attempt to remove Local Green Space status for Udney Park. I haven't responded to any of the consultations regarding Udney Park before - the revised plans put forward by the developer seemed to be a reasonable compromise whereby a mix of useful buildings would help the community, while at the same time leaving public access for sporting facilities. I have now reversed my passive 'approval' for the development for two reasons: I applaud the council's decision to apply for 'Local Green Space' protected status (the land was covenanted for the use of local people, and the developers were aware of this from the outset when they speculatively bought the land); and I deplore the developer's attempts to have that status overturned, both in the courts and by a door-to-door public relations campaign.</p> <p>My altered view results from the tactics recently used by the developer to gather signatures of approval for their development. The man who knocked on my door to persuade me to sign told me that if permission for the development was not allowed because of the Local Green Space protection order the developer would be most likely to shut the site up completely and not allow any access for locals. I told him that seemed very much like blackmail, and refused to give him my support. It is a complicated matter, and he presented his petition as being in the best interests of the local community, so I almost did agree, but his methods were underhand and he tried to take advantage of someone who wasn't aware of the full background.</p> <p>Please continue to fight to keep the whole of Udney Park as open green space, for the use and well-being of local residents.</p>
240	Phil Fitzsimmons	<p>I wish to object to the proposal to remove Local Green Space status from Udney Park so that developers can build a residential development on the open space.</p> <p>I have lived in the borough of Richmond for over 50 years and have played rugby at Udney Park on many occasions in the past. My 10 year old son belongs to the local rugby club, Teddington Antlers, and they</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		often use the Udney Park for training and matches. It would be criminal in my view to lose this public amenity space for housing. Our public open spaces should be cherished for generations to come, and not sold off for developers profit.
241	Stephanie Kirby	<p>I would like to <u>object to the removal of LGS for Udney park playing fields.</u></p> <p>It is a special place for the local community because of its recreational value, its tranquil nature and the richness of its wildlife.</p> <p>It is a central green space. More flats are not required, there is already a large development on Teddington riverside nearby providing 300 flats.</p> <p>There is a shortfall in green space for teams to meet and practise. Udney park was provided as a war memorial with a covenant for use for amateur sport. This should not change in my opinion.</p>
242	Glyn & Jane Walton	<p>We want to submit our Objection to the possible Removal of Local Green Space Designation for the Udney Park Playing fields in Teddington.</p> <p>We have lived in Teddington for decades and seen its precious green spaces eroded, sold and developed, for profit, to the detriment of the living environment. Close to where we live, there is a major development being built, and we are very concerned at the impact of such high density on the local area, in respect of traffic, and general demand on schools, GPs etc.</p> <p>Our green spaces are for the good of all, and are vital contributions to the feel of a place, its air quality, its community spirit. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and there are not many playing fields left around the area. The Local Green Space designation protects Udney Park and it is vital that this in turn is protected. Udney Park is part of an important ecological network of local rivers and parks.</p> <p>We appeal to the Council to stay strong and not to give in to profit seeking developers but to protect one of the special, and increasingly rare, green spaces remaining, and NOT to remove the LGS designation from Udney Park.</p>
243	Dan Kirk	<p>I am writing to express my <u>Objection to the Removal of the LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields</u></p> <p>These fields were originally donated as a war memorial under covenant for Amateur sports. We currently have a shortage of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. As the parent of four children we have experienced the difficulties this causes, and inconvenience and cost of finding venues and locations.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Above all Udney Park is a green space that must be protected. There is no need for luxury apartments and they add nothing to quality of life in the borough. The developers, Quantum, were well aware of all these issues and bought the land speculatively hoping to wear away at local opposition.</p> <p>I hope the relevant planning and council authorities will continue to apply rules appropriately in the best interests of the community and reject Quantum's proposals.</p>
244	Michael Newman	<p>I wish to object, and very strongly, to the continued project by Quantum to the redevelopment of Udney Park.</p> <p>Apparently the developer is again attempting to get the Park's Local Green Space status removed. This is intolerable because</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are far too few playing fields in Teddington. Already Newland House School has had to relocate to the NPL site for its sports activities. 2. Udney Park was donated as a sports facility, in perpetuity. Imperial College has broken that covenant, perhaps to pay it's Vice-Chancellor's huge salary. 3. New parks and green spaces are never built. They're always lost. 4. The Park is a great asset to Teddington, the only green space near the centre. It is vital for local sports (my children played there) and particularly Rugby and Cricket. It has been fenced off and is now totally useless - an indication of the developer's attitude to the community. 5. The consequence of development will be yet more flats, meaning more cars, more sewage and more transient renters of buy-to-let property speculators. Teddington is already crowded, with 300 more flats currently being built barely half a mile away. 6. Udney Park has been part of Teddington for over a hundred years. It's a War Memorial and part of the character of the town.
245	Denise Foudy	<p>Udney Park should be used as playing fields as in the past or opened up to the community as a green space for all to use and share.</p> <p>We all want to benefit from this precious green space and should not have to sacrifice a large section of it to a large building that will bring more traffic congestion, parking problems and pollution in the area.</p> <p>Where local facilities are needed, we should be using the existing buildings or the spaces that they are built on. There are enough in the borough.</p> <p>Removing the LGS protection would be a mistake; please do not do this.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
246	Janet Pollock	<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for over a 100 years; there are not enough playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home and have to use temporary locations.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is an absolutely vital part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments for their survival.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. There have also been many more luxury flats built locally in the last few years.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p> <p>I live three doors away from this beautiful open space which I see from my home. I love seeing the variety of birds in my garden that nest in the trees around the site. I know that the eight types of bat will not survive if their network is destroyed by buildings.</p> <p>I object most strongly to the removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
247	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
248	Name unclear	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed.</p> <p>This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Oopen Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I object to this land being given the status of “Local Green Space”, as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors’ surgery for Park Road Surgery, • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
249	M Rogers	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained and unused. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.</p>
250	Name unclear	<p>I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status. LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significant when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and do not think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.</p>
251	Name unclear	<p>I write to you in reference to the land that is near Kingston Road and Udney Park Road. I worry that if this land is designated as Local Green Space then it will not have as much community benefit or significance. It currently looks quite run down and has rather basic facilities to play sports or recreational activities. There have been plans proposed which I am in favour of as they would be more valuable to the community, particularly the landscaped park and a new sports pavilion which look like a fantastic opportunity for Teddington. If the space is given the LGS status, then we could lose the potential for Teddington to have better sporting and recreational facilities because development and investment would be harder to come by. Therefore, I do not support this designation proposal.</p>
252	Wilma Ferguson	<p>I am amazed that there should be any question that Udney Park Playing Fields do not merit Local Green Space Status. It has been a special benefit to our community (and a local green space!) for over 100 years and a source of recreation for many people through its use by sports clubs, and a welcome oasis of peaceful space. In an area where space is constantly encroached upon by developers, we need to keep this precious haven and it should maintain its status as Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Any online search on green space will reveal a flood of long term studies from universities and other bodies on the benefits of such spaces. The evidence overwhelmingly stresses their importance both in terms of their health giving benefits for sport and recreation contributing to both improved physical and mental well-being and for the environmental and antipollution benefits. Our area already suffers high pollution levels and with the prospect of increased flights from Heathrow we need to hang on to every bit of green space we have, to counter this. The playing fields are also a vital habitat for wildlife of the area. They are part of the history of the town, and a welcome area of peace and tranquillity. We must protect this gem for once gone it will not return. Future generations will need it.</p> <p>I find It unbelievable that this land which was given under covenant for the benefit of the community has been allowed to be sold, and for its manifest benefits to the community to be under threat. Increases in land value should not be pocketed by private developers.</p> <p>I urge you not to remove the Local Green Status of this precious social and environmental asset to our community.</p>
253	Igor Panfilov and Family	<p>I and my family live in Teddington on Cambridge Road. Our house is not far from Udney Park. We are very regretted that the dispute about Udney Park has not finished yet. It is incredibly sad to think that such a beautiful area that was a very important place for all people living in Teddington cold be destroyed. It was a playing fields for 100 years. Additional, it is a war memorial. Udney park is a central green space for many people and their children. There are other luxury flats within a half of mile under construction. Udney Park is a critical part of an ecology network of local parks.</p> <p>We object to the removal of this Local Green Space.</p>
254	Alex Verdan QC	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park. I am a local resident and live with my family at 20 Cambridge Road. All of my children have played sport on the playing fields at the park. It is a special green space in this area. It is important to the local community because it is beautiful and offers tranquillity. I understand it has been a playing field for 100 years. I also understand that it is home to wildlife and so should be protected for that reason. There is no local need for more flats and in any event they should be built on brownfield sites.</p>
255	Jan Elvin	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>I have lived in Teddington for the past 25 years and there are very few playing fields left locally . Udney Park is a war memorial and donated for amateur sports and this should remain.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I am also a nature lover and there are 8 protected species of bats that Udney Park is home to. Please save Udney Park for further generations to use and enjoy .
256	Tom Harrison , , England and Wales Cricket Board	<p>I wish to register a strong objection to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>The potential threat to Local Green Space protection has been exacerbated by lobbying by a developer that seeks to end cricket at Udney Park to facilitate building over 100 apartments, per their Planning Application 18/0151/FUL, which I also Object to. The tactics employed here have been to denigrate the role which cricket plays in the local community, which is a sport which has deep roots in the area and is in rude health. I am an active local member of the sporting community in Teddington and also CEO of the England and Wales Cricket Board.</p> <p>Udney Park is an outstanding local sporting facility with a long history of hosting club and school cricket, it supports 3 simultaneous cricket matches and so has obvious recreational value to the local community, in the past, present and future. It is a thriving example of what we are striving to achieve across the country through our growth strategy for cricket in England and Wales.</p> <p>In my wider capacity at the ECB, our recently launched strategic plan, Inspiring Generations (2020-2024) has at its heart a commitment to grow volunteering and participation through a range of community cricket initiatives. Cricket has the power to connect communities in a way that little else can, it continues to make a positive difference in people's lives; to mental health, physical health and well-being of our communities. The successful ICC Women's World Cup, the upcoming ICC Men's Cricket World Cup and the ECB All Star Cricket junior programme mean cricket participation across all ages and for boys and girls is growing, however losing playing pitch space will severely limit the social benefits arising from community cricket. We are having this conversation with central government too through the Secretary of State at the DCMS.</p> <p>The London Playing Fields Foundation calculates that London has already lost 40% of its cricket pitches in the last 20 years. There is much policy to protect playing fields and the ECB via Sport England already fights to protect cricket where it is threatened, yet some developers still persist in driving their own plainly self serving commercial agendas by converting green space into building plots. Udney Park is such an example where the interests of committed local community must be heard. Local sporting communities are at the heart of the ongoing plan to build social cohesion and at a time when our country is so divided, the facilities and spaces which serve our sporting communities should be protected at all costs.</p>
257	Veronica Laughrin	I am writing to ask you to protect this field as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>It was donated by Lord Beaverbrook to be used as a sports' field for amateur sport – especially rugby – and until recently has been in continual use by university, college and school teams.</p> <p>The field acts as a green lung – helping to keep life in the city healthy and bearable.</p> <p>Increasingly dense development of the city means that our playing fields are being encroached upon and it is important to stop them being eaten away by speculative development.</p> <p>Sports fields are vital for the nation's health and Udney Park needs to be protected.</p>
258	Andrew Roberts	<p>I strongly object to the Planning Inspector's decision to remove Local Green Space [LGS] status from the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>The Udney Park Playing Fields are demonstrably special and of particular importance to the local community.</p> <p>The green space is within an urban setting, comprising of extensive grassed playing fields and mature trees support a natural habitat for wildlife, including protected species of bats, and form a critical part of a connected ecology network of local protected parks and river embankments.</p> <p>The proximity of the playing fields to the local community is within easy walking distance of the community served.</p> <p>Whilst the green space is currently in private ownership, it does not need to be in public ownership to be designated a Local Green Space. All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p> <p>Additionally, whilst access is currently restricted, many local sports clubs serving the local community rely on the use of the playing fields and the sports pavilion for both recreational and competitive sporting events.</p> <p>Any loss of this green space would be very detrimental to the continued use of the playing fields for the local community.</p> <p>Udney Park has a historic significance to the local community in that it has been a playing field for at least 100 years, being a war memorial, and donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>This Local Green Space should be protected from predatory development.</p>
259	Stephen Clark	<p>I am a retired chartered surveyor with extensive experience of planning and development, including Local Open Space, Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land issues. I have given evidence as an expert witness at major planning enquiries and acted for English Heritage (now Historic England). I have in depth experience of enabling development, including advising on sites such as Claybury Hospital (now an exemplar development know as Repton Park) where retention of protected open space and listed buildings</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>were key factors, and in respect of St Osyth's Priory, a scheduled ancient monument and listed structure set in a historic landscape.</p> <p>I have also lived in Teddington for over 30 years. Teddington is a great place to live and visit precisely because of its mixed nature and beautiful open spaces. It contains many surprises and is not typical of suburban London. For example the bowling green at the Grove, the pedestrian lanes that lead into this, and the hidden gem of St Albans Gardens, which are all close to the subject site. Udney Park is a very important component of this, contributing to and enhancing the character of its neighbourhood. It is possible to see across the open aspect site and it is a wonderful habitat for local wildlife.</p> <p>Quantum bought the site without planning consent and in full knowledge of the planning restrictions that were likely to apply. If the company overpaid for the site it should not be the local community or local environment that pays the penalty.</p> <p>Quantum has tried to harness the support of the local medical practice (currently in Park Road) as a way to prise open development value at Udney Park. This should be discounted as a planning consideration.</p> <p>There are other sites, currently available, which in fact are far more suitable for this use, including the North Lane Car Park, Ellery Hall and the defunct police station in Park Road (opposite the GP's current practice). All of these sites are in one form of community use or another - if existing planning policies are maintained, these sites should be offered to the medical practice at a fair value reflecting their community use before any alternative use is considered.</p> <p>All of these sites are in a better location for a medical practice - and all are close to the existing Park Road surgery. In fact Udney Park is very badly located for a doctors' surgery as access by public transport is not good, there should be no additional traffic generation allowed (particularly as there is a local school that will lead to considerable congestion) while Udney Park Road is narrow, with a dangerous junction with the High Street. In addition there is already a large medical practice facility in Teddington High Street, very close to the subject site.</p> <p>Quantum's plans would completely change the open character of the area. There is no need for enabling development to preserve the land - it could be transferred to a community trust with funds raised by donation or public subscription at a fair value reflecting the site's long established and valuable use for recreation and sport.</p>
260	Paul Turton	I am writing to register our strong objection for the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Firstly and most importantly, Udney Park was donated under covenant by Lord Beaverbrook for amateur sport. This covenant MUST be respected in both sentiment and in law otherwise it will result in a massive breakdown of trust in our society.</p> <p>Furthermore, with a significant shortage of playing fields in the area and with our ever growing National obesity crisis I fail to understand the logic of losing this fantastic green space forever save for the profit of a private equity group hellbent on delivering profits for its wealthy shareholders, none of whom I'm quite sure live or have children living in the area, nor who wish to have anything to do with managing sport in the area after the profits have been earned.</p> <p>Whilst fully understanding we do need to provide solutions to the current housing shortage, there are a great deal of brown field sites which should be exploited before ANY of our most cherished green field locations, for instance the 300 new flats just built within 600m of the park. These will already put extra strain on our community - ie traffic, parking, schooling, noise pollution etc and it's so important we keep and cherish the few green field areas we have left within the M25 before they are lost forever,</p> <p>As I write, I can see there are at least 6 teams enjoying Sunday morning sport currently, with room for more if only allowed. This green field area MUST be protected please and the existing covenant respected also.</p>
261	James M. Forsyth	<p>I am recording my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park on the grounds that it clearly meeets the criteria of being special to our local community for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Playing field has a long history of over 100 years as a playing fields for local people and teams. It forms a key part of our local heritage. 2. There is a severe shortage of playing fields locally and several clubs have no permanent home. Removing LGS status will exacerbate an already serious problem. 3. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is an important part of the ecologically connected network of local parks and embankments. 4. Removal of LGS status will result in predatory developers building luxury flats that are not needed. There are 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brownfield sites and more in planning applications (eg Greggs bakery) only a little further away. 5. Quantum (the property developer owner of the land) outbid 3 community bidders to buy the land knowing that all policies that protect playing fields apply to private as well as public land. They can have no complaint if Udney Park rightfully retains LGS status.
262	Clare Beck	I write to personally object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park, Teddington.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is one of the few remaining playing fields in the area, and has been in existence for the past 100 years. It is used by many local clubs and schools who have no permanent home, precisely because of the lack of/ selling off of green space.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated by covenant for amateur sport. If this legal covenant is ignored, a clear signal is sent to do so elsewhere, resulting in even more unnecessary green space loss.</p> <p>Udney Park has 8 protected species of bat, and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of parks and river embankments.</p> <p>There is no need for more luxury flats. Many have been built in the area in recent years, and 300 more are presently under construction within half a mile of Udney Park. Any new residential building should be on brown field sites in any case.</p> <p>Policies to protect playing fields apply to private and public land, which any reputable builder would be aware of before considering a bid.</p> <p>Udney Park is essential to the local community because of its beauty, historical significance, richness of wildlife, and recreational sports value.</p>
263	Frances Wilding	<p>I write to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. This area has been a playing field for 100 years. As you probably know, there is a lack of playing fields locally.</p> <p>Udney Park is a special place for the local community to enjoy, not just for outdoor games, but for the triangle of tranquility it supplies in the middle of Teddington.</p> <p>Udney Park is also rich in wildlife and home to 8 protected species of bat. If it is replaced with a much smaller area of greenery and otherwise filled with commercial-motivated building, we will lose part of what makes Teddington a special place to live.</p> <p>There is simply no need to give way to the financial pressure from Quantum so they can make money out of our local green space. We already have a site under construction within half a mile of the site which will provide 300 new flats in the area and put additional pressure on our already overcrowded roads.</p>
264	Michael D. Leyden	<p>I am writing to add my objection to the removal of Local Green space status (LGS) of Udney Park.</p> <p>This space was given to the community under covenant, specifically for amateur sport.</p> <p>There are also other protections such as Asset of Local Community Value, which protect the land.</p> <p>It is a vital recreational/ sports area for a growing Teddington population, which continues to grow through other developments.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There is a shortfall of playing fields as it is for local sports teams, and given the UK obesity crisis, the need to retain and sustain playing field facility has never been higher.</p> <p>This was all fully understood by Quantum when it purchased the land, and throughout its attempts to overturn this protection.</p> <p>Non of the proposed developments needs to be built on green land. All could be developed on brown field sites.</p>
265	John Herries	<p>I'm writing to object to the removal of LGS protection for Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>I'm a patient of Park Road Surgery, who want to build a new surgery on the playing fields. This seems madness - GP's should be increasing people's opportunities to exercise not reducing them.</p> <p>In this day and age it should be quite clear that green spaces are priceless and need to be protected not built on for corporate profit.</p> <p>Also:</p> <p>I have been a local volunteer sports coach for the past 10 years and am aware that there is a shortage of playing fields locally.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport, and this should be respected.</p> <p>It is also home to 8 protected species of bat.</p>
266	Paul Jackson	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park, Teddington.</p> <p>The park was originally donated under covenant for amateur sport and has been a local playing field for a century. There is a shortage of playing fields locally and the recreational value of Udney Park is significant, as is its value as central green space and a tranquil habitat for wildlife including 8 protected bat species.</p> <p>I also object to the proposed plans for constructing yet more luxury apartments for which there is no local demand, while 300 luxury apartments are already under construction at a nearby brownfield site.</p>
267	Martin Bridgewater	<p>I live at [Teddington address details removed for data protection]. I have lived here since 1986. I am therefore very familiar with the dispute relating to the Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) and with the arguments put forward by both sides.</p> <p>The history of the UPPF - the charitable gift to the community, the restrictive covenant restricting their use to amateur sport, and their use for 100 years as playing fields - is now well known.</p> <p>Sadly, also now well-known and well-documented are the many disgraceful and mendacious manoeuvrings by Quantum in their opportunistic and predatory attempt to obtain planning permission to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>build luxury apartments on this much-loved and much-needed local green space, massively reducing the area of land on which sport could be played.</p> <p>Throughout my 33 years living in Udney Park Road the UPPF have always been extensively used by local schools and clubs for a wide variety of sports. Playing fields in this area are in very short supply, and the local need for these sports facilities is now greater than ever. Several local sports clubs have no permanent home. There is a viable alternative plan, proposed by the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields, under which the UPPF could remain fully available for community use. It is essential, not only for these local sporting reasons but also for ecological reasons (including the eight protected species of bat that live there), that these playing fields are not lost to speculative commercial development.</p> <p>In contrast, Quantum's proposed development serves no local needs. New residential development is occurring on brownfield sites throughout Teddington, including in particular the 300 luxury riverside flats currently under construction on the old Thames TV site less than half a mile away - additional luxury flats are not needed here. Nor does the Park Road Surgery need to move to the High Street side of the railway line - we have other GP surgeries here already, such as Thameside located only a couple of hundred yards away on the High Street.</p> <p>Quantum knew perfectly well, when they outbid three local community bidders for the site, that theirs was a speculative bid of no intrinsic merit which was contrary to all relevant planning policies, but that the extremely large increase in the value of the site if planning permission could nevertheless be obtained would make this an attractive "punt" for their potential investors, to whom they promised in their prospectus a rapid sale and distribution of profit if that permission was obtained. Quantum do not have and never have had the slightest interest in the history and uses of the site or the needs of the local Teddington community. To them it is pure commercial speculation. To those of us, however, for whom Teddington has long being our home and who care about the health and well-being of its children and young people (I am, incidentally, also a governor of a local school), it is absolutely clear that all the sports facilities currently on the UPPF must be saved and preserved long-term for community use.</p> <p>The UPPF therefore badly needs LGS protection, and I very strongly object to the removal of such LGS protection from the Local Plan.</p>
268	Carol Turkie	Please see below done of the reasons why I object to the removal of LGS status to the Udney Park playing fields :

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - it has been an area of playing fields for over 100 years and it was one of the main attractions for us to want to live here in Teddington and then specially settle in this part of Teddington - there is clearly a shortfall of playing fields in this area and it would be ideal if local clubs could use the fields for sports, fitness activities etc which would help keep the local population and kids in the area fitter / healthier - there is no need to build in this location luxury / expensive flats as there are quite a few of other local developments nearby for similar range / size etc. - Udney is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport - deterioration on traffic on residential roads with the development of houses etc.
269	Jim Gregory	I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park. It represents space of special value to the local community. It has historic significance as well as providing valuable habitat for wildlife and playing fields for children.
270	Keith & Jo James	<p>I am writing to object against the removal of local green space protection for Udney Park in Teddington. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Importantly, Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. It is the home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites at Teddington Lock.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p> <p>Parks are for people, not for profit. As representatives of local communities, it is your duty to make sure our children have somewhere to play. It is not your duty to line the pockets of developers whose only desire is to make profit.</p> <p>You can save this wonderful amenity for years to come. Rates of mental health problems in children and young adults are rising to crisis levels. Udney Park is a fundamental part of Teddington's ability to help fight against those trends. Do not fail your local community and their children.</p>
271	Sammi Macqueen	Please retain the local green status of this area for the benefit of wildlife and the community. I have lodged an objection but wish to reinforce the importance of retaining diminishing green spaces in our borough

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		which is under immense pressure from developers' greed at the expense of existing residents quality of life and nature's fast disappearing habitats.
272	Evelyn Richardson	<p>I strongly object to the removal of LSG for Udney Park Playing fields.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This open space was covented in the Will of Lord Beavorbrook to be kept as an open space in perpetuity for the continual enjoyment for residents for sports use! 2. It can never be built on. This was the object of the covenant. 3. No money-grabbing developer must be allowed to destroy this greenspace. 4. There is little enough open space in Richmond for the enjoyment of sports. 5. There are too many new developments in the immediate neighbourhood. A particular example is the Riverside Development by the lock, just half a mile away. And in spite of this prestigious site on the river they are having difficulty selling the new flats.
273	Paul Sanders	<p>I understand that in order to retain LGS I must now post an objection to the removal of LGS. So here it is.</p> <p>Everywhere we see this happening, by big companies who are just feathering their nest without any regard to the impact it will cause. With environmental issues piling up, we seem hell bent on building everywhere...which just places more demands on everything...water usage , sewage, fuel usage, traffic increase, noise increase.....etc..</p> <p>Losing more playing fields is crazy at a time when people need recreation and some outdoor exercise. I hope this building project never goes ahead and that the people of Teddington continue to strive to keep that area set aside for health and fitness and community reasons.</p> <p>I also get so tired of all the time and money spent (and wasted) on these projects and appeals. The council should be spending money on education and health issues.</p>
274	Katie and Alex Skrine	<p>We would like to voice our objection to the removal of local green space protection for Udney Park playing fields. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a major shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. It's a central green space in Teddington that needs to be protected against the investment building of luxury apartments in an ever increasingly and at risk of being over populated area (especially with the 300 luxury flats under current construction within half a mile of on brown field sites)</p>
275	Fontaine Poole	I completely object to any building by developers on Udney Park Playing Fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Quantum knew they were purchasing protected green space, but wanted to try their luck. Don't let them get away with it. Save valuable green space for the community, please.
276	Jane Hudspith	<p>I am writing to state my strong objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington.</p> <p>In a heavily over developed town, with oversubscribed schools and amenities, I can't understand why the LGS status would even be considered for removal to allow for more development. We are currently subjected to an extra 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites, so there is no local demand for more.</p> <p>It is my understanding that Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport, and has been a playing field for 100 years. I object to that being diminished by the proposed developments, and support LGS protection to remain in place, so that the playing fields should remain to serve the community to which it was donated. There are several local sports clubs who could benefit from this space as they have no permanent home and there is already a shortfall of playing fields in the area.</p> <p>It is also my understanding that Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat - This cannot be ignored as a vital part of our local ecology and LGS protection should remain to protect the land and wildlife in the way it was intended.</p> <p>LGS protection gives the public reassurances and guarantees that there will always be local land protected from development, to remain 'green'. This is so important in many ways for communities, and I have always understood LGS policy was implemented to provide safeguards against over development and destruction of environments and towns. Those protections mean nothing if developers buying LGS land anyway because they know the local green space protection will just be removed upon request. Please can we ensure these protections start to mean 'protection'.</p> <p>I cannot even think of a good argument for the removal of LGS protection and therefore give my full support to LGS protection remaining firmly in place at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
277	Lawrence Springall	<p>I wish to object to the removal of Green Park Status: Udney Park. Teddington.</p> <p>The land was originally donated under covenant. It is ecologically important and home to numerous species, including bats.</p> <p>We should not be surrendering any communal green space for commercial residential development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
278	Nicki Faircloth	<p>I hereby register my considerable opposition to the removal of LGS from Udney Park on the following grounds:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Having roots in the community going back longer than Udney Park has been a playing field under covenant, such removal is contrary to the original gift. 2. The playing field provides a base for clubs that have no permanent home of their own, enabling smaller sporting organisations to continue their work in the community. 3. There is a marked shortage of such playing fields in the area. 4. Any loss of open land inevitably impacts on wildlife, removing as it would an essential part of the connected ecological network.
279	Howard Tollit	<p>PLEASE do not behave like House of Commons MPs and remove the LGS status of Udney Park, Teddington. Don't further undermine the protection of valuable local open space for community sports and health.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Respect the donor's wishes to support the community, not developers.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
280a	Pippa Hartwell	<p>It is totally unacceptable to remove local green space status from a space which is local and is predominantly green. What other status will protect this from developers. We don't need over priced accommodation for older people. We have lots of big houses that can be converted and where old people can have real neighbours with a mix of ages and children.</p> <p>I hate to say it but only local green space is acceptable. Any other is a nod to developers.</p> <p>Developers have banks and bans of brown field land. They should use that first!</p>
280b	Pippa Hartwell	<p>I object to the removal of local green space status from udney park playing fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Apart from allowing concrete development on the site I can not see why else my council, who work for me, would entertain this idea - as I fail to see what other purpose might this serve for the local community other than to allow a developer to build on this land against our wishes and against our best interests
281	Howard Dix	<p>I understand that a developer is lobbying to have the protected status removed from the Udney Park playing field.</p> <p>This email details my objection to removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortage of playing fields locally; many local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need; there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p>
282	Andy and Frankie Warner Lacey	<p>We wish to register our objection to the proposed removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park, the site of playing fields for 100 years.</p> <p>We live on Kingston Lane and take great pleasure in both walking past the park and attending football and cricket matches throughout the year. It acts like a physical breathing space amongst the surrounding residential development and will be home to a diverse range of fauna including bats which can be see at dusk.</p> <p>The use of the park is a central part of local life and we appreciate the importance of having multiple pitches available to a broad range of community sports teams.</p> <p>We believe the seemingly rogue decision to reverse the LGS status in favour of a private developer's proposals is worthy of urgent investigation. When examined, their plans are woefully low on genuine and beneficial facilities for local residents and high on the supply of luxury flats, the demand for which can be satisfied by the 300 new flats being constructed on the Teddington Studios site.</p> <p>We strongly urge the Council to reject Quantum's proposal and allow the residents to develop non invasive proposal which will preserve the integrity of Udney Park as a community amenity for future generations.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
283	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space and believe the current status of OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p> <p>How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? The land is private and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone, being obscured from view and surrounded by residential housing. I do not believe it meets the required criteria for LGS.</p>
284	G W Hawkins	<p>The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space.</p> <p>The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.</p>
285	James Balderstone	<p>The designation of Local Green Space (Map proposal changes) will fail to support the needs of our community under Local Plan policies LP28; LP30 and LP31; making it unsound.</p> <p>Quantum's recommendations are more in line with what the people need – a safe place for elderly people to live, as well as the means for people to have access to sport and other community facilities including a much needed GP surgery.</p> <p>This land is private land and so is of no benefit to the general community. Most people in Teddington have never accessed the site so it does not fit in with the LGS criteria of being value to the community. In addition, it looks rather empty and run down so I do not agree it has special qualities. With all these points above I do not agree it is sound to make this land Local Green Space.</p>
286	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to defend the status of the land near Udney Park Road. I understand this land could become Local Green Space, which in my opinion as a local resident should not happen.</p> <p>This land in its current form does not particularly hold any significance and for many years it was private land that was only used by those who could afford to rent the land for events or activities.</p> <p>Many residents across Teddington supported the proposals for extra care housing, a new health centre and sporting facilities. If this land is to become Local Green Space then it will surely make it very difficult for these much-needed facilities to be brought to Teddington, which would greatly benefit the area and residents across the borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
287	B M Szczygiel	<p>This is private land that before Quantum's ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most of us.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space, but rather it is being contested for the benefit of handful residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we are missing a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, scouting, health care and public open space.</p>
288	Peter Roberts	<p>I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land.</p> <p>The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community.</p> <p>The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum sound like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing.</p> <p>The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be.</p> <p>Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into Local Green Space and would be unsound to do so because it not taking into account the wider needs of the community and policy that supports this need.</p>
289	J Tridgwell	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
290	Alex Jones	<p>I am writing to put forward my opinion in relation to the proposed change of designation to Local Green Space upon Udney Park Playfields in Teddington. I worry that if this land is granted this status then the community will be denied essential services. I understand the need to ensure that development is not inappropriate and too dominating, and I do not wish for Teddington to become over developed.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>However, from looking at the plans put forward by the land owner, considering they only want to build on a small section compared to the overall size of the site I would not consider this as overbearing. Especially, when you take into consideration what they propose to build, and the subsequent positive impact these services could have. The OOLTI designation protects the land from any inappropriate development, so I do not see the need to give the land LGS status instead. If it is given the Local Green Space status, the alternative means that it will simply remain as a basic field that hardly meets any of the community's greater needs.</p>
291	Name unclear	<p>I do not approve of the proposed modifications to the Local Plan in relation to the private land at Udney Park.</p> <p>The land does not meet the LGS criteria. As the land is private land it makes very little contribution to the meaningful character of the area as most of the site is currently restricted from view.</p> <p>Existing sports facilities are basic but with investment and open access far more people could benefit from it. Designating the site as LGS will restrict any potential benefits to the community.</p>
292	Mr I Dyer	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
293	Emma Heard	<p>This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most of us.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space, but rather it is being contested for the benefit of handful residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we are missing a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
294	Gregory Spragg	This land is private land so I do not understand how making it Local Green Space will be of benefit to the local community and the Borough of Richmond? Quantum's ideas of gifting most of the area to the community, enabling a new health centre to be built and building extra care housing for older people should be supported and I believe making this land Local Green space contravenes Policy LP28, Policy LP30 and Policy LP31 of the draft local plan and therefore making the Local Plan unsound. I do not support the Local Plan proposals to designate the site as Local Green Space and do not consider that requisite criteria for LGS have been met.
295	Gertrud Lesbirel	I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status. LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and don think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.
296	Name unclear	I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status. LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and don think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.
297	Mrs J C Hawkins	I do not agree with the site being designated as Local Green space and I do not believe the Council has demonstrated why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria. The Inspector's recent decision to remove several of the criteria from the list of criteria for LGS demonstrates the LGS designation was based on inadequate evidence and was not consistent with national policy. In addition, The Council's views do not represent the views of the local community as required for LGS status as the 2 minority groups, (the FUPPF's and the Teddington Society) did not actively consult members of the community. As a local resident I had no opportunity to share my views with these groups. I support the planning Inspectors original decision to keep the site as OOLTI.
298	Name unclear	I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS).

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view.</p> <p>The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character.</p> <p>If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>
299	Cilian Bhuta Mahdi	<p>The designation of Local Green Space (Map proposal changes) will fail to support the needs of our community under Local Plan policies LP28; LP30 and LP31; making it unsound.</p> <p>Quantum's recommendations are more in line with what local people need – a safe place for elderly people to live, as well as the means for people to have access to sport and other community facilities including a much needed GP surgery.</p> <p>This land is private land and so is of no benefit to the general community. Most people in Teddington have never accessed the site so it does not fit in with the LGS criteria of being of value to the community. In addition, it looks rather empty and run down so I do not agree it has special qualities. With all these points above I do not agree it is sound to make this land Local Green Space.</p>
300	Pam Fowler	<p>I strongly oppose the criteria for Local Green space in the Local Plan and the impact this will have on the site at Udney Park.</p> <p>The grounds are currently just as a field, which serves no benefit to the local community. The current boundary is made up of unattractive, dilapidated fencing with untidy hedges around the perimeter, so I do not understand why this space justifies Local Green Space designation. As a resident and member of the local community I feel designating these grounds Local Green space will hinder the community from benefitting from this great opportunity and for what purpose</p> <p>As space becomes a premium, we need to maximise the use of under-utilised land and grasp this opportunity to deliver real social benefit by securing the future of the site – changing it from a private enclosure for the privileged few to a real community asset.</p>
301	Clio Peake	<p>I do not agree in making this space Local Green Space and with the modification. The main reason I do not agree with this is I cannot understand how making it LGS will benefit the local community. I understand this land is private land and the only people who can access it are the owners or people who have a license</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>granted by the owners. The site must be of particular significance and value to the community and be demonstrably special. How can something which is private be significant to the local community other than in a negative way? As far as being special is concerned the site is run down, the clubhouse is falling apart and regularly vandalized, most of the trees are outside the boundary and the existing trees and hedges are unkempt.</p> <p>I agree with the Planning Inspector that this site does not meet LGS criteria and therefore the local plan is unsound.</p>
302	Juliet Eaglesfield	<p>I understand there is a chance that the land at Udney Park will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I do not understand why the Planning Inspector's decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit the local residents. In its current state, the site doesn't even seem to meet with the Council's criteria for LGS and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone.</p> <p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than a handful of residents (FUPPFs and Teddington Society) who claim they represent the local community.</p>
303	Name unclear	<p>The site makes very little contribution to the character of the area because the majority of the site is screened from view and all of it is inaccessible. Without public access to the site and without a visual benefit through lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! For those few that are allowed onto the site, there are currently very limited recreational facilities, yet I know there is an unmet demand for outdoor recreational space in the Borough as identified in the Local Plan. I support the current planning application for the site and consider that a Local Green Space designation is both unjustified and detrimental to the chances of creative solutions being found for the site.</p>
304	Nina Jasavala	<p>I am writing to the proposed land designation of the Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I feel at current tis land is underutilized and underused- and is hardly a space of tranquility and beauty. Designation as LGS must be considered in parallel with the delivery of sustainable development in the Teddington area and the investment in enough homes, jobs and other essential services.</p> <p>The plans submitted by Quantum offer sustainable development for much needed extra housing, jobs for healthcare AND a recognised, much needed GP surgery for Teddington. This is my opinion on the public</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		consultation about a revised designation for the Udney open space. As a member of the Teddington Community, I wish for this opinion to be noted.
305	Tom Aldwinckle	<p>I wish to express my concern about the designation of Local Green Space for the Udney Park Road site. If the land becomes Local Green Space, then nobody will touch it and we will end up with a useless piece of land. Not a great legacy for future generations and a stop gate in the way of possible opportunities that will benefit everyone. The site is private land, existing sports facilities are basic to say the least and the only people who think it has local special qualities with value to the community are immediate neighbours looking onto the site.</p> <p>The current OOLTI status is more than enough to ensure it is currently protected, however still allows the possibility of future suitable and justified proposals.</p>
306	Monika Kennedy	<p>I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LBRuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.</p>
307	Judith Rogers	<p>I would like to make an objection to the removal of the LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Field. Udney Park has been a community asset for 100 years, as a playing field which is still greatly needed as Teddington becomes ever more densely populated. This also applies to its home for bats and other wildlife and a vital green lung.</p> <p>There have been recent luxury developments in Teddington and currently 300 luxury flats going up by the river. More development of this nature is not needed.</p> <p>When Quantum bid for this site they knew it was a war memorial site with a covenant for amateur sport, so their planning proposals are completely inappropriate.</p>
308a	C Bourgeois	<p>I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has not particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character.</p> <p>If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>
308b	C Bourgeois (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of OOLTI for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
309	Name unclear	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS.</p> <p>Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained.</p> <p>The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.</p> <p>I am a member for the Teddington Community and this is my own view regarding the proposal for a modified Local Plan designation at Udney Park.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
310	Jean-Marc Aluernhe	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access t this private land prevents the community from creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
311	Viv Webb	<p>Please note my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Teddington.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
312	Teresa Seall	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park. The removal of LGS status from Udney Park is against policies to protect open spaces. The park has been used for a century for the provision of open recreational space for sports facilities for local people and was donated to the community for this use. This public land should not be used for commercial development of any sort.</p>
313	Carol and Martin Sands	<p>We are Teddington residents and would like to object to the removal of Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park. Our reasons are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. 2. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. 3. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.
314	Ian and Kat Brockman	Please don't remove the LGS (local green space) protection status From Udney Park. Please don't build flats on the site of Udney Park Playing fields. My son has played football there and we need our green spaces for the young in the borough. Parks are for people not for profit. There are bats living there and there are already enough new flats being built in Broom Road on the site of Teddington Studios.
315	Robin Andrew	<p>I absolutely object to the removal of LGS status at the Udney Park playing fields. These are beautiful playing fields and have been for a very long time and are supposed to be protected by covenant . There is no local need for luxury flats there .</p> <p>The developers took a punt on this and have played fast and loose with the facts and local feeling about their proposed project. They should not be allowed to build on the playing fields which I played sport on as did my children and their children should be able to do so too. Their health and happiness and that of future generations depends on facilities like this being protected for future generations.</p>
316	John Meredith-Smith	I support the designation of Udney Park Other Open Land of Townscape Importance.
317	Jonathan Dodd	<p>I would like to register a personal objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>As a long time resident I am very aware of the significance of Udney Park - the space is home to a variety of important and protected wildlife and there is a general shortage of open space for the purposes of recreation and sports in the area</p> <p>This is in addition to its historical significance to the area and the recent building of a significant number of new apartments in the area.</p> <p>I truly hope that LGS status will be retained.</p>
318	John Egan	<p>I am writing to express my concern at the possible withdrawal of the LGS status for Udney Park road</p> <p>I am appalled and horrified that this is even being considered by the council when we have a such a shortage of playing fields in the area. We should all be aware of the growing issue around youth obesity and how important it is to give our children the opportunity to play sport. This changes people's lives and its everyone 's responsibility to ensure we keep as many facilities available for a young people. Please please a decision over turned can never bring the green spaces back.</p> <p>In addition these fields were left specifically bequeathed for the community as sports, it is totally immoral for any of this wonderful space to be given up for any potential for development.</p>
319	Ruth Featherstone	I would like to object to the plan to remove the Local Green Space at Udney park This is an important local site and facility

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
320	Ben Tomlinson	<p>As a local resident, I have been following the events at these grounds over the past few years. I want to see the best for the site and support the proposals being put forward by the landowner, the benefits of which are undeniable. It annoys me that some local residents are playing up the significance of the site to try and get this Local Green Space applied, in turn stopping any proposals that may break the status quo and actually be beneficial to people like me.</p> <p>I really fail to see how the site has any significance – after all currently it is just a field! People talk about the “significance” of Lord Beaverbrook – this is rubbish! If every single building/field/site that was named after someone centuries ago was “significant” then massive amounts of building across the country would never have been allowed to happen!</p> <p>With imagination and control, the site could be used in a way that would benefit many more than it currently does and could become a valued community asset with facilities that would benefit the whole community. In order to achieve this the site designation does not require and should not be designated as Local Green Space</p>
321	Name unclear	<p>I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LRBuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.</p>
322	Name unclear	<p>I would like to set out why I think the grounds do not meet the criteria required for LGS. The land has always been privately owned with very few people from the wider community having access to the site. It's not particularly beautiful; it has very loose historical significance but no more so than any other building named by a rich benefactor years ago. I didn't know it existed until this consultation issue was brought to my attention. I certainly was not consulted on the original application for Local Green Space.</p> <p>Furthermore, I understand there is an opportunity for this land to be gifted in perpetuity to the people of Richmond with the added benefits of housing for the elderly, a new health centre and state of the art sports facilities. I believe LGS would hinder this opportunity and any other creative solutions that may come forward. The site has enough protection to ensure we get the right future for the site. For these reasons I firmly believe the site should stay as it is.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
323	S Lalenia	<p>As someone who works with the local Pharmacy in Park Road, I wish to object to ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space in the Local Plan. I cannot understand how designating this site as Local Green Space will enhance or improve the land which currently has no particular significance to the local community as it is private, and access is prohibited for most people. I understand Local Green Space need to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted.</p> <p>If this goes ahead it could deny the local community the opportunity to benefit from this private land becoming open to the public. There is under provision for suitable accommodation for the aging population in this area and Quantum's plans will help address this. Also, their plans will help facilitate social interaction and general community wellbeing and happiness which is a policy proposed (LP28) in Richmond Councils Local plans. I also like the idea of Quantum building a new doctor's surgery – as this will clearly benefit not just the residents of their development but many local people who live near the playing fields – the existing doctors surgery is over-stretched to bursting and a new surgery is urgently needed, something I hear about all the time from the patients who visit our pharmacy.</p> <p>OOLTI provides the correct level of protection for the site yet still provides an opportunity for the site to become special and of value to the local community in the future.</p>
324	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5. acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
325	Max Hogben	<p>I am writing to you to object to the proposed removal of the "Local Green Space" status of Udney Park. Udney Park was correctly given the status of LSG and it should not under any circumstances be removed. Udney Park is crucial to the people of Teddington. It provides much needed green space in an area which provides desperately little alternatives for children to play sport.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for a century and is a war memorial donated for playing sport. It provides a habitat to many species of bats and birds and provides a brilliant facility for children and young adults to enjoy football, rugby and cricket.</p> <p>It is nothing short of a disgrace that the proposal to remove its LGS status is even being contemplated. Corporate greed can never be prioritised over children's upbringings, health and wellbeing, nature and sport. The bullies of Quantum should be ashamed of themselves and I sincerely hope you reject their nasty and disgraceful attempts to steal this donated and protected land from the children of the future.</p> <p>Parks are for people, not profit.</p> <p>I thus, unequivocally and passionately reject to Udney Park having its Local Green Space status removed, which would pave the way for its destruction from our community.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
326	Mike Bate	<p>I would like to register my disapproval of removing LGS status from Udney Park. I think it is poor form of the council to consider doing this due to pressure from a developer.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
327	Jack Kidd	<p>The threat to Udney Park War Memorial Playing Fields is nothing short of outrageous, an unethical punt on a unique and special place donated in good faith for amateur sport forever by my Grandfather Lord Beaverbrook, one of the most significant figures in British political, military and industrial history in the last century.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>To seek to build on any War Memorial Playing Field is a short-sighted and frankly anti-social investment, London needs imaginative regeneration not raids on its dwindling stock of sports pitches. Those wealthy folks that put money into schemes such as trying to build on Udney Park should be ashamed of themselves. No doubt the returns from building on Parks are enormous, the damage to legacy and society is much greater if they succeed and the public resources spent defending these unwelcome attacks could be better used elsewhere if the speculators respected Policy and protected playing fields.</p> <p>Please allow me to provide a history lesson. Lord Beaverbrook was the only person to serve in BOTH war-time cabinets. He was a close confidant and best friend to Churchill for decades. In WW2 Lord Beaverbrook made a decisive contribution to the nation's defence (some historians argue the most significant contribution after Churchill himself) after Churchill appointed him Minister for Air Production when Britain was ill-prepared to defend itself. Beaverbrook transformed the entire manufacturing process dramatically increasing the air worthy fleet in time for the Battle of Britain, and subsequently was made Minister for Supply, responsible for the entire military logistics operation. Churchill said in his own war-time memoirs "<i>I felt sure our lives depended on the flow of new aircraft; Beaverbrook played a decisive part in our salvation</i>"</p> <p>Udney Park was originally opened in 1922 in memory of the fallen by Viscount Cave, WW1 Home Secretary, you can still watch the ceremony on Pathe News. Lord Beaverbrook was a generous man whose benevolence rebuilt St Mary's Medical School in 1930's and he also bought and gifted Udney Park in 1937. The covenants, still on the deeds, state that Udney Park is donated with a single purpose for "amateur sport", and were written in the strongest terms permissible in English Law at the time of the gift by one of the leading industrialists of the period, Beaverbrook's permanent intentions for Udney Park could not be clearer.</p> <p>I am delighted that the local community is showing such fighting spirit in the face of an enemy with deep pockets and eyes on a vast fortune, their commitment to saving Udney Park is clear evidence how special the Park is; how much the playing fields are needed and loved by the people of Teddington and by virtue of their donor come as a War Memorial with much historical significance. The Friends commitment to remember Lord Beaverbrook in a community-led future at Udney Park is to be commended, the least we should do is safeguard his generous gift in Teddington from unwelcome threats and commemorate his service to Britain. Hence, I urge those who decide, please do not remove Local Green Space protection at Udney Park</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
328	Marshall Christie	I object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields - Udney Park has been special to the local community for many years and was donated under covenant for amateur sport. It has recreational value for the community as well as being home to 8 protected species of bats
329	Carolyn Pemberton	<p>I applauded LBRUT's decision to apply LGS protection to Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington in 2016.</p> <p>I understand that Quantum, potential developers of this open local area wish to overturn this decision and I wish to register MY OBJECTION TO ANY OVER TURNING OF THIS DECISION. I TOTALLY OBJECT TO THE REMOVAL OF THE LOCAL GREEN SPACE STATUS OF UDNEY PARK PLAYING FIELDS for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a central green space within Teddington that must be protected as the LBRUT rightly decided in 2016 and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ such a policy and all policies that protect playing fields apply to both private and public land ○ the developers, Quantum must have been/were aware of this when they made their bid for development • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under a covenant for amateur sport • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years • there are insufficient playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home • whilst I understand that there is a need for affordable housing I can not accept the building of such in LGS; brown-field sites should be used for housing development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ luxury apartments built for profit, taking away LGS is totally unconscionable - (300 such are under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites) <p>I urge my borough to uphold its decision in the interests of the local people and local sports in relation to Udney Park Playing Fields and to refuse any removal of its LGS status</p>
330	Sue Conder	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. <p>Any other reason you feel strongly about, though this is not an Objection to the Planning Application, just the removal of Local Green Space status.</p>
331	Stewart Colley	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space Status for Udney Park. It has been playing fields for more than 100 years and was donated to the community for this purpose. It is outrageous that commercial development should even be considered.</p>
332	Jenny Gilbert	<p>I wholly object to the development proposed in Udney Park - we cannot let our playing fields and green spaces be taken for housing development. These areas are important to the community for wellbeing and ecology. We have a national problem with obese young people and taking away playing fields and providing less opportunity for sport only exacerbates this issue.</p> <p>Teddington also has a new development of 200 flats yet to be occupied.</p> <p>Please do not give up our precious green space to developers whose sole objective is to make money and not take into account the impact on a local community</p>
333	Marie-Louise and Stephen Drake	<p>My email is to object strongly to removal of Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park is essential for the community, not only for the playing pitches but a vital part of the local ecology, homing 8 protected species.</p> <p>Teddington doesn't need anymore flats and more construction will cause traffic and safety issues to the nearby primary school.</p> <p>Quantum are obviously wanting to remove the LGS status for pure profit reasons.</p>
334	Sue McNuff	<p>I have concerns that Udney Park is under threat to lose it's LGS status. I strongly support the LGS remaining and the green space remain as it is.</p> <p>Udney Park has a lot of history as a green space and was donated under covenant for sport.</p> <p>As an Olympian, I know what sport has given me in life. We encouraged our children to also play sport and they have grown into well balanced responsible citizens. More than ever, in this day and age, we need to be encouraging our children to get involved in sport - it really can help keep them keep out of trouble and set them on the right path. In particular, team sports set up such good life values. These sort of team sports can be played at Udney Park.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have many local teams for children with waiting lists . Doing anything to Udney Park that threatens the size of the space available will be to the detriment of the future generations.Please help protect this space by leaving the LGS status in place. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites. • Any other reason you feel strongly about, though this is not an Objection to the Planning Application, just the removal of Local Green Space status.
335	Paul Hansell	<p>I would like to register my objection to the removal of the Local Green Space designation that is currently attached to Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>It is not right that Udney Park, which was donated under covenant for amateur sport, should fall victim to housing developers. There are already some 300 flats under construction within half a mile of Udney Park. Furthermore, Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years - there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally.</p>
336	Nadia Day	<p>I object to the removal of LGS at Udney Park gardens, Teddington. It should not be lost to provide space for luxury flats and a larger surgery. This is a key area of green space in Teddington and makes Teddington the leafy quiet suburb that it is next to busy Richmond and twickenham.</p> <p>The whole public of Teddington is opposing the removal of this public green space and the residents' objections should take president.</p>
337	Jackie Pearson	<p>Please note my objection to the removal of LGS from Udney Park playing fields for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The playing fields are vital to the health and wellbeing of the community and are used by many local clubs for this purpose. 2. The population is growing in the area so there is more demand for green space and sports clubs.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>3. Richmond council has given permission for a huge number of dwellings in the area recently rapidly increasing demand on open space, and roads, and traffic so this space is even more important today</p> <p>4. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>5. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>6. Udney Park is a war memorial and should remain protected as intended</p>
338	Penny Ward	I would like to oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI as regards the Udney Park development.
339	Patricia Hyde	<p>I am writing to object to the application to remove Local Green Space (LGS) designation for Udney Park Playing Fields. These field were given to the community and have been playing fields for over 100 years, that is the purpose for which they were given. They create green space in the centre of our town and are an intrinsic part of the open feel of Kingston Lane. We have a shortage of playing fields in the area and should not allow profit seeking parties to take away community assets, when the community wants and can pay for those assets, were they not outbid by interested parties who are out of keeping with local wishes and needs. We have a massive development of flats and houses going up on the river where the old Teddington studios were, they have sold 2, with plenty more available. Teddington needs open space and sports facilities, not more overpriced flats.</p> <p>We should not allow this wildlife significant space be subject to massive disturbance and partially covered with concrete. Please turn down the request to remove LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
340	Jane Hansell	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS from Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>The park is a war memorial that was donated under covenant for amateur sport. The original intention therefore was to keep this valuable green space to allow people to engage in sport that is so important for people's physical and mental well-being. This covenant should be respected.</p> <p>There is a great shortage of playing fields locally with several clubs not having any permanent home. The green spaces are what makes Teddington (and indeed the borough of Richmond in general) special. Excessive building will destroy that and once done cannot be undone.</p> <p>We already have too many luxury apartments in the area – such as the huge development currently being built next to Lensbury, adding more pressure to traffic and facilities, unaffordable for ordinary people and probably mostly standing empty, being bought by 'investors'.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.
341	Jane Harnden	<p>I am writing to object to removal of local green space status for Udney Park. My reasons for this are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park has long-term status as a playing field – ever since I moved to Teddington in 1991 but dating back long before that. 2. It is unusual in comparison with other local green space in being a playing field (at least one available for use of the community) and that use must be retained in full for reasons of public health as well as amenity. 3. The site is an important strip of green space between densely populated narrow roads and is totally unsuitable for mass housing development. 4. The developers were aware of the covenant on the site and the fact that the protection of the playing fields would continue to apply when they purchased the land. 5. I only became aware of the bid to remove green space status when a woman knocked on my door about a week ago. She stated no affiliation and told me about this in a roundabout way as if to give me the impression that she was looking for support to protect the site. Even when I asked who she was representing and what she was after from me, she continued to be vague. Although I am not a member of the Friends of Udney Park I am well read about the issue so smelt a rat. I am extremely concerned about Quantum’s tactics in trying to garner support for the loss of green space protection since they seem to be going about this in a very deceptive manner, at least from my experience. Any petitions they present (I still don’t know if that is what she was trying to do) should be treated with extreme caution.
342	Diana Bucknall	<p>I strongly object to the removal of the LGS on this land</p> <p>I endorse the objections to building on this site that you will have have previously heard some of which are listed below:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
343	Adrien Farrabee	<p>I wish to lodge an objection to the removal of Udney Park from Local Green Space status, this area needs green spaces rather than yet more developments. Having local playing fields is vital to a community, they aid health and well being as well as adding beauty and are somewhere for wild life to live. I am astonished that the council in such a built up area would even consider allowing houses to be built on long established playing fields and I wish to object in the strongest possible terms.</p>
344	Richard Hughes	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of the LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields on the grounds that they are of special value to the local community.</p>
345	Chris Deavin	<p>This needs to be kept as playing fields for the children of the area. There are not enough playing fields already. I object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park.</p>
346	Neal Hostettler-Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
347	Gillian Hughes	<p>I object to the above on the grounds because of its recreational value to the local community.</p>
348	Richard Jell	<p>We need to protect our green spaces in the borough. There is no need for more housing of this type.</p>
349	Simon Tolson	<p>As a Strawberry Hill resident and someone who has had children, I feel strongly we should not be losing recreational green space. Hence I register my objection to it being given up. Once lost it goes forever.</p>
350	Julie Hostettler-Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
351	Matthieu Comard	<p>I strongly <u>OBJECT to the REMOVAL of the Local Green Space Protection</u> for the Udney Park Playing Fields</p> <p>1. Green Space is a Community Asset, with significant health benefits to the entire local community - irrespective of who owns it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Living within ½ mile of Green Space significantly reduces the incidence of >15 diseases including depression, anxiety, heart disease, asthma, diabetes and migranes. ie. there is a direct health impact on a large proportion of the community. (see: http://www.standard.co.uk/comment/comment/politicians-underestimate-the-value-of-green-spaces-in-a-great-city-like-london-a3569781.html) • The World Health Organisation provides a clear case on this matter: 4: Conclusions: (from Page 25). Urban green space is a necessary component for delivering healthy, sustainable and liveable cities. Urban green space interventions can deliver positive health, social and environmental outcomes for all population groups, particularly among lower socioeconomic status groups. There are very few, if any, other public health interventions that can achieve all of this. Green space should be available to all residents as a part of their daily surroundings. <u>This applies to both small-scale and large-scale green spaces, irrespective of categorizations into private or public spaces or functionalities. Be it the remote view of green space within the neighbourhood, the passive exposure to green space by having a walk</u> by the river or taking a break in a park, or the active use of green spaces through e.g. play, leisure or gardening – all kinds

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>of urban green space should be promoted through urban planning and governance across all sectors.</p> <p>Benefits and Evidence Base: (from Appendix 1 – p5): The World Health Organization (WHO) define health as ‘a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity’ (WHO, 1948). Research examining the health and public health benefits of access to green space is extensive and persuasive (Kuo, 2015). In particular, UGS has an important contribution to make to public health with potential physical, psychological, social, economic and environmental benefits (Bedimo-Rung et al, 2005; Bowler et al, 2010; Lee and Maheswaran, 2010; Lachowycz and Jones, 2011; Song et al, 2016). A growing body of evidence shows a relationship between levels of green space in the local neighbourhood and people’s health and well-being, especially for low-income and deprived urban populations (Mitchell and Popham, 2008; Maas et al, 2009; Mitchell et al, 2015). Lower exposure to green space has been associated with a number of lifestyle diseases such as obesity, Type II diabetes, osteoporosis and stress-related illnesses such as depression, heart diseases and mental fatigue (Ulrich, 2006; Mitchell and Popham, 2008). Evidence also shows that access to green space can promote physiological effects such as lower concentrations of cortisol, lower pulse rate and blood pressure, greater parasympathetic nerve activity and lower sympathetic nerve activity compared to urban environments (Park et al, 2007; Park et al, 2010; Lee et al, 2011; Song et al, 2016). These studies suggest that green space may offer opportunities to buffer or mitigate health outcomes for urban populations.</p> <p>source: http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0010/337690/FULL-REPORT-for-LLP.pdf?ua=1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large numbers of children (particularly from Collis & Teddington schools), as well as commuters using the station, walk & cycle along the playing fields daily, with related health benefits (including fresh air & psychological well-being). • Measures to improve community health are a policy priority across local, strategic, regional and national planning levels: protecting Local Green Space for the purposes of maintaining community health must therefore be fully in line with all levels of planning and government policy. <p>2. This is a Moral Issue: New Home Needs do NOT require Green Space Sacrifices.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • London's need for new homes can be met for the next 40 years without building on ANY Green Space (at current build rates). (See: CPRE report 'Space to Build: why we don't need to build on green spaces to solve the housing crisis: http://www.cprelondon.org.uk/). The GLA recently completed a Strategic Assessment of London Housing and has proven there is no need to build on Green Space. • Considering the interests of our children and grandchildren, it cannot be considered justified – on any level – to allow building on ANY Local Green Space UNTIL ALL brownfield sites and other opportunities have been exhausted. • Planning authorities clearly have a critical role to play in ensuring that Developers are obliged to focus on alternative (potentially less profitable) sites – and NOT Green Spaces. • Saving Green Space is in line with local, strategic, regional and national planning policies. The Mayor has committed London to be the World's first 'National Park City' by increasing green space. <p>3. Physical activity/ sport benefits Health; for this we need to PROTECT our playing fields.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical activity leads to healthy growth and social development in children. It reduces the risk of chronic disease and improves mental health in adults. • Among the government's key policies is to improve people's health by encouraging them to play sport: to do this we need to <u>SAVE our playing fields.</u> • The Developer has used clever marketing – and on-paper figures that do not add up – to argue that less space for sport & recreational activity can <i>allow</i> more sport & recreational activity. It CANNOT. Less space for sport and recreational activity will de facto allow less sport and recreational activity. (Any 'theoretical uplift' in numbers that can be achieved by artificial pitches and artificial light could be equivalently applied across a bigger area, or an equivalent portion of the existing fields). • Anyone with children who actually play sports on the fields (as mine do) will recognise that there is <u>already a shortage of space</u> during weekend mornings. Particularly the younger players are using every space available (mine have played/trained: on the patch between the club-house and car-park; on the strip between the club-house and the marked pitches; on the edge of the field along Kingston Rd etc) ie. there will simply NOT be enough room for all the existing teams that play if the area is reduced.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The data on the waiting lists that the sports clubs have, and further calculations of demand, only provide further evidence why 100% of the fields should be saved. • Furthermore, on the basis that we should wish to maximise REAL use (not the developer’s ‘on paper’ theoretical use), there is the need to maximise high ‘parallel’ use of the pitches (lots at the same time) – rather than high ‘serial’ use (one used in succession) as per the developer’s proposal. • Finally, there are Community Groups ready and willing to take on the fields – for community sport. It is shameful that the pitches were not sold to a Community Group in the first place. Given that the Developer chose to outbid local community groups, despite the council’s warning that Planning Permission would NOT be granted for a development, the <u>appropriate action is now to ensure the playing fields are suitably and comprehensively protected, by ensuring Local Green Space Protection is retained.</u> Making the fields worthless to developers is the ONLY effective way to ensure that they can be taken over by a true Community Group, and that 100% of the green space is retained for sports and recreational use. • It should be noted that this issue becomes an issue about Sports Fields in general, as opposed to just the Udney Park sports fields. IF developers can buy ONE low-cost (supposedly protected) sports-field here – and be allowed to develop on it, then <u>NO OTHER sports field is safe.</u> ie. There is a moral obligation to protect the FULL fields from Development so that they can instead be used 100% for sport / physical activity. <p>4. There are Health and Environmental risks associated with artificial surfaces.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasingly health concerns are being raised about artificial surfaces, with calls for these to be banned in some countries (US, Netherlands). Whilst it can be argued that much of the available data is inconclusive, independent scientists will note that in the absence of data, grass must be considered a safer option. • Similarly, from an environmental perspective, there is currently a lot of focus on the harm caused by micro-particles of plastic in our environment. Similarly to plastics, the surfaces of artificial pitches will be worn down, and micro-particles will leach into the soil and the environment. Environmental pollution by micro-particles can be avoided by avoiding artificial pitches in the first place – particularly in a location such as Udney Park where good quality grass

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>pitches already exist. Once micro-particles have leached into the environment, on the other hand, these will effectively be impossible to clear up.</p> <p>le. Considering health and safety, and environmental risks, it cannot be appropriate to support the replacement of grass playing fields with artificial surfaces.</p> <p>5. The supposed ‘benefits’ to the community have been cleverly marketed by Quantum but they do NOT outweigh the costs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A doctor’s surgery does not need to be built on Green Space; it can be built elsewhere. The patients have specifically been asked about this location already – and overwhelmingly rejected it. (For those who really would benefit from this location, there is already a surgery 200m away). <p>The health benefits of Green Space (above) and health benefits of retaining playing fields for sport and recreation (also above) FAR OUTWEIGH any argument for supporting the Development on the basis of being able to locate a new doctor’s surgery on the site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less space for sport and recreation CANNOT be better than more space for sport and recreation (see above). There are Community Groups who would work to make the whole site available for community sport and recreation. <p>6. Finally, I would like to raise strong concerns about – and objections to – the approaches used by the Developer to ‘gather support’ for their proposals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Doctors at the Park Road surgery have been ‘incentivised’ to support the Development. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It <u>must be</u> considered inappropriate for a Doctor (who is in a real position of power relative to patients) to solicit support – even passively – for the development in the doctors surgery. - Similarly, it <u>must be</u> considered inappropriate for a Doctor to allow paid Quantum staff to solicit support in the Doctor’s surgery (where patients may feel vulnerable or intimidated). - Similarly, it <u>must be</u> considered inappropriate for a Doctor (who is in a position of power relative to patients) to be using NHS patient details to solicit support by email. • The Directors of TAFC and TRFC have been ‘incentivised’ to support the Development. (I believe it is beyond any reasonable doubt that these organisations would be fighting tooth and nail against the Developer - to save the full playing fields - if they had not been given a vested interest).

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - it cannot be considered appropriate for these sports clubs to be using their lists of members to gather support by using their relative positions of power to ‘lean’ on members (including via direct contact by individual team managers). - it cannot be considered appropriate for local sports clubs to be using their lists of members to gather support by making threats: <i>“The fact that XXXX is currently allowed access is with the permission of the current owners (Quantum). This permission could be revoked by them.... at any point”.</i> - it cannot be considered appropriate that ‘incentivised’ parties would ‘lean’ on other linked sports groups over which they have power. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The TCSG CIC which has been used to market the Development as being “in the interests of the Community” implies that it is an independent group. It does not disclose that it is funded by Quantum – or that its’ marketing is Quantum’s. <p>I note that the (key and linked to) TCSG CIC page requests: “support your community”. It talks of the community owning the land - BUT it does <u>NOT mention the Development</u>. [please see attached] It certainly appears to be a community effort FOR Green Space. It certainly does NOT clarify that you would be supporting a massive development and 50% LOSS of Green space. This has led to confusion in the community. I have come across lots of people who believed that this was the community effort - against the Developer – to save the fields for the community. <u>I trust that this context will be appropriately taken into account</u> when this matter is considered. I also note that despite Quantum’s many promises to certain groups within the community, the Council Officer was clear when the Planning Application was considered that there is NO LEGAL OBLIGATION for Quantum to deliver on any of these ‘promises’ . The Udney Park Playing Fields are a Unique and Highly Valued Asset in the heart of Teddington. The Community wants to put in place a Community plan which will retain 100% of the green space for community use. Udney Park needs to be have its Local Green Space status retained to ensure it is protected for our children and grandchildren. [See Appendix 1 for Attached Images]</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
352	Caroline Hostettler-Smith	<p>I <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
353	Derek Devine	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being unmaintained. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for sports and recreational needs of the community. It doesn't hold any significance in our community in its current scruffy form – but it could. Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
354	Susan Kohl/Mrs. S. Roberts	<p>As a resident of Teddington, I am writing into the Local Plan consultation to give my view on the proposed designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road. Before the Spring of 2016 the site was only special for those who have the privilege to see it from the boundaries of their homes and clubs that were affiliated with Imperial College London. Since the implementation of the Teddington CIC and Quantum's ownership local clubs and groups including the scouts, Teddington athletic football club and Teddington Rugby Club have been able to access the private grounds. Quantum and the CIC's plans sound like the best opportunity that has been brought to the table to secure the future of this sports ground for the use of the majority. I therefore support the existing decision by the Planning Inspector to retain the site as OOLTI as I believe it offers the correct level of protection for the site and the possibility of a brighter future.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
355	Name unclear	<p>I do not want to see this land designated as local green space, and therefore do not support the local plan as it stands. I work in a local pharmacy in Park Road, which looks after the majority of patients of Park Road Surgery. The building is not fit for purpose and the patient numbers are far higher than they should be. It is a well known fact that Teddington is lacking in primary care space and one of their options is to relocate to the site at Udney Park Road. If the land does not retain its current OOLTI status then any opportunities to open up this private land to the public may not happen and the opportunity to address the dire shortfall in primary care provision will be lost. I do not understand how making this land LGS will help anyone? The criteria states in order for land to be designated as LGS it should have special qualities and of value to the community. The land is empty most of the time, the clubhouse is in a dire state and the public are unable to access the land without permission from the owners. How can the Council justify therefore the LGS status and what benefits would there be to the community in doing so?</p>
356	Name unclear	<p>I have been following the proposals to designate the grounds at Udney Park Road over the past couple of years and was surprised that the Council is having to re-consult on this issue. The developer (Quantum's) plans seem to have something for everyone and as I understand this land is privately owned, I think it is an opportunity not to be missed. I work for a Pharmacy in Teddington and we have very close links to the Drs surgery in Park Road who are at bursting point. I am aware they have been looking for alternative premises for years but neither the CCG or Council has come forward with a site. This has a detrimental effect on both the Drs and the patients. It seems unbelievable in such a lucrative area that the surgery at Park Road is not even DDA compliant. The local plan states that this site should be designated as Local Green Space. Why? As this site is private land, has no visual benefit through its lack of visual openness the site derives very little benefit to anyone, and the existing sports facilities are basic. How does this provide value to the local community? What special qualities does it have? It makes total sense to leave its status as OOLTI which provides adequate protection from inappropriate development yet offers an opportunity for the public to benefit in the future from this space. I do not agree with the designation of Local Green Space.</p>
357	Isabel Huet	<p>I believe that no additional local benefit would be gained by a change in designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' already protects the land in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development cannot take place. • The land must contribute to the Local Character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land must be predominantly open or natural • The land must have views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the local character • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>These are my comments. I would like to submit them to your department for consideration.</p>
358	I Taylorson	<p>I support the Inspector's decision to retain the above-mentioned private site within its current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI). I understand that the land owner is a developer who wishes to develop a small part of the site with affordable extra-care housing and that upon development the majority of the land would be gifted to a community interest company who would represent the interests of the wider community. I like the sound of this land being accessible to everyone, the creation of new and improved sporting facilities, a landscape path with a pond and a community orchard. Whilst I am against over-development, these proposals seem to provide a well-balanced approach that would benefit all of Teddington. A Local Green Space designation could make these plans very hard to go ahead. Therefore I believe it makes sense to retain the current OOLTI designation for Udney Playing.</p>
359	Sarah Clark	<p>I have been aware of the proposals submitted for this land from the landowners. Quantum and I am in favour for a new GP surgery to bought Teddington- this is an asset that we are very much in need of and we have been for a long time. If this land is to become Local Green Space, instead of its current designation of OOLTI then it would become incredibly difficult for a new health centre to be brought to Teddington and it would be of great loss to our community. It states in the local plan under policy LP28 that proposals for "new social and community infrastructure will be supported where it provides an identified need". The waiting list to join the current surgery at Park Road is very long, and we must surely be open to change when its so needed? For the above reason I object to the land being designated as Local Green Space.</p>
360	Jamie Foggon	<p>I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LRBuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
361	Renuka Rai	I do not agree with the Council's proposals to designate Udney Park land Local Green Space. My reason for this is it will result in preventing public access to this private land. I work with the pharmacy in Park Road and see first-hand how stretched for space the local doctor's surgery in Park Road is. Demand far outweighs capacity and they urgently need more space and new facilities for their patients. Quantum's plans will ensure Teddington will receive a much-needed doctors' surgery along with much needed extra care homes and sports facilities for the WHOLE community to enjoy rather than a select few as is the current case. The land is surrounded by solid fencing which on the whole prevents anyone from seeing into the site, it looks run down with broken fence panels, unpruned hedges and the clubhouse is always being vandalised by local youths. I cannot see how this land has special qualities in the state it is in now and the state it has been in for years. The Council must focus on the fact that this is private land and think about how making this Local Green space will benefit the local community. Making it LGS will not benefit the community at all, rather hinder any potential for making this space a real asset to the local community.
362	Caroline E. Taylerson	I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.
363	Richard Musson	If the status of the land at Udney Park Road is changed from OOLTI to LS it will deny the opportunity for the residents of Teddington to benefit from this currently under-utilised piece of land. I do not believe there is any valid reason to designate this as LGS and I think the plan is unsound. The Borough needs – elderly housing, community facilities and more GP surgery space. OOLTI status will ensure the land is protected to a sufficient level without inhibiting the opportunities to enable the whole community to benefit from this space for the first time in many years. I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to keep this land as OOLTI and recognize that currently it has no real value or significance to anyone.
364	Shirley Prince	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
365	Veronica Flynn	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.
366	John Cullen	I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space. The land has always been privately owned with very few people having access to the site. I understand there is an opportunity for this land to be gifted in perpetuity to the people of Richmond with the added benefits of housing for the elderly, a new health centre and state of the art sports facilities. Making this land Local Green Space could make this impossible. The decision to make it LGS would fail to support the needs of our community under Local Plan Policies LP28, LP30 and LP31 making it unsound. Importantly though I'd like to state that the site is not particularly special at the moment. It's private, mostly hidden from the surrounding area and it's not particularly beautiful. Therefore, it doesn't satisfy the criteria for Local Green Space.
367a	Pam Hodgson	<p>The land at the moment has limited access, but with the addition of a much needed GP surgery, affordable housing and inclusive recreational activities is could have far more benefit and be open to all. I believe that recent development proposals may address current issues in terms of the physical appearance of the site. It conveys a disused and neglected feel, perpetuated by a poorly maintained boundary.</p> <p>Within Quantum's proposals is a plan for a new riding arena – this would provide a much-needed asset in our local community for our children. Riding makes a big difference physically, mentally and the improvement of ones wellbeing and a new rising area would give an invaluable resource for children of all abilities.</p> <p>If it is given the rights of Local Green Space then I question what benefits at all his would being especially when we look at the offer on the table.</p>
367b	Pam Hodgson	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a rundown field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		scrappy hedges. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast numbers of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area. This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.
368	Lucie Maxwell	<p>I am writing regarding the Local Plan and the new consultation on Udney Park Playing Fields. Firstly, I would like to state that I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to maintain the site as OOLTI. As a local resident, I am very familiar with this site and it only seems to be used sporadically and when Imperial College owned the site it was expensive for small clubs to hire. The clubhouse is very rundown, there is solid fencing bordering the site with scrappy hedges around the perimeter, so I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as "beautiful", there is limited recreational value in its current state and the only reason it is tranquil is because no one can access it. Therefore I support the current land designation of OOLTI.</p>
369	John Charalmabous	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation. The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni. No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
370	Amelia Burman	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and is certainly not described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
371	U Midgley	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”. I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
372	Name unclear	I write as a resident of Teddington who regularly uses the Park Lane stables and I wish to give my opinion on the considered designation of Local Green space to the Udney Park road site. The stables need space to be able to provide more of their services which are invaluable in providing therapy for disabled and underprivileged children in the local community. In the Quantum proposal for the land there is scope for a new riding arena which would help to cope with the demand for our waiting list. The land currently doesn’t give any value to the community, but with the improvement and advancement it could be so much more. The site is currently fenced off and other than the occasional lease of hire it is not available to the general public. So how is private land of this nature significant to the residents of Richmond? Therefore I oppose giving this land Local Green Space status.
373	H Gardner	I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park Local Green Space and these are my reasons why: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not in any way special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful – rather shabby and bland in appearance • There is no lasting historical significance with no fixed statue/memorial on the land so only a handful of people would even know about Lord Beaverbrook! • It currently has virtually no recreational value as it is private land, so the public do not have a right of way to use this land without permission from the owners • It is only tranquil because it is hardly ever used! Do the right thing and keep the current OOLTI status of this land – to enable the HWOLE community to benefit rather than a handful of NIMBY’s who just want to protect their view and the status quo for their own benefit.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
374	Astrid Cooper	<p>I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land. The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community. The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum sound like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing. The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be. Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into Local Green Space and would be unsound to do so because it not taking into account the wider needs of the community and policy that supports this need.</p>
375	James Burton	<p>I understand there are discussions underway to consider the removal of LGS status from Udney Park - I would like to voice my objection to removing LGS status and my objection to any building work being planned for the park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years - generations of locals have played sports there and enjoyed the green space. We do not have enough playing fields locally and we should not be selling any part of them.</p> <p>The park is also a war memorial and should be respected as such!</p> <p>It is a vital area for wildlife such as protected bats and is part of an ecology network of parks and river embankments.</p> <p>We do not need more luxury apartments - there are plenty already in the area. Please leave our green space alone.</p>
376	Sally Heyhoe	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space Status for Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
377	Elizabeth Bickford-Sawkings	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites
378	David Bertram	<p>I wish to object strongly to any removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park. The place is a War Memorial, which alone should make any such step unthinkable. Beyond that, local sports facilities are in short supply and those that exist should be strongly protected. This is in addition to the conservation value of the park, which is not only rare green space but also habitat for protected bats. Some things are incalculable in monetary terms, but nonetheless of immense value. This site is one of them. I urge that the Removal of Status is emphatically rejected.</p>
379	Vici and Leo King	<p>Green spaces are vital in our communities. They offer room to breathe and to be with nature. There are many benefits to mental wellbeing and help promote active lifestyles. They offer places for people to interact socially with one another building a greater sense of community. They also have environmental benefits which include: filtering pollutants and dust from the air, providing shade and lower temperatures in urban areas, and they even reduce erosion of soil into our waterways. More information on all of the above can be found in this study by the University of Leeds: http://leaf.leeds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/LEAF_benefits_of_urban_green_space_2015_upd.pdf</p> <p>In addition to these benefits, an independent ecology report has identified that the playing fields are home to eight protected species of bat. Surely habitat's should be preserved wherever possible?</p> <p>We feel there is also a moral obligation to retain the land as a green space after Lord Beaverbrook 'gifted' the land to the community for amateur sport. Even more can be done to do this and to preserve this War Memorial site and honour the wishes of someone who contributed to the success of 2 World Wars.</p> <p>The playing fields also have huge potential recreational value to us personally. We have three young boys who play football and there is limited access to sports playing fields in our area. Their team, Hearts of</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Teddlothian, have to train in Whitton once a week at a facility cornering the A316 - A heavily congested road. Somewhere that you have to travel 20 minutes by car to reach, which not everyone can get to. It seems a shame when there is the opportunity to use a green space within walking or public transport distance for most players. I am sure this is the case not only for Hearts but for many other local sports too. The children also attend nearby Collis school and the plans submitted for the land raise concerns for us regarding traffic and safety. There are also the environmental benefits to the school should the land remain green, as mentioned above.</p> <p>We also find the behaviour of the developer concerning - misinformation and scaremongering in the community has been rife throughout their campaign, especially in relation to the Park Rd Drs Surgery. This leads be to believe the information provided by Quantum to be untrustworthy.</p> <p>Above all though we feel that there is no argument when it comes to preserving our green spaces - once they are gone you cannot get them back and we hope that you decide that Udney Park Playing Field should retain it's status.</p>
380	Becky Unsworth	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
381	Phillip White	<p>I would like to register my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park; this is an area that needs to be preserved for the local community due to it's recreational value, beauty, tranquillity and wildlife.</p>
382a	Roger Goodier	<p>I object to the proposed removal of LGS status.</p> <p>I also object to misleading statements made by doorstepibg representatives of Quantum claiming to represent "the community".</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
382b	Roger Goodier	<p>Further to my e-mail yesterday in which I objected to the removal of LGS status for the Udney Park Playing Fields, I hereby supplement my objections as follows:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several clubs have no permanent home; 2. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport; 3. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments; 4. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brownfield sites; 5. All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land; 6. The person who clearly represented Quantum who doorstepped me a few weeks ago seeking support for Quantum's application represented (incorrectly) that he represented the community and made no mention of "Quantum"; I suggest that any signatures obtained by or on behalf of Quantum by this means may well have been obtained by misrepresentation of material facts.
383	Sabina Bennett	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for the Udney Park playing fields. I am a local resident and the playing fields currently serve the community in ways that building upon it would not. There are many local sports clubs that require space, and even those that are currently able to use Udney Park are severely limited to a few pitches by Quantum. It would be great to see this playing field fully utilised for the intended purpose of amateur sport for which it was bequeathed. In an age where a sedentary lifestyle is increasing for many, it is imperative that we retain this space to encourage sports, and in doing so a healthy lifestyle.</p> <p>With the continued reduction of green space coupled with increasing pollution, maintaining and protecting this limited green space within our local community is vital for our health. From a nature standpoint the wildlife that currently exists at Udney Park will be greatly diminished, which will in turn have an impact on the local ecological balance.</p> <p>Richmond Borough prides itself on being 'famous for its greenery' - let's keep it that way. Once it's gone, it will be gone forever.</p>
384	Emma Poskitt	<p>I would like to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields. This facility is special to the local community because of its beauty, historic significance and its recreational value. There is a severe</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no home. I feel that to build upon it would breach, in spirit, if not in name the covenant for amateur sport. It also acts as a 'lung' for the central Teddington area, particularly important with all that we now know about the damage to health from emissions, and in view of expansion plans at Heathrow. Finally, there is really no need for more luxury apartments in the area - only a minuscule fraction of the 300 apartments built half a mile away have sold. I hope you will take this submission into account in your deliberations.
385	Joanne Baines	I am writing to register my dismay at the removal of LGS status from the playing fields. My children were lucky enough to benefit from this resource and I feel that other children should also have that opportunity. I walk passed it every day, and love the space it provides for wildlife and greenery in an area of housing, roads and shops
386	Marcus Hamilton	I wish to object to the proposed removal of LGS from the Udney Park playing fields. This open space should be preserved for the use of the local community and not destroyed for profit by the out-of-town property developers who are trying to railroad through their plans. There are already too many 'luxury flats' in the immediate area and building further similar apartments on a protected site would look highly suspicious if it was allowed to happen. I'm sure the council would agree.
387	Paul Sinton-Hewitt CBE	My name is Paul Sinton-Hewitt, I live in Strawberry Hill and am the founder of the Worldwide parkrun movement which started its life in 2004 in one of our local green spaces, Bushy Park, Teddington. I strongly Object to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields. Starting from our first event in Bushy Park, Teddington we are now represented in 20 countries with over 1,800 weekly events, managed by volunteers. We make use of green spaces to bring together local communities around the basic theme of getting active in a social event. In the UK parkrun hold over 700 events every week, by definition located in local parks, from which I have developed expertise on the topic of keeping community outdoor recreation space protected and available. I know from this experience how special amateur sport can be to a community's health and well-being. Our movement has been incredibly successful in assisting previously inactive people to become active, changing their lifestyles. In the Teddington catchment area, we have over 70,000 local people registered and managed by 3,000 volunteers. The thing that I am most proud of is the way in which our communities have developed in association with the creation and development of each and every parkrun event and Teddington is a great example of this.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I have followed closely the local case of Udney Park in Teddington, it is clear that in an area with a population interested in community sport there is a deficit of space for outdoor recreation, especially pitch sports. parkrun is keen to expand Junior parkrun, where children run independently. While we do not currently offer a parkrun in Udney Park, we have discussed the appropriateness of a Junior parkrun which allows children to run over a distance of 2km. Udney Park is located in the centre of an urban area, hundreds of children could walk or cycle there and hence Udney Park would be a perfect location for a junior parkrun, as the Park has a secure boundary of around 1km. An Udney Park Junior parkrun will be a great addition to the community and the first step in ensuring that our younger generation in Teddington starts out life with a healthy attitude to sociable physical activity.</p> <p>Despite all of the general protection of playing fields in Policy and the specific protection at Udney Park, and all we know about the health benefits of community sport and physical activity, some investors are still willing to “have a go” at overcoming the safeguards that surround playing fields and vital urban green space. Speculating to make the excessive profit margins produced if somehow green space is converted to building plots is an unethical investment, opposing schemes by well-funded developers wastes huge amounts of public resources and many volunteer hours, all of which could be more productively used if the investors respected Policy in the first place.</p> <p>By any reasonable assessment it is clear that Udney Park has significant “recreational value” as a playing field, ensuring that all of the park stays available to sport and recreation is a critical factor in protecting the long-term health and well-being of the local area.</p>
388	Rhian McHardy	Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years - there is a lack of playing fields locally, my sons play football and have to train elsewhere. This is an important green space in our community.
389	Terence Farley	I would like to express my support for the save Udney park campaign . Today more than ever we need playing fields for health reasons . Udney is also home to protected species and for the environment we need to keep Teddington's green spaces and not add to the luxury flat situation that now blights the riverside where the film studios where .
390	Georgina Brown	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wildlife: for example, several species of bat inhabit the playing field area and their habitat should be protected. • Historic significance: The field was donated as a war memorial under covenant for amateur sport and has been in use for over 100 years - as such it should be protected from development

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational value: There is a local need for green space for community use - central Teddington is heavily built up and there is a shortage of playing fields for sport locally, as demonstrated by the multiple community bidders for the site <p>I also dispute the need for further housing in the area when there are already several luxury and affordable housing developments underway within half a mile of the site.</p>
391	Karen Hindle	<p>I'm writing to voice my dismay and objection at the changes and building planned for Udney Park, and at the proposed removal of LGS protection.</p> <p>There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally, and several local clubs have no permanent home. There doesn't seem any need for more luxury flats, as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>And as I understand it, Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Please refuse Quantum's application and retain Udney Park as a green space for the community.</p>
392	Dr Jeremy Bryan	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park, Teddington. As a long-term resident of Teddington, I view the Udney Park green space as a significant contributor to local recreational facilities, with health and environmental benefits, consistent with government policy on health and the environment.</p>
393	Duncan Faircloth	<p>I register my opposition to removal of LGS from Udney Park on the following bases:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original gift under covenant for Udney Park was a sports playing field for use by the local community. Removal of that use would be contrary to original gift. 2. Udney Park provides access to facilities for Sports Clubs who do not own theirs, especially smaller clubs. 3. Such facilities are in very short supply in the locality. 4. Loss of open land directly impacts on the environment for people and wildlife in many forms.
394	Rachel Bide	<p>I am emailing to register my objection to the Planning Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space status on Udney Park Playing Fields from the Council's draft Local Plan.</p> <p>My reasons for objection are:</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields serves the community and is special to the community because it provides very important open greenspace and playing fields.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields is a very important community asset. It is badly needed as playing fields. It has been a playing field for over 100 years, and there is an acute shortage of playing fields in Teddington. Several local sports clubs with no permanent home need it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park Playing Fields is a very important large green space in an urban area that is becoming denser as more and more infill development eats away at our open space. Its location makes it a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. It is home to eight protected species of bat.</p> <p>It is also special to the community because it is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>For these reasons Udney Park Playing Fields must be protected from development and retained as local green space.</p>
395	Steve Nolan	<p>I would like to object to the removal of Local Green Space status for the Udney Park Playing Fields. My son played football for Teddington Athletic Football club for years at Udney Park Playing Fields, as do many other local children who play football, rugby and many other recreational activities.</p> <p>It is very important to keep Udney Park Playing Fields for all sports recreation, its rich wildlife, beauty and historic significance, as well as supporting the various local sports clubs that use it.</p> <p>This land must not be turned over to the building of more flats, luxury or otherwise. There is already plenty of the same being built in Teddington and the surrounding area.</p> <p>What is the point in there being a war memorial covenant for amateur sport on this precious land, if it is not upheld??</p>
396	Jessica Kemp	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
397	Hilary Gooch	I wish to lodge a formal objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Teddington is a much built up area and open space, particularly playing fields, are important community amenities. Udney Park plays an important role in sport for residents and for local sports clubs which currently do not have permanent 'homes'.</p> <p>Udney Park as an open green space has an environmental importance to the residents of Teddington. Trees, protected bats and other wild-life should not be sacrificed to the provision of luxury housing particularly In view of the large riverside development under construction.</p> <p>Finally Udney Park was left to the community as both a war memorial and to provide recreational facilities to local residents.</p> <p>I urge the planning committee to restore LGS to Udney Park Playing Fields</p>
398	Grant Kunneke	<p>This letter is to confirm my OBJECTION to the <u>removal</u> of Local Green Space designation of Udney park. Udney Park has currently a Local Green Space protection and was adopted in the LBRUT Local Plan 2017. This site is amongst other important designations and rightly so given the importance and value to the community. Udney Park is a substantial playing facility that was donated under a covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Amateur sport has been played for over a 100 years at Udney Park and has had public access in that period. It is a unique setting, with special qualities. It is a central green space that should be protected given that LBRUT Pitch Playing Strategy confirms a shortage of high quality playing fields. The London Plan and National Planning Policy additional confirm protections for sporting fields. So all policies to protect playing fields apply to BOTH public and private land.</p> <p>LBRUT prides itself on promoting sport and health and well being. To continue that message, LBRUT needs to ensure the Local Space designation protection is retained and that it complies with its own Pitch Playing Strategies, Local Plan, London Plan and National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>There are nomadic clubs and active community members repeatedly need the playing fields to further develop and equally for sport and its positive health and well being benefits are retained not only for local residents but also community users.</p>
399	Nicola Hanley	<p>I would like you to note my personal objection to the removal of local green space status for Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington.</p> <p>The basis for this objection is the following:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years and of historical significance to the local community. At a time when there is a severe shortage of open playing fields locally, I strongly object to the removal of this local green space. • My eldest child attends Collis Primary school opposite the fields & my middle child attends football classes on these fields weekly. I believe we should be preserving local green space for local families to enjoy. It is vital that local clubs have green space that children can enjoy & play sports on. The council should facilitate people having such space in their local area & not having to drive/travel far to enjoy green spaces. We should be encouraging families & children to take up more sport locally and green space is needed to do this. • We have lived in the area for over 5 years and I am greatly concerned about the impact of over development which in turn increases traffic pressure, affecting road safety & pollution levels in the area. This is especially a concern for families such as us with young children & another reason why we should be conserving local green spaces. • Teddington hardly needs another central green space to be turned into luxury housing and residences. There are already 300+ luxury flats under construction less than half a mile from this park on the riverside. It would be a disgrace to remove this green space from the community and build yet more luxury apartments that are unwanted by the existing local community. Not to mention the strain this housing would be put already stretched public services under such as local schools, doctor surgeries etc.. <p>I hope the council will consider the above objections when reviewing its decision.</p>
400	Philip Cox	<p>As a local resident, I strongly object to to removal of LGS at Udney Park. Udney Park has a long local history. The area should be used for local sports and use. The area needs protecting from development - particularly as within minutes of my home there have been multiple dense housing developments.</p>
401	Rebekah Morgan	<p>This private land which was never previously open to the entire public prior to a few years ago has the wonderful opportunity for the Teddington community to access the grounds on a permanent basis. I would support plans to progress the site which relate to areas I feel is sadly lacking. Anything which may enhance its physical attractiveness and improve the broken fencing and badly maintained grounds would be a bonus.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The proposal can only be a good thing for the local community, providing much needed housing, a doctor's surgery and lots of sports facilities. It's hard to see how anyone could oppose this when we look at the wide reaching benefits it could bring?
402	Bryan Gordon-Smith	This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space". It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance for the local community. It is private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognized for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
403	C Nunn	I wish to query why a minority of Teddington has influenced LBRuT to consider Udney Park as a potential local green space. Communications surrounding this decision in my opinion have been poor and excluded a full discussion. As a community member and customer of Park Land Stables, I wish to highlight Udney Park as a primary choice for Teddington to develop and improve sports and recreational facilities for our enjoyment. Wouldn't local green space designation narrow this opportunity? It is my understanding that in order to meet the needs of Local Green Space definitions the land should be demonstrably special to a local community. I would argue considering this land is private and has little community use how it could be so 'demonstrably' special. I look forward to seeing Udney Park reach it's full potential.
404	Name unclear	I am a local resident of Teddington and have been made alerted to the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields. I am aware of the proposals for sporting facilities, a community space including sporting and recreational activities, a GP surgery and much needed affordable homes. I understand the site is under proposal to become Local Green Space, and this will prevent public access to the site and community facilities including sports pitches and GP surgery will not be built. I believe we should take this opportunity to ensure that everyone can enjoy the space and maximise the use of this inaccessible under-utilised and undervalued land. It currently holds little recreational, tranquil or beautiful value but with improvements it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
405	Pete Doyle	I write to you to show my interest in the former ICL ground on Udney Park remaining as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance as it was accepted when the Local Plan was adopted on the 3 rd July 2018. I can see nothing that changes this previous designation and entrust the same decision is made again.
406	Name unclear	I wish to express my views that I am against the designation of the private land at Udney Park as Local Green Space and therefore do not agree with your local plan modifications. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS will prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community. The land is currently of minimal benefit to the community as you need a licence to access it – and thus gives little recreational value. LBRuT should take the advice of the appointed Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of residents who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space.
407	Name unclear	I understand it is a requirement that the application for Local Green Space is made by the community and holds “particular significance”. I am a local resident of Teddington and I was not consulted on this application and the groups who state they represent Teddington do not represents my views. The term “Local Green Space” is very misleading – the site is not particularly green and doesn’t contribute much for the purposes of open space provision because it is private and has limited open visual aspect at street level. Most people who live behind the immediate row of houses next to it aren’t even aware it is there! For these reasons I do not think it should become ‘local green space’ and should be given the opportunity to flourish with more creative proposals – ie the proposals by the land owner which would be of far more use to me.
408a	R Lawrence	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am well aware of the surgery’s desperation to find new accommodation that is suitable to accommodate the vast number of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS will close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for 13,000 patients in the area. I am part of the Teddington Community and this is my personal view of the various issues surrounding Udney Park.
408b	R Lawrence	The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain <u>‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’</u> . I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p>
409	V Bridges	<p>I have been made aware of the proposal to designate Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. I am writing to you, the local council, as a parent of a child that attends the riding stables at Park Lane. I understand that there have been proposals put forward by Quantum to provide a new arena for the riding schools in their plans- amongst housing, a GP surgery and sports facilities.</p> <p>As a borough we need more inclusive recreational facilities for children of all abilities which there is a shortage of at present. If this land becomes Local Green Space as a result sustainable development cannot be implemented. We need to look at the larger picture and what is needed in Teddington in order to meet the needs of our community.</p>
410	F J Pulford	<p>Udney Park fields should not be re-designated form other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space. The current designation is consistent with similar opens spaces in the borough like Teddington Lawn Tennis Club and Collis Primary School to just name a couple.</p> <p>I would therefore see no reason to consider making this change in the Local Plan.</p>
411	N Sykes	<p>I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status. LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and do not think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.</p>
412a	Helen Bridge	<p>I am writing regarding the Local Plan and the new consultation on Udney Park Playing Fields. Firstly, I would like to state that I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to maintain the site as OOLTI.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>As a local resident, I am very familiar with this site and it only seems to be used sporadically and when Imperial College owned the site it was expensive for small local clubs to hire.</p> <p>The clubhouse is very rundown, there is solid fencing bordering the site with scrappy hedges around the perimeter, so I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to the NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as “beautiful”, there is limited recreational value in its current state and the only reason it is tranquil is because no one can access it.</p> <p>Therefore I support the current land designation of OOLI.</p>
412b	Helen Bridge	<p>I would like to <u>OBJECT</u> to the removal of LGS status for the Udney Park playing fields in Teddington. With the shortfall of playing fields locally it is essential that local sports clubs are able to use this land for many years to come. This land is situated in the heart of the town and was originally donated under covenant for amateur sport therefore the land should retain the LGS status so that it can be used for its original intention. This land is also a haven for wildlife and it is essential that it should be protected against development with LGS status.</p>
413	C Walsh	<p>I have been made aware of the proposal to designate Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. I am writing to you, the local council, as a parent of a child that attends the riding stables at Park Lane. I understand that there have been proposals put forward by Quantum to provide a new arena for the riding schools in their plans- amongst housing, a GP surgery and sports facilities.</p> <p>As a borough we need more inclusive recreational facilities for children of all abilities which there is a shortage of at present. If this land becomes Local Green Space as a result sustainable development cannot be implemented. We need to look at the larger picture and what is needed in Teddington in order to meet the needs of our community.</p>
414	M Handor	<p>As a supporter of Park Land Stables, I wish to highlight this under recognised community asset which assists the quality of life for venerable children. Access to Udney Park would further enhance the stables ability to achieve for the local area. In its current state, Udney Park private land offers neither access, utility or even visual enjoyment and this needs to change. Currently, Udney Park is severed from the community in terms of its recreational use and holds little or no value. However, there are future opportunities for sporting proposals within recent development plans by Quantum.</p> <p>Despite this, without consultation to local residents, a proposal has been made to designate as local green space. As I understand, this would make purposeful and progressive development more difficult to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		achieve. I cannot support a designation of local green space. I was not consulted on this matter and express my opinion now.
415	Nicola Anderson-Gibbs	The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
416	Deborah Whiston	In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u> . I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation. The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni. No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else. The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.
417	Anna Cheggins	As a resident of Teddington who uses and values Park Lane stables, I would like to support improved access to Udney Park site/pitch, which would be ideal for us to use. We are struggling for space and Udney Park would be ideal. It's current status does not offer any value to the community, either physically in terms of access or it's neglected appearance and facility. It's a shame that such a large site has no true purpose at present. It lacks definition in terms of landscape or recreational access which is so obvious a solution. In contrast to this obvious need, an unwelcome decision seems to have been made to propose the site local green space. In my opinion, this will prevent development progress and deny the community of a much needed resource. Incidentally, I have not been consulted on the reasons for making Udney park local green space and question its validity. It is been closed off and neglected for years.
418	Name unclear	As a resident of Teddington who uses and values Park Lane stables, I would like to support improved access to Udney Park site/pitch, which would be ideal for us to use. We are struggling for space and Udney Park would be ideal. It's current status does not offer any value to the community, either physically in terms of access or it's neglected appearance and facility. It's a shame that such a large site has no true

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>purpose at present. It lacks definition in terms of landscape or recreational access which is so obvious a solution.</p> <p>In contrast to this obvious need, an unwelcome decision seems to have been made to propose the site local green space. In my opinion, this will prevent development progress and deny the community of a much needed resource. Incidentally, I have not been consulted on the reasons for making Udney park local green space and question its validity. It is been closed off and neglected for years.</p>
419	Paul Young	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to <u>Local Green Space</u>. There is considerable protection under its current designation <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>. It is safeguarded by the following: It is protected against Inappropriate Development. It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. Views in and out of the site must be maintained. It must remain Open and Natural in character. It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. The current designation allows for potential to appropriately develop the site into something special that may contribute to the greater local community.</p>
420	B Evans	<p>I support the Planning Inspector's decision to keep this land OOLTI. If the land is made LGS it will deny sustainable development in the form of extra care housing, sports facilities and a much-needed GP surgery for Teddington – this goes against NPPF guidelines.</p> <p>I understand the criteria for LGS are that the site is special to the local community and of particular significance. As this is private land, I fail to see how the land meets these criteria. It is in an urban area, is hardly ever used and looks “unloved”. It could be a wonderful space for the local community to enjoy. I urge the Council to keep the status as it is which offers the right level of protection.</p>
421	Name unclear	<p>I understand it is a requirement that the application for Local Green Space is made by the community and holds “particular significance”. I am a local resident of Teddington and I was not consulted on this application and the groups who state they represent Teddington do not represent my views. The term “Local Green Space” is very misleading – the site is not particularly green and doesn't contribute much for the purposes of open space provision because it is private and has limited open visual aspect at street level. Most people who live behind the immediate row of houses next to it aren't even aware it's there. For these reasons I do not think it should become 'local green space' and should be given the opportunity to flourish with more creative proposals – ie the suggestions by the land owner which would be of far more use to me.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
422	Cheryl Gaskin	<p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
423	Danni Waterman	<p>I look forward to Udney Park open ground being and an accessible, visually attractive, cared for community asset. For so long, this has not been the case.</p> <p>I have seen very little activity to enhance the site and realise it’s full potential, however a lot of effort has been made to make it a local green space, and in my view, make much needed improvement harder than it needs to be.</p> <p>Please explain the reasons for the sudden call to designate as local green space when it hardly meets any of the criteria to do so? I find it unreasonable that a handful of residents are playing it up the significance of the land for their own benefits and not the wider community. As a resident of Teddington, hope my opinion is taken into account.</p>
424	Georgina Lynn	<p>I understand there is a chance that the land at Udney Park will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I do not understand why the Planning Inspector’s decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit local residents. In its current state, the site doesn’t even seem to meet with the Council’s criteria for LGS and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone!</p> <p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than a handful of residents (FUPPFs and Teddington Society) who claim they represent the local community.</p>
425	Amelia Perkins	<p>This private land which was never previously open to the entire public prior to a few years ago has the wonderful opportunity for the Teddington community to access the grounds on a permanent basis.</p> <p>I would support plans to progress the site which relate to areas I feel it is sadly lacking. Anything which may enhance its physical attractiveness and improve the broken fencing and badly maintained grounds would be a bonus.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The proposal can only be a good thing for the local community, providing much needed housing, a doctor's surgery and lots of sports facilities. It's hard to see how anyone could oppose this when we look at the wide reaching benefits it could bring?
426	Mary Russell	I believe Quantum's proposals deliver real social benefit for everyone in the local community (ref 475) and the land should not be designated Local Green Space. The land in its current state does not fit with NPPF criteria as it cannot, in my opinion, be described as beautiful neither does it have recreational value or historic significance.
427	Alessia Mazzoni	As a frequent visitor to the Park Lane Stables, I feel passionately that this land at Udney Park Road could be used to a far the whole community and do not agree with the proposed designation. This valuable piece of land could hold venue to memorable community events in the future however nay sayers seem determined to be retain its insignificant for the future to match it's past.
428	Hannah Collard Gray	As a supported of Park Lane Stables, I wish to highlight this under recognized community asset which assists the quality of life for children with disabilities. Access to Udney park would further enhance the stables ability to achieve for the local area. In its current state, Udney Park private land offers neither access, utility or even visual enjoyment. I believe one of the key arguments to give the land the LGS status is because of its recreational value. I struggle to see the recreational merit that it brings currently, considering it is not very inclusive for all ages and abilities with a simple rudimentary field. With improvement, access and development it could a much more special place for the community to enjoy.
429	Sara Miller	As a supporter of Park Lane Stables, I wish to highlight this under recognised community asset which assists the quality of life for venerable children. Access to Udney Park would further enhance the stables ability to achieve for the local area. In its current state, Udney Park private land offers neither access, utility or even visual enjoyment and this needs to change. Currently, Udney Park is severed from the community in terms of its recreational use and holds little or no value. However, there are future opportunities for sporting proposals within recent development plans by Quantum. Despite this, without consultation to local residents, a proposal has been made to designate as local green space. As I understand this would make purposeful and progressive development more difficult to achieve. I cannot support a designation of local green space. I was not consulted on this matter and express my opinion now.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
430	Carmen Parfenie	<p>If this land is awarded Local Green Space then the much needed Riding Arena may not be able to go ahead.</p> <p>The land as it is currently is not inclusive- and the proposals put forward by Quantum would enable some wonderful opportunities.</p> <p>It will help all the volunteers, staff, members, parents, adults and children to have access to suitable facilities that will make a real difference to many people in our community. The planning proposals will bring some development to the area – but it they will also open up some wonderful opportunities for those in the community who may not be able to enjoy the amenities in our borough.</p> <p>Subsequently I do not support the proposal for this land to become Local Green Space.</p>
431	Lynne Buchanan	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p>
432	Silvano Rispoli	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS.</p> <p>Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained.</p> <p>The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.</p>
433	D Vickers	<p>I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have” special qualities” and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves” – as</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS.</p> <p>I believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.</p>
434	B Vickers	<p>I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have "special qualities" and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves" – as specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS.</p> <p>I believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.</p>
435	Pauline Gardner	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients.</p> <p>Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.</p>
436	Dennis Gilbert	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because:</p> <p>The site does not hold significance to the community.</p> <p>The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system. • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.
437	Emma Murray	<p>This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington.</p> <p>There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.</p>
438	Ian Blackwood	<p>I do not agree with the changes to the Local Plan to change the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space from OOLTI. The land is private land surrounded by roads on 3 sides in a busy suburban area. Public access is not allowed, and as such it cannot hold particular significance to the local community. By retaining its current status, which offers the right level of protection, it could enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.</p> <p>I understand that a Local Green Space designation needs to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted or given the opportunity to express my views.</p>
439	L Mulberry	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
440	Chris Gooch	<p>I wish to object to the removal of local green space for the Udney Park Playing fields. My objection is based on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are strong ethical and moral issues involved. I understand that the existing Udney Park site was donated to Imperial College under historic covenants that protect the site and require it to be used exclusively as a community sports facility, irrespective of ownership. Such protection of playing fields

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>applies to all public and private land. Several local clubs have inadequate playing facilities. The site is a designated war memorial.</p> <p>2. Teddington is already overdeveloped with very little open green space. There is currently a similar heavy development of luxury accommodation on a brownfield site at Teddington Riverside.</p> <p>3. There will be a very considerable environmental impact on an already fragile infrastructure. The additional dwellings would also add to an already overloaded drainage and sewer system and increase the risk of flash flooding in the event of unusually heavy rainfall. There will be an additional health hazard due to the inevitable increase in environmental pollution from motor vehicles.</p> <p>4. Such open spaces support fragile ecosystems and these need to be preserved. A significant tree loss will add to the ecological and environmental impact. There are also rare wildlife species that would be lost if the site is developed for residential use.</p>
441	Celia Till	<p>I would like to express my support for Udney Park to be redesignated Local Green Space. Udney Park was donated to the public for "amateur sport". It is a unique asset. It merits designation as Local Green Space on the grounds that it is demonstrably special to the local community, given its location, amount of green space, historical significance, regular use by the community for sport and other activities, and the wildlife it attracts.</p> <p>As Local Green Space, Udney Park could retain the eight sports fields and cricket ground (which I understand Quantum will cease) and community input into other use of the land and pavilion. Local Green Space was granted during the extensive Local Plan process. I don't see that changing this designation would be of any benefit to the local community or enhance Udney Park's capacity to serve the purpose for which it was originally donated to the public.</p>
442	Joanne McGuiggan	<p>I would like to submit my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing fields in Teddington.</p> <p>Udney Park was donated under a covenant specifically for the purpose of allowing the fields to be used for amateur sport. It is not acceptable for developers to attempt to carve the land up and argue that some sport can still be played there. 100% of the land should be used for this purpose as was envisaged when the land was donated.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a severe shortfall of local playing fields and several clubs have no permanent home. Once the playing fields have been built on, in whole or in part,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>those playing fields will be lost forever. We have a national obesity crisis and green spaces for our children to play sport on must be protected at all costs.</p> <p>I understand that Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a tranquil, calm space in the centre of Teddington. It should be maximised as a recreational space for the local community instead of being used as a site for luxury flats which the community does not need. There are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of Udney Park. It is completely unnecessary for playing fields to be lost to the community forever when the only real winners are the developers.</p>
443	Colin Clode	<p>I write to strongly object to the removal of Udney Park status of a Local Green Space.</p> <p>The last thing we need in Teddington is yet more luxury flats but we do need our green space and playing fields. Udney Park should not be diminished or built on. My grandchildren and I use its vital green space for recreation and we should be protecting our local wildlife not reducing habitats.</p> <p>Also I reject the argument that moving my doctors surgery at Park Road to Udney Park is any sort of advantage, I would probably be forced to drive to my doctors and not be able to walk to them, this is ridiculous. The alternative site in North Place would be much better for the present patients on this side of the railway.</p>
444	Rachel Webster	<p>I'm writing to object to the removal of local green space status at Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>My reasons are:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Udney Park needs to remain a protected green space if it is to follow central government policy relating to: access to green space, mental health, physical health, air quality, biodiversity, access to sport, climate change, flooding.
445	Janny van Minnen	I hereby strongly object to the Udney Park Teddington Playing Fields Plan for the removal of LGS on the grounds that this is a central green space that must be protected by plans for luxury apartments for which there is no need in Teddington as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within 1/2 mile of the park on brown-field sites and I am also extremely concerned about the loss of protected wildlife that this plan will cause
446	Dean Oliver	<p>Please find below my letter of objection to the removal of Local Green Space Designated at Udney Park. At present Udney Park has Local Green Space protection, Udney park was donated under a covenant for amateur sports. By removing such a substantial playing facility you would only to be jeopardising the current and younger generations community in sport. Udney provides amateur teams throughout various sports with not only a place of paying, but also that of training. The London Plan and National Planning Policy additional confirm protections for sporting fields. So all policies to protect playing fields apply to BOTH public and private land.</p> <p>As a First Team Captain of a <i>nomadic rugby club which desperately is in need of quality and quantity of playing fields</i>, I strongly object to the proposal to remove LGS designation. Small clubs which are the grass roots of rugby and other sporting codes survive purely to having the opportunity to play on a facility like Udney Park. By losing such a substantial playing surface small, local clubs of various sporting codes will struggle to continue to exist and contribute due to erosion of playing field space.</p>
447	Ruth Ursell	<p>I would like to register my objection to the developer's proposal</p> <p>This would mean the following;</p> <p>Loss of green space</p> <p>More high-end accommodation that we don't need here, we need housing for key workers</p> <p>Move of doctor's surgery – this will impact the patients some of who might find it difficult to get there and cause an imbalance of health locations in the area</p> <p>Loss of sports facilities for the local community</p> <p>There seems to be a lack of willingness by the council to look at alternatives in these situations eg the children's home on Queens Road</p>
448	Lisa Whiteman	I would like to register my objection to the removal of LGS for Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Green spaces are crucial for quality of life in this increasingly urban world. Teddington has already gained hundreds of new flats on the old studios site, and I don't believe it is in the interests of Teddington to have further new builds. Significant increases in property also require increases in infrastructure such as schools, health services, parking, otherwise residents are disadvantaged. With increasing obesity rates, it is vital to retain recreational facilities, rather than building on them, to allow both adults and children access to spaces for exercise. Quantum appear to have used bullying techniques in their approach. The changes are not in the best interests of local residents.</p>
449	Paul North	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park Fields. Udney Park was left under a covenant for amateur sport. There is a dwindling number of playing fields in the borough. Its recreational value is greater than any number of pounds that are offer by Quantum PE firm. Quantum is a money grabbing private equity firm seeking to develop the site into more unnecessary luxury dwellings. We all know their game. They are able to out bid genuine local interested parties and buy in expert legal advice to twist and turn and entice others to get through their development. They try to exploit everything for short term profits at the expense of a beautiful historically significant local amenity. I totally object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park playing fields.</p>
450	Barry Rideout	<p>As a Resident of Teddington for over 50 years with a son and grandchildren using local sports grounds I am very aware of the grave shortage for the future. This facility has been in place for over 100 years and was gifted for the future and long term use as Rugby and Cricket pitches. The club house is known as a War Memorial to those members who died in the First World War. Having made personal enquiry of the Royal Parks regarding their Bat populations I have been advised that 11 species of bat are recorded in Richmond Park and Bushey Park and Home Park. Those same species are recorded in 2016 in Udney Park [save for one] according to the Developers own Ecology Report. The Bats have lived along side the sports men and women for 90 years and can continue to do so without harm. The Bats cannot live on this site if developed. All sites for protected species are interlinked [See Lawford Report 2010] The development of Udney Park Will damage the SSSI sites in the 3 Royal Parks and possibly those in Kempton Park.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Bat sites in the Royal Parks all have SSSI status the very least that should be offered to the Bats of Udney Park is that it should be Local Green Space.</p> <p>With 300 flats under construction in Broom Road on the former Television Studios Site there is no need for any more in Teddington.</p> <p>The developer entered into the purchase of this site full knowing that National Policies apply to the protection of both of playing fields in both public and private ownership.</p> <p>I strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space designation from this site.</p>
451	Open Spaces Society (Nicola Hodgson)	<p>The Open Spaces Society was founded in 1865 and is Britain's oldest national conservation body. We campaign to protect common land, village greens, open spaces and public paths and peoples' rights to enjoy them.</p> <p>The society has been closely involved with national policy on, and the use of, the Local Green Space (LGS) designation, which originated from the Natural Environment White Paper in 2011 (The Natural Choice: securing the value of nature). The LGS designation was proposed as mitigation for the amendments to the Commons Act 2006, instigated through the Growth and Infrastructure Act 2013. These amendments restricted the making of town and village green (TVG) applications where "trigger events" applied and also enabled landowners to make a landowner deposit statement to bring to an end the public's use of the land. The result of the amendments was to make it more difficult for open space to be protected and so the government introduced the LGS designation as an alternative process.</p> <p>The society responded to the consultation on the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in 2018 raising concerns about the wording and process for designating land as LGS. We welcomed the revised NPPF (July 2018) with the removal of restrictive wording which the society had argued was preventing applications being made.</p> <p>The society has produced a guidance tool for protecting open space, which includes guidance on designating land as LGS, and frequently responds to members who are attempting to make an LGS designation. We keep under review local and neighbourhood plans to monitor the use of the LGS designation.</p> <p>The society objects to the removal of Udney Park Playing Fields from the Local Plan and its designation as LGS. The land should be re-instated in the plan as LGS. If allowed to stand the modification will set a bad precedent and be detrimental to the well-being of the local community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Paragraph 100 of the revised NPPF encourages the recognition of the importance of communities being able to actively participate in identifying green spaces they consider to be of value to their health and well-being as warranting special protection. This creates a proactive approach to ensuring growth has a positive impact on community infrastructure, including greenspace.</p> <p>The society continues to raise the difficulties of using the LGS process, because the National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) does not provide guidance on some of the provisions in paragraph 100, in particular how to judge if a site meets the test of being “demonstrably special to a local community and holds particular significance”. There is no guidance on the process or on the level of evidence required to justify designation of land as LGS. The NPPF states that land must be local in character and not an extensive tract of land. However the NPPG on LGS (Para:013 reference ID:37-013-20140306) states that whether to designate land is a matter for “local discretion. For example, green areas could include land where sports pavilions, boating lakes or structures such as war memorials are located.....or urban spaces that provide a tranquil oasis”. It also states at Para:014 Reference ID:37-014-20140306 that the proximity of an LGS to the community it serves depends on local circumstances, including why the green space is seen as special. On the size of the land Para:015 Reference ID: 37-015-21040306 states that there are no hard and fast rules about how big an LGS can be “because places are different and a degree of judgment will inevitably be needed”.</p> <p>Having reviewed biodiversity data and the Planning Officer’s report at paragraph 152-156, the playing pitch strategy and the recreational value of land as verified by Sport England, and other relevant material, it is clear that Udney Park Playing Fields, as a local park and war memorial playing field in the middle of a dense urban area (being reasonably close to the community it serves) satisfies the LGS criteria (Para 100(a)).</p> <p>There is a deficit of playing pitch space in the area and the site is classified as a strategic site (page 33), which makes it vital to protect this land for its recreational value. There is environmental value and richness of wildlife as the land is a precious habitat for rare species and includes mature trees protected by Tree Preservation Orders. The land satisfies more than one of the examples given at 100 (b) as it includes a pavilion donated by Lord Beaverbrook and therefore has a particular local significance and historical importance as a war memorial. It is demonstrably special to the local community and holds a particular local significance because of its history, recreational value and richness of wildlife.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>It satisfies part 100(c) because the land is in the middle of a dense urban area and is not an extensive tract of land. There appears to be clear rationale and evidence for the designation of Udney Park Playing Fields as LGS. The land provides value and benefits for the local community.</p> <p>The society opposes the removal of the LGS designation from Udney Fields. Furthermore, if the removal of the LGS designation is upheld, it is arguable that the Local Plan will not deliver the required balanced approach for sustainable development and will not reflect the vision and aspiration of local communities and their ability to influence a plan by protecting sites of special importance as required by the NPPF.</p>
452	<p>South West London Environment Network (Colin Cooper / Vicky Phillips)</p>	<p>South West London Environment Network (SWLEN) is writing to object to the withdrawal of the designation of Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington as Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>1. Introduction SWLEN is a local environmental and community development charity, which also acts as the Secretariat of the Richmond Biodiversity Partnership. The Richmond Biodiversity Action Plan contains a Bat Species Action Plan as bats are a locally important species (as well as nationally). This aims to reverse the current population declines of bats in London Borough of Richmond. The Richmond Biodiversity Action Plan also has species action plans promoting the preservation and improvement of the habitat of various birds, including the song thrush, house sparrow and swift and other species including hedgehogs, stag beetles and pollinators.</p> <p>2. Significance for wildlife SWLEN notes that Quantum undertook a bat survey prior to the planning application, although this was some time ago and it needs to be repeated to get accurate up to date data. The bat survey stated that 'the bat activity survey recorded at least 8 different species of bats within the site boundaries or high above, the vast majority of these were common and soprano pipistrelle, although noctule, serotine, brown long-eared, leislers, myotis species and nathusius pipistrelle were recorded.' The survey indicated that there was a bat roost in the pavilion but was undertaken too late in the year to ascertain its status.</p> <p>SWLEN also notes LB Richmond's case to the Planning Inspector which states that the developer's own report "shows 8 different species of bats, including myotis species. This is a significant number of species considering that the Barnes Wetland Centre has 7 regular species and is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and Site of Metropolitan Importance for Nature Conservation (SMINC) for its habitat mosaic and species assemblage. The application site could be designated as</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>a SMINC for its bat interest, size and connectivity. The bats were seen within 2 hours of sunset which does mean that roosts are nearby or the bats are foraging.” LB Richmond’s case goes on to state “This site is unique in the local environment, due to a number of factors, its sheer size, the lack of public disturbance and its position as a stepping stone and corridor contribution between the River Thames, St Marys University and Bushy Park, in an area with a network of connecting back gardens and also houses which due to their age and design have many bat roosting features.” Therefore, although current information about bat activity on the site is inadequate, it is clear that Udney Park Playing Fields is an important site for bats in the Teddington area and is part of a corridor of activity from the Thames to larger sites such as Bushy Park.</p> <p>SWLEN therefore believes that the site meets the criteria for LGS designation in the National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 100 of holding “particular local significance...because of [the] richness of its wildlife” and should be designated to give it more protection than it currently enjoys. It should certainly not be developed for housing or for sports activity that involves hard surfacing or floodlighting which would lessen its significance as a site for wildlife.</p> <p>Further investigations are also needed to ascertain whether protected species of birds and other wildlife are present on the site. Additional planting at the site could improve the habitat for bats, birds and other wildlife in the future.</p> <p>3. Other criteria</p> <p>SWLEN also objects to the withdrawal of LGS designation because Udney Park Playing Fields appears to meet all of the other criteria for designation in para 100, including being “in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves” (it is in the centre of Teddington) and “demonstrably special to a local community because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field) and tranquillity”. It is local in character and not an extensive tract of land. The playing fields are a quiet oasis in a built up area, surrounded by mature trees, and have great historic significance having been given by Lord Beaverbrook for sports use in memory of young men killed in World War One.</p>
453	Name unclear	<p>I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status.</p> <p>LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I find the Local Plan unsound and do not think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.
454	Name unclear	I have been made aware of the proposal to designate Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. I am writing to you, the local council, as a parent of a child that attends the riding stables at Park Lane. I understand that there have been proposals put forward by Quantum to provide a new arena for the riding schools in their plans- amongst housing, a GP surgery and sports facilities. As a borough we need more inclusive recreational facilities for children of all abilities which there is a shortage of at present. If this land becomes Local Green Space as a result sustainable development cannot be implemented. We need to look at the larger picture and what is needed in Tedddington in order to meet the needs of our community.
455	Name unclear	If this land is awarded Local Green Space then the much needed Riding Arena may not be able to go ahead. The land as it is currently is not inclusive- and the proposals put forward by Quantum would enable some wonderful opportunities. It will help all the volunteers, staff, members, parents, adults and children to have access to suitable facilities that will make a real difference to many people in our community. The planning proposals will bring some development to the area – but it they will also open up some wonderful opportunities for those in the community who may not be able to enjoy the amenities in our borough. Subsequently I do not support the proposal for this land to become Local Green Space.
456	Peter Cowley	I do not support the proposals to designate the private land at Udney Park Road LGS for the following reasons:- The designation was made by 2 local groups who do not represent the local community. These 2 groups did not consult the local community/residents of Teddington. There is no historical significance – most people are unaware of any history relating to the site and there is no monument/statue/building/memorial of any type which one would expect if the site was so significant. The clubhouse is run down, with tiles missing off the roof and metal spikes have recently been erected on the roof to prevent numerous amounts of anti-social behavior rendering the building very unattractive! Many of the wooden fence panels around the site are in disrepair, with overgrown hedges and trees which have not been pruned for years.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The site is surrounded by roads and houses – in the centre of a suburban area so one can hardly describe it as tranquil! The site is rarely used as access is denied unless previous permission has been granted by the landowner – so if it is considered tranquil it is because hardly anyone can access it!</p> <p>If this space becomes LGS then LBRuT will be responsible for denying residents of Teddington access to open space. LBRuT should adhere to the original decision of a government appointed Planning Inspector and keep this private land as OOLTI.</p>
457	Michelle Curle	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
458	George Burns	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
459	Name unclear	<p>I understand that the designation of the private ground of Udney Park is being re-consulted on due to an appeal on the process of determination. In my opinion the original designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) is still relevant to Udney Park and agree with the inspector who determined that it should remain OOLTI when he finalized the Local Plan adopted July 2018.</p> <p>With the site currently being private there is controlled access which restricts the openness providing very little benefit to anyone. Maintaining the designation hopefully will allow the Udney Park to be opened up for the public but in its current state it provides very limited benefit to anyone.</p> <p>Please take these comments as me objecting to Udney Park be re-designated to Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
460	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
461a	A Hollaway	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space."</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
461b	A Hollaway	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
462	Name unclear	<p>I wish to query why a minority of Teddington has influenced LBRuT to consider Udney Park as a potential local green space. Communications surrounding this decision in my opinion have been poor and excluded a full discussion.</p> <p>As a community member and customer of Park Lane Stables, I wish to highlight Udney Park as a primary choice for Teddington to develop and improve sports and recreational facilities for our enjoyment. Wouldn't local green space designation narrow this opportunity?</p> <p>It is my understanding that in order to meet the needs of Local Green Space definitions the land should be demonstrably special to a local community. I would argue considering this land is private and has little community use how it could be so 'demonstrably' special.</p> <p>I look forward to seeing Udney Park reach it's full potential.</p>
463	Name unclear	<p>I am writing regarding your proposed modification in the Local Plan relating to designation of land at Udney Park Road. I can see no valid reason for the greenspace designation. Based on the NPPF criteria outlined in paragraph 100(b) below I am convinced that your Local Plan will become unsound if you allow this to go ahead:</p> <p><i>“The local Greenspace is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife.”</i></p> <p>The site is a rundown private sports field with a few trees round the outside and a scruffy clubhouse that has seen better days. We have plenty of places of historic significance, beauty and tranquility in our borough which are not Local Green Space. The current designation of OOLTI carries perfectly the right amount of protection from inappropriate use.</p>
464	John C Van Den Bosch	<p>I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Rad from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have” special qualities” and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves” – as specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS.</p> <p>The believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
465	Leslie Welch	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space." I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
466	Colin Annette	As part of the Teddington Community, this is my opinion in reference to the subject of the former Imperial College London's private sports ground. As a frequent visitor of the Park Lane Stables, I feel passionately that this land at Udney Park Road could be used to a far better advantage for the whole community and do not agree with the proposed designation. This valuable piece of land could hold venue to memorable community events in the future however nay sayers seem determined to be retain its insignificant for the future to match it's past.
467	Andrew Nursaw	As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS). By awarding this land with LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria as it is not demonstrably special currently.
468	Sarah Kelso	The land at the moment has limited access, but with the addition of a much needed GP surgery, affordable housing and inclusive recreational activities is could have far more benefit and be open to all. I believe that recent development proposals may address issues in terms of the physical appearance of the site. It conveys a disused and neglected feel, perpetuated by a poorly maintained boundary. Within Quantum's proposals is a plan for a new riding arena – this would provide a much-needed asset in our local community for our children. Riding makes a big difference physically, mentally and the improvement of ones wellbeing and a new riding area would give an invaluable resource for children of all abilities. If it is given the rights of Local Green Space then I question what benefits at all this would bring especially when we look at the offer on the table.
469	Name unclear	As a resident of Teddington who uses and values Park Lane stables, I would like to support improved access to Udney Park site/pitch, which would be idea for us to use. We are struggling for space and Udney

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Park would be ideal. It's current status does not offer any value to the community, either physically in terms of access or it's neglected appearance and facility. I look forward to a positive change to the land parcel to define it's identity which it presently lacks. Is Udney Park a valuable beauty spot, a wildlife haven or a sports facility? It could be any of those but is none at the moment.</p> <p>In contrast to this obvious need, an unwelcome decision seems to have been made to propose the site local green space. In my opinion, this will prevent development progress and deny the community of a much needed resource. Incidentally, I have not been consulted on the reasons for making Udney park local green space and question its validity. It is been closed off and neglected for years.</p>
470	D M Dodd	<p>I think the plans to build extra care housing for older people is a wonderful idea. This will free up family housing in the area and enable older people to be cared for in their own homes, reducing the burden on the NHS and their families. This is in line with Policy LP35 which recognises the need to provide opportunities for people to downsize and Policy LP37 – where there is an identified need for extra housing in the Borough. If the LGS status is granted, this wonderful opportunity will be lost to the local community.</p>
471	Peter Tomlin	<p>I question the proposal to re-designate Udney Park playing fields as Local Green Space as the site has never been open to the local community as far as I'm aware. By keeping the current designation and avoiding a designation of Local Green Space, I understand community access will be far more likely? (especially in relation to proposed development)</p> <p>Also, this private piece of land has never looked it's best. Its scruffy and unloved and does not provide a natural beauty. The tired fencing and unkempt hedge line are unsightly to look within this suburban area. I hope this valuable asset will benefit from re-landscaping and providing improved links in terms of recreational facilities for the community.</p>
472	Name unclear	<p>I understand there is a consultation to residents to designate Udney Park field as local green space. As I understand, this would make purposeful and progressive development more difficult to achieve. I cannot support a designation of local green space. I was not consulted on this matter and would ask for my opinion to be taken into consideration now.</p> <p>I agree we should protect beautiful, tranquil green spaces that hold historical, recreational value that have a richness of wildlife – but Udney Park Playing Fields is not one of them. In truth it is quite run-down, basic, not very accessible, in a built-up suburb and in need of improvement.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Access to Udney Park would further enhance community groups like the Park Lane stables to grove, flourish and offer more equal recreational opportunities across the Borough. In its current state, Udney Park private land offers neither access, utility or visual enjoyment and this needs to change.
473	D Y Hooker	<p>I disagree with proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a run-down field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and scrappy hedges.</p> <p>I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients.</p> <p>Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.</p> <p>This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.</p>
474	J P Lynch	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space."</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
475	Margaret Karanja	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
476	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
477	Name unclear	<p>As part of the Teddington Community, this is my opinion in reference to the subject of the former Imperial College London's private sports ground.</p> <p>As a frequent visitor to the Park Lane Stables, I feel passionately that this land at Udney Park Road could be used to a far better advantage for the whole community and do not agree with the proposed designation. This valuable piece of land could hold venue to memorable community events in the future however nay sayers seem determined to be retain its insignificant for the future to match it's past.</p>
478	J Webber	<p>I understand that the designation of the private ground of Udney Park is being re-consulted on due to an appeal on the process of determination. In my opinion the original designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) is still relevant to Udney Park and agree with the inspector who determined that it should remain OOLTI when he finalized the Local Plan adopted July 2018.</p> <p>With the site currently being private there is controlled access which restricts the openness providing very little benefit to anyone. Maintaining the designation hopefully will allow the Udney Park to be opened up for the public but in its current state it provides very limited benefit to anyone.</p> <p>Please take these comments as me objecting to Udney Park be re-designated to Local Green Space.</p>
479	Patricia Pepper	<p>I wish to express my concern about the designation of Local Green Space for the Udney Park Road site. If the land becomes Local Green Space, then nobody will touch it and we will end up with a useless piece of land. Not a great legacy for future generations and a stop gate in the way of possible opportunities that will benefit everyone. The site is private land, existing sports facilities are basic to say the least and the only people who think it has local special qualities with value to the community are immediate neighbours looking onto the site.</p> <p>The current OOLTI status is more than enough to ensure it is correctly protected, however still allows the possibility of future suitable and justified proposals.</p>
480	Charlotte Ennis	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p>
481	Name unclear	<p>This is private land that before Quantum's ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. All of this land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most of us.</p> <p>Considering that the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space, but rather it is being contested for the benefit of handful residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we are missing a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space.</p>
482	Delia Cooper	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of <u>Local Green Space</u> designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that the playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small group. I support the Community Interest Company (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscaped park. I fear that placing further restrictions on land that is already adequately protected by the designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>', will hinder these wonderful plans.</p>
483	J Winfield	<p>I do not agree with the changes to the Local Plan to change the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space from OOLTI. The land is private land surrounded by roads on 3 sides in a busy suburban area. Public access is not allowed, and as such it cannot hold particular significance to the local community. By retaining its current status, which offers the right level of protection, it could enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.</p> <p>I understand that a Local Green Space designation needs to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted or given the opportunity to express my views.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
484	P B Hills	I believe Quantum's proposals deliver real social benefit for everyone in the local community (ref 475) and the land should not be designated Local Green Space. The land in its current state does not fit with NPPF criteria as it cannot, in my opinion, be described as beautiful neither does it have recreational value or historic significance.
485	Mehdi Ibrhmi	This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space". It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
486	Sarah Hoskins	I wish to register my objection to the proposed development of Udney Park playing fields.
487a	Debbie Wagner	Please do not allow the LGS status on the Playing fields of Udney Park Road to be removed. We need to protect the green spaces in Teddington for the benefit and well-being of the children in the community. Listed below are several important points to consider :- 1. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. For the sake of the health, both mentally and physically of the children in the community the whole green space should remain as a sports ground. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.
487b	Debbie Wagner	As a resident of Teddington I object to even the consideration of removing the LGS on the Udney Park Playing fields - we need to protect the green space for the well-being of the children/adults of Teddington. Several points need to be considered:-

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1 Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>2. Many young people/children have mental health issues and problems with obesity. The community needs to have green open space to participate in sport and activity.</p> <p>3. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>4. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>5. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>Please consider the above points very carefully - we need to protect the green space.</p>
488	Gillian Carrick-Cowlin	I would like to express my support for Udney Park retaining its current designation as OOLTI, in line with the government planning inspector's original report.
489	Alex and Gabrielle Stevenson	I write to submit my objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park playing fields. This park is important to Teddington for a number of reasons, not least its history and the fact that there are relatively few such local playing fields in the area. As the home of several protected bat species, this alone would provide reason enough to retain its LGS status in other areas in the UK.
490	Helen George	I am just writing to confirm my objection to the proposed development of Udney Park Playing Fields. I strongly object to the removal of 'Local Green Space' status for these playing fields. The council must do everything in it's power to protect these scarce areas for future generations. Please do not be swayed by private profiteering building companies!
491	Ashley Nichols	I am writing regarding the proposals to make the land at Udney Park Road Local Green Space. I understand in order to designate something as LGS it needs to be of special value to the community. As this is 12.5 acres of private land, I fail to see how this can be of special value to the community. If the site keeps its current status as OOLTI, it will have the correct amount of protection without restricting opportunities this site could offer our local community in the future. I do not believe this land in its current state meets LGS criteria and do not believe the Council has justified why they think its status should change.
492	Giovanni Pezzino	I do not understand why the Council are proposing to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. With no other LGS designations in the Borough of Richmond how will you be

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>able to compare? The site is private land so I do not understand how it has been demonstrated to have "special qualities" – as specified in the revised wording of the Local Plan policy 5.2.8. I do not believe the Council have demonstrated adequately why this site should be LGS and have merely been influenced by a handful of local residents who state they represent the local community but who failed to consult or engage with the community on any level.</p> <p>The believe the criteria is unsound and should not be applied to the former ICL private grounds in Udney Park Road.</p>
493	Richard Hooker	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI.</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being unmaintained.</p> <p>Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community.</p> <p>It doesn't hold any significance in our community in its current form- but it could.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
494	D Awbery-Maskell	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
495	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed land designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I feel at current this land is underutilized and underused- and is hardly a space of tranquility and beauty. Designation as LGS must be considered in parallel with the delivery of sustainable development in the Teddington area and the same investment in enough homes, jobs and other essential services.</p> <p>The plans submitted by Quantum offer sustainable development for much needed extra care housing, jobs and healthcare AND a recognised, much needed GP surgery for Teddington. This is my opinion on the public consultation about a revised designation for the Udney open space. As a member of the Teddington Community, I wish for this opinion to be noted.</p>
496	P A Lawes	<p>I wish to express my views that I am against the designation of private land at Udney Park as Local Green Space and therefore do not agree with you local plan modifications. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS will prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community. The land is currently of minimal benefit to the community as you need a license to access it – and thus gives little recreational value.</p> <p>LBRuT should take the advice of the appointed government Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of residents who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space.</p>
497	Rick Galdstein	<p>If the land was made Local Green Space and it was wrapped in strict planning policy, then surely it would be very difficult to provide much needed facilities that could be brought to Teddington. Space is very much at a premium and I believe as a local resident we must be flexible to meet the needs of our community.</p> <p>Whilst I do not condone ‘over’ development, the status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance will protect it from becoming over developed anyway whilst taking into consideration the needs of Teddington.</p> <p>Therefore, I oppose the potential designation of Local Green Space instead of its current Other Open Land of Town space Importance (OOLTI).</p>
498	W Bitiney	<p>I look forward to Udney Park open ground being and an accessible, visually attractive, cared for community asset. For so long, this has not been the case.</p> <p>I have seen very little activity to enhance the site and realise its full potential, however a lot of effort has been made to make it local green space, and in my view, make much needed improvement harder than it needs to be.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Please explain the reasons for the sudden call to designate as local green space when it meets hardly any of the criteria to do so? I find it unreasonable that a handful of residents are playing it up the significance of the land for their own benefits and not the wider community.</p> <p>As a resident of Teddington, hope my opinion is taken into account.</p>
499	Rowena Dorcing	<p>I understand that the Local Plan is going back out to consult on the contentious issue of the land near Udney Park Road.</p> <p>This private land which was never previously open to the entire public prior to a few years ago has the wonderful opportunity for the Teddington community to access the grounds on a permanent basis. I would support plans to progress the site which relate to areas I feel it is sadly lacking. Anything which may enhance its physical attractiveness and improve the broken fencing and badly maintained grounds would be a bonus.</p> <p>The proposal can only be a good thing for the local community, providing much needed housing, a doctor's surgery and lots of sports facilities. It's hard to see how anyone could oppose this when we look at the wide reaching benefits it could bring?</p>
500	M J L Kenny	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space."</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
501	S H Wild	<p>I understand it is a requirement that the application for Local green Space is made by the community and holds "particular significance". I am a local resident of Teddington and I was not consulted on this application and the groups who state they represent Teddington do not represent my views. The term "Local Green Space" is very misleading – the site is not particularly green and doesn't contribute much for the purposes of open space provision because it is private and has limited open visual aspect at street level. Most people who live behind the immediate row of houses next to it aren't even aware it is there! For these reasons I do not think it should become 'local green space' and should be given the opportunity to flourish with more creative proposals – ie the proposals by the land owner which would be of far more use to me.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
502	Elizabeth Gill	I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land. The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community. The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum could like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing. The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be. Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into local green Space and would be unsound to do so because it not taking into account the wider needs of the community and policy that supports this need.
503	Bobby Frost	I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land at Udney park Road as Local Green Space. Tying up this underutilized plot of land in unnecessary red tape has the real possibility of it never achieving its full potential. At the moment there is a planning application on the site that offers some amazing benefits to the community. Designating this land as Local Green Space could deny this very average plot a useful future e.g. the provision of community, sporting, healthcare, affordable housing and recreational facilities, thus failing to support the needs of the community under proposed Local Plan policies, or acknowledging sustainable development, rendering the plan unsound. How can this land be of "value to the local community" when it is private, and the public are inhibited from using it? I was never consulted on the original application to make it LGS and if I had I would have made it clear I was in opposition.
504	Name unclear	Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained. The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.
505	M A M Congleton	I do not agree with the local plan policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space because: the site does not hold significance in the community. The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife. There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system There is limited recreational value in its current state just a few random pitches The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a license from the landowner.</p> <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
506	Name unclear	<p>I worry that if this land is designated as Local Green Space then it will not have as much community benefit or significance. It currently looks quite run down and has rather basic facilities to play sports or recreational activities. There have been plans proposed which I am in favour of as they would be more valuable to the community, particularly the landscaped park and a new sports pavilion which look like a fantastic opportunity for Teddington. If the space is given the LGS status, then we could lose the potential for Teddington to have better sporting and recreational facilities because development and investment would be harder to come by. Therefore, I do not support this designation proposal.</p>
507	Mrs Anne Mills	<p>I am at a loss to understand why this decision is being revised and how this will benefit local residents. My understanding is that Local Green Space has a special value to the local community. The only people who have ever benefitted from using this piece of land are people who were involved with Imperial College or Newlands House School. How can that be of value to the whole community? I don't know of anyone who has accessed this site and it looks pretty rundown from what can see when walking past it although most of the site is obscured by damaged fencing, unmaintained hedges and a few unkept trees. If this land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit from it. The status should stay as it is – to enable something positive to happen in the future for all of us.</p>
508	E Burns	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquillity however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become a Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
509	A. Awbery-Maskell	<p>I wish to express my view that I am against the designation of the private land at Udney Park as local Green Space and therefore do not agree with your local plan modifications. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS will prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres at the heart of Teddington</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		unavailable to the local community. The land is currently of minimal benefit to the community as you need a license to access it - and thus gives little recreational value. LBRUT should take the advice of the appointed government Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of residents who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space.
510	Janet M Warrior	With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space. In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people. The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.
511	S F Garrett	I am writing regarding the proposals to make the land at Udney Park Road Local Green Space. I understand in order to designate something as LGS it needs to be of special value to the community. As this is 12.5 acres of private land, I fail to see how this can be of special value to the community. If the site keeps its current status as OOLTI, it will have the correct amount of protection without restricting opportunities this site could offer our local community in the future. I do not believe this land in its current state meets LGS criteria and do not believe the Council has justified why they think its status should change.
512	Nicole Olbe	I am writing regarding your proposed modification in the Local Plan relating to the designation of land at Udney Park Road. I can see no valid reason for the green space designation. Based on the NPPF criteria outlined in paragraph 100(b) below I am convinced that your Local Plan will become unsound if you allow this to go ahead: <i>“The local Greenspace is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife.”</i> The site is a rundown private sports field with a few trees round the outside and a scruffy clubhouse that has seen better days. There are plenty of sites in the borough that are of equal nature, yet none of them carries LGD status. If development is a concern, then the current designation of OOLTI carries perfectly the right amount of protection from inappropriate and over-development.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
513	M Warner	<p>I wish to express my views that I am against the designation of this private land at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS will prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community. The land is currently of minimal benefit to the community as a license is required to access it – and thus gives it little recreational value.</p> <p>Whilst the encroachment of green belt is now accepted across the country to satisfy the national housing need, an unreasonable equivalent is being created in this build-up suburban area in an attempt to prevent a small fraction of much-needed development.</p> <p>Changing this site’s designation to LGS would only shift the nation’s problem and support building in our beautiful green belts that surround London.</p>
514	Pat Yeates	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government’s Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against national planning policy criteria.</p>
515	Name unclear	<p>I wish to let you know that I fully support the current designation of OOLTI for this site, and will oppose any decisions to make it Local Green Space. The man on the street may not know the criteria set out for this, so the Council has a responsibility to make this clear and transparent.</p> <p>In summary, the NPPF states that in order for Local Green Space to be designated, the land or site must have some historical, recreational or community value. The above site does not have any of these.</p> <p>A lot of people in this borough do not have access to decent sport, training and community facilities and not everyone can afford membership fees to private clubs. If the Local Green Space designation is re-instated, then all those who are excited by TCSG & Quantum’s plans will effectively be overlooked. The multi-use games area, pavilion and places to train were all overwhelmingly supported by thousands of us.</p> <p>I understand that this is not directly linked to the decisions made on the local plan modifications – but planning policy does encourage sustainable development, with a focus on health and well-being. In Richmond’s Local Plan it states:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>“..the planning system plays an important role in influencing the built and natural environment...and a healthy environment can promote well-being and healthy lifestyles for all and can contribute to a reduction in health inequalities.”</p> <p>I hope that your public consultation is fair and balanced, and that it will take all of this into account.</p>
516	Ayse Celiic	<p>This is private land that before Quantum’s ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most of us.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space, but rather it is being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we are missing a significant opportunity to meet the community’s needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space.</p>
517	B May	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. Tying up this underutilized plot of land in unnecessary red tape has the real possibility of it never achieving its full potential. At the moment there is a planning application on the site that offers some amazing benefits to the community. Designating this land Local Green Space could deny this very average plot a useful future e.g. the provision of community, sporting, healthcare, affordable housing and recreational facilities, thus failing to support the needs of the community under proposed Local Plan policies, or acknowledging sustainable development, rendering the plan unsound. How can this land be of “value to the local community” when it is private, and the public are inhibited from using it? I was never consulted on the original application to make it LGS and if I had I would have made it clear I was in opposition.</p>
518	C Willington	<p>I agree with the Inspector’s current designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within the current designation of OOLTI.</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being closed and unmaintained, as to be seen in so many other cases across the country.</p> <p>Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much need housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
519	Kate Allan	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set of criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our local community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
520	A A Holt	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within the current designation of OOLTI.</p> <p>Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being closed and unmaintained, as to be seen in so many other cases across the country. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community.</p> <p>Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much need housing development that could enable the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.
521	Dr Graeme Robertson	I would like to lodge my objection to the removal of LGS Protection in relation to Udney Park playing fields . I am a local GP and have just retired from practice after almost 30 years. Over this period I have also specialised in Sports and Exercise Medicine and am a huge advocate of the benefits of exercise particularly for young people . I strongly feel that the loss of LGS protection will inevitably lead to development of the playing fields with a resultant negative impact on the availability of recreational space for sporting activities.
522	Nicola Colley	<p>I am emailing to voice my disapproval of the removal of LGS status from Udney Park.</p> <p>This is an extremely important piece of green space, and I believe has been used as a playing field for over a 100 years. It is very well utilised by sports clubs, as there is a tremendous shortage of playing fields and facilities locally.</p> <p>At a time when the Government is encouraging everyone to take more exercise, and everyone is concerned about obesity and diabetes, particularly effecting the young, it seems insane to remove Local Green Space Status from this precious oasis of Udney Park.</p> <p>There are protected species of bat at Udney Park, not to mention the rest of the wildlife including numerous birds, bees and butterflies.</p> <p>There is no need for any more development in the Udney Park area as there is already a massive development of flats very close by, as well as several other building projects. The local schools are already under immense pressure. Also the infrastructure just could not cope with any more building on the proposed scale..</p>
523	Bill and Gloria Savage	<p>We strongly object to the attempt by Quantum to remove Local Green Space status from Udney Park.</p> <p>This green space with its playing fields - donated many years ago for local amateur sport - is even more important today, especially for our youngsters (we have a grandson who plays team football there regularly) and must be preserved.</p>
524	Anne Wingrove	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of local green space status from Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park is special to the local community for its recreational value and also its tranquility and richness of wildlife. It was donated under covenant for amateur sport and should remain so.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
525	Nick Dendy	As a Teddington resident, I would like to object to any change to removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing fields. There is a shortage of playing fields locally and development of this site would mean a significant number of pitches being lost. Redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing is fine, grabbing playing fields for profit is not.
526	Judith North	I strongly object to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields. It is a special local green space providing much sought after playing fields for the local community. It is also an important natural environment sustaining a wide variety of wildlife and improving our air quality. Teddington has put up with considerable urban development over the years encroaching more and more on our green space. I am also sickened by more and more luxury flats which are out of the reach of our local community. It is time we stopped this misuse of our few remaining open spaces. Please do not allow its LGS status to be removed.
527	Trevor Chamberlain	I wish to register my objection to the removal of the LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. The Fields are an oasis of green in an increasingly built up area. It provides valuable recreational space as well as a haven for wildlife. Richmond prides itself on its green credentials. It's essential they are not compromised here.
528	Mr C J Loughton	I support the Udney Park Road Development which includes the new GP surgery.
529	Lian Spencer	I object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park playing fields as the fields are valuable to the local community. It was donated to the local community under covenant for amateur sport. There is a lack of playing fields for amateur sport here. Green space should not give way to more luxury housing in the area. If Park Road Surgery was to be enticed to be part of the proposed Udney Park development, there will not be a doctors surgery serving this side of Teddington causing hardship to many patients especially the elderly.
530	Barbara Lynn	Udney park was left in trust to imperial college for the use and promotion of amateur sport. To build on this green space is a breach of 'trust' when it could be used as it was intended and had been for many years- playing fields for the use of local schools or sports clubs. Also as a patient of park road surgery I have no wish to see it relocate. I have many elderly neighbours who would have to take a taxi to get to a surgery on this site. And I do not think there are any other surgeries this side of the railway.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
531	Mike Ruddick, NPL Youth Football Club	<p>I manage an U11 football team at NPL Youth who don't play at Udney Park but at the National Physical Laboratory sports ground on the other side of Teddington. Our club at NPL has 26 teams across all age groups and is full with waiting lists for players because Teddington does not have enough football for the demand of the local children. We have just one 9 aside pitch for 5 teams in the U11 and U12 age groups and had to disband one of our teams this year to enable us to fit into the pitch capacity. We really need more pitch capacity in Teddington, especially as the state schools are not playing weekly sport. The benefits to our children of playing sport in a safe environment are obvious for their physical and mental health, and I believe that this should be a priority for the local council at the same level as finding new sites for local schools. Please accept this as an objection from my team and my club to the removal of the local green space designation for Udney Park.</p>
532	Jatish Mistry & Roger Chidwick, Hearts of Teddlothian FC	<p>We wish to strongly Object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection at Udney Park. This area is very short of playing pitch space and all of the capacity at Udney Park is needed to meet the demand from youth football in our community. Local Green Space is only at risk because of the lobbying by the current owner, the only supporters for the removal of LGS protection are those willing to trade half of the playing pitch space for building plots for priority access to the remaining half.</p> <p>Let me kindly provide you with some background as to why I am contacting you. Roger Chidwick and I, are Chairman and Vice-Chairman of a large children's community football club called Hearts of Teddlothian FC based in Teddington.</p> <p>The club was founded in 2007 and has expanded rapidly with the support and drive of many hard working parents and volunteers. The club currently provides football <u>for over 625 children (boys and girls) from 5 years old through to 18 years old in and around Teddington.</u> The clubs huge growth and success over the last 11 years from a handful of children to today's numbers is simply because we open the club to any player who wants to play football, regardless of their ability. We believe in sport for all and offer that to the community.</p> <p>Despite our huge numbers, we do not have a home ground and rent council pitches all around the local area, in Hampton, Whitton and Teddington. Local green space is at a premium and to build on existing sporting pitches like Udney Park is a travesty for clubs like ours and deprives future generations of children from playing sport in the community and pushes them on to their Xboxes instead. If we continue to build on</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>green space and take away local sporting facilities then we can only expect the outcome to be the growing problem of childhood obesity and the strain that is putting on the NHS.</p> <p>My concern right now is if Udney Park is handed over for development and great profit to Quantum and with St Mary's University also planning to apply for more student accommodation at one of their sites and build on their Broom Lock facility on Broom Road, this will mean Hearts of Teddlothian FC will have very little space to play football in an area already overloaded. If St Mary's move their running track we will have no space for U6's though to our U9's to play or conduct training and we will lose space for two 11v11 pitches. The council have no more pitches we can rent which will mean moving our matches a lot further out of the area and/or closing down some of our children's teams.</p> <p>If land continues to be given for development, children in our community will never have the opportunity to play football.</p> <p>I believe this is our one opportunity in Teddington and the surrounding area to provide sport for our future young people. We therefore strongly oppose the loss of Local Green Space.</p>
533	Jaya Louvre	<p>The purpose of this letter is to strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields which we feel are essential to the well-being of the Teddington community. The playing fields have huge recreational value to our community and if they were to be not available that would put additional strain on our other green spaces not to mention the local infrastructure.</p>
534	Linda Ziegler	<p>I object to the removal of LGS for several reasons. The growth in population of Teddington has resulted in an increased need for playing fields in the community. This land was donated specifically for that purpose for about one hundred years, it must continue. There will be no shortage of flats for elderly in Teddington when the Riverside development is shortly completed. The need for a new Dr's surgery is recognised but should remain closer to its present location as it will be easier for present patients to attend. The traffic around the playing fields is already at capacity, the proposed build would be unworkable for narrow streets surrounding the field.</p>
535	M L Strange (Mrs)	<p>Further to the Public Inquiry due on 24th June 2019 I wish to lodge my objection to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park Playing Fields: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) were donated under covenant for amateur sport; b) are essential to provide for the health and wellbeing of the children and adults of our borough and there is a shortage of playing fields locally;

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>c) provide open space to act as a breathing place for the area, providing much needed fresh air, respite and homes for local wildlife and form part of an ecological network connecting local parks and the riverside;</p> <p>d) are home to eight protected species of bats.</p> <p>2.</p> <p>a) Any form of development of Udney Park Playing Fields which is a Green Field area will inevitably increase traffic and pollution in Teddington town and on nearby roads.</p> <p>b) Locally, there is currently a massive building development providing 300 homes on the former Teddington Studios site; this development is already causing traffic and parking problems just from the attendant workforce, if everyone who buys a house or flat at the development also owns a car this will put another 300 cars on to the already overcrowded roads and further increase air pollution in the area.</p> <p>c) The residents of this development will also need somewhere to play sport.</p> <p>I do not believe there is a valid argument for the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields, and potentially opening the way to allowing building development on this valuable open space.</p>
536	M J Richards	<p>I cannot support the application to change the site at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. The land is not demonstrably special as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The land is not beautiful, it has a derelict clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. There is an apparent lack of wildlife present. If the current designation were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development and careful reintroduction of indigenous wildlife species.</p>
537	Caitlin Rochester	<p>I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to LGS. I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form – it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view. The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
538	Name unclear	I do not agree with making the land at Udney Park Road Local Green Space. If the designation of this land is changed, we are in danger of the private land being hoarded up until Quantum decide what to do with it and that will not be of benefit to anyone. Making this area Local Green Space does not benefit the community in anyway and in my view the site has no significance to the local community.
539	Name unclear	The land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; a new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery, Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
540	Lizette Villverde	The land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; a new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery, Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
541	Joseph Stanley	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and an open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
542	Marius Toader	<p>This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and club. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.</p>
543	James Hutchinson on behalf of Teddington Town Sports Club	<p>I am writing on behalf of Teddington Town Sports Club Ltd, a multi-sports club operating in Teddington, regarding the above Main Modification. The proposal to change the designation of this land from OOLTI to LGS is something that I and the Board of Teddington Town Sports Club Ltd oppose. Richmond has large amounts of green space relative to other London Boroughs due to the Royal Parks and council provision. However, there are significant limitations to the operation of sports clubs in the Royal Parks. The local facilities available for sport are limited and community open space and facilities are inadequate given the population. Whilst we have no direct interest in the sports ground, it is clear that the facilities at Udney Park were never effectively available to the general public and the facilities available for hire by clubs and schools were so expensive that they were hardly ever used by sports clubs, resulting in the site being uneconomic for Imperial College to operate. As a facility provider for sports clubs, the challenging economics of supporting intensive community use on grass pitches is a problem we know well. In contrast, we believe that the range of community based open space activities proposed in the current planning application is much more suited to the local community, since it will provide floodlit multi-use and artificial playing surfaces that better meet the needs of local clubs. We understand that an application of LGS status requires an area to be demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife. Technical arguments against this redesignation have been made in previous consultation processes and it is relevant that the conclusion of the Planning Inspector was that OOLTI provided an adequate level of protection from inappropriate development. Given the existing OOLTI</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>designation, applied consistently over many years, the proposed redesignation, when there is a significant need for affordable housing, healthcare facilities and sustainable sports grounds in the Borough appears motivated by something other than rational argument. Turning to the specific criteria, the playing fields at Udney Park Road can't be deemed demonstrably special to the local community, since they have been closed private grounds for many years, used occasionally by clubs or schools who are now playing elsewhere.</p> <p>There is no evidence of demonstrable beauty- the fields are all grass and the playing surface is gradually decaying through lack of investment. There is poorly maintained multi use games area and the boundaries and fencing are in state of disrepair.</p> <p>The level of historic importance is hard to substantiate – despite its gift to St Mary's Hospital many years ago, nothing of significance has occurred to the site over the past 50-100 years. If all land donated by a wealthy benefactor were suddenly to become LGS, the impact on development across the country would be significant.</p> <p>There has been very little recreational value for the local community since the policy and prices of Imperial College inhibited local clubs from using the land to any great extent. This is not, and never has been, open park land owned by the council. The land in question has been closed, private land not for community use for many, many years and of considerably lower recreational value than that envisaged in the proposed development.</p> <p>On the criterion of tranquility, nowhere in central Teddington could be called tranquil given the local traffic and aircraft noise. It is only 'quiet' because historically. Other than one school's term time use no one else could afford to regularly use the sports ground. It is no wonder the local residents object to its development to create a community facility.</p> <p>In terms of wildlife, surveys undertaken to date do not appear to demonstrate any richness within the boundary of the site – it's grass pitches and poor-quality hedges. The trees on the boundary appear to be poor quality in-fill of negligible value. Given the Royal Parks and other green spaces that the Borough maintain, the 'loss' of this a quarter of this land in return for a much more diverse and available community open space with specific habitats for wildlife appears a reasonable trade off.</p> <p>In conclusion, not only do we believe that a local green Space designation is unjustified, but it impedes the chances of creative solutions being found to support a community facility development. It also seems that LBRUT are taking into account the views of residents' groups to a greater extent than the broader views of</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		the local community and the sports bodies, patients and potential residents of the proposed development. Turning private, largely unused land into facilities of real value surely appears a better outcome for the Borough than designating it LGS and having the site deteriorate over years until some other solution is found for this land in the heart of Teddington. As a consequence, Teddington Town Sports Club Ltd and I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to change the designation of the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to Local Green Space.
544	Sue Craig	<p>It is a travesty of justice that the legal status given to this space is being overthrown by developers. This is open land, in regular use by sports clubs. Participating in sport improves peoples well being and health - two challenges currently being faced by society.</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
545	Ian McNuff	I have read the recent information around the Udney Park redevelopment and I would like to record my objection to any removal of green belt status or redevelopment of the site. The main reason is around the loss of open land for young people's sport. Its becoming more difficult to ensure youngsters get a balanced upbringing that includes outdoor activities, particularly those delivered by clubs. The Udney Park site is ideal as a multi sport centre/site for youngsters and the choice between that and property developer profits is no choice. The other facilities offered by the developer are sweeteners designed to get it over the line and they are simply not needed or could be perfectly provided in other ways. An outside space for sport can not.
546	D McLaughlin	Please don't remove the LGS (local green space) protection status from Udney Park. Please don't build flats on the site of Udney Park Playing fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We desperately need our green spaces for the young in the borough - parks are for people and nature, not for profit.</p> <p>Udney Park Road is increasingly congested by road traffic and is a narrow road.</p> <p>There are bats living there and there are already many new flats being built in Broom Road on the site of Teddington Studios.</p>
547	Andy Lord	<p>Please take this email as an objection to the removal of LGS for Udney Park Gardens. Teddington is very short of green space and playing fields for the local community and even more so with the on-going residential developments taking place such as at Teddington Lock.</p> <p>The Udney Park Fields are special to the local community due to the historic significance, the recreational value and the wildlife present.</p>
548	Kate Hostettler-Smith	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing fields because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The community has many examples of viable playing fields without a developer carve up. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
549	M J and J R Perry	<p>We are writing to object to the Removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park.</p> <p>As Teddington residents of over 30 years we are well aware of the benefits of green space at a time where the growth of luxury building in Teddington is only too evident.</p> <p>Udney Park is a local “lung” for residents and wildlife, as well as being a much needed recreational facility. It is a war memorial which should not be despoiled.</p>
550	Chris Lodge	<p>I would to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields. There is plenty of development and house building going on in Teddington – note the large riverside development and recent Waldegrave Road development. Green space is at a premium and whilst we are fortunate to live on the edge of Bushy Park, Udney Park has a special place in the history of Teddington. It is a community hub and can server several local clubs which have no facilities. My understanding is that the land has a covenant on it for</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>amateur sport and developing on this site would be a breach of that. I also understand it is important for the environmental aspect of Teddington helping to reduce damage to health from emissions and being home to various wildlife. Please note we are facing the expansion of Heathrow and should therefore protect what green areas we currently have.</p> <p>I hope you will take this submission into account in your deliberations.</p>
551	Diane Davies	<p>I am writing to support the designation in planning terms of Udney Park Playing Fields as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI)".</p> <p>I think this designation will protect the site from inappropriate development while making a much needed new GP surgery for the local community on the site more possible. I have noted that a site designated OOLTI "will be protected and enhanced in open use; any changes must be linked to the functional use of the land and should not harm the character and openness of the land". Personally, I am pleased, for example, to see a planning application for the site to put extra care housing for older people on about one quarter of the site but also to build 9.5 acres of public playing fields, sport, leisure and recreational facilities to be handed over to ownership by the local community and to enable the new GP surgery.</p> <p>A "Local Green Space" designated site has to be "demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of its wildlife". This land is private and was only used by a small number of people under the previous owners, Imperial College, predominantly as training facilities for their sports teams. It was not openly accessible to the local community. The site is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. For the residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary fencing, shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. This is NOT significant community or historical significance!</p> <p>I agree with the (Local Plan) Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case is not made to justify the site's classification as Local Green Space. I support it being designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p>
552	Neville Orton	<p>I wish to state my support for Udney Park playing field being designated a local green space and not to be developed for housing.</p> <p>There is already far too much infill building in the area which is becoming increasingly urban.</p> <p>Where will services for new residents come from eg schools,doctors,parking?</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I have observed bats flying along the fences and hedges surrounding this site ,they will be driven away by building.</p> <p>This site needs to remain a green space in an urban environment</p>
553	Helga Foss	<p>Udney Park needs to be kept as a local facility for sports and recreation – we have had enough encroachment on our greenery in Richmond to yet sacrifice another plot of land to developers who only have profit and not our environment in mind. Udney Park is over 100 years old, and it needs to be kept for at least another 100 !! So, please keep its Local Green Space status.</p>
554	Tina Cooke	<p>I am writing to object, in the strongest possible terms, to the second attempt to remove the Local Green Space designation on Udney Park Playing Fields and I urge you to continue to protect this very valuable Local Green Space.</p> <p>It is a joyous place to be for sportsmen, sportswomen and children. It is what that land was gifted to Teddinton's community for! At night, there is less light pollution and as I walk home, alongside the fields, I experience a wonderful sense of peace. I am simply happy knowing it's there and that it's protected from development.</p> <p>So, may I remind us, respectfully, of some of the very good reasons as to why this protection was first given by your Department, to Udney Park Playing fields in 2016:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Udney Park has been established as playing fields for 100 years. (Amazing!) * Now, in 2019, there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally, so its existence is hugely important. * Several local sports clubs have no permanent home and the Community had hoped to address this matter by together, purchasing Udney Park Playing Fields, for the Community. * Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. (Visionary, Generous!). * It is home to 8 protected species of bat (and I've seen some of them!!) * it is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. <p>Nothing has changed concerning all of these very good reasons for designating Udney Park Playing fields as Local Green Space.</p> <p>What has changed is that the former owners sold the fields together with its Pavilion to Quantum. In itself this was disappointing, since this land was gifted to the community with a very specific covenant placed upon it. In my view, the sellers made a very poor choice when they accepted Quantum's predatory offer on the land at the very last moment, outbidding three Community offers.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Prior to their purchase, Quantum were informed by LBRUT that NO DEVELOPMENT could be given planning on this land, which was quite right and I'm grateful to you for initially upholding the very good decision that you made in 2016.</p> <p>I am very disappointed that without any warning, UPPF's LGS protection was cut by the Planning Inspector. A number of us contributed to the cost of taking this decision to the High Court, where happily, it was overturned. Unhappily, with the need to prove Udney Park Playing Fields worthiness of being a LGS, once again.</p> <p>I cannot believe that the Planning Inspector truly doubts that his well judged decision in 2016 was wrong. I can believe that Quantum applied very serious pressure to have this decision revoked, upon purchase of UPPF, in order to develop the Land.</p> <p>Quantum knew that All Policies that protect playing fields apply both to private and to public land. Their bid for these playing fields may have swept the three community bidders off the board, but the amount that they paid for land which had LGS status, (forbidding development of any kind), was a paltry sum, compared to what would have been the cost of buying land, were development permitted.</p> <p>Quantum has zero interest in our community or its well-being. Quantum views the Planning Department of Richmond upon Thames as an easily swatted fly in the ointment. They have no respect for your department and its decisions. They have a great deal of money and they want to wear you down. If you give way on this, every single protected green space in our beautiful Borough will become rich pickings for this company and others like them.</p> <p>In terms of building new homes, Teddington already has 300 luxury flats under construction within a quarter of a mile of the park, on brown-field sites. We do not need any more.</p> <p>At the risk of being repetitive, I urge the Planning department to stand firm on maintaining LGS protection on our precious Udney Park Playing Fields and thank you for your attention in this very important matter.</p>
555	Susan Sutton	<p>I am writing to state my objection to the removal of the LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>Teddington is a great place to live. It is important to me that the green space we have is protected and not used for building developments. I believe Udney Park should remain as a central green space in the Teddington community. More importantly, Udney Park has been a playing field for decades and we do not have an abundance of other local green spaces which can be used by local sports clubs. The space was originally donated under covenant, designated for use by amateur sports and I feel it should remain as such.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		In addition, I understand that the area is home to important wildlife, such as bats, which will be detrimentally affected by any kind of large building development on the site. The park also forms part of a connected ecology network in the area, linking local parks and river embankments.
556	Theodore Dimaras	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I strongly object to the removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park.</p> <p>As parents of a young child with another on the way, access to sports fields is of paramount importance to our family and to our friends with children. Teddington is a family heavy area and we need to be able to go out and have our children play.</p> <p>Teddington already has much residential development and what it needs now is more open areas, which is becoming a dwindling resource. As such, Udney Park is one of the few areas left and should be maintained as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>Separately, Quantum knowing full well that Udney Park was a Local Green Space; however, challenging its designated status to try to develop it is disingenuous and shows a lack of integrity as to th planning process and the requirement for London to ensure it has enough green space for its inhabitants.</p> <p>Please ensure that Udeny Park retains its Local Green Space designation. As such we object to the removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park.</p>
557	Patricia Winter	I object to the removal of the LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park is special to the local community, because of it's beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility and richness of it's wildlife. We have plenty of developments on brownfield sites in the area. It is important that we retain Udney Park as a facility for the local community and as playing fields. Please consider this objection and project this local green space.
558	Tony Arbour , Chairman of the London Assembly, Member for South West	I write as the London Assembly Member for South West (Hounslow, Richmond and Kingston). I have served as Local Councillor for 39 years for the Ward that includes Udney Park Playing Fields. I serve as the current Chairman of the London Assembly, a Member of the Environment Committee and as a Member of the Regeneration Committee. I therefore have a broad Capital-wide knowledge of the London Plan, the London Environment Strategy and the need to meet the SHLAA housing requirements in London through regeneration whilst protecting vital Green Space. I review all of the Local Plans produced by London Boroughs in the course of my work. Hence, I am well qualified to comment on how special Udney Park is to the local community, the general standard for Local Green Space across London and the increasing degree to which community sport is a vital component to the long-term quality of life in London.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is Special to the local community</p> <p>The current owner of the site, a developer from Bournemouth called Quantum, were fully aware how valuable Udney Park was to the community before they bought the site as there were 2 Planning Briefs, arising from a meeting between Imperial College and the Council Planning Department. The brief was clear the Udney Park is a protected playing field and the Council's 2015 Playing Pitch Strategy defined Udney Park as a "strategic site of Borough-wide importance", especially given the shortfall of supply of playing pitches versus current and future community demand.</p> <p>Given the threat to development on Udney Park, and the frustration in the community that Imperial had sold to a developer despite three competing community bidders and the presence of an ACV application (which was granted soon after the sale to Quantum completed) it was entirely appropriate that the community groups responded to a threatening new owner by seeking to protect Udney Park further.</p> <p>Local Green Space is a "nominated by the community" protection, where extra protection would be helpful to prevent unnecessary development. The Teddington Society, the largest and oldest community group, and the Friends of Udney Park applied for LGS. The Council gave this application due consideration, listened to counter arguments from Quantum and their development partners and decided to adopt LGS in the draft Local Plan. The former Conservative Council Leader Lord True said in December 2016 at the Cabinet Meeting when adopting Local Green Space: "we have listened to Quantum and the community and decided that Udney Park is Local Green Space; my heart doesn't bleed for Quantum, they knew they were buying green space in a Borough that protects its green space".</p> <p>Through the 2-year Local Plan and Teddington Village Plan consultation the protection of Udney Park from any built development was the issue that was consistently raised by the greatest number of my constituents. Furthermore, after LGS was adopted, the draft Local Plan went through further consultation and LGS remained to the end of the Public Exam process. Crucially, people that argued for removing LGS were mostly as a result of lobbying by Quantum to the organisations that are prepared to partner with them to gain access to a much reduced space and patients of a GP surgery seeking to expand on new premises on Udney Park, no-one argued that Udney Park was not needed to serve the community, just that some were prepared to tolerate a trade-off with a developer. Many more local clubs and schools refuse to partner with Quantum and be used as window-dressing for building on a Park.</p> <p>Given the extraordinary profit margins available from building on land bought at playing field valuations, the current owner Udney Park is trying to re-write history by insisting on calling Udney Park - "the former private</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>ground" and claiming it has "no recreational value". Quantum appear to justify breaching policy on the basis that the fields are now in private ownership, this misses a fundamental point, that NPPF and GLA policy applies irrespective of ownership.</p> <p>It is indisputable that Udney Park Playing Fields is a Playing Field with huge recreational value for the Teddington community. Udney Park was for at least the last 20 years used by local schools and clubs, was available for ad hoc pitch hire and community events, and of course used by students of Imperial College. Whilst it is recognised that Udney Park was under-utilised it always was and remains for the future life of this Local Plan and beyond, a large playing field with recreational value that serves the community.</p> <p>The GLA assessment of Udney Park</p> <p>In July 2015 I raised the issue of Udney Park at Mayors Question Time: <i>"Given your concerns about the loss of playing fields in London, do you share my concerns about the potential sale and development of the 13-acre Teddington Sports Ground owned by Imperial College, in breach of covenants designed to ensure that the Ground be used for sport in perpetuity, and will you do all you can to ensure that these valued playing fields are protected?"</i></p> <p>The Mayor replied: <i>"Yes. Thank you very much, Tony, for raising this with me. The London Plan policy is absolutely clear that you cannot have a loss of open space unless you have equivalent or better provision. No application has been made for this site. I note that it was donated to Saint Mary's Medical School by Lord Beaverbrook in 1937 specifically for use as rugby union pitches and later taken over by Imperial College London. It would be an absolute scandal and an outrage if that land was lost for that purpose"</i></p> <p>In 2018 the GLA Planning Office reviewed the current Planning Application that seeks to build on Udney Park as part of the upcoming Public Inquiry and said: <i>"It is acknowledged that the proposal would enhance sporting facilities. However, this could be achieved through the redevelopment of the site in a manner that accords with the relevant London Plan. The proposed development on protected playing fields breaks the National Planning Policy and the London Plan and is not supported"</i></p> <p>Unfortunately, such clear conclusions from the Council and the GLA did not dissuade the developer from "taking a chance" by appealing against the Council and GLA by taking their Application to Public Inquiry on the grounds of non-determination. The developer is also disputing with the Council and the GLA claiming the proposed accommodation is C2, which removes the need for CIL.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Hence, the developer, by persisting with a project that significantly breaches many Policies is causing the public sector, including Sport England, to spend precious resources protecting a playing field. Local Green Space is designed as extra protection, in this case it helps to ensure that those public resources spent protecting Udney Park are not wasted and that the community benefits of Udney Park are permanently maximised, once a Park is lost it is lost forever.</p> <p>The GLA Policy direction</p> <p>The London Plan, current and draft, the London Environment Strategy and the London Strategy for Sport and Physical Activity strengthen the message that playing fields are vital to communities for multiple reasons and any further loss of playing fields must be prevented. Recently, where LPAs have approved projects on playing fields (for example in Harrow and Redbridge), they have been called in by the GLA, demonstrating the very high level of importance the GLA ascribes to playing fields.</p> <p>In December 2018 the GLA "Sport for all of us" Strategy for Sport and Physical Activity makes it clear how important community sport is to the people of London, and in the Teddington community sport plays a huge part in local life, with thriving clubs and waiting lists for junior members. The new GLA Sport Strategy says: <i>"Improving the health and wellbeing of our city is one of a top priority, and sport is a great tool for achieving this. Regular exercise can help to tackle obesity, improve mental health and raise self-esteem, so it's essential that we invest in programmes that expand access to sport for all Londoners. Sport can also bring much wider social benefits that are sometimes overlooked – from improving employability and addressing loneliness and isolation, to helping divert young people away from crime. Time and again, we see the amazing impact sport can have in our communities and how it can transform the lives of young Londoners – giving them structure, purpose and a sense of direction. One thing that stands out above everything else, it's the remarkable power sport possesses to bring people together and break down barriers. That's why fostering social integration and building stronger communities are key goal of the Mayoral sports strategy. Our city lives and breathes sport and at a time when our society too often seems divided, we must use sport to strengthen the bonds between our communities and help make London the most socially integrated and active city in the world"</i></p> <p>Whilst the most obvious way that Udney Park serves the community is as a Playing Field, it also has significant environmental value. The owner has sought to deny this value by challenging the Council's existing Tree Preservation Orders and avoiding a reasonable request by the Council for an Environmental Impact Assessment. It is now proven by the developers own Phase 2 Ecology report that at least 8</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>protected species are present on Udney Park, which means that the Biodiversity has such value that the Council Planning Officer said: <i>"Udney Park could be designated as a Site of Metropolitan Importance for Nature Conservation (SMINC) for its habitat mosaic and species assemblage. This site is unique in the local environment, due to its corridor contribution between the River Thames and Bushy Park"</i>. SMINC is a very important London-wide status reserved to locations with important wildlife.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Udney Park is undeniably a vital urban playing field and has much ecological value, located in the heart of Teddington. Protecting Udney Park has been the topic raised most by the local community during the entire Local Plan consultation, despite the cascade of often misleading lobbying by the developer. Udney Park was the only LGS in the Richmond Local Plan, so the Council was not over-using this designation. From a GLA perspective, Udney Park comfortably passes the threshold for Local Green Space, there are many much smaller and less well-used LGS sites already adopted in other Local Plans. Adding extra protection to Udney Park through LGS is the very definition of what LGS was designed to achieve, a community nominating a site that is clearly and demonstrably special to them and under threat. I fear that Quantum, with its deep pockets, seeks to use every process available to them to frustrate the wishes not only of the local planning authorities but also of the local community who, by their energy and fundraising have demonstrated the importance of Udney Park to the area.</p> <p>I strongly Object to the removal of Local Green Space</p>
559	Avril and Alan Tyrrell	<p>We would like it noted that we have extremely strong objections to Udney Park having it's green park status withdrawn.</p> <p>Little by little all the green space in Teddington has been built on changing this once green and leafy town into a concrete jungle.</p> <p>We were under the impression that the park was donated to the town for local use, how can this now be taken away?</p> <p>Also we are very worried at the effect this will have on the wildlife particularly the Bat population, these are protected by law and their habitat must not be disrupted.</p> <p>Please take our views into consideration.</p>
560	Alex Welsh, London Playing Fields Foundation	<p>We wish to Object to the removal of Local Green Space protection on Udney Park Playing Fields. LPPF has been involved in the case of Udney Park since Imperial College first tendered the site for sale in 2015. We lobbied Imperial College not to sell Udney Park to a developer, supported the Local Green Space</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>application and objected to the current Planning Application. Based on the independently commissioned Richmond Council Playing Pitch Strategy, documents which have to follow a statutory method, there is a clear shortfall in pitch capacity in the Borough of Richmond. It is clear and obvious that Udney Park Playing Field, as a 12.8 acre Playing Field in an urban area, has substantial recreational value to the community, hence the designation as a "strategic site" in the Playing Pitch Strategy. Therefore, based on comparable playing fields across the Capital, Udney Park comfortably meets the threshold for Local Green Space and we strongly object to the removal of LGS protection.</p> <p>LPFF sent an objection letter to London Borough of Richmond on the potential loss of this 12.8acre site, and, in examining a range of policy documents and background information about the proposed development at Udney Park Playing Fields, we believed that insufficient data had been provided to justify the loss of this sport and recreation facility, and that more importantly there is an opportunity to continue to provide sports and recreational opportunities for the local community. This would be wholly appropriate and in line with the Richmond Playing Pitch Strategy.</p> <p>Furthermore, the government's sports strategy recognises that playing fields are a vital part of the country's sporting infrastructure and will continue to remain so. They also make a significant contribution to informal recreation, amenity and the environment. Over recent years the loss of playing fields has become an important current issue as no one knows the exact number of pitches that are lost to either development or neglect.</p> <p>They are an important resource that allow and encourage physical activities to take place, and as a consequence make a positive contribution to maintaining and also improving the health and well-being of local communities.</p> <p>The importance of Playing Fields for London</p> <p>A natural capital account for London's public open spaces revealed that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • London's public green space have a gross asset value more than £91 billion, providing services valued at £5 billion per year • for each £1 spent by local authorities and their partners on public green space, Londoners enjoy at least £27 in value • Londoners avoid £950 million per year in health costs due to public green space. • the value of recreational activities is estimated to be £926 million per year

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • for the average household in London, the monetary value of being near a green space is over £900 per year. <p>NO NET LOSS OF PITCHES MANTRA</p> <p>Despite this, LPFF has a policy position of “no net loss of playing pitches” and advocates the general presumption against the development, disposal or change of use of playing fields. Once a field is lost it is lost forever.</p> <p>As a principle, there should be no net loss of pitches and no reduction in the amount of pitches available for use either for sport or informal recreation. Under use of a playing field should not necessarily be viewed as an indication of absence of need in the local area.</p> <p>In order to address this issue LPFF has been compiling a continuously updated Fields at Risk Register (FARR), a type of early warning system that catalogues the details of playing fields where the local community has expressed concern about their short to medium term future. Once included on the register, LPFF puts in place a three-stage package of support for the local people who are advocating on the behalf of the playing field. This package might involve one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing one to one advice to organisations resisting the potential loss of a local field or • Providing more detailed consultancy advice to organisations resisting the potential loss of a local field or • Acquiring the ground on a freehold or long lease basis <p>LPFF contends that there is a real need for a system that supports the long-term protection of playing fields by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing local intelligence on the sites under threat • Responding to and providing practical advice to local community groups and organisations, opposing the potential loss of the ground. • Preparing community playing field groups for potential grant applications. • Assisting in the monitoring and evaluation process of successful grant aid applications. • Providing successful applicants with ongoing advice on the modernisation, management, marketing and maintenance of the playing field. <p>The London Playing Fields Foundation has for over 125 years existed with the same purpose: " to provide or assist in the provision of facilities for recreation or other leisure time activities in the interests of social welfare at places within or adjoining that area which formerly came within the administrative area of Greater London Council including the provision of facilities for outdoor games and athletics for persons who by</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>reasons of youth, age, infirmity or disablement, poverty or social and economic circumstances, may not otherwise have the opportunity to enjoy them" LPPF has had a Royal Charter since 1925.</p> <p>We are acknowledged as experts in the subject matter of protecting playing fields in London from development to alternative uses. London has 16% of the population and 8% of the playing fields, and there is a chronic shortage of outdoor space. Thankfully, since the London Olympics and the 2012 NPPF the loss of playing fields has been slowed down. The policy agenda which connects social cohesion, physical health and mental well-being grows stronger: The London Plan, the London Environment Strategy and the recent "Sport for all of us" Mayor's Strategy for Sport all emphasise the sanctity and value of playing fields to our urban communities. We especially welcomed the emergence of Local Green Space in the 2012 NPPF as a community nominated designation to protect playing fields and are delighted that LGS has protected playing fields in multiple Local Plans throughout London.</p> <p>One of the main reasons why playing fields are under resourced, and therefore vulnerable, is due to the very low appreciation of their potential to contribute to council corporate objectives. LPPF in supporting the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields recommended a much more joined up approach to the issue with parks, sports development, education, health and planning departments thinking, planning and working together to not only raise the profile of playing fields but also to restore them to full use for the benefit of local people.</p> <p>All these local policy recommendations reflected the need to safeguard the playing pitches at Udney Park and demonstrated that the planning application was contrary to the council's own policies</p> <p>The removal of Local Green Space designation is against this principle.</p>
561	J E Stableforth	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a rundown field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and scrappy hedges. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast numbers of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area. This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.</p>
562	Name unclear	<p>I understand it is a requirement that the application for LGS is made by the community and holds "particular significance". I am a local resident of Teddington and I was not consulted on this application and the groups who state they represent Teddington do not represent my views. The term "Local Green Space" is very</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		misleading - the site is not particularly green and doesn't contribute much for the purposes of open space provision because it is private and has limited open visual aspect at street level. Most people who live behind the immediate row of houses next to it aren't even aware it's there. For these reasons I do not think it should become 'local green space' and should be given the opportunity to flourish with more creative proposals – i.e. the suggestions by the land owner which would be of far more use to me.
563	Barbara Atherton	<p>In March 2018, I wrote in support of the Planning Application proposed by Quantum for the former ICL Private Grounds in Teddington. I now understand there is a strong possibility that the status of the area will change from OOLTI to LGS, thus endangering Quantum's proposal for a range of much needed, high-quality sports and community facilities.</p> <p>I understand that LGS has to present itself as being of special value to the local community, and I cannot understand how this definition can be applied to this site. For as long as I have known it, the land has only ever been available to very specific groups and activities and it now appears to be becoming more derelict as time passes. Indeed it would seem that Quantum's proposals meet the definition more fully than other proposals.</p> <p>I therefore urge you to maintain the OOLTI status for this land to enable Quantum's proposal to continue and to ensure that this area does indeed become an area of special value for all members of the local community.</p>
564	Name unclear	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space". I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
565	Name unclear	<p>This land is 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land Of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctor's surgery for Park Road Surgery,

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
566	Mrs C. Leonard	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.
567	Joan E Stick	This private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
568	G Krajewski	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
569	Name unclear	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.
570	Claudia Heather	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
571	Bethan Cartmell	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status means that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
572	Rob Howe	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
573	Nick Sellick	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
574	Andrew Scott	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
575	Rosie Newton	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
576	Sally Davis	I am writing to you to portray my opinions on the local plan consultation in relation to the land near Udney Park Road. By keeping this land as a field, I do not see how it will suit the needs of the community. Without public access to the site and visual openness, the site currently provides very little benefit to anyone. Therefore, I would deem the designation of Local Green Space to Udney Park Playing Fields as unsound. By enclosing this land in layers of planning policy we could lose a valuable opportunity to deliver the needs in our community. There are planning proposals to bring a GP surgery to Teddington – a resource that is greatly needed.
577	Natalie Haidari	May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
578	Ashley Juett	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
579	Barry Horrell	<p>Udney Park Playing Fields is a very special area to the local community - it provides valuable recreational space with strong historic significance and also providing tranquility and wildlife diversity. I object to the removal of LGS status for the following additional reasons:-</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
580	Janie Petersen	Udney Park, Teddington is special to the local community for its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquillity and richness of its wildlife.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and its important for several local clubs with no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that MUST be protected from more luxury flats which there no local need for, as there are already 300 luxury flats under contraction within half a mile of the par on brown-field sites.</p> <p>Also with further flats there will not be enough school spaces, dentists, GP's and public transport is already suffering with more flats/houses being built in this area</p> <p>Therefore I strongly object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park, Teddington</p>
581	Brian Davison	<p>I have been continuously resident since 1975 in Grosvenor Court which is just around the corner from Udney Park. I pass by the park a number times each week, both by car and on foot and, as an enthusiastic sportsman, I have continued to be greatly disappointed by the lack of use to which it is put. As far as I am aware, it has never been open to the general public. It appears to be used mainly at weekends by sports clubs, though I have also noticed that its sports facilities have been used from time to time during the week by boys coached in from a private school. In my view it has little, if any, intrinsic beauty and, as it stands, offers very limited value to the local community.</p> <p>I therefore strongly object to the proposal to designate it as "Local Green Space" and support its current designation as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p>
582	Rachel & Steven Elkington	<p>We felt that we had to write to express our upset that the potential removal of LGS is being seriously considered. Udney Park has been a lovely playing field for the local community for 100 years +. We know only too well that there are so few green spaces for us to walk, play with the children and enjoy nature. We really hope that this will be reconsidered. Please accept our objection.</p>
583	Hudson Pace	<p>I am writing to express my objection to the possible removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>A glance at a satellite view of the area shows that it is an important element in the patchwork of green spaces which links Bushy Park to the river bank and the riverside lands. For example, any disturbance or diminution of the site carries risk to what may be a keystone habitat for the high number of bat species recorded on the site.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Clearly the existing use of the site has brought no conflict from the wildlife point of view, and given the shortage of sports facilities in the area this would seem the most appropriate use for the future. If the site was preserved as a whole it would be of a size for a wildlife management plan to be worthwhile, creating opportunities for enhancing its wildlife value through planting on marginal areas.
584	C Anderson-Davies	I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.
585	Steve Jones	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
586	Christine Thorne	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
587	Victoria Andrews	May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
588	Roy Norwood	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
589	Alison Crabtree	I am concerned as a local resident over the proposed designation of Udney Park Playing Fields becoming Local Green Space. I feel that there is absolutely no value whatsoever in wasting any more time with attempting to make this land Local Green Space – we've been here before, and if the Government's Planning Inspector has deemed it not appropriate, then why are we revisiting something which we all know makes the Local Plan unsound.
590	David Jones	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
591	A Mirjany	I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.
592	Emma Davis	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
593	Name unclear	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.
594	Damian Thornton	May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
595	Lisa Fullicks	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better that its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
596	Mark Humphreys	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG’s and Quantum’s proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
597	Name unclear	The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “ <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> ”. I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to "<u>Local Green Space</u>".</p>
598	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
599	Oliver Upton	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interests of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful nor tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic. • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community. Perhaps the idea of providing Parks and Gardens and even sports fields and bowling greens could be considered.</p>
600	Jonathan Guest	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
601	Name unclear	I am concerned as a local resident over the proposed designation of Udney Park Playing Fields becoming Local Green Space. I feel that there is absolutely no value whatsoever in wasting any more time with attempting to make this land Local Green Space – we've been here before, and if the Government's Planning Inspector has deemed it not appropriate, then why are we revisiting something which we all know makes the Local Plan unsound.
602	Dave Mackinney	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
603	David & Jane Evans	We object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park . There is neither the need for luxury flats nor the infrastructure to support yet more flats given 300 are nearing completion at the end of Broom Road. Ensuring they have the infrastructure such as access to GPs etc for this development will be a challenge and to have even more flats is crazy.
604	Michael Pemberton	I applauded LBRUT's decision to apply LGS protection to Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington in 2016.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand that Quantum, potential developers of this open local area wish to overturn this decision and I wish to register MY STRONG OBJECTION TO ANY OVER TURNING OF THIS DECISION.</p> <p>I OBJECT TO THE REMOVAL OF THE LOCAL GREEN SPACE STATUS OF UDNEY PARK PLAYING FIELDS</p> <p>for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a central green space within Teddington that must be protected as the LBRUT rightly decided in 2016 and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ such a policy and all policies that protect playing fields apply to both private and public land ○ the developers, Quantum must have been/were aware of this when they made their bid for development • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under a covenant for amateur sport • there are insufficient playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home • whilst I understand that there is a need for affordable housing I can not accept the building of such in LGS; brown-field sites should be used for housing development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ luxury apartments built for profit, taking away LGS is totally - (300 such are under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites) <p>I urge my borough to uphold its decision in the interests of the local people and local sports in relation to Udney Park Playing Fields and to refuse any removal of its LGS status</p>
605	Robyn Ross	<p>Please note my formal objection to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields. This open space is important within the local area, not only for its value for recreational use in a locality where there is already a shortage of such facilities relative to the demand, but also because it represents an attractive green space which supports a variety of wildlife including a number of protected bat species, which the whole community should be able to enjoy in perpetuity.</p> <p>It is completely unacceptable that Richmond Council should consider breaking the covenant under which the the site was originally donated, over 100 years ago, for amateur sport, in order to allow for the development of residential units, particularly when there are already other luxury flats under construction close by, which, it appears. are struggling to find buyers.</p> <p>Teddington does not require more luxury flats. They benefit only the few wealthy people who can afford to live in them. Udney Playing Fields benefits the whole community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		To remove LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields would be a betrayal of the people whom the Council is supposed to serve.
606	Simon Roberts	I strongly object to the Removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. I have lived in this area for about 30 years and feel the land is special to the community and has great recreational value in addition to being beautiful and tranquil when not in use. There is plenty of development taking place nearby on brown-field sites and this Udney Park site was donated under covenant for amateur sport. It should all, 100%, be kept for that purpose.
607	Sarah Dunn	As part of the Teddington Community, this is my opinion in reference to the subject of the former Imperial College London's private sports ground. As a frequent visitor to the Park Lane Stables, I feel passionately that this land at Udney Park Road could be used to a far better advantage for the whole community and do not agree with the proposed designation. This valuable piece of land could hold venue to memorable community events in the future however nay sayers seem determined to be retain its insignificant for the future to match it's past.
608	Name unclear	I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington. This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”. It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and is certainly not described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
609	Anthony Anderson	I write to you in reference to the land that is near Kingston Road and Udney Park Road. I worry that if this land is designated as Local Green Space, it will not have as much community benefit or significance as it could potentially have. It currently looks quite run down and has rather basic facilities to play sports or recreational activities. There have been plans proposed which I am in favour of as they would be more valuable to the community, particularly the landscaped park and a new sports pavilion which look like a fantastic opportunity for Teddington. If the space is given the LGS designation, we could lose the potential for Teddington to have better sporting and recreational facilities because development and investment would be harder to come by. Therefore, I do not support the modification proposal for Udney Park Playing Fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
610	Name unclear	I oppose the council's decision to allocate the site as local green space. The decision to allocate the site as Local Green space prevents adequate consideration of any development which would see the site better utilised for health and community use and provide Teddington and the wider Borough with publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which has never been available before. The group supporting designation as LGS state has come up with all sorts of tenuous reasons as to why the site is special to them in an attempt block useful proposals for the community. I've seen the current planning application for the site, which I support, and even if these are not approved, I believe it makes sense for the land to remain as OOLTI which provides adequate protection from the wrong type of development and ensures the possibility that some use of land could be put to better use going forwards. In its current state the facilities are basic and do not contribute meaningfully to the character of the area because most of the site is screened from view. To be honest, I don't see how anyone could say it is demonstrably special to the community.
611	C Pearce	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am well aware of the surgery's desperation to find new accommodation that is suitable to accommodate the vast number of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS will close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for 13,000 patients in the area.
612	Name unclear	I have been aware of the proposals submitted for this land from the landowners. Quantum and I am in favour for a new GP surgery to bought Teddington- this is an asset that we are very much in need of and we have been for a long time. If this land is to become Local Green Space, instead of its current designation of OOLTI then it would become incredibly difficult for a new health centre to be brought to Teddington and it would be of great loss to our community. It states in the local plan under policy LP28 that proposals for "new social and community infrastructure will be supported where it provides an identified need". The waiting list to join the current surgery at Park Road is very long, and we must surely be open to change when its so needed? For the above reason I object to the land being designated as Local Green Space.
613	Sharon Johal	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
614	Steve Munday	I object to the removal of green space classification for UdneyPark. This has been a playing field for 100 years and is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. UdneyPark is also a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury apartments. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
615	Rowena Jopling	I am writing to express that I would value Udney park as a Local Green Space as it is special to the local community as a full use playing field, including for use in cricket. There is a richness of wildlife including bats and it is of historical interest as it was given in covenant by Lord Beaverbrook to be used as a sports field. My family have used this playing field for 60 years as my father used to play rugby there.
616	Denise Roberts	I strongly object to the Removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. I have lived in this area for about 30 years and feel the land is special to the community and has great recreational value in addition to being beautiful and tranquil when not in use. There is plenty of development taking place nearby on brown-field sites and this Udney Park site was donated under covenant for amateur sport. It should all, 100%, be kept for that purpose.
617	David Love	I am writing to object to the potential removal of LGS status for Udney Park Road. My understanding is that LGS status must be applied for by the local community and can be granted for spaces that are: > Close to the community they serve > Demonstrably special (for example playing fields) Local and specific I cannot see how Udney Park Road fails to meet any of these criteria and therefore why the LGS status should be removed.
618	Anne Greany	I strongly disagree with the development of udney Park for residential purposes. This is a precious Piece of land, a memorial gift for sporting purposes at that, which should be preserved for the folk and their children who live in the area. I have 4 children who currently use the fields for their sport training and I certainly hope this can continue and that there is access to all of this land in the future.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand the need for more general practitioners (part of the developers plan) But is another doctors surgery on green land the answer? I would argue the need for a Gp could be reduced with maintenance of outdoor space and encouragement of physical activity. I would also argue there is a shortage of GP In England and how can we be sure there will be enough to staff a new practice? Surely it would be better use of ccg commissioners money to invest in and expand the current local gp practices.</p> <p>I understand the need for More social Housing, and aged care Housing but there are many vacant new Developments already in Teddington and the surrounding areas Which could be used for such housing. I understand the developers have offered some of the land for sporting use, but I do not think it is in the community's interest to lose so much outdoor space. Equally I do not trust a developer to keep their word as to the extent of space provided for the community and access to it. And planning guidance Changes so regularly that once the space is given over to private company's to develop, things are Likely to Change for the benefit of the developers pocket.</p> <p>I hope udney Park is able to be used as a Community outdoor Space and sporting facilities with Greater access than is available now. I also hope that sporting clubs aligning with the developers have as much access To the park, should it be kept as green space. There are Many more Arguments for keeping the whole of udney Park as Fields For sporting use and I sincerely hope common sense prevails.</p>
619	Gabriel Auty	<p>I do not approve of the proposed modifications to the Local Plan in relation to the private land at Udney Park. The land does not meet the LGS criteria. As the land is private land it makes very little contribution to the meaningful character of the area as most of the site is currently restricted from view. Existing sports facilities are basic but with investment and open access far more people could benefit from it. Designating the site as LGS will restrict any potential benefits to the community.</p>
620	Anna Jones	<p>I support the Planning Inspector's decision to keep this land OOLTI. If the land is made LGS it will deny sustainable development in the form of extra care housing, sports facilities and a much-needed GP surgery for Teddington – this goes against NPPF guidelines.</p> <p>I understand the criteria for LGS are that the site is special to the local community and of particular significance. How can a private piece of land that is kept at a bare minimum of maintenance, fulfil all the required key factors (beauty, tranquility, richness of wildlife etc). Instead, it could be a wonderful space for the local community to access and enjoy, enabled through the development of a small portion for affordable elderly housing. Isn't that a small enough sacrifice in balance for the creation of a real and sustainable community benefit?</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
621	Michelle Forth	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interests of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful nor tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic. • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community. Perhaps the idea of providing Parks and Gardens and even sports fields and bowling greens could be considered.</p>
622	Ding Kiuu	<p>I object to the land at Udney Park having its status changed from “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) to “Local Green Space” (LGS). I do not understand why the Planning Inspector’s decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit the Boroughs residents. In its current state, the site doesn’t meet with the Council’s criteria for LGS and without public access or visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than what is best for a handful of immediate residents.</p>
623	Olivia Marshall	<p>I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG’s and Quantum’s proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.</p>
624	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
625	Name unclear	<p>I do support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, or is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. Retaining the designation of OOLTI would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development.</p>
626	Harry Wilkinson	<p>I question the proposal to re-designate Udney Park playing fields as Local Green Space as the site has never been open to the local community as far as I'm aware. By keeping the current designation and avoiding a designation of Local Green Space, I understand community access will be far more likely? (especially in relation to proposed development)</p> <p>Also, this private piece of land has never looked it's best. Its scruffy and unloved and does not provide a natural beauty. The tired fencing and unkempt hedge line are unsightly to look within this suburban area. I hope this valuable asset will benefit from re-landscaping and providing improved links in terms of recreational facilities for the community.</p>
627	Leonard & Sue Garrett	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
628	Name unclear	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
629	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being closed and unmaintained, as to be seen in so many other cases across the country.</p> <p>Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community.</p> <p>Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
630a	Jacqueline Boga	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
630b	Jacqueline Boga	This land could be so much more if it was developed for the right reasons. By making this land Local Green Space it would simply retain its status quo as a piece of land that is underutilised, basic and rudimentary.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>By retaining its current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) it would still allow potential for it to be appropriately advanced in a way that could better benefit our whole community whilst still retaining land for community use.</p> <p>I agree open space is important for the community, and Quantum's proposal does still provide open space for the community, and arguably in a way that is more open and inclusive for the community.</p>
631	Matthew Joyce	<p>I am writing regarding your local and the latest public consultation regarding the above site. As a local resident, I am familiar with this site and it has only been used sporadically over the years. As the clubhouse and pitches are in disrepair I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as "beautiful", there is limited recreational value in its current condition.</p>
632	Amanda Sell	<p>This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.</p>
633	Simon Cartmell	<p>I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.</p>
634	Thomas Cartmell	<p>I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
635	Laura Templeman	May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
636	Carol Ager	I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space. How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? All it will do is wrap the site in unnecessary planning restrictions which will prevent creative solutions coming forward. The land has always been privately owned with very few people having access to the site. I understand there is an opportunity for this land to be gifted in perpetuity to the people of Richmond with the added benefits of housing for the elderly, a new health centre and state of the art sports facilities. Making this land Local Green Space could make this impossible. Importantly thought I'd like to state that the site is not particularly special at the moment. It's private, mostly hidden form the surrounding area and it's not particularly beautiful.
637	Andrew Wilkie	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
638	Robert Fullicks	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
639	Bryony, Emily & Connor Roberts	On behalf of myself and my 2 children we would like to object on the plan to remove local green space and build on Udney Park playing field. There are enough abandoned buildings to convert into flats without taking away precious green space from our younger generation . The area is already very gridlocked with traffic and does not have the capability to deal with the amount of flats planned as well as the rest. This development is just so wrong on so many levels. I hope that sense prevails over profit and our children and their precious green space are more important than lining shareholders pockets.
640	Henry Lodge	I would like to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields. This facility is special to the local community because of its beauty, historic significance and its recreational value. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no home. I feel that to build upon it would breach, in spirit, if not in name the covenant for amateur sport. It also acts as a 'lung' for the central Teddington area, particularly important with all that we now know about the damage to health from

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		emissions, and in view of expansion plans at Heathrow. Finally, there is really no need for more luxury apartments in the area - only a minuscule fraction of the 300 apartments built half a mile away have sold. I hope you will take this submission into account in your deliberations.
641	Nicola Evered	<p>I would like to object to the removal of local green space from Udney Park.</p> <p>I have lived in the area for 15 years and throughout it's time it has been used for various sporting activities. In the age of childhood obesity we desperately need our children to keep active and by taking away valuable green space we are limiting their chances. There are several clubs who would have no home should the space be taken away.</p> <p>This site was donated under covenant for amateur sport and should remain that way.</p> <p>There is already a luxury apartment at Teddington Lock, we dont need another development.</p> <p>I have been appalled at the underhand way Quantum has approached their project and dismissed the concerns of residents.</p>
642	Lesley Norris	I wish to advise of my personal objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park as we all need areas of green space around us in order to protect species of wildlife and to make our environment a good place to reside in now and in the future.
643	Mike Ridding	<p>I would like to lodge my objection to proposed removal of LGS for the Udney playing fields.</p> <p>The traffic in the area makes it dangerous for children and youngsters to play in the street as was the case in the past, and there are few open spaces left in the borough for kids to play football, rugby or just meet. It is important to retain this green space for the continuation of it's use as a safe playing area for local youngsters, , and sports teams.</p> <p>Apart from the council making money for the sale of the land for the development of luxury apartments, there is no benefit for local people---please register my objection to the proposed removal of LGS status.</p>
644	B T Herdman	<p>This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington.</p> <p>There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents.</p> <p>If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
645	Joanne Mair	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
646	C Walsh	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed.</p> <p>This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p> <p>I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes
647	Ann Skegg	<p>I write to you to show my interest in the former ICL ground on Udney Park remaining as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance as it was accepted when the Local Plan was adopted on the 3rd July 2018.</p> <p>I can see nothing that changes this previous designation and entrust the same decision is made again.</p>
648	K Mercer	<p>I question the proposal put forward to change the land designation at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space as I do not think it meets the criteria.</p> <p>For land to become Local Green Space I understand that it should be tranquil, beautiful and of historic significance. From what I can see, this land at present is run down, under-used, and by no means</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>'beautiful'. It is in a built-up suburban area which generates a moderate amount of traffic, so I also struggle to see the arguments that would determine it as 'tranquil'.</p> <p>There are plans currently from Quantum which propose to advance and grow the land into homes, a doctors surgery and recreational facilities. I support these proposals and if they were able to be implemented then it is likely that this space could then become a special place within our community.</p>
649	Philomina Arshed	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group.</p> <p>I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
650	R Rumsey	<p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land.</p> <p>The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
651	P D Hue	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed land designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I feel at current this land is underutilized and underused- and is hardly a space of tranquility and beauty. Designation as LGS must be considered in parallel with the delivery of sustainable development in the Teddington area and the same investment in enough homes, jobs and other essential services.</p> <p>The plans submitted by Quantum offer sustainable development for much needed extra care housing, jobs and healthcare AND a recognised, much needed GP surgery for Teddington. This is my opinion on the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		public consultation about a revised designation for the Udney open space. As a member of the Teddington Community, I wish for this opinion to be noted.
652	Kathryn Harrison	The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
653	S Foster	I support the Planning Inspector's decision to keep this land OOLTI. If the land is made LGS it will deny sustainable development in the form of extra care housing, sports facilities and a much-needed GP surgery for Teddington – this goes against NPPF guidelines. I understand the criteria for LGS are that the site is special to the local community and of particular significance. As this is private land, I fail to see how the land meets these criteria. It is an urban area, is hardly ever used and looks “unloved”. It could be a wonderful space for the local community to enjoy. I urge the Council to keep the status as it is which offers the right level of protection.
654	B Stevens	With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space. In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people. The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.
655	Jimmy Collins	I do not agree with any designation of local green space for the site, as it simply doesn't fit the latest criteria set out by the Government to be eligible for this status. It has no local value or significance, nor is it a place of beauty, or tranquility. This criteria is clearly set out by the Government in its National Planning Policy framework, and I struggle to see how the sports ground on Udney Park road fits into any of this! If any decisions are made regarding local green status, then I would expect the Council to clearly demonstrate on what basis. I hope that this public consultation highlights that every resident is entitled to have a proper say in what happens in their area. It would also be extremely helpful to see just how many people send in their

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		representation. Following the high court challenge, a more open and transparent approach would be most welcome.
656	W Nielsen	I have seen on your website that there are planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road. I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.
657	A R Larijani	<p>I agree with the Inspector's decision that this site should remain designated as OOLTI. Some of the key factors for LGS designation are for it to be 'Special to the local community' and to be of 'local significance'. It would be special to me as a Teddington resident if more access was allowed. Much needed 3G pitches on this site could add an undisputable local significance. But as it stands, these factors don't present themselves. Designating it LGS could potentially achieve exactly the opposite of what is in the community interest</p> <p>I support the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC who have plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
658	Benis Luwawu	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed.</p> <p>This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p> <p>I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes
659	M L Panton	<p>As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>By awarding this land with the LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria. It is not demonstrably special at current.
660	Blinthall	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed.</p> <p>This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p> <p>I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes
661	Juana Lubian-Taracido	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
662	A Miller	<p>I believe that no additional local benefit would be gained by a change in designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' already protects the land in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development cannot take place. • The land must contribute to the Local Character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land must be predominantly open or natural • The land must have views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the local character • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure.
663	Name unclear	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors' surgery for Park Road Surgery, • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
664	Name unclear	<p>I cannot support the application to change the site at Udney Park Road from '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>The land is not demonstrably special as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The land is not beautiful, it has a derelict clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. There is an apparent lack of wildlife present.</p> <p>Retaining the current designation provides an opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate, Sustainable improvement, the provision of much needed sporting facilities and open parkland.</p>
665	Name unclear	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is! A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
666	Will Woodhouse	<p>I am proud of where I live, and feel privileged to reside in such a sports focused borough. If the designation of the land at Udney Park Road changes to Local Green Space, then I will strongly oppose any proposals on the basis that it does not fit Government criteria. It has never been of any significant value to local people who have seen it locked up and inaccessible to the public under Imperial's ownership.</p> <p>If the site remains within its current status, then as a community, we have a much better chance of gaining some valuable assets in perpetuity for future generations of sports enthusiasts.</p> <p>9.5 acres of private land to be gifted to the community is just one of the benefits that the Teddington CIC and Quantum want to bring to thousands of people.</p> <p>If the above site designation is changed to Local Green Space, then it would make your Local Plan unsound, on the basis that there are no valid reasons to do this. It is neither a site of value or significance to anyone, and I cannot see any evidence of this.</p>
667	Clair Ivison	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
668	Name unclear	<p>I do not agree that the current status of the land at Udney Park Road should be changed to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The Status 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' suitably protects the land whilst allowing for enhancement through appropriate sustainable development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		This land is not special to the local community, it has no beauty and very little openness as it is completely fenced off. It is noisy, not tranquil and has no particular significance. The richness of the wildlife is not evidence and could be improved dramatically with careful appropriate development. I do not see that the land should qualify for the status of 'Local Green Space'.
669	Name unclear	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space . As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community.
670	Sharon Moodie	I wish to express my concern about the designation of Local Green Space for the Udney Park Road site. If the land becomes Local Green Space, then nobody will touch it, and we will end up with a useless piece of land. Not a great legacy for future generations and such a wonderful opportunity for something good to happen going to waste. The site is private land, existing sports facilities are basic to say the least and the only people who think it has local special qualities with value to the community are those who seem to border the private, under-utilised field across the road from where they live. The current OOLTI status is more than suffice to ensure this site can be of benefit to the whole community going forwards.
671	Name unclear	This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of "Local Green Space", as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery, • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
672	Richard Welton	I wish to register my strong opposition to any plan to remove Local Green Space designation from Udney Park playing fields. This space has been used as playing fields for many years and needs to be protected as there is a general shortage within the area.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The current proposal by developers to use part of the space for luxury flats is therefore not acceptable. There is already too much of this kind of development in the borough.
673	Phillip Barnes	<p>I write to object in the strongest terms to the Planning Inspector's removal of Local Green Space ("LGS") status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I object for the reasons set out in this letter.</p> <p>There are four grounds for granting LGS status to an area, and these are now set out in Paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Framework dated February 2019 (amended from the previous version where it was Paragraph 77). The essential criteria remain the same in both versions.</p> <p>That criteria states:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, it is clear from his report that the Local Plan Inspector was concerned with the way UPPF "served" the community.</p> <p>The Inspector misdirected himself when he became concerned about this point, as is clear from the case of <u>Regina (Legard) v Kensington and Chelsea Royal London Borough Council [2018] EWHC32 (Admin)</u>. Also at:-</p> <p>https://www.cravenc.gov.uk/media/7015/el4011-r-legard-v-rb-kensington-chelsea-2018-ewhc-32.pdf</p> <p>In that case the Court held that there is no requirement for a separate criteria that the land "serves" the community. The following paragraphs are particularly relevant:-</p> <p>From the Headnote:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) That in determining whether pursuant to paragraph 77 of the NPPF a site was to be designated as local green space, the criteria set out in the three bullet points to paragraph 77 were to be read and applied together and considered in the context of the NPPF as a whole; that the first bullet point did not create a separate and freestanding requirement that the land had to be shown to serve the local community; that, rather, the word "serves" in the first bullet point had to be read in the context of the second and third bullet points so that the local community would be served by the green space if that</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>space were shown to be “demonstrably special” to, and held a “particular significance” for, the community; that the examiner’s report set out the qualities in terms of views, nature and conservation value and historical significance, all of which were relevant to the application of paragraph 77, and all of which explained his conclusion that he was satisfied that the site was “demonstrably special” to the local community and held a particular significance for them; that having identified the qualities of the site which made it “demonstrably special” and of “particular local significance” for the community, so satisfying the second and third bullet points of paragraph 77, and which provided the manner in which it served the local community, the only remaining question under the first bullet point of paragraph 77 was whether the site was in proximity to that local community, a proposition which could not have seriously been contested; and that, accordingly, the examiner had properly applied paragraph 77 of the NPPF and his conclusions had been adequately and properly reasoned (post, paras 186—189, 195).</p> <p>And from the judgment itself:-</p> <p>187 Having considered these submissions, in my view the interpretation of paragraph 77 of the Framework suggested by the defendant’s submissions and which was plainly deployed by the examiner is the interpretation which is to be preferred. I can see no justification for having, in effect, a separate and free-standing requirement that the land “serves” the local community, other than by being “demonstrably special” and holding “a particular significance” for the local community in the manner required by the second bullet point. In my view, read in the context of the policy as a whole, the word “serves” operates in this way, and I see no justification for reading it more widely to create a requirement that the open space “serves” the local community in a free-standing manner beyond the question of being “demonstrably special” and holding “a particular local significance”. This interpretation is in my view, clearly more consistent with the purpose of the policy than the claimant’s construction. Furthermore, as Mr Phillpot on behalf of the defendant pointed out, it also reflects the approach of the PPG which reflects the interrelationship and overlap of the bullet points of paragraph 77 when addressing the question of proximity and observing: “the proximity of the local green space to the community it serves will depend on local circumstances, including why the green area is seen as special, but it must be reasonably close.” I am unable therefore to accept the submission that the examiner misinterpreted paragraph 77.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>In that case the examiner had concluded that “serves” added no extra criteria beyond those already listed. His conclusion was upheld by the Court, and that decision is binding.</p> <p>Even so, the land clearly has served the community, and still does serve the community as it has been used, and still is used, for recreational sport. Although not an applicable criteria in view of the Court’s decision, if UPPF had to meet the “serve” criteria, it would clearly do so.</p> <p>Considering Paragraph 100 again, there can be no serious debate that UPPF is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves, so fulfils criteria (a), or that it is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land, so fulfils criteria (c).</p> <p>This therefore leaves Paragraph 100 (b) to deal with.</p> <p>That paragraph has five separate possible criteria, which are non-exhaustive, and which are beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife. It must be remembered that UPPF does not need to meet all criteria, just one for it to be classified as LGS, although in my view, and I trust that of the Council, is that it more than meets all the criteria.</p> <p>Dealing with each of those criteria in turn:-</p> <p>1. Beauty</p> <p>The site is visually attractive. As 12 acres of green playing field in the heart of Teddington it provides a welcome calming respite from the busy High Street and the frantic commuter-belt suburb which typifies this area of London. The pavilion, whilst perhaps not a paradigm of that style of architecture, is nevertheless charming and harks back to a calmer time encouraging that sense of tranquillity.</p> <p>2. Historic Significance</p> <p>UPPF together with its Pavilion is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. It was opened in 1922 by Viscount Cave, the then Lord Chancellor.</p> <p>Clearly, the playing fields and pavilion have historical significance. The status of LGS will assist in maintaining that status for posterity.</p> <p>In detail, I can do no better than reiterate the excellent paper of Ken Howe, which was submitted on 11 March 2019, and I whole heartedly endorse and copy it as Attachment 1 to this letter.</p> <p>3. Recreational value (including as a playing field)</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and cricket has been played there for nearly that time, until stopped by the present owners, speculative developers Quantum.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Even so, the Playing Fields are still used by some local clubs, so, clearly, even they must agree that UPPF has recreational value.</p> <p>There are numerous clubs and schools who used UPPF in the past and who would wish to use the playing fields in the future.</p> <p>Records show that the England and Wales Cricket Board invested several tens of thousands of pounds in improving the UPPF pitches in the years before the playing fields were sold to Quantum, and that they expected to invest more in the years to come. Unfortunately, Imperial College chose to sell to a speculative developer rather than sell to a local sports club, when that option was available. There is clearly demand for these high quality pitches as playing fields.</p> <p>Amateur rugby has been played there for many years and there are local clubs who would wish to take over the entirety of the playing fields and use them all for sport. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The entirety of UPPF therefore clearly has recreational value, not only in the past, but presently and, if granted LGS status, long into the future.</p> <p>National policy on sport and healthy living overwhelmingly supports the retention of playing fields especially for the benefit of the younger generation who are more than ever at risk of obesity and lacking the opportunity or appropriate location to exercise. LGS status on UPPF will help ensure this.</p> <p>LBRUT and Sport England recently undertook a study on the availability of pitches in the Borough. Their report rightly identified the importance of including Udney Park Playing Fields in the total pitches available. UPPF features in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment Report of May 2015 where it is called the "Imperial College (Teddington Sports Ground)" site. This document is a statutory assessment written by a subject matter expert and checked for validity by Sport England before adoption by Richmond Council. It is an extremely thorough assessment of playing pitches, condition, usage and requirement to meet the needs of the local population. This strategy document was adopted by the Council in June 2015 and was an integral part of preparing the Local Plan. The "online" version of the document has been updated to March 2018. That latest document takes into account the fact that Quantum have denied access to cricket use and that tenure is unsecure. Clearly, that is part of the speculative developer's attempt to downgrade the significance of these playing fields.</p> <p>In the 2015 document the Imperial College site is regarded as "Strategic", defined as a site essential to meeting the demand for outdoor recreation in the Borough, and it is recognised as available for hire by local sports teams and schools for fixtures and training, for other uses such as children holiday camps and for</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>community events though with space capacity. All that has changed since 2015 is the present owners refusal to allow the entirety of the site to be used as playing fields (cricket in particular). That denial of use is no reason to deny LGS status from UPPF.</p> <p>The view that UPPF must be saved in its entirety for sporting use is the view of many others, including key local sporting leaders Sir Mo Farah Bill Sweeney (CEO of British Olympic Association), Paul Sinton-Hewitt (founder of Parkrun, one of the world's largest volunteer-led sporting organisation), many local sports clubs and schools that have refused to partner with Quantum, the speculative developer, intent on their damaging scheme, and key NGOs including Sport England, Wildlife charities and environmental bodies.</p> <p>That UPPF has recreational value as a playing field is established beyond doubt in the independently produced and adopted 2015 Richmond Council Playing Pitch Strategy.</p> <p>These precious playing fields must be saved for the community. Playing fields are a much needed and scarce resource, particularly in this Borough where there is a known shortage.</p> <p>LGS status must be granted to UPPF to give strong protection to these playing fields.</p> <p>4. Tranquillity</p> <p>UPPF is an oasis of calm and a space for quiet reflection. Even walking round it on the streets aids that feeling of calm. As I state above, UPPF provides a welcome calming respite from the busy High Street and the frantic commuter-belt suburb which typifies this area of London.</p> <p>Recent studies have shown that those living within accessible proximity to a green space, such as UPPF, suffer less mental health problems than others. The full benefit of such areas of green space within towns and cities is clearly only just beginning to be understood.</p> <p>I refer to the World Health Organisation Report on Health Benefits of Urban Green Space: http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0010/337690/FULL-REPORT-for-LLP.pdf?ua=1</p> <p>Whilst too extensive to quote in detail here, extracts from the "Executive Summary" state:-</p> <p><i>"There is a wide range of international agreements and commitments to enhance and support the establishment of green spaces in urban settings, as these are considered to provide a range of benefits to the urban population. WHO has recently published an evidence review on the health impacts of urban green spaces, providing indicators for the local assessment of green space accessibility. Such indicators enable local authorities and urban planners to assess in which urban areas green space accessibility should be improved, and to establish respective planning decisions.!</i></p> <p>And</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p><i>“The results indicate that urban green space is a necessary component for delivering healthy, sustainable and liveable cities. Interventions to increase or improve urban green space can deliver positive health, social and environmental outcomes for all population groups, particularly among lower socioeconomic status groups. There are very few, if any, other public health interventions that can achieve all of this, and especially the impact on active lifestyles, mental well-being and social interaction is frequently highlighted as a key benefit.”</i></p> <p>And</p> <p><i>“Urban green space interventions need to be planned and designed with the local community and the intended green space users. This will ensure the derivation of benefits for the local residents and will aid the delivery of interventions that serve the needs of the community - especially in deprived areas. As green space interventions need to be considered as long-term investments, they need to be integrated within local development strategies and frameworks (e.g. urban masterplans, housing regulations, transport policies, sustainability and biodiversity strategies). This requires <u>continued political support within local government</u>, and the general understanding that urban green spaces go beyond environmental or ecological objectives and also deliver social and health benefits that increase the quality of life and well-being of all urban residents.”</i></p> <p>(emphasis added)</p> <p>UPPF must be saved for the sake of its tranquillity. LGS status will help preserve that.</p> <p>There has also been a recent Government report on the matter, which concluded amongst other matters:-</p> <p><i>“The findings highlight the importance of local greenspaces, including urban parks, recreation grounds and playgrounds for children’s play and experience of the natural world. Across all age groups and backgrounds, local greenspaces provide an important opportunity for children to experience the natural environment on a regular basis, with these spaces becoming even more vital for children who are least likely to visit the natural environment frequently.”</i></p> <p>The report is at:-</p> <p>https://www.gov.uk/government/news/local-greenspaces-important-for-children-of-all-ages-and-backgrounds</p> <p>5. Richness of wildlife</p> <p>UPPF is home to at least 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Phase 1 Ecology Report prepared by Quantum, the present UPPF owners in support of their planning application to build on these playing fields never appeared in the schedule of documents for the Local Plan so it is clear that the Planning Inspector never read this document. He should have done given that "richness of wildlife" is a criteria for LGS and the fact that he was contemplating removing that status from UPPF. LBRUT can, and must now take the report, and the correct criticisms of it, into account in considering LGS status and resisting the Inspector's position that LGS status should be removed from UPPF.</p> <p>This Phase 1 Ecology report offered to the Inspector was subsequently made public in January 2018 as part of Quantum's Planning Application to build on UPPF. Independent analysis revealed the Report to have flawed scientific methodology. UPPF has at least 8 species of protected bats present. Given "richness of wildlife" is an LGS criteria for the designation of LGS, LBRUT must take into account the Ecology Report, and criticisms of it, in deciding that UPPF should have LGS status.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>UPPF more than adequately meets every criteria for LGS, and the Inspector's proposal that LGS status be removed from UPPF must be resisted, and I object to that removal. Clearly, the Inspector's proposal is badly mistaken and must be resisted.</p> <p>If Udney Park Playing Fields do not fulfil the criteria for Local Green Space, then there can be no area in the whole of the UK which meets that criteria.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ATTACHMENT 1</p> <p>I understand that there is some doubt as to the validity in declaring the Udney Park Playing Fields as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>These grounds were awarded to Robert Fullerton Udney under the Teddington Enclosure Act of 1801. There were 11 acres coming down from his house fronting the High Street, contained by Udney Park Road to the east, Kingston Lane to the west and the Southfield, later to become Cromwell Road, to the south. The land changed hands several times until 1906 when it was acquired by the Belgravia Land Co Ltd. Strangely no attempt seems to have been made to develop the land for the next two decades. In the early 1920s the Old Merchant Taylors' Sports Club (the old boys association of the Merchant Taylors' School founded 1561) raised a Memorial Fund, partly to provide for the education of sons of the fallen OMTs in the First World War and partly to establish a War Memorial. The Teddington site was selected and</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>purchased. After some levelling and turfing, The Old Merchant Taylors' war Memorial Sports Ground opened for business in October 1922. This situation continued until when the school moved from the City of London to Hertfordshire in 1937 with the Sports Club moving shortly afterwards, leaving the Teddington site vacant.</p> <p>After undergoing some serious surgery and receiving some very good treatment at St Mary's Hospital, Paddington, Lord Beaverbrook asked the hospital if he could do anything for them. Dr Charles Moran, personal physician to Winston Churchill, told Beaverbrook that the hospital needed a Medical School and Lord Beaverbrook duly obliged with a donation of £63,000.</p> <p>Dr Moran considered it necessary to have a good rugby ground to be able to attract the best students from Oxbridge and after the Medical School was built, Lord Beaverbrook donated the sports ground at Teddington to the hospital in perpetuity for the purpose of amateur sports, the chief one of these being amateur rugby union.</p> <p>Udney Park became the sports field for the hospital and very many years of high level rugby were played here. The "Hospitals Challenge Cup" which is the oldest club rugby competition in the world, was won here by St Mary's a record 30 times during the period when Udney Park was their home ground. Several internationals have graced the grounds with their play including Dr JPR Williams the Wales and British Lion full back.</p> <p>Aside from rugby, Dr Roger Bannister used the grounds for training when he was a student here, embarking on his epic run to break the 4 minute mile.</p> <p>It seems clear to me that the grounds are steeped in history and should never be considered to go for housing. It is important that they revert to the protected status of Local Green Space and continue to be used for sport by local Teddington sporting clubs and associations.</p>
674	John Perry	<p>I understand there is a chance that the land at Udney Park will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I do not understand why the Planning Inspector's decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit local residents. In its current state, the site doesn't even seem to meet with the Council's criteria for LGS and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone!</p> <p>I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than a handful of residents (FUPPFs and Teddington Society) who claim they represent the local community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
675	Peter Molloy	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
676	P Parthieban	I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”. I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
677	Raul Ivanescu	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
678	Mark Chamberlain	I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group. I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
679	Name unclear	I write to you in reference to the land that is near Kingston Road and Udney Park Road. I worry that if this land is designated as Local Green Space then it will not have as much community benefit or significance. It currently looks quite run down and has rather basic facilities to play sports or recreational activities. There have been plans proposed which I am in favour of as they would be more valuable to the community, particularly the landscaped park and a new sports pavilion which look like a fantastic opportunity for Teddington. If the space is given the LGS status, then we could lose the potential for Teddington to have better sporting and recreational facilities because development and investment would be harder to come by. Therefore, I do not support this designation proposal.
680	Charlotte Oldfield	I understand there is a chance that the land at Udney Park will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS. I do not understand why the Planning Inspector's decision is being reconsidered and how making the land LGS will benefit local residents. In its current state, the site doesn't even seem to meet with the Council's criteria for LGS and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to designate the land as LGS and I would hope the Council will consider what is best for the whole community rather than a handful of residents (FUPPFs and Teddington Society) who claim they represent the local community.
681	A M Cooper	I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. There is considerable protection under its current designation 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. It is safeguarded by the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows for potential to appropriately develop the site into something special that may contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
682	K J Nicholls	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. It is currently protected as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any inappropriate development. • The land must remain predominantly Open and Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
683	David Isaac (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
684	Alfie Johnson	<p>I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space". I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
685	B Goodship	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to "Local Green Space".</p>
686	Marc Wilson	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and is certainly not described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
687	J Smith	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a run-down field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and scrappy hedges.</p> <p>I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients.</p> <p>Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area. This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.</p>
688	Pat Mays	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”.</p>
689	John Stanley	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
690	S Wood	<p>I am a local resident of Teddington and have been made alerted to the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I am aware of the proposals for sporting facilities, a community space including sporting and recreational activities, a GP surgery and much needed affordable homes.</p> <p>I understand the site is under proposal to become Local Green Space, and this will prevent public access to the site and community facilities including sports pitches and GP surgery will not be built.</p> <p>I believe we should take this opportunity to ensure that everyone can enjoy the space and maximise the use of this inaccessible under-utilised and undervalued land. It currently holds little recreational, tranquil or beautiful value but with improvements it could be a far better resource.</p> <p>This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
691	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to let you know that I oppose any modification to your Local Plan in relation to Local Green Space for the old Imperial Collge ground on <i>Udney Park Road in Teddington</i>. The ground does not fit any criteria for this designation – it is neither a place of beauty, tranquility, nor does it provide any recreational value. It would be going against national planning policy to ignore this. We are lucky to have an abundance of green space in our borough, and I feel that there are more pressing needs to address before wasting 12.5 acres of private land on green space. In this day and age, I think we have to be realistic about housing, healthcare and recreation needs. Our population is growing, and we can no longer be precious about bits of land that have in the past not been built upon. I would prefer to see my council tax contributions being used on some vital facilities – such as a GP surgery. I know that you can't please everyone, but where in your Local Plan does it show a lack of Local Green Space? Conversely, it is packed full of policies on suitable housing for older people, adequate GP facilities as well as a the importance of providing health, social and community benefits.</p>
692	Mrs Harman	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
693	Andrew Royle	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site . I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
694	Stephen Miles (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Other Open Land of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
695	B Daniel	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interests of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p>
696	D Panton	<p>I have seen on your website that there are planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road. I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.</p>
697	Pia von Wuntsch	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u>. My reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. • There is no particular Historic significance. There is no fixed statue/memorial on the land. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to see the current status remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become open to and be enjoyed by the community,</p>
698	Keith Wincott (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Other Open Land of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddinton Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
699	Thomas Bedford	<p>I’m a member of a local group that is active in the community. I am writing to tell you that I do not want to see a Local Green Designation made for the site at Udney Park Road. I do appreciate that those people who live near the site have concerns about it being development. (Even though the plans are for only 25% to be built on). However, this is a matter for the majority to decide as it will impact them too. There are hundreds of small clubs and associations who are in need of meeting rooms, sports pitches and changing rooms. In fact, they have shown just how much they want Quantum’s plans to go ahead by writing to your planning team. Your public consultation this time should take into account the NUMBER of residents who support or object to the local green space designation. Furthermore, there doesn’t seem to be any valid reasons to change the status of the land to LGS – the site has never had any value whatsoever to the public.</p>
700	Mark Jones	<p>My interest in this site is through my local club which is based here in the Borough. I do not agree with any recommendation to make the site an area of Local Green Space, as it is neither a place of local significance – nor a place of beauty. It has no public recreational value as it has always been private owned. We support the Teddington Community Sports Ground Community Interest Company (TCSG CIC) who want the land to remain as it is. This will be an enabler to provide the following benefits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The gifting of 75% the majority of the land to the TCSG CIC, making previously private land available to everyone in the community. • new public open space to enrich the lives, health and well-being of residents and visitors. • enhanced play and sporting opportunities for all ages and abilities. • space for local groups and community activities. • modern, multi-use facilities to meet the needs of local clubs and associations.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • affordable housing solutions for the elderly population – freeing up much needed family housing. • new publically accessible healthcare and GP service sand employment opportunities. • A sustainable and protected legacy for future generations. <p>I do not feel designating this site as Local Green Space is justified or within the public interest – given that Quantum Group has a proposition for the site that would provide meaningful benefits to the wider community, which, being private land, has never done before.</p> <p>In order to ensure these benefits are delivered, Quantum Group need to develop a portion of the site to do so.</p> <p>I fear that as modfiications relating to the site are back out to consultation that once again, the benefits of its potential to the community will be dismissed – in favour of 12.5 acres of open space which will only serve a small minority.</p>
701	John and Maria Norris	<p>This email is in objection to the removal of LGS. We are facing constant challenges to preserve green space throughout our Borough, known affectionally as "the leafy green part of London". Instead of green spaces we are getting an influx of massive developments such as the one on the Teddington Lockside waterfront (formerly Teddington Studios and Haymarket) but there are many more such developments throughout the Borough of Richmond. Not only are green spaces overtaken but also the infrastructure surrounding these buildings like roads and sidewalks remain unchanged. The results being more traffic, fewer trees and green space and more pollutants.</p> <p>Specifically in regards to Udney Park, we object to the removal of LGS for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. 2- Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. 3- Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. 4- Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. 5- All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders. <p>Thank you for your consideration. The future is in our hands.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
702	David Power	I would like to express my serious concerns about the planning application by <i>Quantum</i> to redevelop Udney Park playing fields. Please therefore accept this email as my strong objection to the removal of Local Green Space from this area.
703	E Mann	I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the borough. GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this. Please note these comments for consideration by your department.
704	Charlotte Byford	As the main modification to the Udney Park road site on your plan is now open to public consultation, I am writing to let you know that I wish this site to retain its current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI). I do not support any recommendation to make this a place of Local Green Space, as within the Government's Planning guidelines (NPPF) it has no eligibility whatsoever in that it holds no significant value to the community. As a local resident who has an interest in outdoor activities, I cannot recall a time when the site provided any recreational value to us. The schools and clubs all around the area were not allowed access to its facilities when it was operational. The ground now looks in need of some tidying up, and is certainly not a place of tranquility or beauty. I would like to see it retain its OOLTI status because if it does, we have a real chance of creating something of value to the community – not just sports facilities, but meeting areas, a café, old people's homes and a new doctor's surgery.
705	Jackie Allen	I am writing as a local resident and a member of a community based club here in the Borough to oppose any proposed change to the Udney Park Road site (main modification to your Local Plan). The site is a former University sports field that was never accessible to the public, and therefore provides no recreational value, nor is a place of local historical significance. Therefore, I would question on what basis the designation would be made. We live in an area which has the most open space than any other Borough, and one which is particularly sport focused. So I am at a loss to understand as to why we would need even more green space – in favour of some fantastic community based facilities – including a new surgery, retirement flats and sports pitches. Your Local Plan under LP30 – states that the council is willing to promote and enable people's health and wellbeing and more crucially support measures to reduce health

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		inequalities. The Local Green Space designation would mean that this would not happen, and therefore I think that it makes your whole plan unsound.
706	Andrew Pepperell	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
707	Sarah Kershaw	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
708	Simon Woodall	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
709	Connor Ladd	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space". I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had any access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
710	Dan Wheeler	For the sake of all the young people and children in our area, please let the above land remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) and NOT Local Green Space. The Richmond Council Local Plan policy LP31 states: “children’s and young people’s play facilities as well as formal and informal sports grounds will be protected and where possible enhanced...improvements of existing facilities and spaces will be encouraged”. If the former Imperial College Private Ground at Udney Park is allocated Local Green Space designation, then it will be incredibly difficult to ensure that any proposed play facilities for children and families will be enhanced at all. If this land is retained at its current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance then I feel it is more likely to enable the opportunity to develop and bring about new social and community infrastructure. As defined in LP 28 proposals for new infrastructure will be supported where it is of “high quality and inclusive design providing access for all”. I have studied the planning proposals from the TCSG and Quantum which provide a variety of state of the art fitness facilities including a sports pavilion, 3G playing pitch and Multi-Use games area (MUGA). All of which would surely provide better access for all rather than just those who can afford to rent the pitches.
711	Michelle Rumbol	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
712a	Johnny Humphreys	I am writing regarding the main modification to your Local Plan, which I understand is now undergoing another public consultation. As a local resident who is associated with a club in the borough, I cannot support any decision that will render the site Local Green Space. It does not qualify for this designation with the national planning guidelines, which state that it must be a place of value or significance to the locals. Your Local Plan is full of policies that encourage health, physical activity and social cohesion. We are a London Borough with a cross section of sports people of all abilities. The proposals that have been submitted by the Teddington CIC board and Quantum will go a long way to address many of your own policies. These are under serious threat if you once again try and give the land a local green space status. Leave it as it is, and let your borough's sportspeople flourish and enjoy the use of some great multi-purpose activities!
712b	Johnny Humphreys	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
713	Robin Bell	I think the plans to build extra care housing for older people is a wonderful idea. This will free up family housing in the area and enable older people to be cared for in their own homes, reducing the burden on the NHS and their families. This is in line with Policy LP35 which recognises the need to provide opportunities for people to downsize and Policy LP37 – where there is an identified need for extra care housing in the Borough. If the LGS status is granted, this wonderful opportunity will be lost to the local community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
714a	Dan Aust	<p>For the sake of all the young people and children in our area, please let the above land remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) and NOT Local Green Space. It does not fit any of the criteria for Local Green Space eligibility as set out in the NPPF 2018. It has no local value, having never been publicly accessible. Also, as a disused sports field I cannot see how it can be classed as beautiful or tranquil. The Richmond Council Local Plan policy LP31 states: “children’s and young people’s play facilities as well as formal and informal sports grounds will be protected and where possible enhanced...improvements of existing facilities and spaces will be encouraged”.</p> <p>If the former Imperial College Private Ground at Udney Park is allocated Local Green Space designation, then it will be incredibly difficult to ensure that any proposed play facilities for children and families will be enhanced at all. If this land is retained at its current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance then I feel it is more likely to enable the opportunity to develop and bring about new social and community infrastructure. As defined in LP 28 proposals for new infrastructure will be supported where it is of “high quality and inclusive design providing access for all”.</p> <p>I have studied the planning proposals from the TCSG and Quantum which provide a variety of state of the art fitness facilities including a sports pavilion, 3G playing pitch and Multi-Use games area (MUGA). All of which would surely provide better access for all rather than just those who can afford to rent the pitches.</p>
714b	Dan Aust	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
715	P M Fursdon	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
716	Nicky Porter	<p>We all very much want to see 9.5 acres of community, sport and leisure facilities on the above site, and therefore do not support any suggested designations of Local Green Space. The Government has set out clear guidelines for changing site designations to Local Green Space – and the above site does not reach this in any way. For example, it does not have any historical significance or public value, having always been a private sports field!!</p> <p>The TCSG CIC has worked tirelessly with a private developer to bring something worthwhile to our community, and an extremely wide section of our community support the proposals.</p> <p>If the minority in your Borough want to prevent this by opposing the current designation of the land (OOLTI) then you should consider all those in the majority who think otherwise.</p> <p>The Local Plan is something that we should all have a say in, and if you do not listen to, and consider the needs of the majority of local residents along with your own policies, then we have a reason to question the validity of any decision you make.</p>
717	Charles Grantham (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Other Open Land of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
718	Maggie Korczynske	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
719	Phil Hunt (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Other Open Land of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
720	E Donohoe	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
721	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to defend the status of the land near Udney Park Road. I understand this land could become Local Green Space, which in my opinion as a local resident should not happen.</p> <p>This land in its current form does not particularly hold any significance and for many years it was private land that was only used by those who could afford to rent the land for events or activities.</p> <p>Many residents across Teddington supported the proposals for extra care housing, a new health centre and sporting facilities. If this land is to become Local Green Space then it will surely make it very difficult for these much-needed facilities to be bought to Teddington, which would greatly benefit the area and residents across the borough.</p>
722	Name unclear	<p>I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
723	Robert Metenard	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against any <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i>. <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p>
724	Dawn Murphy	<p>This land is currently redundant. It is not 'beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value'. This land as it is now is simply a private sports ground that provides little value to the whole community. The land is currently designated at its status of OOLTI which protects it from 'inappropriate development'.</p> <p>Being realistic, the borough has hardly been able to deliver on their housing targets over the last few years, when there are proposals which only plan to build on 25% of this land and give the rest to the community to enhance our healthcare, sporting and recreational opportunities.</p>
725	Timothy Gredot (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Other Open Land of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
726	P Mason	I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u> . My reasons are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. • There is no particular Historic significance. There is no fixed statue/memorial on the land. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. I would like to see the current status remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance . This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become open to and be enjoyed by the community,
727a	Adam Brake	I would like to show that I fully support the current designation of OOLTI for the land at Udney Park Road, as I believe that it will enable the community to inherit 9.5 acres of land for its own benefit. If, in your modification to the Local Plan, there are any proposals for changing the designation to Local Green Space then I would oppose this. It has absolutely no historic value to the community whatsoever; nor does it provide any recreational value as it is close to the general public.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>As a local tax payer, I would like to know who exactly will pay for the upkeep of this site if is given this status. The proposals from the CIC group and the owners will mean that any facilities will not be borne by the council or local residents.</p> <p>In the spirit of the Local Plan, we should be looking for opportunities to address our local needs – and should not be finding ways to prevent them. The above proposals as I believe they will bring many long term benefits to community, sports and leisure activities in the London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames.</p> <p>I believe that the provision of enhanced sporting facilities on this site, and the high quality pitches will make rugby more accessible to a wide range of local schools, clubs and associations.</p> <p>The elderly care accommodation is also much needed, and will help to ease the pressure on the council to provide homes for the older residents in the borough.</p> <p>For these reasons, I do not agree with changing the land to Local Green Space, as this will be restrictive in the wider interests of the borough.</p>
727b	Adam Brake	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
728	Roberta Harrill	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>This status was in your draft Local Plan then removed without notice after being lobbied by Quantum.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I live in Teddington and believe this green space is important to our community. We need more not less green space for our health and our environment. Large metropolitan areas like London are losing their green space and this is very detrimental to our and our children's lives.</p> <p>We don't need development on our green spaces In London. The Strategic Housing Assessment for London proves future demand for housing can be met without compromising green infrastructure as London has enough brown-fields. The Mayor of London, Martin Glenn, Chairman of the FA, the National Planning Policy and other NGO's do not support development on playing fields and many specifically have referenced not supporting the development of Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and having such green space available in our community is vital to the fitness of our youth.</p> <p>Quantum was quite divisive in using the doctors surgery to gain support for the plan even though it has been reported that most patients when surveyed preferred North Lane as the new location.</p> <p>Quantum even sent someone around door to door in Teddington acting as if they were against Quantum's plan. However they were pushing for what they called a revised plan which once they showed it to me I realised it was Quantum's plan for the area. They also mentioned that if Udney was to receive protected status it would be fenced and no access would be allowed.</p>
729	Robert Marsh	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
730	Dorothy Bush	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road Local Green Space. My reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. • There is no particular Historic significance. There is no fixed statue/memorial on the land. Lord Beaverbrook does not seem to be well known in the surrounding area. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to see the current status remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become open to and be enjoyed by the community. I would like to submit these thoughts with your department concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
731	Peter Jones	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within the current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being closed and maintained., as to be seen in so many other cases across the country. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities and reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community. Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
732	Jamie Capon	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington. This is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”. It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		has never been recognized for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
733	M.R. Sykes	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. There is considerable protection under its current designation 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
734	A Bush	<p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change. The current designation of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
735	Sarah Bwandondo	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space. In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people. The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decisions.
736	Jennifer Hyder	I support this land to remain as ‘OOLTI’ so that the doctors’ surgery and playing fields etc can happily coexist in this vast virtually unused space.
737	Christina Edge	<p>We would like to object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park. Udney Park is a green space that its very much valued by the local community for its recreational value both in terms of sport, tranquility, safety and cleaner air in the area. My son has played many cricket matches on the fields and many other clubs have used it for the purpose of playing football and rugby. If Sport is such an important aspect of keeping a healthy lifestyle, it is very important that Udney Park be retained as a playing field and a green space.</p> <p>Any potential housing development on this site would have a negative impact on the traffic flow, safety and pollution, being situated right next to the very busy Collis School. Teddington does not need any more cars and housing as this will have a negative and stressful effect on everyone in the community which is already overrun by the enormous development of Teddington Studios.</p> <p>Quantum Developers will go as far as using devious tactics such as getting a doctors' practice to contact all its patients and to encourage them to speak in favour of development under the disguise of providing something “positive” for the community. The only overriding gain for Quantum is a financial one and would be in direct defiance of the wishes of Teddington people.</p> <p>The importance of retention of green space to the community far outweighs the profit a developer will make from a development of housing of any kind.</p> <p>Please keep it as a green space for the sake of our environment, our children and its recreational value.</p>
738	Richard Harrison	Udney park is special to the local community, for its beauty, historic significance, recreational value including as a playing field, tranquillity and richness of its wildlife.
739	Bernard GreenWalker	<p>I wish register my support for the current designation - “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) and NOT “Local Green Space” (LGS) for the above site.</p> <p>As a local resident of Teddington I believe this designation continues to offer the site protection against inappropriate development while making it more likely that 9.5 acres of playing fields and modern sports/community facilities will be built and gifted to Teddington at no cost to the tax payer.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I do not believe it meets the LGS criteria and this is why I reject the proposals to designate the site as Local Green Space and support the current designation of OOLTI and the protection this designation offers.
740	David Moynihan on behalf of Locality	<p>Locality is the national membership network for community organisations. We support community organisations to be strong and successful, to meet local needs and to give people a purpose, a good place to live and good health. We believe the power of community will build a fairer society. Locality is at the forefront of debate and systems-change for the community sector. We harness an evidence-base working across our national membership and conduct agenda setting research projects to influence Policy.</p> <p>Locality has extensive experience advising volunteer-led sports clubs. Locality has investigated Udney Park Playing Fields, having been commissioned by Sport England. It is clear there is significant recreational demand for the playing pitches at Udney Park, against a backdrop of insufficient supply of playing pitch capacity, Furthermore, a broad coalition of schools, clubs from a range of sports and other community groups consider Udney Park to be very special to them and are committed to community-led future for Udney Park, free of built development. Locality has been campaigning nationally to save our much-loved publicly owned buildings and spaces from being sold off. Save our Spaces call for greater community ownership, to put our buildings and spaces back at the heart of the community to protect them for generations to come. Hence, we Object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection at Udney Park.</p>
741	Lesley Forster	<p>I am emailing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space status of Udney Park. This park is well used and of great local importance. It is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and rivers.</p> <p>I believe this green space must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats being constructed (but not selling!!!!) within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
742	Alice Roberts on behalf of CPRE London	<p>I am writing in response to this consultation. CPRE London is a membership based charity with 2,500 members across London, with particularly large membership in Richmond.</p> <p>Udney Park is a significant piece of Green Infrastructure in the Borough of Richmond and CPRE London has supported local residents to ensure it is retained as such for residents for generations to come. We are aware that the land was acquired by a developer and that this has led to significant pressure being brought to bear to allow development on it and have advised and supported local residents to ensure their voice is heard for some years now.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>CPRE London strongly objects to the proposed removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park. The developer has clearly lobbied for the removal of the designation with a view to removing barriers to building on the playing fields. The developer chose to purchase the site on a speculative basis and their proposals should have no bearing whatever on the decision about its designation. It is wholly inappropriate for the developer to lobby in this way.</p> <p>There is no reason at all to remove the designation. It exists for good reasons: to protect the space from development and to support the retention of good quality, flat, well-drained green space for sport – this is not something which can simply be relocated easily nearby.</p> <p>As the borough becomes more densely populated, this space will be needed even more for sports and recreation.</p> <p>Udney Park is clearly “special to the community” on the basis of its recreational value for community sport alone. But it is also a valuable asset as a habitat for protected species. Ecological value in urban locations derives from green spaces that are connected and the London Plan promotes Green Chains for this reason. It is clear that Udney Park forms a crucial part of an ecology network in a dense urban landscape in South West London and is an important part of a Green Chain. Removing this designation is not in line with London Plan policy.</p> <p>Instead of removing the designation, the borough should now work with local residents to promote the use of the space for the benefit of all – for instance introducing health walks and gentle exercise classes for older people – there are many examples emerging now around London about how spaces such as these can be used in ways which, for example, remove pressure from the health and mental health services.</p> <p>I trust that you will place these comments before the committee in the usual manner.</p>
743	Mel Shantonas	<p>My name is Mel Shantonas and I live at [address details removed for data protection] Teddington.</p> <p>This is my personal objection to the Removal of LGS status from Udney Park.</p> <p>LGS was applied for for Udney Park in 2016. It was approved by Richmond Council in the draft Local Plan but Quantum has been aggressively lobbying to try and overturn this decision.</p> <p>I believe that Quantum's efforts are purely profit driven without proper consideration of the following considerations.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p> <p>Additionally, I believe that the road, school, GP and other population support infrastructure is already oversubscribed in the area and additional development will cause serious issues with its provision.</p>
744	Jeremy Fisher	<p>As a long standing resident of Teddington, over 30 years, I object to the removal of local green space protection for the Udney Park playing fields.</p>
745	Matthew Evans	<p>As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>By awarding this land with the LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria as it is not demonstrably special currently.</p>
746	Tony Kavanagh	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
747	Laura Marsh (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
748	Rob Whiteford	I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.
749	Finn Collins	With reference to the main modification to your local plan for Udney Park Playing Fields, I am writing to say that I strongly oppose any plans to designate the land to Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Until Quantum and the TCSG CIC got together there was absolutely nothing being done about this empty sports field. Their plan to gift 9.5 acres of this to the public have been supported by thousands of local people and clubs.</p> <p>We are aware of the Planning Inspectorate's decision to overturn the designation of Local Green Space for this piece of land, so are at a loss to understand why it is now up for question again.</p> <p>There are hundreds and hundreds of people who are members of local clubs, groups and associations who are desperately waiting for somewhere to play each week. Many of the people associated with these give up their spare time for the community and would welcome the facilities that are proposed for the 9.5 acres. On this basis, we will not support any policy that will deny us this and therefore request that the OOLTI designation remains.</p>
750	Ruth Scott	<p>I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status means that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.</p>
751	M Mills	<p>I have seen on your website that there are planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road. I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.</p>
752	Tom Fisk	<p>I am aware that your latest local plan modification relates to the ground owned by Quantum on Udney Park Road. Having read through your policies, and consulted the NPPF, I stand in support of the Planning Inspector's decision to make the land OOLTI.</p> <p>There is no valid reason to change its status back to Local Green Space. For a start, it doesn't meet any of the criteria the Government sets out – not will it bring as many benefits that the OOLTI status will allow.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The proposals from Quantum and the Teddington Community Sport Ground perfectly address some long term community needs, will provide a sustainable development and tick a few more policies that are in your Local Plan.
753	Emily Walsh	<p>I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land. The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community. The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum sound like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing. The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be. Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into Local Green Space and would be unsound to do so.</p>
754	Ruped Wallacdy	<p>I want to let you know that I will oppose any decisions made to convert the Udney Park Road site to Local Green Space. As decided by the Government's Planning Inspectorate, the land does not fit the eligibility criteria.</p> <p>For those of us who play sport, train and volunteer for local clubs, the LGS designation will be a blow. We genuinely want to see some more public facilities here in Teddington – ones that will actually improve lots of lives.</p> <p>Whether Quantum's plans go ahead or not, the change from OOLTI status will put a stop to any future sustainable development.</p> <p>Preventing sustainable development goes against the whole of the National Planning guidelines and the Government's desire to create more inclusive facilities that serve a wide section of the community.</p>
755	Paul Lish	<p>I am writing with regards the main modification to your local plan for the former Imperial ground at Udney Park Road. It has come to my attention that the status of this site could be under threat once again, as a result of a legal challenge around your previous consultation.</p> <p>I do not agree with a possible change in designation to Local Green Space, and I very much want to see the site remain as it is. 2 years ago, the Government Planning Inspector rules that it is not eligible for this status. It isn't a place of value, recreationally or historically for local people, and does not fit the criteria for a change in designation.</p> <p>The status of "OOLTI" will be an enabler to opening up this piece of private land for some extremely valuable community benefits.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Furthermore, I do not believe your Local Plan to be sound if the need for Local Green Soace over-rides the opportunity to address some important amenities that we so desperately need.
756	Sanela Lopez	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
757	Paul Short	<p>The land at Udney Park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space.</p> <p>The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.</p>
758	Holly King	<p>Is a redundant university sports fields really a palce of beauty and tranquility????</p> <p>I stand by the majority of people in this Borough who feel that the designation of Local Green Space for the old Imperial ground on Udney Park makes your Local Plan unsound.</p> <p>The NPPF states that the designation of Local Green Space should only be used if the site holds a particular local significance, for example it for beauty, tranquillity or richness of wildlife. If this is the case, then where is the evidence of its beauty and tranquillity? It has always been a sports ground, and has never been something of beauty and tranquillity.</p> <p>Also, the land makes very little contribution to the meaningful character of the area because the majority of the site is screened from view.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Given that the whole site is 12.5 acres, this is a significant resource for the Borough, and one that would achieve sustainable development for the growing and changing need of your residents.
759	Mona Daher	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space . As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land.
760	Dan Pearson	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.
761	Attila Cseko	In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u> . I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation. The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni. No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else. The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.
762	Donna Wilson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
763	Nigel Caddock	<p>I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view.</p> <p>The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character.</p> <p>If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>
764	Peter Atkinson	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients.</p> <p>Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.</p>
765	P Altmann	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
766	B Drury	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
767	Simon Macson	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
768	Kate Anstey (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
769	Nadine Wunderlich	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group.</p> <p>I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
770	Kalpana Bhalla	I have seen on your website that there are planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road. I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.
771	David Sink	I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington. This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”. It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquillity or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
772	Angela Riches	This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not ‘beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value’. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of “Local Green Space”, as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes
773	Sophia Athanasi	I believe Quantum’s proposals deliver real social benefit for everyone in the local community (ref 475) and the land should not be designated Local Green Space. The land in its current state does not fit with NPPF criteria as it cannot, in my opinion, be described as beautiful neither does it have recreational value or historic significance.
774	Michael Blair (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
775	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
776	Chris Rice	<p>I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
777	John Barrett	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to designate the <u>Udney Park Road site a Local Green Space</u> status.</p> <p>I do not believe that the this is compliant with your overall Local plan, but more importantly, with the criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its own sporting students.</p> <p>No-one has EVER suggested that it is worthy of anything else!</p> <p>The Planning Inspector has already made a final decision on the land, and it would go against national planning policy to question this for a small minority.</p>
778	John McGregor	<p>I wish to express my views that I am strongly against the designation of private land at Udney Park as Local Green Space and therefore do not agree with you local plan modifications. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS will prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community. The land is currently of minimal benefit to the community as you need a license to access it.</p> <p>LBRuT must do the right thing and take the advice of the appointed government Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of NIMBY's who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space</p>
779	D M Green	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
780	Kris Whelan	<p>I do not agree with any designation of local green space for the site, as it simply doesn't fit the latest criteria set out by the Government to be eligible for this status.</p> <p>It has no local value or significance, nor is it a place of beauty, or tranquility. This criteria is clearly set out by the Government in its National Planning Policy framework, and I struggle to see how the sports ground on Udney Park road fits into any of this!</p> <p>If any decisions are made regarding local green status, then I would expect the Council to clearly demonstrate on what basis.</p> <p>I hope that this public consultation highlights that every resident is entitled to have a proper say in what happens in their area. It would also be extremely helpful to see just how many people send in their representations. Following the high court challenge, a more open and transparent approach would be most welcome.</p>
781	Mary Burtenshaw	<p>I am writing regarding the Local Plan and the new consultation on Udney Park Playing Fields. Firstly, I would like to state that I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to maintain the site as OOLTI. As a local resident, I am very familiar with this site and it only seems to be used sporadically and when Imperial College owned the site it was expensive for small local clubs to hire.</p> <p>The clubhouse is very rundown, there is solid fencing bordering the site with scrappy hedges around the perimeter, so I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to the NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as "beautiful", there is limited recreational value in its current state and the only reason it is tranquil is because no one can access it.</p> <p>Therefore I support the current land designation of OOLI.</p>
782a	Andrew Law (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of OOLTI for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
782b	Andrew Law	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
783	C O'Neill	<p>I write to you in reference to the land that is near Kingston Road and Udney Park Road. I worry that if this land is designated as Local Green Space then it will not have as much community benefit or significance. It currently looks quite run down and has rather basic facilities to play sports or recreational activities. There have been plans proposed which I am in favour of as they would be more valuable to the community, particularly the landscaped park and a new sports pavilion which look like a fantastic opportunity for Teddington.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		If the space is given the LGS status, then we could lose the potential for Teddington to have better sporting and recreational facilities because development and investment would be harder to come by. Therefore, I do not support this designation proposal.
784	Jason Keever	I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”. I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
785	S Rust	This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”. It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquillity or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
786	Kate Proudman	I object to building on Udney Playing fields. Please record this.
787	Lorraine Parker	I cannot support the application to change the status of land at Udney Park Road to ‘Local Green Space’. It is currently protected as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’. The protection guarantees the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any inappropriate development. • The land must remain predominantly Open and Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.
788	Jouan Rajapakse	I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
789	Name unclear	<p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquillity or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
790	Rita De Cassia Reche	<p>I support the Planning Inspector’s decision to keep this land OOLTI. If the land is made LGS it will deny sustainable development in the form of extra care housing, sports facilities and a much-needed GP surgery for Teddington – this goes against NPPF guidelines.</p> <p>I understand the criteria for LGS are that the site is special to the local community and of particular significance. As this is private land, I fail to see how the land meets these criteria. It is an urban area, is hardly ever used and looks “unloved”. It could be a wonderful space for the local community to enjoy. I urge the Council to keep the status as it is which offers the right level of protection.</p>
791	Francis McCormack (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of OOLTI for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		change the designation was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
792	Max Wright	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
793	Nick Mellor	The land at Udney park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
794	Clare Mann	<p>I would like to register my strong objection to the potential loss of Local Green Space designation at the Udney Park Rd Playing Fields Site.</p> <p>Udney Park should be retained as a playing field and not used to build luxury flats that are not needed. It is far more important to preserve the local green space so that our children and their children can use it for years to come - with all the health benefits that brings.</p>
795	Cristina Dragne	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community. Perhaps the idea of providing Parks and Gardens and even sports fields and bowling greens could be considered.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
796	Carlos A F de Freitas	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being unmaintained. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community. It doesn't hold any significance in our community in its current form-but it could. Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.</p>
797	Name unclear	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become <u>Local Green Space</u>, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
798	Corrina Lane	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
799	Sam Atkinson	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”.</p>
800	Jennifer McCarthy	<p>The land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not ‘beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value’. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of “Local Green Space”, as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include; a new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery, Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.</p>
801	Ertan Tas	<p>I do not agree with the main modification to make the land at Udney Park Local Green Space and these are my reasons why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not in any way special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful – rather shabby and bland in appearance • There is no lasting historical significance with no fixed statue/memorial on the land so only a handful of people would even know about Lord Beaverbrook! • It currently has virtually no recreational value as it is private land, so the public do not have a right of way to use this land without permission from the owners • It is only tranquil because it is hardly every used!

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Do the right thing and keep the current OOLTI status of this land – to enable the WHOLE community to benefit rather than a handful of NIMBY’s who just want to protect their view and the status quo for their own benefit.
802	Kiza Florence	<p>This land is currently 100% privately owned and underused by the members of our community who really need access to the facilities proposed. This land is not ‘beautiful, tranquil or of recreational value’. It is simply a field that provides little value to the wider community. The land is currently designated in the Local Plan as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” which provides perfectly adequate protection from inappropriate development. I object to this land being given the status of “Local Green Space”, as it will greatly restrict opportunities to provide facilities urgently needed by the wider community. These include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new modern doctors surgery for Park Road Surgery, • Superb new sports and recreational facilities for the whole community • Much needed affordable housing to help elderly residents move out of family homes.
803	T. Thomas	<p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to ‘Local Green Space’. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change. The current designation of ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’ sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
804	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’. I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
805	K. Denbury	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. As a patient of the Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land</p>
806	George Hook	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has fantastic plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
807	John Parker	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the Council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
808	Laura Garnham	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the Council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
809	Name unclear	I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space and believe the current status of OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development. How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? The land is private and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone, being obscured from view and surrounded by residential housing. I do not believe it meets the required criteria for LGS.
810	Mr. Inderjit Singh Grewal	The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’. I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
811	Ben Cusack	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land "Local Green Space".</p> <p>It does not fit the Government's criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p> <p>It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.</p>
812	Paul Hook	<p>I am writing following the legal challenge made by Mark Jopling over the designation of Local Green Space for the above site. I understand that there was insufficient public consultation on the part of LBRuT. I would like to point out that if you do change the status of the land to Local Green Space, that the general public should rightly be given the reasons for this decision. As the site stands, I do not believe that it fits the criteria for Local Green Space as it has no value or historic significance to the local area. This is the second public consultation surrounding this site, and I fail to see what has changed in terms of criteria since the Planning Inspector over-ruled the Local Green Space designation.</p>
813	Tara Kerr-Elliott	<p>I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space and believe the current status of OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development. How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? The land is private and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone, being obscured from view and surrounded by residential housing. I do not believe it meets the required criteria for LGS.</p>
814	Paldo Pullen	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
815	Ms Carol A Edwards	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
816	Name unclear	<p>I do not think the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> is in the interest of the wider community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not used nor is it accessible to the community. • The land is not special nor is it beautiful or tranquil. It is noisy and crowded on all sides by traffic • There is very little recreational value as only a few clubs enjoy the football pitches and it is not open to the general public. <p>If it were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps it could become special and benefit the whole community.</p> <p>I would like my comments to be considered by your department with regard to the issues surrounding the land at Udney Park road.</p>
817	Efosa Idguen	<p>This is private land that before Quantum's ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. Parts of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Considering the planning inspector had already made their decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, it does not seem that there would be enough evidence to argue for this to become Local Green Space, but rather it is being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents.</p> <p>Also, if this land becomes Local Green Space then it will remain private and this will not be of any significance to the local community.</p>
818	John Farrell	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park Local Green Space and these are my reasons why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not in any way special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful – rather shabby and bland in appearance • There is no lasting historical significance with no fixed statue/memorial on the land so only a handful of people would even know about Lord Beaverbrook! • It currently has virtually no recreational value as it is private land, so the public do not have a right of way to use this land without permission from the owners • It is only tranquil because it is hardly ever used! <p>Do the right thing and keep the current OOLTI status of this land – to enable the WHOLE community to benefit rather than a handful of NIMBY’s who just want to protect their view and the status quo for their own benefit</p>
819	Steven Unlug-Harris	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
820	Sascha Burnham	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.
821	Jane Stewart	The land at Udney park needs to remain OOLTI status. I can see no further benefit to the Teddington Community for the land becoming Local Green Space. I agree with the planning inspector original decisions for it to remain its current designation that it has always been. Local Green Space designation is unjustified and detrimental to the chances of creative solutions of being found for the site. The ideas for the site in regard to sports, health and wellbeing, and accessible open space sound sustainable to me. I am not worried that the current designation would allow unproportionate or unreasonable development.
822	M Perkins	<p>I do not agree that the current status of the land at Udney Park Road should be changed to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The Status 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' suitably protects the land whilst allowing for enhancement through appropriate sustainable development.</p> <p>This land is not special to the local community, it has no beauty and very little openness as it is completely fenced off. It is noisy, not tranquil and has no particular significance. The richness of the wildlife is not evidence and could be improved dramatically with careful appropriate development.</p> <p>I do not see that the land should qualify for the status of 'Local Green Space'.</p>
823	C Britton	<p>I do not agree with the changes to the Local Plan to change the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space from OOLTI. The land is private land surrounded by roads on 3 sides in a busy suburban area. Public access is not allowed, and as such it cannot hold particular significance to the local community. By retaining its current status, which offers the right level of protection, it could enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.</p> <p>I understand that a Local Green Space designation needs to be proposed by the local community. I was never consulted or given the opportunity to express my views.</p>
824	Shirley Byrne	<p>I do not understand how the proposals to designate the grounds in Udney park Road as LGS from OOLTI will benefit local residents. If the land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit from it. I understand a planning inspector has already made a decision once and advised it should stay as OOLTI and I agree with him. It is not special enough – it cannot be accessed by the public, it can hardly be seen at street level and it looks really run down. In the past, the only people who accessed this land were sports clubs affiliated with the Former Imperial College and Newlands House school. My understanding is that there are a handful of clubs who use the site but only because the owners Quantum give them a license.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Making the site LGS will only keep the status quo when there could be so many opportunities to make this site of value for everyone. Local Green space designation is unjustified as this land does not currently have the qualities required to call itself LGS.
825	Eliot Roberts	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status means that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
826	Annabel Burrows	As a Richmond resident, I wish to add my voice to those objecting to the removal of the LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington. The land was gifted for amateur sport and is an important community asset for local teams of various sports. Please will you ensure that the voices of myself and my family (3 sons who play sport on the playing fields) are heard in this matter.
827	Anne and Bruce Dawson-Moray	In respect of the proposal to remove the Local Green Space that Protects Udney Park, I object and believe that it should remain in place
828	Bruce Dawson-Moray	In respect of the proposal to remove the Local Green Space that Protects Udney Park, I object and believe that it should remain in place for its protection to ensure that the space is available for all residents
829	Elizabeth Joy Power	I would like to express my very serious concerns about the planning application by <i>Quantum</i> to redevelop Udney Park playing fields. Please therefore accept this email as my strong objection to the removal of Local Green Space from this area. This Local Green Space must be kept to maintain the tranquility of the area, as well as to continue to offer sporting amenities to the local community. The bats that inhabit this area must also be protected.
830	Gareth Bullock	I object very strongly to the proposal to remove the Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF)

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1. UPPF have been playing fields for many decades. They have been used by their previous owner (Imperial College London) as well as, actually much more frequently, by many local schools and clubs since ICL entrusted the hiring out of the fields to a local school.</p> <p>The developer (Quantum, now masquerading under its new 'political' name of Affordable Housing and Healthcare) is trying to assert that the fields were private. It has always been seen as kind of publicly available site, simply at a reasonable cost of hire. They were used in the summer almost every day for cricket and, in winter, for both soccer and rugby (even lacrosse!) by schools during the week and by clubs at the weekend.</p> <p>2. By any common sense evaluation the site qualifies as LGS - it is local, locally used, has always been a playing field and was covenanted as such and sits in a borough with an officially proven shortage of playing space. These fields are vital to the essential nature of Teddington village, a place where families live and children grow up with access to sports facilities. The loss of UPPF would be a dramatic blow to the essential nature of our community.</p> <p>3. There is no objective need for the construction of luxury flats in Teddington, and certainly not at the expense of playing fields. There is a major 300-flat development not 500 metres away at Twickenham studios and, at the the former Stag brewery about 2 miles away, there will be over 500 luxury flats and a proper care home (not the 'pretend' one AHH claims). There is a proven need for more playing-space for schools and clubs.</p> <p>4. The true measure of this planning proposal should be in the number of playing-pitches that will be lost. The current site can accommodate certainly 7, and maybe 9 pitches, depending on the precise sport. The Developer's proposal cuts that to two pitches. The provision of an artificial pitch will not compensate for the loss of capacity on the other pitches.</p>
831	Philip Whittam	<p>I am contacting you to object to the removal of the LGS status of Udney Park in Teddington</p> <p>We must protect this green space, which was donated as a covenant for sport, to enable as much children's sporting activity as is possible.</p> <p>There is no need for more accommodation / flats either - Teddington is already having a massive new development nearer to the river which will place a huge strain on the town's infrastructure already</p>
832	Angus Smith	<p>As a local resident in Teddington I strongly object to the removal of the LGS status of Udney Park, for the reasons outlined below:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
833	Danny Agarwal	<p>Udney Park should retain LGS status. I am a Teddington resident.</p> <p>Any development will increase burden on local infrastructure, including doctors, dentists and schools. This is especially true of roads, where a road will run past a large and busy primary school. Parking is already problematic. Any development will clearly be to the detriment of existing residents, there has been significant development locally and this continues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a shortfall of playing fields locally and several local community sports clubs have no permanent home; • Udney Park is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments; • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport; • Udney Park is an important green space in the centre of Teddington that must be protected. The Plans that threaten Udney Park are for luxury apartments for which there is no need, because there are already 300 under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites.
834	John and Sarah Clapton	<p>We both strongly object to this removal on several grounds, this was given as a donation under covenant for amateur sport over hundred years ago for local use. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>It has been sold to a speculator for redevelopment, (who has said they will sell it on should they receive planning permission).</p> <p>Recently there has been a riverside development under a mile away of some 300 units selling very very slowly, so this is definitely not needed.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Our local MP is against this sale and development as are a great majority of local residents. Please ensure that this precious facility in the middle of our community is reserved in total for sports use with the protection as a Local Green Space, as it's removal will only see greedy developers destroying this valuable site.
835	Ralph Meyer on behalf of Teddington Town Cricket Club	I am writing on behalf of Teddington Town Cricket Club which is based in Bushy Park, Teddington, regarding the above Main Modification. The proposal to change the designation of this land from OOLTI to LGS is something that I and the Management Committee of Teddington Town Cricket Club oppose. Richmond has large amount of green space relative to other London Boroughs due to the Royal Parks and council provision. However, there are significant limitations to the operation of community and sports clubs in the Royal Parks. The local facilities available for sport are limited and community open space and facilities are inadequate given the population. Whilst we have no direct interest in the sports ground, it is clear that the facilities at Udney Park were never effectively available to the general public and the facilities available for hire by clubs and schools were so expensive that they were hardly ever used by sporting organisations. Hence the site proved uneconomic for Imperial College to operate, leading to its sale. It is patently clear that some of the amenities, such as the cricket square, were not required by local clubs at the prices charged by Imperial College and that local schools and clubs who operated on the site have found better and/or less expensive alternatives. In contrast, we believe that the range of community based open space activities proposed in the current planning application is much more suited to the local community and believe the chances of a creative solution that meets the broader community interest will be hampered by the redesignation to LGS. For the application of LGS status an area has to be demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife. Technical arguments against this redesignation have been made in the previous consultation exercises and it is relevant that the conclusion of the Planning Inspector was that OOLTI provided an adequate level of protection from inappropriate development. Given this designation, consistently applied over many years, the proposed redesignation at this time, when there is such need for affordable housing, healthcare facilities and sustainable sports grounds in the Borough is inappropriate. Turning to the specific criteria, the playing fields at Udney Park Road can't be demonstrably special to the local community, since they have been closed private grounds for many years, used occasionally by clubs or schools who are now playing elsewhere. From our perspective it is notable that the cricket square was only occasionally used by one

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>club. There is no evidence of demonstrable beauty – the fields are all grass and the playing surface is gradually decaying through lack of investment. There is poorly maintained multi games area and the boundaries and fencing are in a state of disrepair. The whole site is scruffy and unkempt. The level of historic importance is hard to substantiate- despite its gift to St Mary's Hospital many years ago, nothing of significance has occurred on the site over the past 50-100 years. In terms of precedent setting, if all land donated by a wealthy benefactor over 100 years ago were suddenly to become LGS, the impact on development across England would be significant. There has been historically very little recreational value for the local community since the policy and prices of Imperial College inhibited local clubs from using the land. This is not, and never has been, open park land owned by the council. The land in question has been closed, private land not for community use for many, many years and of considerably lower recreational value than that envisaged in the proposed development. In terms of wildlife, we understand that surveys undertaken to date do not demonstrate any richness within the boundaries of the site- it's effectively just grass and some poor-quality hedging. The trees on the boundary appear to be poor quality infill of negligible value. Finally, nowhere in central Teddington could be called tranquil given local traffic and aircraft noise. It is only 'quiet' because historically, other than one school's term time use no one could afford to regularly use the sports ground. It is no wonder the local residents object to its development to create a community facility. In conclusion, not only do we believe that a Local Green Space designation is unjustified, but it impedes the chances of creative solutions being found to support a community facility development. It also seems that LBRUT are taking into account the views of residents' groups to a greater extent than the broader views of the local community and the sports bodies, patients and potential residents of the proposed development. Turning private, largely unused land into facilities of real value surely has to be a better outcome for the borough than designating it LGS and having the site deteriorate over years until some other solution for this land in the heart of Teddington is found. As a consequence, Teddington Town Cricket Club and I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to change the designation of land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to Local Green Space.</p>
836	Stuart Ridler	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
837	Peter Church	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
838	Doreen Nagitsa	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.
839	J Madden	I am writing regarding your local and the latest public consultation regarding the above site. As a local resident, I am familiar with this site and it has only been used sporadically over the years. As the clubhouse and pitches are in disrepair I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance. In relation to NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as “beautiful”, there is limited recreational value in its current condition.
840	Jane Whitworth	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”. I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
841	David Whitworth	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of “Local Green Space”. I don’t believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn’t fit any of the criteria set out by the Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
842	Sarah Strong	I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington. This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”. It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public. It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquillity or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
843	Russel John Mirams (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
844	Nigel Yeates	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
845	Jane Macfarlane	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
846	Alan Hodson	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
847	Samuel Sen	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
848	Stephen Brown	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
849	Laura Mackay	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
850	Sallie Crook	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
851	Chris Richards	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
852	David Morgan	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
853	Harry Crespin	<p>I am writing as a local resident to object to the proposed change of designation of the site on Udney Park road from “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) to Local Green Space. The land has no historic significance, nor is it a place of beauty or tranquillity. On this basis, it should not have this designation.</p> <p>With reference to policy LP30 in the LBRuT local plan, I understand that you wish to promote and enable people’s health and wellbeing and more crucially support measure to reduce health inequalities.</p> <p>By administering the designation of Local Green Space to the land at Udney Road it would ensure that the land remained private and a rudimentary field that was only rented out to individuals and groups that could afford the rental prices. If this land remained at its current land designation, I understand this may enable the landowner Quantum to gift 9 acres to the community and develop excellent state of the art sporting and community facilities which would be open to all- thus fitting in perfectly with with policy LP30. Furthermore, you state in your local plan that planning can play a part in facilitating the creation of these healthy environments, and that development should create healthy choices that are more convenient and attractive options which in turns makes these choices easier.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Subsequently to give the land the LGS designation would vehemently contradict the policies set out in the Local Plan and is therefore unsound as it would not remain non functional with no significant community use.
854	Name unclear	<p>I believe that no additional local benefit would be gained by a change in designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' already protects the land in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development cannot take place. • The land must contribute to the Local Character. • The land must be predominantly open or natural • The land must have views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the local character • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>These are my comments. I would like to submit them to your department for consideration.</p>
855	J A Porter	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within the current designation of OTHER OPEN LAND of TOWNSCAPE IMPORTANCE.</p> <p>Reason: Retaining the OOLTI designation could potentially allow for future appropriate enhancement of the land and cater for the sports and recreational needs of the community.</p> <p>Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides adequate protection against inappropriate development whilst allowing for the potential of a new larger and more effective surgery, healthcare facilities, new sports facilities and open, natural spaces that would benefit the entire community.</p> <p>I am from the Community, this is my personal view of the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields,</p>
856	Claire McCarthy (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI}, then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
857	Gerry O’Connor	<p>I am aware that your latest local plan modification relates to the ground owned by Quantum on Udney Park Road. Having read through your policies, and consulted the NPPF, I stand in support of the Planning Inspector’s decision to make the land OOLTI.</p> <p>There is no valid reason to change its status back to Local Green Space. For a start, it doesn’t meet any of the criteria the Government sets out – not will it bring as many benefits that the OOLTI status will allow.</p> <p>The proposals from Quantum and the Teddington Community Sport Ground perfectly address some long term community needs, will provide a sustainable development and tick a few more policies that are in your Local Plan.</p>
858	Davinder Puvar	<p>I understand that you are opening up a public consultation for the main modification of a part of your Local Plan – for the ground at Udney Park Road in Teddington.</p> <p>This letter is to inform you that as a local resident who cares about my community I disagree with any proposal or recommendation you may receive to make this land “Local Green Space”.</p> <p>It does not fit the Government’s criteria for Local Green Space, as it is not a place of value or significance to the community. It is a private ground that was never open to the public.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It has never been recognised for its historical significance, and it is certainly could not be described as a place of tranquility or beauty, like Bushy Park for example.
859	Helen O'Brien	I have seen on your website that there are planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road. I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.
860	Name unclear	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
861	Ana Bostan	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
862	A Patel	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>
863	Name unclear	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
864	Sue E Stevens	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of 'LOCAL GREEN SPACE' designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that the playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small group.</p> <p>I support the Community Interest Company (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscaped park.</p> <p>I fear that placing further restrictions on land that is already adequately protected by the designation '<u>OTHER OPEN LAND of TOWNSCAPE IMPORTANCE</u>', will hinder these wonderful plans.</p> <p>As I am from the local community I would like my views to be heard on the issues surrounding Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
865	David Clark	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
866	Sally Maxwell	<p>I object to the proposed modification to Local Green Space.</p> <p>This land could be so much more if it was developed for the right reasons. By making this land LGS it would simply retain its status quo as a piece of land that is underutilised, basic and rudimentary.</p> <p>By retaining its current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) it would be adequately protected but allow for it to be appropriately advanced in a way that could better benefit our whole community whilst still retaining land for community use.</p>
867	E Oliva-Hauxwell	<p>I am writing regarding the modifications relating to Local Green Space, as outlined in Policy 13 in your Local Plan. In particular, 5.2.8 states that Local Green Space is "green or open space" which has been demonstrated to <u>have special qualities and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves</u>.</p> <p>This site is a run down playing field with a clubhouse that is falling apart. Even if both of these things improved, it has no visual value whatsoever.</p> <p>I challenge you to find somebody in the area who thinks the current state of the site provides any visual value to the surroundings?</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
868	Luke Penney	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
869	Rupert Daniels	<p>As part of your consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
870	Shaun Perry	<p>I understand that the planning inspector has already made his decision once and I agree with him – this land needs to remain OOLTI.</p> <p>It’s not special enough to me in its current configuration. It is private land which is not accessible by the local community and is expensive to maintain and run. The land is currently just a few football pitches with some run-down tennis courts. Nearly all 4 sides of the land are surrounded by housing. With all this in mind, I do not believe the land meets the required criteria for Local Green Space.</p>
871	Mrs Chegwin	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”.</p>
872	Joanna Adams	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u>. My reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. • There is no particular Historic significance. There is no fixed stau/memorial on the land. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to see the current status remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become open to and be enjoyed by the community.</p>
873	Natalie Nesbitt (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
874	Emerson Allen	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the <i>Udney Park Road site</i>. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
875	James Etheridge	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the <i>Udney Park Road site</i>. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
876	Cartmell Daniel	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities</p>
877	Glen Washington	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
878	Julian Goodman	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities</p>
879	Joshua Stevens	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
880	Philippa Donn	<p>I am writing as a local resident and a member of a community based club here in the Borough to oppose any proposed change to the Udney Park Road site (main modification to your Local Plan). The site is a former University sports field that was never accessible to the public, and therefore provides no recreational value, nor is a place of local historical significance. Therefore, I would question on what basis the designation would be made. We live in an area which has the most open space than any other Borough, and one which is particularly sport focused. So I am at a loss to understand as to why we would need even more green space – in favour of some fantastic community based facilities – including a new surgery, retirement flats and sports pitches. Your Local Plan under LP30 – states that the council is willing to promote and enable people’s health and wellbeing and more crucially support measures to reduce health inequalities. The Local Green Space designation would mean that this would not happen, and therefore I think that it makes your whole plan unsound.</p>
881	Jennifer Neal	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
882	Haley Currie	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
883	Sue Haran (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
884	Andrew Sims (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
885	Karin Noble (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
886	Richard Moody (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
887	Richard Padginton	Your local plan modifications could mean that the local green space designation for the site at Udney Park Road is raised again. I want to let you know that I disagree with this, as it does not fit the criteria that applies to this designation. I refer to the NPPF guidelines, paragraph 100: The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is: b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife As well as its inappropriateness, it will prevent any sustainable development that will benefit the community. Something that we need more than ever in Teddington – not a patch of land that will not serve any beneficial function.
888	John Swan	I am writing following the legal challenge made by Mark Jopling over the designation of Local Green Space for the above site. I understand that there was insufficient public consultation on the part of LBRuT. I would like to point out that if you do change the status of the land to Local Green Space, that the general public should rightly be given the reasons for this decision. As the site stands, I do not believe that it fits the criteria for Local Green Space as it has no value or historic significance to the local area. This is the second public consultation surrounding this site, and I fail to see what has changed in terms of criteria since the Planning Inspector over-ruled the Local Green Space designation.
889	Aileen Kilshaw	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
890	Marta Alonso Puente	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
891	Stuart Flynn	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
892	Dominique Pascale Mouzlah (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
893	Madeline Thomas	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
894	Catherine Murphy	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
895	Tim Hagon	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
896	Simon Hobson	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
897	Debbie Walker	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
898	Dave Stewart	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
899	Daniel Thomas	<p>In the spirit of open consultation, as a member of your community I wish to make it clear that I do not agree with any potential plans to change the status of the site to <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <p>I do not believe that is compliant with the national planning criteria for this designation.</p> <p>The site is not a place of value to the community and neither has it any significance to local people. It is a private piece of land that Imperial College used for its students and alumni.</p> <p>No-one has suggested that it is worthy of anything else.</p> <p>The Government's Planning Inspector has already ruled that the land should remain as it is, and if it were to become Local Green Space, our council will be going against the national planning policy criteria.</p>
900	Matt Poole	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
901	Hugh Brasher	<p>I am a lifelong local resident having lived in the Borough of Richmond upon Thames since 1964.</p> <p>I have spent all of my adult life inspiring sports participation, through my previous business Sweatshop (based and founded in Teddington) and now through London Marathon Events (that was founded in Richmond-upon-Thames), whose vision is 'Inspiring Activity' and who organise London's largest and greatest mass participation sports events in running, cycling and swimming; Virgin Money London Marathon, Prudential RideLondon and Children with Cancer UK Swim Serpentine.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Amateur sport and physical activity has a unique ability to benefit people and society. Not only through improving physical health, but also through improving mental health. With London having an obesity crisis with nearly 40% of 9-10 year old's being overweight or obese, the very last thing London needs is to convert more playing fields to building plots. Developers need to be dissuaded and discouraged from speculating on our vital outdoor recreation spaces.</p> <p>I write to object to the removal of Local Green Space protection on Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington. This Park has given in the 1930's under covenant originally as St Mary's Hospital Athletic Ground, an institution with a fine tradition for sport, including Sir Roger Bannister and JPR Williams. In recent decades Udney Park has been used by students, local clubs and schools, though it has much potential to play an even greater role serving the local community in future, vital given the growth in participation in sport that is still needed and the deficit of pitches in Richmond Borough and across London. I note that those supporting the removal of Local Green Space justify the lowering of protection to enable the current owners plans to build apartments by claiming that the Udney Park is run down and unsustainable. On the contrary there are multiple avenues for community-run sports clubs to seek funding to modernise facilities (including the London Marathon Charitable Trust) without recourse to trading-off green space with developers; the grass pitches at Udney Park are of excellent quality and the Pavilion is a fine Edwardian structure that is a little tired though easily refurbished to modern standards.</p> <p>I have been involved in local campaigns to protect green space before, the massive local response to the potential development of Udney Park over 4 years has shown how strongly local people feel and we must prevail given all the Local, GLA and National Policy that rightly protects playing fields as sacrosanct.</p>
902	Darran Lucas	<p>We have too little green space in London and what sites do become 'accessible' are too often turned over to apartment blocks of little ascetic merit and dubious quality. Teddington already has two site developments and extensions comprising the over sized ex Teddington Studios site and the horrible extension to Langdon Park on Broom Road which already shows signs of deterioration before it is even completed.</p> <p>I strongly object to any further development in Teddington, particularly on a site such as Udney Park which has a history as a community, open field facility for over 100 years. Just leave it alone ; Udney Park serves the community and the environment to a far greater extent as an open space than another urban development.</p>
903	Jonathan Gill	I would like to strongly object against the removal of LGS for Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>It is a special place in Teddington with significant value to the community. It has significant historic significance (war memorial) as well as being an important area of recreational value and tranquility. It's ecological value is also of significance.</p> <p>Quantum appear to be seeking to circumvent planning restrictions that they knew to be in place when they bought the land.</p> <p>It's time for Richmond Council to support residents preferences.</p>
904	Stephane England	<p>We strongly object the about the removal of LGS in Udney Park LGS protection should never been removed as it will leave Udney Park (and other parks in the future) open to this and future predatory development risks.</p> <p>We all want to benefit from this precious green space, and we do not need to sacrifice a large section of it to a large building that will bring more traffic congestion, parking problems and pollution in the area Where local facilities are needed, we should be using the existing buildings, not building over our precious green space.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid three community bidder (who adhered to the LGS rules) and as such would be totally unfair if the plan was to go ahead.they</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p>
905	Andres Etchebarne	<p>I would like to object to the removal of LGS of Udney Park in Teddngton Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The current proposal by Quantum to provide community facilities for sport is a façade as the amount that is been left for playing fields is far too small to alleviate the shortfall that is already in place in the town , making an already bad situation worse.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport and as such is an embarrassment that the University sold this great community asset to a Quantum which they knew that the site was only ever going to be used to be destroyed as a playing field and made in apartments .</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments furthermore it is a little Green Oasis in the middle of the town centre which currently serves as playing fields and for green space in General and therefore must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders to which many of the community bidders where local sporting clubs which their only intention was to keep it as a playing field and for community use only .</p>
906	Cécile Arbus	<p>I'm writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space status on Udney Park playing fields. These playing fields are in the heart of Teddington and stripping them of that status will open the gate to extensive development, and to the disappearance of a much needed space to play multiple sports, a natural eco-system and generally the chance to see greenery, and feel and breath greener air. We live in built up areas which are becoming more and more polluted with road traffic congestion and even air traffic, causing increasing health problems. The government, the World Health Organisation and many other institutions who have looked into the benefits of green space within urban settings are all in agreement that the positive impact on people's physical and mental health is evident, and that those who live near green space suffer less mental health problems.</p> <p>We do not need another large development in Teddington, the extra 280 flats soon to be available on the river are already going to change the town quite significantly. What we do need is to look after our green spaces and playing fields when there is a critical, known shortage of them. There are numerous examples of local schools (my children's included) or clubs who are having to travel extra miles, sometimes 20/30 minutes away, to find space to play. Before they were purchased by the current owner the playing fields were available for hire by local schools, sports teams, holiday camps and for community events. They were an essential part of the community and an asset in meeting the borough's demand for outdoor recreation. If Udney Park playing fields are not protected now they will be built on, and lost forever. They must be saved and should be designated Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
907	Jonathon O'Neill (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
908	Neil Scammell (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
909	Name unclear (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
910	Julia Penney	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
911	Stewart Penney	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
912	Oliver Penney	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
913	Simon Buss (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
914	Martin Carr and Tracy Munro-Carr	<p>I object to any change in status of the fields from the Local Green Space designation. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders. Parks are for people, not for profits.</p>
915	Rosemary Perry	<p>As a resident of 41 years in a nearby road [personal details removed for data protection], I am shocked to see that the Udney Park Playing Fields site has even been considered for removal of the Local Green Space Designation. I object most strongly and cannot understand why the Richmond upon Thames Sustainability Appraisal which you publish on your Website – in particular Plan 5 “Testing the Objectives of the Local Plan” was ever produced when every single description of your vision fits Udney Park Playing Fields:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>In particular may I draw your attention to page 28 Clause 5.2.1 headed PROTECTING LOCAL CHARACTER. Subclauses 1 -6.</p> <p>Point 3 of those subclauses reads” Protect and improve the borough’s parks and open spaces to provide a high quality environment for local communities and provide a balance between areas for quiet enjoyment and wildlife and areas to be used for sports, games and recreation.”</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a shortfall of playing fields locally as you well know. Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>The above speaks for itself.</p> <p>Please do not fly in the face of your own Local Plan Objectives and your Local Plan’s strategic vision. Please do not let down your loyal residents in this Borough of Richmond and the ancient “village” of Teddington – or indeed R.D. Blackmore whose fruit farm occupied this area of Teddington.</p> <p>Please consider your own vision for protecting the environment (4.2.15) and “recreational activities” (page 27 No. 3.).</p>
916	Mikko Hietanen	<p>I’m writing to you to strongly object to the removal of the Local Green Space status on Udney Park playing fields in Teddington.</p> <p>These playing fields are in the heart of Teddington and stripping them of that status will open the gate to extensive development, and to the disappearance of a much needed space to play multiple sports. Furthermore, the fields form a natural eco-system and generally allow Teddington to feel and breath greener air. We live in built up areas which are becoming more and more polluted with road traffic congestion and even air traffic, causing increasing health problems. The government, the World Health Organisation and many other institutions who have looked into the benefits of green space within urban settings are all in agreement that the positive impact on people's physical and mental health is evident, and that those who live near green space suffer less mental health problems. I want the public to be able to enjoy these benefits we currently have.</p> <p>We do not need another large development in Teddington, the extra 280 flats soon to be available at the riverside development are already going to change the town significantly. There are multiple “assisted living” options already adjoining the Playing Fields and the “new GP surgery” is in fact moving an existing</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>one to a new building built on green space. What we do need, however, is to look after our green spaces and playing fields where there is a critical, known shortage of them. There are numerous examples of local schools (my children's included) or clubs (e.g. football and rugby) who are having to travel extra miles, sometimes 20/30 minutes away, to find space to play. Before they were purchased by the current owner the playing fields were available for hire by local schools, sports teams, holiday camps and for community events. They have always been an essential part of the community and an asset in meeting the borough's demand for outdoor recreation.</p> <p>If Udney Park playing fields are not protected now, they will be excessively built on, and lost forever. They must be saved and should be designated Local Green Space.</p>
917	Carole Tupper	<p>I would like to submit my objection to the removal of LGS status for the Udney Park Playing Fields as a resident of Teddington (Bushy Park Road) based on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Udney Park is a crucial green space just off the High Street which provides a bit of tranquillity in a busy and built up area as well as retreat for wildlife - there is severe shortage of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home - Udney Park should be wholly for their use, not for a developer to make a profit - there are several hundred luxury flats under construction less than half a mile away - there is no need for this development and certainly not on urban green belt - it is iniquitous to breach the covenant under which the land was ceded and demonstrates an utter disregard for the benefactor's express wishes to benefit the community - Quantum are acting completely immorally in attempting to develop this site
918	Jacqueline Mina	<p>I strongly wish to see Udney Park Playing Fields remain as a green space and be protected against development. I therefore object to any attempts to remove the designated Local Green Space status.</p>
919	Susan Blake	<p>I object strongly to the removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) There is general agreement that exercise is essential for the well-being of adults and young people; sports are one of the ways to encourage this and for this there is a need for playing fields and green space; there is a great shortage of local playing fields and the whole of Udney Park, kept as it has been for the last hundred years without buildings other than the pavilion, will continue to provide enormously useful playing field space. b) Udney Park is a central green space in a built-up area, which deserves to be protected for community and ecological reasons;

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>c) Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport and it is ethically wrong to break that covenant just to line the pockets of private developers; building luxury apartments over most of Udney Park will NOT benefit the general community, only the private developers & those able to afford luxury apartments, for which there is no local need as there are already a large number under construction nearby (on brownfield sites).</p> <p>d) The Friends of Udney Park already have a viable alternative scheme to retain all the green space of Udney Park and refurbish the pavilion which WILL benefit the local general community.</p> <p>For these reasons I strongly recommend that Udney Park should RETAIN Local Green Space protection and OBJECT to the removal of LGS protection.</p>
920	Caroline Sayer	<p>I am objecting to the removal of LGS designation for Udney Park as these playing fields are important to the local community for use by amateur sports clubs, as well as an important area for wildlife. It is a beautiful green space, which my mother, who lives at Fullerton Court on Udney Park Road (the sheltered accommodation), overlooks from her flat, so it is very familiar to me. It provides a green lung to an area which is becoming increasingly built upon. If we lose this green space we lose an area which is important not only as a playing field for local sports groups, but also an area which is rich in wildlife and a critical part of a connected ecology of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>I am surprised that the playing fields are even being considered for development, as it was originally donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Please do not remove the LGS status of Udney Park.</p>
921	Kate Wells	<p>I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udnety Park Road (Former ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS designation must be requested from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand that LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how this land can be described as having "special qualities" or holds "particular significance" as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very few people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
922	Steve Clark	I'm a member of a local group that is active in the community. I am writing to tell you that I do not want to see a Local Green Designation made for the site at Udney Park Road. Quite simply, it does not meet the criteria as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 (paragraph 100). I do appreciate that those people who live near the site have concerns about it being development. (Even though the plans are for only 25% to be built on). However, this is a matter for the majority to decide as it will impact them too. There are hundreds of small clubs and associations who are in need of meeting rooms, sports pitches and changing rooms. In fact, they have shown just how much they want Quantum's plans to go ahead by writing to your planning team. Your public consultation this time should take into account the NUMBER of residents who support or object to the local green space designation. Furthermore, there doesn't seem to be any valid reasons to change the status of the land to LGS – the site has never had any value whatsoever to the public.
923	S C Parker	I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space". I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.
924	Tom Parker	As a local resident and an active member of a local club, I disagree with any policies in your Local Plan that will propose the designation of Local Green Space for the former Imperial College Private Ground. It is clear that the land does not fit the criteria outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework. It is not a site of beauty, or tranquility. It is a scruffy, unused field surrounded by roads in the middle of a dense urban area. It has no recreational value to the community, as it has always been privately owned and inaccessible to the general public. Finally, it is not a site of historic significance. Imperial College London would have named it after a figure in the past if it had been that important. Please ensure that sense prevails, and that we don't lose any more time or valuable council resources on something that the Planning Inspector has already deemed to be an inappropriate designation.
925	Kevin McMahon	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
926	Simon Davis on behalf of Teddington RFC Ltd	I am writing on behalf of Teddington Rugby Football Club, a large, community focused rugby club that operates in Bushy Park, Teddington, regarding the above main modification. The change of designation from OOLTI to LGS is something that I and the Board of Teddington RFC Ltd strongly oppose. Although Richmond has huge amounts of greenspace relative to other London Boroughs, the facilities available for sport are limited as various Council reviews make clear. We have struggled for many years to find suitable spaces for rugby training and that can support bad weather activity. Furthermore, the Royal Parks have prohibited us from using floodlights for the past decade and impose even stricter controls on activities in Bushy Park. For the last 20 years we have looked at the unused Udney Park Road site with envy, but the hire costs imposed by Imperial College made hiring of the grounds completely uneconomic and the clubhouse facility is far from the child welfare and safeguarding standards required today. Our understanding is that to meet the criteria for Local Green Space an area has to be demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife. There are various technical arguments against this redesignation as has been made plain in the previous consultation exercise and would point to the conclusion of the Planning Insector that the OOLTI provides adequate from inappropriate development. Specifically, given the historic designation, the proposed redesignation at a time when there is such a need for affordable housing, healthcare facilities and sustainable sports grounds would seem perverse. Reading the criteria, the playing fields at Udney Park Road do not seem to be demonstrably special to the local community, since they have been closed private grounds for many years, used occasionally by clubs or schools who are now playing elsewhere. There is no evidence of demonstrable beauty – it's a patch of grass that is gradually decaying through lack of investment, has a

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>scruffy MUGA pitch, unkempt boundaries etc. Re historic importance seems a thin argument based on the lack of anything meaningful occurring on the site over the past 50 -100 years. And if every building or piece of land donated by a wealthy benefactor over 100 years ago were suddenly to become LGS, the planning system would collapse. With regard to recreational value, there has been historically very little value for the local community since, as I noted above, the policy and prices of Imperial College were an inhibitor to local clubs using the land. Even Newland House School who used the facilities have gone elsewhere. A piece of land in central Teddington clearly could not be called tranquil given the local traffic and aircraft noise. It is only 'quiet' because no one uses the land. It is no wonder the local residents object to its development to create a community facility. And re wildlife, surveys undertaken to date do not demonstrate any richness within the boundaries of the site – it's effectively just grass and some poor-quality hedging. The trees on the boundary, other than one or two, are poor and scrappy in-fill of negligible value. Moreover, not only do we believe that a Local Green Space designation is unjustified, but it is detrimental to the chances of creative solutions being found to support a community facility development – at no cost to the Council. It also seems to us that LBRuT are taking into account the views of two local residents' groups to a greater extent than the broader views of the local community and sports bodies, patients and potential residents of the proposed development. Turning private, largely unused land into facilities of real value surely has to be a better outcome for the Borough than designating it LGS and having the site deteriorate over years until some other solution for this land in the heart of Teddington is found. As a consequence, TRFC Ltd and I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to change the designation of land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to Local Green Space.</p>
927	Rebecca Rock	<p>I'm writing to urge you to reconsider protecting these playing fields. As we are increasingly becoming aware the huge importance to the youth of today to have somewhere to exercise and take part in recreational activities. There is a lot of evidence that the lack of such is driving street violence. I hope you take the time to look at other boroughs who have more problems and compare the issues. You need to look after what you have</p>
928	Janet Dilly	<p>I am making an objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. This field has been in use for 100 years as a recreational facility and should continue to be available for local clubs and the community to use. So much building has taken place in Teddington over the past few years and Udney</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Park playing field is much needed green space and rich in wildlife. There is no local need for luxury apartments on this space as 300 luxury flats are already being built nearby.
929	Richard Gough	I would like to register my objection to the removal of the the LGS for Udney Park playing fields. They have been playing fields for many many years and I think they should stay that way as there is a shortage of playing fields. And playing fields are essential for the physical and mental health of a community, for building up a sense of community and for the local wildlife. We must never under-estimate the value of open spaces for sport and recreation with all their associated benefits. It must be protected from plans to develop and build on some of the playing fields.
930	Matthew Sims	I would like to register my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing fields. This is a treasured local area especially for families, which encourages exercise for adults and children throughout the year.
931	Calum Roberts	I am a local resident and would like to object to any plans to build on Udney park playing fields for several reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.
932	O C Jones	I object to any building on Udney Park playing fields. This must be kept as green space for use by schools and other community organizations. Quantum must not make a large profit at the expense of Teddington inhabitants.
933	Cathy Colnaghi	Please save my local green place:it would be disastrous to the community if this happened or ever happened, which is why covenants are in place to ensure this - you can't re-write the law of democracy for greed! UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
934	Kerry Chauhan	<p>I object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>Teddington has become congested and over- developed. We desperately need open spaces, sporting facilities and a cleaner, purer environment.</p> <p>The LGS is needed more than ever</p>
935	Amy Eungblut	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park allowing possibility for development. Greenery, tranquility, nature are critical in local communities and this is a large green area central in the town, important for the quality of life of local residents. It is habitat for protected bats, birds and other wildlife. I moved to teddington because of the green spaces and nature for my children and we cannot lose this for future generations.</p> <p>Recent reports by Campaign for the Protection of Rural England show that the housing shortage could be solved by using brownfield sites only. On this principle I believe this is the wrong site for development. We have to preserve these areas and I cannot see any good reason for developing here when there are other brownfield sites available. Developers prefer greenfield to brownfield sites due to the cheaper cost of developing. Local councils should resist their lobbying.</p>
936	Mark Turnbull	<p>I object on many levels but most specifically with regard to the loss of space for children to play sport. History has shown the erosion of green space and playing fields in schools and local areas has contributed to the obesity epidemic the country faces. To surrender more land in an already crowded residential area is madness. Where will they play? My son plays for a local team that use Udney Park every week, if we lose the playing fields where will he and many other teams play?</p> <p>It is also my understanding the playing fields are some form of war memorial. It strikes me as obscene, if this is the case, that such a memorial be parcelled off to a rapacious developer. This is hardly what must have been envisioned and pays a disgraceful level of disrespect to those it was designed to memorialise.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
937	Heather Naylor & Ann Maloy	<p>We wish to register our objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields. This Park is very special to the local community, has historic significance and brings a richness to this area including its unique wildlife.</p> <p>This should be maintained also for its recreational value which the local community can all benefit for into the future.</p> <p>We already have a huge new build near us with all the increased traffic this brings!</p>
938	Nick Dexter	<p>I am objecting to the removal of Local Green Space status for the playing fields at Udney Park Road. I have been a nearby resident - 30E Udney Park Road - for 20 years. In that time I have seen the fields much used as open green space, first by Imperial College (also with some other school and local club use) and now with local youth football and other clubs. It is clear from current activity which occupies the whole of the area not fenced off by the developers that there is local demand for use of the the whole site. This green area anchors the neighbourhood and should be kept as a valuable local asset for use by future generations. There is a severe shortage of playing fields.</p> <p>The pressure by the developers to remove LGS status was a cynical attempt to use financial might to overcome local need. The fields have been in use for 100 years, originally gifted for sport and to serve as a memorial to young men who gave their lives in the First World War. It would be cultural vandalism on a major scale to build on them.</p> <p>Environmentally the habitat makes a major contribution to a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments which helps maintain a diversity of protected species.</p> <p>This green space does not need to be built on to provide retirement flats. There is plenty of provision elsewhere, and plenty of brownfield land to provide future supply.</p> <p>Loss of LGS status will undermine public support for the national planning framework when it is so obviously supported locally, the only contrary view being the desire for private developer profit.</p>
939	Laure Marlio-Marette	<p>I wish to object to the removal of the LGS designation of the UPPF as I strongly believe that the site meets the criteria for LGS. They are very important to the local community and have been serving the needs of the community for many years.</p> <p>I have been a Teddington resident for over 15 years and I live in the vicinity of the playing fields. I have been attracted to this particular area of Teddington because of the tranquillity and beauty that these green spaces offer. It is unusual to have green spaces such as these a stone's throw from a busy High Street. The tranquillity and beauty offered by the playing fields can be enjoyed not only by its immediate</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>neighbours, but by all the local residents who walk along its boundaries on their way to the High Street or the train station, or those who enter the site to attend sporting events.</p> <p>Apart from its natural beauty, the site has - unquestionably - significant recreational value since a broad variety of sports have been played there for over a century. Local schools and groups have been able to use the playing fields for years. One of my children played sports there regularly and the other one attended a local school which bid - unsuccessfully - for the site as part of a consortium of local schools and clubs. Under the long ownership of Imperial College, the clubhouse/pavilion was available for hire; I once enquired about it with a view to organise a family birthday. I have witnessed many groups (companies, clubs...) that have used the venue to organise events (corporate day, fun days..) This shows how attached the local residents are to the playing fields and how useful they are. They are absolutely crucial to our community at a time when more and more young families move into the area and the demand for sports facilities far outstrip the supply. In that respect the UPPF are definitely a special feature of our neighbourhood and local people are very attached to them for the recreational opportunities they offer. In addition, the site has great historical significance as its Pavilion is a War Memorial and was donated under a covenant for the pursuit of amateur sports. This express wish should be respected and the site should be protected from development.</p> <p>Moreover, the tranquillity of the green space attracts a diverse and active wildlife, including many species of birds, squirrels, foxes, as well as several protected species of bats. This ecosystem should be respected and protected.</p> <p>The playing fields on Udney Park are very much part of the fabric of what makes our local community such a fantastic place to live: a beautiful green hub for people to meet and pursue various sports, or just to admire as it is. It plays a pivotal role in offering recreational space in an area that is increasingly built up (cf the new 300 apartments complex being built half a mile away) and where playing fields are in short supply. I therefore object to the removal of the LGS designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
940	Martin Nicholds	<p>Please note that I wish to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney park Playing Fields. I am a local resident and have been so for 30 years and I consider the park to be special to the local community because of its location as a green oasis amongst residential roads and several schools, its beauty, and recreational value including as a playing field not to mention the 8 protected species of bat that live there.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		At a time when the LBRuT is trying to slow down traffic, reduce engine fumes and combat air pollution from an expanded Heathrow, opening up green space to development and inevitably more traffic near to schools seems not sensible.
941	Jane Shillaker	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of the LGS on the above site. This area was donated to be used as a playing field (under covenant) and as so many green spaces have already been lost, it is essential to the youth of our borough to keep this area free of development. With high rise flats being built on the riverside, we do not need further development in this borough.</p> <p>This area suffers from poor air quality and we need more trees and green spaces of offset the pollution, not less. Also protection is needed for wildlife.</p> <p>Quantum were aware of the status of this land right from the start and there is absolutely no reason to remove the LGS whatsoever just to line the pockets of a developer.</p>
942	Peter Maple	<p>Further to the proposed development of Udney Park Playing Fields, as a local resident, I would like to make the following observations and comments.</p> <p>Currently the park is private property and not available to local residents or visitors. As a green space it has little bio-diversity or merit, being simply, in the main, grass playing fields.</p> <p>The proposed development for 107 care apartments and accommodation also includes a much needed new GP surgery and facilities. As I understand it 9.5 acres will be gifted to a CIC for use by local amateur sports organisations and as amenity space for the public, in perpetuity, at no cost to the council or rate payers. The extensive landscaping and planting should also increase bio-diversity significantly, even given to loss of some grass to an all-weather pitch.</p> <p>Despite the proposed buildings and development (and inevitable increases in traffic and noise) the overall net gain to the community is, in my opinion, very considerable and I therefore feel that the proposals should be allowed.</p>
943	Alison Kennealy	<p>I want to submit my objection to the removal of LGS (Local Green Space) Designation for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>This space must keep its LGS status as its special to the local community for its recreational value in the area, for local sports, richness of wildlife and its historic significance – its been a playing field for 100 years and it should not lose this status.</p> <p>There is a severe shortage of playing fields locally and it is needed.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is also a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sports and its unbelievable that this could change.</p> <p>It must be protected as a central green space. We don't need more luxury flats, with more cars and parking and traffic difficulties that will come with it.</p>
944	Lewis Ward	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
945	Dan Collins	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
946	Kevin Day	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
947	David Richardson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
948	Keith Norman	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
949	Rhys Williams	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
950	Christopher Clarke	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
951	Michelle Williams	<p>As part of your consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
952	Ben Fuller	<p>As part of your consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
953	Lewis Ward	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
954	Jonathan King	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
955	Sarah Taylor	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
956	Luke Frankenberger	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
957	Lewis White	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
958	Paul Roach	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
959	Christopher Dickson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
960	Oliver George	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
961	Kate Burgess	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
962	Jason Frost	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
963	Olly Holland	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
964	Hannah Roberts	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
965	Graeme Harper	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
966	P Van de Wiel (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse.</p> <p>Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
967	David Stewart	<p>To designate and classify the former Imperial College London sports ground as Local Green Space would fail to meet the demands and priorities of Teddington.</p> <p>The site has the capacity to achieve much more for our community if we allow sustainable development taking into consideration the needs of our borough.</p> <p>The reality is the site is not 'beautiful and tranquil'. The fact of the matter is that it is a rundown field that was hardly used and only offered recreational worth to the select few that could afford to hire it.</p> <p>Thus I fail to see the advantages to designate the land as LGS and wish to see it kept at its current designation.</p>
968	Nancy Moss	<p>I object to the removal of LGS for Udney Playing Fields.</p> <p>It is such a special and treasured place for the local community, and has historic significance as a war memorial and was donated under covenant for amateur sport. Furthermore it is an area of tranquillity and rich in wildlife – it is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several clubs have no permanent home – Udney Park should remain a playing field as it has for 100 years. The demand for green space will rise with the increasing population from the old television studio site/flats.</p> <p>I look forward to the support of the Local Council in this matter.</p>
969	Mansi Melita	<p>I would like to give my input to the public consultation regarding the above site. I understand that there is a strong possibility that its land status could be changed to an area of "Local Green Space".</p> <p>I don't believe that the site warrants this status, as it doesn't fit any of the criteria set out by the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (published in July 2018). It is neither a place of beauty, nor does it hold any significant local value to the community. As a private sports ground, the public have never had access to it, and therefore it provided no recreational benefit.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
970	Sara Burn Edwards	<p>I have been following the re-development in some detail and fail to see what the objection could possibly be to the latest proposals.</p> <p>All twenty or so facilities would prove an excellent resource to the community, particularly bearing in mind that the sporting facility will be retained.</p> <p>Also I would have thought that the opening of a doctor's surgery in close proximity to Fullerton Court, retirement apartments would be a great advantage to those residents.</p> <p>I sincerely hope that these final design proposals be approved thus continuing to contribute to Teddington's vibrant and caring community.</p>
971	D R Coppen	<p>To designate and classify the former Imperial College London sports ground as Local Green Space would fail to meet the demands and priorities of Teddington.</p> <p>The site has the capacity to achieve much more for our community if we allow sustainable development taking into consideration the needs of our borough.</p> <p>The reality is the site is not 'beautiful and tranquil'. The fact of the matter is that it is a rundown field that was hardly used and only offered recreational worth to the select few that could afford to hire it.</p> <p>Thus I fail to see the advantages to designate the land as LGS and wish to see it kept at its current designation.</p>
972	Nadir Abdulla (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse.</p> <p>Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
973	Lester Hewett (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Importance (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse.</p> <p>Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
974	Ben Moxon	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
975	Joe Appleby	<p>I wish to let you know that I fully support the current designation of OOLTI for this site, and will oppose any decisions to make it Local Green Space.</p> <p>A lot of people in this borough do not have access to decent sport, training and community facilities and not everyone can afford membership fees to private clubs.</p> <p>If the Local Green Space designation is re-instated, then all those who are excited by TCSG & Quantum's plans will effectively be overlooked. The multi-use games area, pavilion and places to train were all overwhelmingly supported by thousands of us.</p> <p>I understand that this is not directly linked to the decisions made on local plan modifications – but planning policy does encourage sustainable development, with a focus on health and well-being. In Richmond's Local Plan it states:</p> <p>"..the planning system plays an important role in the influencing the built and natural environment...and a healthy environment can promote well-being and healthy lifestyles for all and can contribute to a reduction in health inequalities."</p> <p>I hope that your public consultation is fair and balanced, and that it will take all of this into account.</p>
976	Norma Dyson	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
977	Gary Welch	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from OOLTI to LGS.</p> <p>Local Green Space has to present itself as being of special value to the local community. The site is obscured by damaged fencing and seems relatively unmaintained.</p> <p>The designation should stay as OOLTI to enable something positive to happen.</p> <p>I am a member for the Teddington Community and this is my own view regarding the proposal for a modified Local Plan designation at Udney Park.</p>
978	Demetrios Pieros	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
979	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space and believe the current status of OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development.</p> <p>How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? The land is private and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone, being obscured from view and surrounded by residential housing. I do not believe it meets the required criteria for LGS.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
980	Name unclear	This is private land that has only ever been accessed by a select few groups and clubs. All of the land is currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. It holds very little significance to most residents of Teddington. There is very little evidence to argue for this private land to become Local Green Space and it is only being contested for the benefit of a handful of residents. If this land becomes Local Green Space we will miss a significant opportunity to meet the community's needs for better sporting facilities, health care and public open space. Therefore I object to this land becoming designated as Local Green Space.
981	Michael Robson	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
982	Nicholas Troke	I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.
983	Roger Albert Stanley (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
984	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
985	Charlie Walker	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
986	Catriona McNabb	<p>I am sending this email to object to the removal of the LGS designation of the UPPF. This site clearly meets the criteria for LGS and is very important to the local community, indeed it has served the needs of the community for many years.</p> <p>I have been a resident of Teddington for many years. My children have all been to Collis and one is still there. The site has been an important sports and recreation ground for the children (and adults) and provides a very welcome expanse of green space in an area that is becoming increasingly densely populated, with the ongoing development of other local sites into extensive apartment complexes. As this happens, it is imperative to keep the green spaces we have so children understand what it is like to have green space to be in and all have somewhere they can go without being tightly surrounded by housing. Apart from natural beauty and open green space, the site has significant recreational value since a broad variety of sports have been played there for over a century. Local schools and groups have been able to use the playing fields for years. Additionally, under the ownership of Imperial College, the clubhouse/pavilion was available for hire for local events - birthday parties, corporate day, fun days etc. The college also allowed the field to be used for end of year picnics for Collis children - a lovely space they could gather, have fun and play. Through this, it is a real part of the local community, and it would be a great sadness for children and adults alike to lose it.</p> <p>This usage will become no less as more and more young families move into the area and the demand for sports facilities far outstrip the supply. In that respect the UPPF are definitely a special feature of our neighbourhood and local people are very attached to them for the recreational opportunities they offer. In addition, the site has great historical significance as its Pavilion is a War Memorial and was donated under a covenant for the pursuit of amateur sports. This express wish should be respected and the site should be protected from development. There are still many families in Teddington who have lived here for generations so this is of particular significance to those families.</p> <p>Moreover, the tranquillity of the green space attracts a diverse and active wildlife, including many species of birds, squirrels, foxes, as well as several protected species of bats. This ecosystem should be respected and protected.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The playing fields on Udney Park are very much part of what makes our local area and community such a fantastic place to live: a beautiful green hub for people to meet and pursue various sports, or just to admire as it is. It plays a pivotal role in offering recreational space in an area that is increasingly built up (300 apartments complex being built half a mile away) and where playing fields are in short supply. I therefore object to the removal of the LGS designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
987	David Brooke	<p>I wish to raise my personal objection to the Removal of LGS Status on Udney Park Gardens. There is a shortage of suitable sites for sports activities in Teddington and many schools and sports clubs have difficulty in finding proper facilities for healthy outdoor activities and sports. It is therefore totally inappropriate that the Udney Park Gardens site may not be restricted to future sports use only, as is required by restrictive covenants affecting the site. The possibility that a large part of the site may be permitted for a large residential development is wrong.</p> <p>In the current climate with the rapid increase in knife crime it is particularly important that young people have the opportunity to participate in leisure activities. The prospect of this being provided at Udney Park Gardens will potentially be put at risk if LGS status is removed and the maximisation of sports/leisure use of the site not ensured.</p> <p>In addition, the possible relocation of the Park Road Surgery from its current location should not be considered as it will leave the many existing patients without a doctor surgery within walking distance, thus resulting in a large increase in local car journeys to the new premises with obvious effects on the air quality in the surrounding area.</p> <p>I sincerely hope that LGS status on this site will not be removed.</p>
988	Margaret Turk	<p>I object very strongly to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. These playing fields in the centre of Teddington are a fantastic resource for the local community. This site which is a war memorial was generously donated under covenant by Lord Beaverbrook to the community to be used for amateur sports in perpetuity.</p> <p>They provide much needed central green space contributing positively to the environment playing a vital role in the connected ecological network of local parks and the river embankments. They offer a home to wildlife, birds and a number of protected species of bats.</p> <p>They provide local and easily accessible playing fields for all ages and for a variety of field sports. There is on site parking and easy access by public transport and for pedestrian traffic. There is a shortage of playing fields in London, a precious resource such a Udney Park Playing Fields should not be squandered</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>when children and adults alike are being encouraged to exercise and participate in sports for both physical and mental well being.</p> <p>It is shameful that with the number of brownfield sites available for redevelopment that unscrupulous national developers have knowingly purchased, at a bargain price and outbidding three local bidders, a covenanted site providing playing fields in perpetuity. They have then brazenly set about to change the rules so they can build luxury apartments for which there is no local need. The newly constructed Teddington Riverside offers 300 luxury apartments barely half a mile away.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields are a unique asset to Teddington and should be protected from any development by being designated Local Green Space. The historic significance and recreational value of these playing fields need to be protected for the enjoyment of the larger community. Therefore I strongly object to the removal of the Local Green Space status</p>
989	David O'Shea	<p>I want to write and voice my objection to the removal of local green space at Udney Park Playing fields as a local resident in Teddington. The reason for my objections are listed below :</p> <p>It is also a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. Playing fields do not have to have a chunk of them built on by greedy developers to remain playing fields.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected, there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites, the local area does need more.</p> <p>It offers one of the few green spaces left in the community that is a pleasure to view, walk past and in it, building a huge block of flats on a third of it will ruin this – the local community of children and adults will derive huge pleasure from the green space the whole area provides.</p> <p>Schools are already at breaking point and roads are becoming fuller and fuller with cars as shown by the recent need to make one end of Udney Park Road resident parking only – building on a chunk off this land will just exacerbate these problems and reduce the quality of life for existing residents.</p>
990	Margaret O'Connor	<p>I object to the removal of LGS and saving Udney Park thus saving the richness of its wildlife especially the protected species of bat.</p> <p>Udney Park is valued recreationally, is beautiful and has historic value.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
991	Alison Newman	<p>I strongly object to the removal of LGS status for Udney park playing fields. This space is critical for the wellbeing of our community as it provides much needed open space and playing fields for local sports clubs. Originally it was donated to the community for use by amateur sports clubs and I believe that original request should be honoured forever.</p> <p>Losing this land to more luxury housing is unnecessary especially with the development of 300 homes on the riverside nearby.</p> <p>Please consider this decision very carefully it will affect the future of our community for years to come and there will be no going back.</p>
992	Chloe Butler	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
993	Fiona Weber	<p>I do support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. <p>Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
994	Julia Schumacher-Anderson	<p>I do not support the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. The old clubhouse is run down and anti-social behavior has rendered the building very unattractive. • The land is surrounded on three sides by roads and houses. Traffic flow is heavy, and it does not seem particularly tranquil. • Access to the land is limited to a few sports clubs that have been granted permission by the land owner. <p>If the current status were to remain <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>, perhaps the wider community would benefit. <u>Perhaps more attractive Parks and gardens & playing fields and other facilities could be provided.</u> The wider community could benefit.</p>
995	Mike Lightfoot	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
996	Nick Hollaway	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
997	Mike Morris	<p>With respect to the above I would like to object to the removal LGS status of the land known as Udney Park playing fields. The reasons for this are listed below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The land was donated for the express purpose of supporting sport and protected as such by the inclusion of a restrictive covenant in the title when it was gifted, making very clear why it was donated and the wishes of the family involved. 2. It has been a sports resource for the last 100years and should remain so. 3. The open space provides a positive impact on the townscape of the immediate area. 4. The open space provides much needed playing areas in line with Local, regional and National policies. 5. The open space provides visual amenity for the local area and community. 6. The land provides biodiversity in respect of plants, insects and the wider ecosystem. 7. The removal of the LGS would open the opportunity for inappropriate and damaging development of the site. The owners is in the process of attempting such a proposal which I have raised objection to on various grounds. The developer purchased this land in full knowledge of the status of the land and have behaved in manner that on the most charitable reading I would describe as lacking in transparency, and I have no doubt would use this change in status to attempt to gain planning consent for an even more opportunistic and damaging scheme on the site. 8. The removal would set a dangerous precedent with far reaching implications for this and other communities.
998	Louise Peacock	I am writing today to voice my concern about the use of Udney Park and the proposed development plans. I strongly believe that the green space is of huge value to the local community and provides an oasis of nature in an increasingly busy and stressful urban environment. It is vital that local teams have somewhere to play and, moreover, it plays an important part in the local ecosystem as it is a habitat for endangered

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		species. Finally, Teddington already feels at peak capacity in regard to new developments- there is already huge pressure on schools and parking and further development will only make this worse.
999	Helen M Davison	<p>I wish to register my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park. I am a Teddington resident and homeowner of [Teddington address details removed for data protection].</p> <p>I consider that there is a shortage of playing fields locally and the green space is needed. It is unreasonable to use the space to build luxury apartments particularly since there are already many available in close proximity to Udney Park. The proposed development would damage the local ecology. The development runs counter to the original intended purpose of Udney Park which was donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Quantum were aware that all policies that protect playing fields apply to public and private land when they outbid the 3 community bidders.</p> <p>I hope that the interests of the community will be given the importance it deserves. I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p>
1000	Name unclear	<p>As a local resident, I have been following the events at these grounds over the past few years. I want to see the best for the site and support the proposals being put forward by the landowner, which I can see I will benefit from. It annoys me that some local residents are playing up the significance of the site to try and get this Local Green Space applied, in turn stopping any proposals that may break the status quo and actually be beneficial to people like me. With imagination and control, the site could be used in a way that would benefit many more than it currently does and could become a valued community asset with facilities that would benefit the whole community. In order to achieve this the site should not be designated as Local Green Space. This is my personal opinion on the public consultation about a revised designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields. As a member of the Teddington Community, I wish that this opinion will get registered.</p>
1001	Lisa Novell (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1002	Christina Rueth	<p>I do not support the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to Local Green Space for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. The old clubhouse is run down and anti-social behavior has rendered the building very unattractive. • The land is surrounded on three sides by roads and houses. Traffic flow is heavy, and it does not seem particularly tranquil. • Access to the land is limited to a few sports clubs that have been granted permission by the land owner. <p>If the current status were to remain Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, perhaps the wider community would benefit. Perhaps more attractive Parks and gardens & playing fields and other facilities could be provided. The wider community could benefit. I would like these comments to be considered by your department concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
1003	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being unmaintained. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community. It doesn't hold any significance in our</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		community in its current scruffy form – but it could. Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.
1004	Elspeth Rendall	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1005	Josh Penberthy	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1006	Karen Scott	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1007	M. Jayaweera	<p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park Local Green Space and these are my reasons why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not in any way special to the local community. • In its current state it is not beautiful – rather shabby and bland in appearance • There is no lasting historical significance with no fixed statue/memorial on the land so only a handful of people would even know about Lord Beaverbrook! • It currently has virtually no recreational value as it is private land, so the public do not have a right of way to use this land without permission from the owners • It is only tranquil because it is hardly every used! <p>Do the right thing and keep the current OOLTI status of this land – to enable the WHOLE community to benefit rather than a handful of NIMBY’s who just want to protect their view and the status quo for their own benefit. I consider myself a member of the Teddington Community and this is my own opinion over the issues surrounding Udney Park which I would like to register on your platform.</p>
1008	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to oppose the plans to designate Udney Park fields as Local Green Space and believe the current status of OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development. How does making this private land Local Green Space benefit the community of Teddington? The land is private and without public access to the site and lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone, being</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		obscured from view and surrounded by residential housing. I do not believe it meets the required criteria for LGS. As a member of the Teddington Community, this is my personal view of the various issues surrounding the former ICL Sports Ground. I wish that my comments are being registered with the consultation.
1009	Stuart Burnett	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1010	Nicola Lupton	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1011	Debbie Cross	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1012	Alije Dervisholli	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1013	Ryan Copeland	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1014	Simon Davis	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1015	Tara Maysey	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients.</p> <p>Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1016	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
1017	Upo Reicheit Schaurer	<p>I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable over-development and should therefore not be granted LGS status.</p> <p>LGS will inhibit any opportunities for the whole community to benefit from this land. I fail to see how this land can be considered as special or of local significance when it is private and not accessible to the public. I find the Local Plan unsound and do not think the council has proved why the site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1018	Siobhan Flude	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1019	Sara Edison	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1020	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community</p>
1021	Name unclear	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1022	Henry Paul	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1023	Linsey van Niekerk	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1024	Elaine Cross	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1025	Victoria Heverin	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1026	John Gage and Briony Martin	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed land designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road.</p> <p>I feel at current this land is underutilized and underused- and is hardly a space of tranquility and beauty.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Designation as LGS must be considered in parallel with the delivery of sustainable development in the Teddington area and the same investment in enough homes, jobs and other essential services.</p> <p>The plans submitted by Quantum offer sustainable development for much needed extra care housing, jobs and healthcare AND a recognised, much needed GP surgery for Teddington. This is my opinion on the public consultation about a revised designation for the Udney open space. As a member of the Teddington Community, I wish for this opinion to be noted.</p>
1027	Ile Islamaj	<p>If this site becomes Local Green Space then the proposals that Quantum has put forward (which benefit the whole community) will be scuppered for the sake of a few people whose homes overlook the site. Surely it would make sense to let a range of people from across the borough have access to the facilities they really need, like a GP surgery, for example – as opposed to keeping a site with no functionality whatsoever – for the sake of a handful of residents?</p> <p>Consequently there designation to Local Green Space would be unsound.</p>
1028	Zoe Bryans	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1029	Keith Falcon	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1030	Helen de Gruchy	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1031	Na-Young Webb	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1032	Nick Bishop	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1033	Bradley Shedden	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1034	Richard Chalmers	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1035	Abbie Lumley	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1036	Richard Keogh	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1037	Kevin McKiernan	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1038	Bob Smith, Teddington Athletic Football Club	<p>I write representing the views of Teddington Athletic Football Club (TAFC), a Community Charter Standard local football club with 550 playing boys and girls across 45 teams. Teddington Athletic leased the Udney Park Playing Fields from owner Quantum Group in the 2016 – 17 football season. Since then, the club has leased playing space from the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC (“the CIC”).</p> <p>I am writing today to let you know that TAFC wishes this site to retain its current Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) designation.</p> <p>TAFC does not support the suggestion that this site should be a place of Local Green Space as the club does not believe that it is eligible for this designation under the Government’s planning guidelines. Prior to Quantum’s ownership of the site, TAFC was never able to use it. The site was a private sports ground and offered no recreational value to the community. It is gradually becoming more and more scruffy, as the pavilion and boundary fence deteriorate, and the grass pitches are being over-played and turned to mud. It certainly does not offer tranquility or beauty.</p> <p>TAFC would like to see the site retain its OOLTI status because, if it does we have a real chance for the CIC and Quantum to create something of value to the community; not just sports facilities, but meeting areas, a café, old people’s homes and a new doctors’ surgery.</p>
1039	Name unclear	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let’s see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1040	Chris Wright	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1041	Lilian Highmore	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1042	Holly Gittens	<p>I live nearby. This is private land that before Quantum's ownership was only limited to the select few groups and clubs. The land is private and fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation.</p> <p>Considering the planning inspector has already made his decision to retain the land as OOLTI status, I don't believe there is sufficient evidence to overturn and re-allocate the site.</p> <p>The current level of protection under OOLTI is correct and I support the Inspector's current decision to remove LGS status. LGS designation would add a detrimental level of protection particularly when one considers the benefits of the current planning application on the site.</p>
1043	Sarunas Zujus	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1044	Briony Martin	<p>If the status of the land at Udney Park Road is changed from OOLTI to LS it will deny the opportunity for the residents of Teddington to benefit from this currently under-utilised piece of land. I do not believe there is any valid reason to designate this as LGS and I think the plan is unsound.</p> <p>The Borough needs – elderly housing, community facilities and more GP surgery space. OOLTI status will ensure the land is protected to a sufficient level without inhibiting the opportunities to enable the whole community to benefit from this space for the first time in many years. I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to keep this land as OOLTI and recognize that currently it has no real value or significance to anyone.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1045	Kathryn Ksiazek (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1046	Danny Daley	I object to LGS removal as Udney Park is special as my children play football there.
1047	Sarah Edwards	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1048	Sam Evans	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1049	David Box	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1050	Jack Miller	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1051	Rob Padmore	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1052	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>”.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to "<u>Local Green Space</u>".</p>
1053	N S Bulmer	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1054	Michael Box	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1055	Susan Wright	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because:</p> <p>The site does not hold significance to the community.</p> <p>The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system. • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1056	K A Smith	<p>I do support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. <p>Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. <u>Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</u></p>
1057	Jonathan Hughes	<p>I do support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires.</p> <p>Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. <u>Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</u></p>
1058	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to you to portray my opinions on the local plan consultation in relation to the land near Udney Park Road.</p> <p>By keeping this land as a field, I do not see how it will suit the needs of the community. Without public access to the site and visual openness, the site currently provides very little benefit to anyone. Therefore, I would deem the designation of Local Green Space to Udney Park Playing Fields as unsound. By enclosing this land in layers of planning policy we could lose a valuable opportunity to deliver the needs in our community. There are planning proposals to bring a GP surgery to Teddington – a resource that is greatly needed.</p>
1059	Isabel Luckett (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1060	Liam Spragg	I am writing regarding the modification to your Local Plan regarding the above site. As this is now part of a public consultation, I would like to make it clear that I oppose any plans to make this area Local Green Space. Government guidelines clearly state that eligibility for this status mean that the site must have some local significance – or be a place of beauty or tranquility. Clearly, it has none of these as it is a disused private sports field that no one was able to use. Also, if the Local Green Space designation was to be made, it will be directly responsible for denying the local area the TCSG's and Quantum's proposed publically owned, accessible sports pitches and open space which we have never had on this land before. Furthermore, if the current designation of OOLTI should remain; as it will be an enabler to address many of the policies set out in your Local Plan around housing for older people, an inclusive, healthy community and shortage of floorspace for GP surgeries.
1061	John Miller	I would like to add my objection to the removal of LGS on Udney Park, we need playing fields more than we need more housing in an already highly developed area, we have to think of the long term good rather than the short term profit, we also have to think of protecting protected species.
1062	Victoria Phillips	I am writing to object to the de-listing of Udney Park Playing Fields as a Local Green Space. It seems quite clear that it meets the criteria for listing. It is an important site for recreation having been used as playing fields for over a century and has great potential to be used by more people. It is very accessible to people in Central Teddington. It has great historical and emotional significance having been left as a memorial to young men who fell in the First World War. It is also important to wildlife being used by several species of bats and being part of a green corridor from the River Thames to other green spaces such as Bushy Park.
1063	Winston Taylor	I strongly object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF). The criteria for granting LGS status to an area is set out in Paragraph 100, National Planning Policy Framework. It reads: '100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the Green Space is (a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; (b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife; and (c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.'

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Looking at the framework it is clear that all three conditions (a), (b) and (c) must be met. Condition (b) is a general condition 'demonstrably special to a local community' followed by a number of possible examples through which the general condition can be shown to be met. It is my view that only one of the examples needs to apply for LGS status to be appropriate. However, I consider that all the examples are satisfied in any event.</p> <p>Dealing first with (c), it is my view that UPPF, at 12.7 acres and situated in the heart of Teddington, are local in character and not an extensive tract of land. Published commentaries on this section state that its intention is to ensure that LGS status is not applied to large tracts of land. LGS status is similar to green belt status and it was not the intention of policymakers to allow further large tracts of land effective green belt status through the back door. This is clearly not the case here.</p> <p>(a) is, I submit, equally simple to deal with despite the views of the previous Inspector. UPPF is close to Teddington High Street on the edge of central Teddington and surrounded by mainly residential properties. It clearly services the local community by providing sports facilities for recreational sport and as an oasis of quiet and tranquility in a busy Outer London Town.</p> <p>For condition (b) to be met UPPF must be 'demonstrably special to a local community'. It has been used as playing fields for nearly 100 years, firstly by Merchant Taylor's school and later by St Mary's Hospital Medical School before being taken over by Imperial College, University of London, following its merger with that college. It is well known locally that UPPF was donated to St Mary's Hospital Medical School under covenant by Lord Beverbrook for amateur sports in perpetuity.</p> <p>Despite UPPF being owned privately, the playing fields have also been used by local sports clubs right up to the present day.</p> <p>In about 2015 Richmond Borough and Sport England carried out a Borough wide Playing Fields Assessment. The report concluded that UPPF was essential to meeting the demand for outdoor recreation in the Borough. In my view the use of UPPF by the local Teddington community and the overall pressure on outdoor playing fields in the Borough are sufficient to show that the UPPF is demonstrably special to a local community. However, I will now go on to consider in more detail the five particular examples mentioned in (b).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Beauty UPPF is an oasis in a busy Outer London Town. 2. Historic Significance

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>As indicated above, UPPF has been used as playing fields for nearly 100 years by both Merchant Taylor's school and St Mary's College Medical School and the local Teddington community. UPPF was purchased by Lord Beverbrook under covenant for use as amateur sports, mainly rugby union, in perpetuity.</p> <p>3. Recreational Value (including as a playing field) Richmond Borough as a whole has a shortage of playing fields and there are several local sports clubs , other than those asociated with Quantum and Teddington CIC that are looking for playing fields. In our view, LGS status is particulally appropriate for UPPF to protect its use as playing fields.</p> <p>4. Tranquility UPPF is an oasis of calm and tranquility within a busy Outer London Town. As such it contributes greatly to the health and wellbeing of the local community.</p> <p>5. Richness of Wildlife. UPPF is home to at least 8 protected species of Bat. Again, we would argue that LGS status is particularly appropriate to enhance the protection of these species. In my view the evidence shows that all the five conditions in the second part of (b) are met. Conclusion: I confirm that I strongly object to the removal of LGS status from UPP</p>
1064	Daniel Spencer	<p>I am most concerned that the council is still considering the application to redevelop and build over the Udney Park playing fields. I feel that the developer was fully aware of the protection order placed over this space when they bought the fields. As a local resident I very much value what it offers. I understand that it was initially a war memorial dedicated under covenant for sport and I believe it should therefore remain an area where the young and old can stay fit and participate in sport. It is also I hear, home to 8 protected species of bat as well as connecting the ecologies of local parks and river embankments. Furthermore we already have a multitude of new flat developments in Teddington and we need no more. The area needs to protect what little green space it has left available for sports recreation. I'm sure the NHS would also agree.</p>
1065	Caroline & Tom Miskin	<p>We are responding to the new public consultation on the planning inspector's decision to remove local green space status from Udney Park. We object to the decision to remove LGS status from Udney Park.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park was donated many years ago with a covenant that it should be used for amateur sport. It has been a playing field for very many years; there is a severe shortage of playing fields locally and it would be well used by local clubs and schools that have no suitable facilities, if access were available. As per national policy, playing fields need to be protected as one way of addressing the national obesity crisis. It is a vital green space with enormous benefits for air quality, wildlife and people's health. It must be protected from predatory development; there is no need for it to be developed as there are other brownfield sites available or currently in development (for example the nearby development of 300 apartments at Teddington Lock).</p>
1066	John Connolly	<p>I am Teddington resident <i>[address details removed for data protection]</i> and father to a child who regularly uses the Udney Park playing fields for their club football. The grounds are ideally placed for safe access by bike for my son (versus us having to drive to areas further away). I wish to see the land remain for public use, and ideally for sport. I believe objecting to the removal of the LGS status is consistent with this.</p>
1067	D Aitchison	<p>I do not understand how the proposals to designate the grounds in Udney park Road as LGS from OOLTI will benefit local residents. If the land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit from it. I understand a planning inspector has already made a decision once and advised it should stay as OOLTI and I agree with him. It is not special enough – it cannot be accessed by the public, it can hardly be seen at street level and it looks really run down. In the past, the only people who accessed this land were sports clubs affiliated with the Former Imperial College and Newlands House school. My understanding is that there are a handful of clubs who use the site but only because the owners Quantum give them a license. Making the site LGS will only keep the status quo when there could be so many opportunities to make this site of value for everyone. Local Green space designation is unjustified as this land does not currently have the qualities required to call itself LGS.</p>
1068	Lynn Chakraborti	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1069	Fraser Truscott	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1070	Akison Donnelly	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1071	John Richards	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1072	Fiona Camino	The park is key to ensuring we all have access to green open space. The area does not need further development- I wait to see the impact of the awful development on Broom Road, which is less than half a mile away. Please protect that status - furthermore the park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Let's keep it as so.
1073	W Addis	I write to object strongly to the plan to remove Local Green Space Status from Udney park. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for development. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1074	Liz Sweeney	<p>As a Teddington resident, I strongly object to and reject the continued efforts by Quantum to try to circumvent the wishes of the local people. I demand that the local council continues to block all such efforts and maintain the land as community needs require. The removal of LGS status must be rejected totally and finally.</p> <p>Quantum knew what they were buying and I still fail to understand how a property left in Trust / under Covenant has been allowed to fall into the hands of developers.</p>
1075	Melissa Moriarty	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park. Here are my reasons:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
1076	Emma Arnold (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1077	Dan Ward	I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.
1078	Jeremy Goodall	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1079	Niamh Sims (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1080	Andrew Care	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let’s see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1081	Fraser Simpson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1082	Name unclear	<p>I do not support the motion to change the field at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>I cannot see how the argument that is demonstrably special can be accurate given as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community in its current form- it is simply a field which gives those who border it a restricted view.</p> <p>The land is neither beautiful, given the fact that it has a rundown clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character.</p> <p>If the designation of (OOLTI) were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through sensitive development and careful reintroduction of various wildlife species and an environment that would enable wildlife to thrive better.</p>
1083	Jenny Edwards	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1084	Simon Bisset	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1085	Jack Jeffery	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1086	David Gentle	I am of the view that Udney Park should have the status of Local Green Space for the following reasons:

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) It is clearly in very close proximity to the community it serves, as it is very close to the centre of Teddington, just off the High Street. 2) It is special to the local community as it holds a long association with it, having been donated under covenant for amateur sport and is also a war memorial. It is also a well-loved green space, which is rich in wildlife and provides a haven from the hubbub of busy Teddington life. There is also a large group of concerned residents that feels so strongly about the special character of Udney Park they have formed the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields. 3) It is a well-defined green area that is local in character and not an extensive tract of land with ill-defined borders. <p>For these reasons I urge you to designate Udney Park as Local Green Space.</p>
1087	Mary Ann and James Ellery	<p>We feel very strongly that as Udney Park has been a playing field for a hundred years, and particularly since there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally, it is essential that it remains an LGS. It must be protected from predatory developers. There is a large development less than half a mile away on a brownfield site and it is imperative that brownfield sites are used for these developments, not green spaces.</p>
1088	Joanna Lewis	<p>Udney Park is a memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. It was intended to be protected for future generations. It is not for any of us in our generation to take that away. This is the most important point.</p> <p>In addition, given the volume of other building of residential accommodation on multiple sites along Broom Road and around Teddington, it is particularly important that this status is not violated and the green space remains. In addition, preserving this space preserves Teddington's local character and gives the town space to "breathe" as well as protecting various wildlife species.</p> <p>I am shocked that the status was originally withdrawn without warning and that the local community has already had to go to such lengths to over-turn that decision.</p> <p>It is particularly difficult now for the local community to make the broader public understand that we must first overturn this technical point framed as a double negative before we can revisit the actual different proposals for the site. It stacks the odds against lay people and this should be taken into account in the planning process and consultation. Not least because Quantum's marketing materials have been misleading – implying that theirs is the proposal that maintains the most public useage. There is a viable</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		alternative community plan to retain all this green space and use it for the purpose for which it was intended – this needs to be heard.
1089	Christopher French	I strongly object to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields. This area is an invaluable community resource for the people of Teddington because of its beauty, tranquillity, historic significance, recreational value and its importance as an open green space.
1090	Chris Ryalls	<p>Please will you note my objection to the removal of LGS protection for the Udney Park Playing fields for the following reasons :-</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>UdneyPark has ben a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
1091	Martin Atherton	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1092	Gina George	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1093	Nigel Dark	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. <u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
1094	Mark Cochrane	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1095	Nicola Kerr Elliot	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1096	Amrit Chakraborti	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1097	Nikki Harrison	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1098	Phil Richens	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1099	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
1100	Chris Richards	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1101	Bob Smith	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1102	D Curry	<p>This site makes very little contribution to the character of the area because the majority of the site is screened from view and all of it is inaccessible. Without public access to the site and without a visual benefit through lack of visual openness, the site provides very little benefit to anyone! For those of use that are allowed onto the site, there are currently very limited recreational facilities, yet I know there is unmet demand for outdoor recreational space in the Borough as identified in the Local Plan. I support the current planning application for the site and consider that a Local Green Space designation is both unjustified and detrimental to the chances of creative solutions being found for the site.</p>
1103	N L Grigg	<p>I do not agree that the current status of the land at Udney Park Road should be changed to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The Status 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' suitably protects the land whilst allowing for enhancement through appropriate sustainable development.</p> <p>This land is not special to the local community – it'snot really very beautiful with little openness and is completely fenced off. The richness of the wildlife is not evidence and could be improved dramatically with careful appropriate development.</p> <p>This land does not quality for the status of 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1104	D Ritan	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1105	Name unclear	Prefer original OOLTI designation.
1106	Name unclear (Medco Pharmacy)	<p>I am connected with the Pharmacy in Park Road and have seen and read about the Local Plan for Teddington and in particular how it will affect the land in Udney Park Road. I do not feel the criteria has been met to change the status to LGS.</p> <p>I do not agree with the land becoming Local Green Space as I cannot understand how this will benefit the local community. The land cannot have any value to the community as required in LGS criteria as the land is private land, and no one can access it without permission from the landowners.</p> <p>Quantum's plans seem to offer an excellent use of the space – enabling people across the community to benefit. Being connected to the Pharmacy, I have seen first-hand just how desperate the existing surgery in Park Road is for space and also, I hear frequently from patients how difficult it is to get an appointment as the doctors do not have enough capacity. It seems to me that everything must be done to ensure Quantum's plans are seriously considered and the land should not be made Local Green Space as this will make it virtually impossible for the community to get the facilities it so desperately needs.</p>
1107	Keith Atkinson for and on behalf of the Teddington Society	<p>The Teddington Society strongly objects to the Planning Inspector's proposed removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields;</p> <p>The Teddington Society has nearly 1000 individual members and 60 Corporate members, and was established in 1973. It publishes regular news magazines about Teddington, organises regular local community events and is the principle representative voice of the community being the largest multiple-interest group in the locality</p> <p>It has been closely involved with all development in the area and the preparation of the Local Plan as regards Teddington. It is progressive, it does not seek to keep Teddington in “aspic”, rather it embraces appropriate commercial and residential development and engages with Councillors, the LPA and property owners in detail on Planning, Community and related matters such as travel and transport issues.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Society had welcomed Quantum as a Corporate member and sought to influence them with their plans; but rather than co-operating with the Society and the local community as a whole, Quantum chose instead to partner with groups who would benefit from their proposed scheme. Many local clubs, organisations and schools have chosen not to work with Quantum.</p> <p>Quantum engaged in a game of "public consultations" holding events without revealing any detail about the scale of their residential plans, as they wanted to "listen to the community". The "survey results" from those consultations presented by Quantum differed greatly from our own community soundings, especially once the planning application finally revealed the scale of the proposed residential buildings.</p> <p>Concerning Udney Park Local Green Space, the Society was one of the two original community applicants for LGS for Udney in 2016. That application was vetted by the Local Authority, included in the Local Plan and approved by Cabinet in December 2016.</p> <p>The grounds for granting LGS status to an area are now set out in Paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Framework dated February 2019 (amended from the previous version where it was Paragraph 77). The essential criteria remain the same in both versions. These criteria state:-</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. <p>There can be no serious argument that Udney Park is very much in close proximity to the community it serves, being located in the heart of Teddington just yards from the High Street, and that it is local in character and is not extensive being just 12+ acres, an oasis of calm green space, and very much part of the local landscape .</p> <p>Conditions (a) and (c) are therefore clearly met. This leaves item (b) to consider .</p> <p>The Society is very clear that Udney Park is demonstrably special to the community.</p> <p>Para 100 (b) has five possible criteria for LGS; Although Udney Park only needs one of these to qualify as LGS, the Society considers that in fact all five of them are met.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Beauty Although this a somewhat subjective judgment, Udney consists of a traditional English cricket pitch, surrounded by acres of playing fields with an attractive old-fashioned pavilion in the heart of Teddington.</p> <p>It has historic significance, the pavilion being a War Memorial tribute to local scholars who died in World War I. The entire site was then purchased by a historic figure Lord Beaverbrook in 1937 and donated to St Mary's the local medical college for the playing of sport, in particular amateur rugby "in perpetuity", with the protection of covenants. Books have been written on the history of this site and Local Historian Ken Howe, a member of the Society, submitted on March 11th 2019 to the Local Plan a potted history of the site supporting his personal objection to the removal of LGS .</p> <p>Recreational Value The playing fields have served the community for decades. Although under-utilised when Imperial College owned the site the fields were always available to and actually used by schools and community sports clubs alike.In the future Udney Park has huge potential to serve the community even more , for the life of this Local Plan and beyond.</p> <p>Tranquillity Udney Park is an oasis of green calm in a busy London suburb.</p> <p>Richness of wildlife Separate submissions have been made detailing this. Sufficient for the Society to say that Udney Park is home to at least 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecological network from the River Thames over to Bushy Park.</p> <p>To conclude; Udney Park more than adequately meets the criteria for Local Green Space. The reinstating of LGS status into the Local Plan will help prevent inappropriate residential development on these playing fields, which can then be fully returned to the purpose for which they were so generously donated. We therefore object to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields in the Richmond Local Plan</p>
1108	N Maugham	<p>I am writing regarding the Local Plan and the new consultation on Udney Park Playing Fields. Firstly, I would like to state that I support the Planning Inspector's original decision to maintain the site as OOLTI. As a local resident, I am very familiar with this site and it only seems to be used sporadically and when Imperial College owned the site it was expensive for small local clubs to hire.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The clubhouse is very rundown, there is solid fencing bordering the site with scrappy hedges around the perimeter, so I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to the NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as “beautiful”, there is limited recreational value in its current state and the only reason it is tranquil is because no one can access it.</p> <p>Therefore I support the current land designation of OOLI.</p>
1109	Tom Tiwers	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1110	T R Burrows	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1111	Nick Gittins	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1112	Agnieszka Majda	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1113	James Callard	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1114	Jennie Green Walker (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1115	Amanda-May Watson (3 rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1116	Karsten Hill (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1117a	John Shanks (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site –

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1118	Janet Shanks (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1117b / 1118b	John & Janet Shanks	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1119	Kate Archer (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector’s conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1120	Amy Sampson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.
1121	Charlotte Simpson (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation. The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3 rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted. Our son also has football training on this site and the Local Green Space designation would negatively affect that too. The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site – but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space. The 3 rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area. Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1122	Stuart Mills	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let's see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1123	Anna Zientala	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1124	Stephanie Law	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1125	Christian Gapor	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance”.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1126	Name unclear	<p>The land at Udney Park should not be granted Local Green Space Status (LGS) because I cannot see any benefits that could come to the land being awarded this designation. At current the land is rarely used and when it is used, you need to gain permission from the landowner as the land is privately owned – despite messages that have been put out in the community that it is public land for the whole community to use. Quantum’s proposals have several benefits – something for all generations. There seems no balanced argument behind changing the status of this land – apart from preventing anything useful or advantageous being done with the land. For these reasons I deem the proposed change as unsound.</p>
1127	Joanna Moss	I object to the removal of LGS for Udney Playing Fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>It is such a special and treasured place for the local community, and has historic significance as a war memorial and was donated under covenant for amateur sport. Furthermore it is an area of tranquillity and rich in wildlife – it is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several clubs have no permanent home – Udney Park should remain a playing field as it has for 100 years. The demand for green space will rise with the increasing population from the old television studio site/flats.</p> <p>I look forward to the support of the Local Council in this matter.</p>
1128	Name unclear	<p>I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group.</p> <p>I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
1129	Cathy Maker	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1130	Lucia Prentice	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1131	David Clay	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site - but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1132	Claire and Tim Jones	<p>We are a Teddington residents of over 25 years. We would like to object strongly to the proposal to remove Local Green Space status from the Udney Park Playing Fields for a number of reasons:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1) This land has been used for sporting activities for 100 years. They were donated under covenant for amateur sport and should morally stay true to that benevolent intent. Our son played rugby on the fields.</p> <p>2) We live in an increasingly developed part of Greater London where schools lack playing fields (often sold off to developers) and an obesity crisis with huge costs for our NHS. We need more than ever to preserve our sports facilities and keep these playing fields for local use and to provide a home for local sports clubs. They offer huge benefit to improving the health of our residents.</p> <p>3) Udney Park is a valuable green space close to the High Street in Teddington. It is a critical part of our local environment benefiting wildlife with 8 protected species of bat, habitat for wild birds, cleaning our air with trees and green space and a vital connection to the ecology network of local parks and the natural riverside. The massive benefits of open green space on mental wellbeing is also documented in mental health studies. We need to keep valuable green open space as it improves all residents' wellbeing. It is wonderful to see teams playing on the fields as we walk in the area.</p> <p>4) It would be appalling to lose these playing fields to developers motivated by financial gain. This site should not be developed. There are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of Udney Park on brownfield sites. These new residents need playing fields and a preserved central green space too. We object to the removal of Local Green Space status on moral, environmental and health reasons.</p>
1133	Charles Worth	I am writing to object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park playing fields. The park is special to the local community for recreational value, tranquillity and richness of its wildlife.
1134	Gabriella Wilkins	<p>I would like to email regarding the potential removal of the LGS Udney Park.</p> <p>There is a shortfall of playing fields already, and I think another built on for luxury flats is a travesty. The park is fantastic for the community, it is used recreationally on a daily basis. It is also and peaceful, somewhere to go to relax.</p> <p>I really hope that this does not go ahead and I will be extremely devastated if it does so.</p>
1135	Sally Hinkley CBE	<p>I am writing to register my very strong Objection to the removal of the LGS designation of Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF).</p> <p>This green space is very special to the community because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * it has served the community for 100 years after being donated in perpetuity as a war memorial, through a Will and under covenant - for amateur sport; * it continues to serve the community. It is needed by local sports clubs and, once its status is confirmed, would be able to provide even greater local sports use, with the existing pavilion upgraded, without losing

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>the environmental benefits that its current LGS status ensures;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * it is rich in wildlife, a tranquil place, and part of the local ecology corridor from river to parks for creatures and birds across the locality; * it is home to 8 protected species of bat, which would be under threat if LGS status were removed; * the space is historic, being part of the gardens of Udney House, in one of the oldest continuously inhabited parts of Teddington, and may contain important archaeological remains for later excavation. <p>I would also add to my objection that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * the only purpose of removing cherished LGS status for this historic green haven seems to be to enable luxury apartments to be built - which are not required by the Teddington community for whom this space is very special - to the profit of the developer. * it would be detrimental to important wildlife habitats to see this natural land covered with Astro turf, extensive buildings and tarmac; * it is Government policy to protect playing fields, whether they are on public or private land. Parks are for people not for profit!
1136	Matt Bernard	<p>I wish to object to the removal of LGS (local green space) for Udney Park Playing Fields</p> <p>Any green space is valuable to the local community (myself included), for the health benefits provided through sport and recreational enjoyment of the green space.</p> <p>Additionally, Udney Park was donated under covenant for amateur sport, there is certainly argument that surrendering the LGS goes against this covenant.</p>
1137	Claire Maden	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>I strongly feel that Udney Park should remain as a central green space for use as sports playing fields by the local community and should not be built upon or subject to development for commercial gain. Parks and open green spaces with Teddington should be retained for recreational purposes; their heritage, beauty and ecological significance must be preserved.</p>
1138	Stuart Muncer	<p>I would like to lodge an objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>The playing fields are a vital local asset, allowing local people to pursue and enjoy activities in an area that is critically short of space for organised sports.</p> <p>To allow development of green space in an urban environment seems grossly short sighted and an irreversible loss of recreational land for future generations.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1139	Robin Crees	<p>As a local Teddington resident, I would like to formally object to the proposal from Quantum to remove local green space (LGS) from Udney Park Playing fields that was granted in 2016. The only reason I can see why this request is being brought forward is so that Quantum can remove another barrier to securing planning permission to build on what is currently protected space.</p> <p>The objections to the build project are now well documented, but the focus should be on the retention of LGS granted just 2 years ago. I cannot see what has materially changed in such a short period. There is still a clear and present community need for grass playing fields such as Udney Park for football, rugby, & lacrosse. Cricket is now not possible due to the fenced off area that Quantum have imposed limiting the space so the LGS is already being put under threat.</p> <p>Interestingly and importantly, I discovered at the last council meeting that the flight path of the bat community will be impacted severely by the removal of space and the bright lights that would come from any large building footprint planned. There is every need to retain all our green space in Teddington given the increasing density of the population with 300 luxury flats within half a mile of the Park on brown field sites. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and was donated under covenant for amateur support that if LGS is removed will be under threat.</p> <p>Please think carefully about why you would even consider removing local green space status, as this can only signal that Richmond Council is giving a green light to developers plans to profit from green space.</p>
1140	Ian Smith	<p>I feel I must contact you to strongly object to the removal of LGS status for the Udney Park playing fields which are situated near me.</p> <p>The preservation of green open spaces in the Teddington area is essential and must not be ignored for the benefit of yet more property development which is unnecessary given the redevelopment of the old Teddington Studios site less than half a mile away.</p> <p>My own children have benefitted from use of the Udney Park playing fields, enjoying a mixture of sport and recreational activities there. The playing fields should be protected for future generations, both human and wildlife.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to the destruction of green space to provide more housing and therefore profit for a large company at the expense of local residents, sports clubs and wildlife.</p> <p>Please keep me informed of any developments and meetings concerning this issue.</p>
1141	David Harnden	<p>I object most strongly to the idea of removing LGS designation from Udney Park Playing Fields. The proposal to develop the playing fields is cynical, selfish and greedy; and the developer's tactics in sending</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		people round houses attempting to get residents to back the development – without explaining the issues or saying who was behind the petition – is reprehensible.
1142	Kaari Crees	<p>I would like to formally object to the removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park Playing fields. We are well aware that this request is coming from Quantum who have plans to build several blocks of flats on the space, a space that was donated, on the condition it was used for amateur sport. Local schools and sports clubs have been using these playing fields for football, rugby and cricket, the latter of which we no longer see as Quantum have already fenced off the area they plan to build on, leaving not enough space for cricket. And no, cricket is not a dying sport, as Quantum would like us to believe; there is a recently opened sports shop dedicated to cricket that opened in Teddington in the last year.</p> <p>It is nothing less then criminal to prevent local school children from accessing sports fields that they have been using for years. The GB lacrosse teams also used to use it for trials. That is no longer possible. There are plenty of brown field sites available to build on and we are not in need for 'The Over 55' luxury flats in the area anyway; 300 plus luxury flats are already being built on brown field sites nearby as it is. Not only do we not need these flats, traffic is already congested in Teddington High Street as it is. Access to the two, narrow roads off Teddington High Street, namely Kingston Lane and Udney Park Road, are being used already by people who come to Teddington to work, making parking and congestion worse if more flats are to be built here.</p> <p>If Richmond council agree to the removal of LGS status on Udney Park Playing fields, they are effectively sending out a clear message to greedy, opportunistic developers that it is ok to target LGS sites as eventually, the council will roll over and hand it to them on a silver platter.</p> <p>As a local resident since 1993, I sincerely hope that the council acknowledges the need for green sites to remain green sites, giving our future generation the right to access sports in their local community.</p>
1143	Karen Askew Sparkes	<p>I am pleading with you to stop this development of Udney Park.</p> <p>We know that open spaces and sports grounds are vital for the health and well being of us all, especially young children. School playgrounds and fields are built over to enlarge schools, and now developers can buy land and make huge amounts of money on over priced housing.</p> <p>“For Quantum to claim green space benefits by building on a protected playing field is beyond ironic. At the Planning Committee Cllr Elengorn, responsible for Planning and Environment, said "In 36 years it is without precedent for a developer to seek pre-planning advice then to completely and utterly ignore it and to rubbish it. It is shocking really"</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I strongly agree with Cllr Elengorn and hope that this crazy grabbing of open spaces can be stopped.
1144	Odile Kasolowsky	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a shortage of playing fields locally 2. It is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments. 3. If the building takes place, there will be more traffic congestion and pollution. We are thinking of reducing the traffic around Collis Primary School. That would be made impossible. 4. It is special to the local community because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquillity and richness of wildlife.
1145	Angela Smith	<p>I object to the removal of LGS for the Udney park playing fields. As a local resident of Kingston Lane, the amount of traffic is already high and with this it will increase. I don't believe it is safe for young children in the area especially being so close to the school. Secondly there is protected wildlife in there, that is their home and they have a right to have a safe environment to live. What will happen to them? Over the years the playing field has been greatly used for children to participate in sports, some clubs aren't fortunate enough to have a permanent home and this is why the playing fields should remain.</p> <p>I really want to emphasis the importance of the playing field staying and the effects of the proposed plans.</p>
1146	Jon Owen	<p>I am writing to object to the potential removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields in the strongest possible terms for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. • As a local resident, there is clearly a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory Plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need because there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the Park on brown-field sites, most notably the development near the Lensbury Club, which I understand is massively undersold.
1147	Jean Strachan	I strongly <u>object</u> to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park. It is an important open space for sport activities in a locality which lacks such a facility for local clubs & teams and it has a community focus. It has been a playing field for a century providing a green space for public use. It is a park for amateur sport donated as a war memorial. It is not a brown-field site for the development of expensive flats and houses of

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		which there are plenty being built in the neighbourhood. Environmentally and ecologically, as well as socially, we need it retained as a green area and all of those reasons will become more important as pollution continues to blight urban areas.
1148	Sue White	I would like to register my objection to the removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park; this is an area that needs to be preserved for the local community due to it's recreational value, beauty, tranquillity and wildlife. We cannot consistently put money for the few over the needs of the community.
1149	David Morley	I am writing to register my objection to the removal of LGS protection for Udney Park. This space has been playing fields for many years and there is a shortage of playing fields with several local clubs having no permanent home. Given the push by government to get people to be more active, it would seem short sighted to overturn the LGS protection and build houses on this site.
1150	Alan and Brenda Plumb	<p>We are writing to support the decision by the Planning Inspector to remove Local Green Space (LGS) Status at Udney Park Playing Fields and for it to be retained as OOLTI.</p> <p>We believe that the OOLTI status is sufficient to ensure that there is no inappropriate development of this site.</p> <p>The former Imperial College Sport Ground was a private playing field which provided only very limited access to the local community (some schools/ sports clubs through hiring). In this sense, it is difficult to see that it meets the criteria for being 'demonstrably special to a local community' considered for LGS status. As OOLTI any changes must be linked to the functional use of the land and should not harm the character and openness of the land</p> <p>The proposed development plans include a mutli-use artificial sports and grass pitch for use by the local community, public green space and also include the provision of a much-needed doctors' surgery.</p>
1151	Simon Pinnell	<p>Please accept this as an objection to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields. This is on the basis of the field being:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) a valuable local recreational resource for our children, in an area with a shortage of playing fields. 2) The environmental impact of removing open green space by building on the site and the noise and air pollution that this will create, including the impact on local protected animal species, that live on and around the fields. 3) increased congestion, in an already congested part of Teddington and the fact that there are already 300 new apartments already being built within half a kilometre of Udney Park on a brown field site.
1152	Amy Bernard	I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Udney Park is of great importance to the local community. There is no local need for luxury apartments currently, yet there is a shortfall of local playing fields, so I feel it is important that it remains protected
1153	Mr & Mrs Metcalf	<p>We object to the Planning Inspector's proposed modification to paragraph 5.2.8 of the Borough's Local Plan.</p> <p>The effect of such a change would be to remove Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields ("Udney Park"), which the Borough otherwise rightly identified in the plan, under paragraph 5.2.8 before the proposed modification, as having LGS status. The criteria for LGS status are set out in paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), as being:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land."</p> <p>Self-evidently all of these criteria are met. As to (a), Udney Park is located within Teddington itself, that being the community it serves.</p> <p>As to (b) quite demonstrably it is special to us, the local community, and holding a particular local significance:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Its very nature as a central green space gives it beauty. What could not be beautiful about a green space within a town?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is historically significant as a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. Quite obviously it has very high recreational value.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No playing field is in use 24 hours a day, of course, and when it is not then this central green space imparts tranquillity – the tranquillity of green surroundings (the countryside in the town, a centuries old yearning of every town dweller), the tranquillity of no traffic, the tranquillity of quiet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The very fact that there were three community bidders for Udney Park is a very strong testament to the fact that it is special to the local community.</p> <p>As to (c), at 12.7 acres and surrounded by the town, it is clearly local and not extensive.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We must add that UdneyPark, this central green space, must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need, there being already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on <i>brown-field</i> sites. That brown-field development is itself an over-development that should never have gone ahead, but to remove LGS from Udney Park would be a far worse assault on green space and the character of Teddington. Indeed, if Udney Park were not to qualify as LGS, then what would?! If part of Udney Park – a cherished local green space, playing fields subject to a covenant to be used for amateur sport – were to be developed into flats, then our laws on planning control would count for nothing. If such a development, as Quantum is pressing for with all its financial might, is not exactly the sort of thing that planning control should prevent, then what on earth is?!</p> <p>Please do not accept the Inspector’s shocking, proposed change to paragraph 5.2.8 of the Local Plan. Instead, respect what is literally a local green space, and self-evidently meeting all the LGS criteria, by not removing its LGS status.</p>
1154	Martin Dawson	<p>I wish to register my objection to the proposed removal of Local Green Space designation in the Local Plan for Udney Park. The Park is a valuable sporting facility and open space that would be severely compromised if further development occurred on this area. It should be noted that this area was donated under covenant for amateur sport not housing development.</p>
1155	Paul Devenport	<p>I write in support of Udney Park fields being designated a Local Green Space</p>
1156	Jonathan Mayne	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space the whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1157	Toby Everett	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1158	Sarah Gigg	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1159	Philip D Tope	<p>I object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS).</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I support the idea of another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status of OOLTI is adequate in protecting the site from inappropriate development.
1160	Chris Gearey	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1161	Shweia Chauhan	<p>I believe that land at Udney Park should remain as OOLTI status. This private land does not meet the LGS criteria, as previously found by the Planning Inspector.</p> <p>If Local Green Space designation were to come into place, the possibility of publicly owned, accessible sports pitches and open space (which is in underprovision in this area), would be denied to the community of Teddington.</p> <p>Please keep this land as OOLTI to enable the community to have access to this site.</p>
1162	John Andrews	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1163	Jim Sykes	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1164	Charlie Firebrace	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1165	Matthew Morey	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1166	Simon Fitch	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1167	Ami Patel	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1168	Jeff Magris	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain <u>'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'</u>.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to <u>'Local Green Space'</u>.</p>
1169	Colin Smith	<p>With reference to the main modification to your local plan for Udney Park Playing Fields, I am writing to say that I strongly oppose any plans to designate the land to Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Until Quantum and the TCSG CIC got together there was absolutely nothing being done about this empty sports field. Their plan to gift 9.5 acres of this to the public have been supported by thousands of local people and clubs.</p> <p>We are aware of the Planning Inspectorate's decision to overturn the designation of Local Green Space for this piece of land, so are at a loss to understand why it is now up for question again. It quite clearly does not match any of the requirements for Local Green Space – Bushy Park may be a place of beauty – but not a former sports field that wasn't open to the community.</p> <p>There are hundreds and hundreds of people who are members of local clubs, groups and associations who are desperately waiting for somewhere to play each week. Many of the people associated with these give up their spare time for the community and would welcome the facilities that are proposed for the 9.5 acres. On this basis, we will not support any policy that will deny us this and therefore request that the OOLTI designation remains.</p>
1170	A Leen	<p>I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. <p>Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. <u>Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</u></p>
1171	S Sidaway	<p>I have been made aware that the Local Plan is back out to consultation in reference to the land that borders Udney Park road, and I felt as a local resident I must input on this crucial matter.</p> <p>I fear that further conditions and constraints on this land will not benefit the community. Now it is not of any significance to our community and looks like a run-down field- it is certainly does not hold any beautiful or tranquil relevance.</p> <p>We should consider the needs of the community now and our future generations – ultimately, we need sustainable options for the land. Space is at a premium and we need to be realistic about what options are on offer compared to the situation we find ourselves in - long GP waiting lists, and lack of housing (particularly affordable).</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Let's ensure this land is inclusive – not exclusive.
1172	Beatrice Brennan	I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as “Local Green Space”. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as “ Other Open Land of Townscape Importance ”, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.
1173	Luke Malcher	I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason: It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.
1174	Caitlyn Miller	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space . Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land
1175	Helen Lane	I object to the removal of the Local Green Space status from Udney Park because it is a much needed area of playing fields for local clubs and sports teams. Having been involved in youth football in Teddington with my three sons for many years I know how difficult it is for clubs to find grounds and venues to play and train. There is no need for further development of residential housing in Teddington. The Riverside Development has recently added at least 300 new residences in Teddington. Before consideration is given to selling land for further development a review should be undertaken of how many residences sell at Riverside and the impact of these on the local community, roads, schools and services.
1176	Christina Evans	I wish to object to the removal of LGS on Udney Park playing fields. This site has been a playing field for a century and was donated under covenant for amateur sport.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Quantum were well aware of the situation when they entered the bidding for this site. It is very important to protect this beautiful green space in central Teddington for local use and not for more luxury apartments. There are hundreds of such luxury flats being constructed about 1/3 mile away.</p> <p>It is a tranquil space that locals can enjoy and is an important part of the ecological network with several protected species of bats.</p> <p>I have been a resident of Teddington for over 40 years and know what a special area this is for the local community.</p>
1177	Geoff Lane	<p>I wish to register my objection to the removal of the Local Green Space status from Udney Park because it is a much needed area of playing fields for local clubs and sports teams. Having been involved in youth football in Teddington with my three sons for many years I know how difficult it is for clubs to find grounds and venues to play and train.</p> <p>There is a growing need for a review of development in Teddington as the increasing density of housing is causing very significant pressures on infrastructure and services , in particular road congestion and parking . This is already having a detrimental impact on the environment .The Riverside Development has recently added at least 300 new residences in Teddington. Before consideration is given to selling land for further development a review should be undertaken of how many residences sell at Riverside and the impact of these on the local community, roads, schools and services .</p>
1178	John Day	<p>I am a resident of Teddington, who is objecting to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) protection status for Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>Retaining LGS status for Udney Park is important for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Keeps 100% of the 12.7 acres available to the community for the purposes of sport and recreation. <input type="checkbox"/> Keeps 100% of the 12.7 acres of an increasingly under pressure resource (local green space) available to current and future residents of Teddington, available as green space. <input type="checkbox"/> Any development (including apartments) would be better located on a brown field site, as opposed to being located in Udney Park. <p>Thank you for reading my objection to the removal of Local Green Space protection status for Udney Park.</p>
1179	Lisa Stafford	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS. Udney park is special to the local community due to its beauty, history and recreational value as a playing field. It has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a shortage of green space locally. This area must be protected from development. There are already many</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		flats being built and planned in the surrounding area on brownfield sites so there is no need to build on park land. We need to protect the urban green belt.
1180	Richard Wilding	<p>I am a local resident living in Bolton Gardens, Teddington, a couple of streets away from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I am wiring to object to the removal of Local Green Space Status for Udney Park Playing Fields. I object to this change of status, because there is a real shortage of playing field space locally and allowing development of even just a portion of the space will reduce the available space yet further. Allowing development to take place on these fields will also increase congestion on the already busy access roads and increase air pollution in an area already suffering the effects from these busy roads and from Heathrow Airport. Removing a green space such as this is likely to irrevocably change the local environment for the worse, in my opinion. I believe that there are alternative options for building care homes and a GP surgery that would not impact the local environment to the same degree and would retain this precious green space for future generations of local residents.</p>
1181	Martine Gowie	<p>I write to object strongly to the plan to remove Local Green Space Status from Udney park.</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for development.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p>
1182	Colin Massie	<p>I'm writing to register my objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park playing fields in Teddington</p> <p>This is one of the few green areas in central Teddington and is a place of tranquility which I pass each day on way to Collis school.</p> <p>Historically it has always been playing fields and is also a significant war memorial for the provision of amateur sport under covenant</p> <p>To allow any development of this important green space should not under any circumstances be considered</p>
1183	Sebastian Kaiser	I am writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space (LGS) Status of Udney Park. Udney Park is a much needed green space within the center of Teddington.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There is a shortage of playing fields within Teddington and Udeny Park is one of the few places where local clubs can still play. I have myself played at Udeny Park many times and I appreciate the importance of the park to the community and local sports clubs.</p> <p>In addition to its role as a playing field Udeny Park plays a vital role in the local ecology of Teddington providing a home for wildlife including 8 protected species of bat.</p> <p>The proposed development by Quantum would be a great loss to the local community.</p>
1184	Ann Ryalls	<p>Please will you note my objection to the removal of LGS protection for the Udeny Park Playing fields for the following reasons :-</p> <p>Udeny Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udeny Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udeny Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udeny Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>Udeny Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udeny Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udeny Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udeny Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
1185	Angelica Crudi Torrente	<p>Please consider my Objection to Save Udeny Park, UdenyPark is a central green space that must be protected because it is also big green lungs Necessary for our health !</p>
1186	Teresa Gibson	<p>As a Teddington resident I object to the removal of LGS for Udeny Park Playing Fields</p> <p>This space is special to the local community due to the recreational facilities it provides, the wildlife it hosts and the tranquility it provides</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It is a treasured spot that was given in perpetuity to the residents of Teddington and building on on a part of it is a gross betrayal as well as surely be illegal?
1187	Mark Elgar (3rd Teddington Scout Group)	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI}, then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site - but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1188	David Gooch	<p>As a local resident – [Teddington address details removed for data protection] I am writing to object to the potential removal of the local green space at Udney Park Road. There are many reasons to object but in particular</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years • There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • Udney Park was donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I strongly object that my Doctors, Park Road Surgery, have been persuaded to support removal of the status for the selfish reason that they will get new surgery premises free of charge. • Removal of local green space will reduce outdoor activity in the local community & the borough. This has to be against the policies of any sound minded local authority.
1189	Colin Page	<p>I am writing to state my objection to the removal of LGS of Udney park playing fields. I live in Teddington not far from Udney Park and this is special to the community for its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquillity and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>My understanding is that Udney park is a War Memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport</p>
1190	Dr Jane Maloney	<p>I wish to register my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p> <p>My main reasons are:</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. I thought Richmond Council supported wildlife in the borough and I am proud to live in a borough that takes the preservation of natural habitats seriously.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders. The application for LGS status of the park was made by local people and this application was approved. Why should large companies feel that they are above the law and that their needs come before the needs of the people who live here?</p> <p>Green spaces are important to people of all ages, ethnicity, religions, sexual orientation etc etc. It is probably the one thing that most people (except building companies) do agree on. We must keep them for us and other animal life.</p>
1191	Dylan Kelly	<p>I wish to object to the removal of Local Green Space Protection for Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally. Removal of the space would mean several local sports clubs have no permanent home. The Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. My family and friends have played sport on these fields for over 40 years playing for St Mary's and use of the facilities by local schools.</p> <p>There are already hundreds of luxury flats already under construction in Teddington, within less than one mile of Udney park.</p> <p>It would be a great shame to lose this green space which could be used for sports by, I hope, many future generations.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1192	Geoff Cooper	<p>I wish to raise an objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park is special to the community because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several clubs have no permanent home, e.g. Harlequins Amateurs Rugby Club.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central Green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park.</p>
1193	David Lawton	<p>I OBJECT to the removal of Udney Park as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>Udney Park must be PROTECTED from any insidious attempt by developers to use it for any building development.</p> <p>Udney Park was DONATED, under covenant to be used for amateur sport and should remain strictly so. Quantum was aware of this when they purchased the site and must be conscious that is still the case. Reducing or eliminating any open space will only serve to exacerbate the present critical shortage of playing fields. It would be criminal for the borough, its residents, and, particularly, our developing children to lose such an asset. Putting the health of so many at risk is not acceptable. In no circumstance should playing fields be gobbled up for building on.</p>
1194	John Jenkins & Norma Clarke	<p>We strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park.</p> <p>It is imperative that we protect this space which is special to the local community</p> <p>It is special as it is a space of beauty, is of significant historical interest and has huge recreational value at a time when the government are advocating more activity for children.</p> <p>It is also a place of tranquility and the fact that is an important area for wildlife should not be underestimated.</p> <p>This space must be maintained and we strongly object to the removal of LGS on this location.</p>
1195	Philip Dowgierd	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park Fields in Teddington.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Teddington is a special place to live with a broad mix of age groups living in the local area. There are large numbers of families in the area, many of whom have children who participate in organised and informal types of recreation requiring green spaces.</p> <p>As a result, Udney Park Fields, is special to the local community.</p> <p>In addition it adds to the beauty of the local area adding tranquility and bringing a richness of wildlife to the local area.</p> <p>In addition:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years; there is a shortfall of playing fields for young and old to enjoy.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under a covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>It is home to over 7 species of bat and is a critical part of local environmental networks.</p> <p>There is no further needs for apartments and flats in the local area. The local transport infrastructure and road network area already at capacity, and there are over 300 flats being built on a brownfield site within 1/2 mile of the park.</p> <p>For these reasons and those above, I would like the LGS to be retained for Udney Park Fields.</p>
1196	Alfie Evans	<p>I wish to make an objection to the suggested removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>These playing fields have been a local green space for over 100 years and were protected as such when donated for use by amateur sport. They are a central green space in Teddington, providing a home for native species and a connection between the open spaces of the river banks and Bushy Park (a SSSI).</p>
1197	Jayne Chace	<p>As a 25 year Teddington resident, I am objecting to the removal of the Local Green Space (LGS) status for Udney Park. This is a beautiful park that provides lots of wonderful area for relaxation and sports – something our borough needs to protect. Quantum’s plan is unacceptable and is contrary to Richmond’s local plan guidelines, because Quantum want to build far too many buildings in order to maximise their profits and would leave too little land for recreational purposes. Udney Park is special to our community because of its beauty, historic significance, tranquillity and value for a wide variety of recreational activities.</p>
1198	Katie Parsons, Historic England	<p>As the Government's statutory adviser on the historic environment, and a statutory consultee on local plans, Historic England is pleased to engage in the consultation process.</p> <p>We have reviewed the document in light of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which requires, as one of its core principles, that heritage assets be conserved in a manner appropriate to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations. The place of the historic environment as a key strand of sustainable development (para 7, NPPF) provides for conservation and positive enhancement to be an integrated part of planning future developments sustainably.</p> <p>Historic England Advice</p> <p>We have reviewed the Inspector's comments outlined in his April 26th 2018 report on the Examination of the Local Plan and the Council's proposed modification. Local Open Green Space designations often have the inadvertent benefit of helping to conserve the setting of heritage assets. While this is positive, the loss of Local Green Space designation will not have an impact upon the protection of the historic environment as legislative statutory obligations regarding the need to conserve or enhance heritage assets and their settings remain in place as do the Plan's suite of historic environment policies. We agree with the Inspector's comments and concur that the absence of the designation does mean that a site will or will not be suitable for development that may be detrimental to the significance of a heritage asset or the wider historic environment.</p> <p>The conclusions of the modified SA are also acceptable given that this change will not impair the ability of the Local Plan to protect or enhance the historic environment.</p> <p>Finally, it must be noted that this advice is based on the information provided by you and for the avoidance of doubt does not reflect our obligation to advise you on and potentially object to any specific development proposal which may relate to this or later planning documents which may have adverse effects on the historic environment.</p>
1199	Jamie Brewin	<p>I wish to object to the removal of LGS Status on the Udney Park playing fields for reasons following: Udney Park was gifted originally to Imperial College for sole use as playing fields and the word to do this should be upheld.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for over 100 years, and the severe lack of playing fields in the area should be a home for several local clubs that currently do not have one.</p> <p>All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land. The Quantum group knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
1200	Christopher Bubb	<p>I strongly object to the removal of LOCAL GREEN SPACE status from Udney Park. This is because I believe that facilities for sport and recreation far outweigh the need for luxury apartments.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		There is a shortage of natural, green and level sports grounds in the Teddington area and once a sports field has been "developed" it cannot be reclaimed. This would be a tragedy for the local community and must not be allowed to happen. I do not wish to be part of a generation that denies the next one the healthy sporting facilities that are offered by Udney Park. I also believe that the retention of all green spaces will be more and more essential in our struggles with pollution and global warming.
1201	Andy Millbank	<p>I want to voice my opinion and object to Quantam's total disregard for the people and children of Teddington in their pursuit for profit.</p> <p>In no particular order – I just want to list everything I think is bad about the project.</p> <p>1/ Concreting over our environment: We are slowly losing all our green spaces in and around Teddington, and becoming too urban, with all its associated problems of extra pollution, traffic congestion, and impact on local infrastructure.</p> <p>2/ There is too much traffic already being generated in this particular residential area, on top of the massive development at Teddington Studios.</p> <p>3/ Local sport: Both my sons have played / play for a local football team. Over the years we have had great problems finding venues. We have this green space bang in the middle of Teddington that was originally designated for amateur and local sport, but of which we have been deprived until recently (bribe from Quantum). Quantum outbid 3 community bids in its pursuit of profit and its intention of concreting over a substantial area of park. The token gesture of creating a 'community' all weather pitch is, quite frankly, an insult, when there is enough space for 5 or 6 pitches; whilst there is also a delightful pavilion that adds character to the area.</p> <p>4/ From what I have read – Udney Park is a war memorial, whilst also an environment enclave, home to several protected species.</p> <p>5/ There is a Community group, who outbid in the initial bidding process, who have a bona fide plan to deliver a community area back to the people.</p>
1202	Margareta de la Touche	<p>Udney Park is a Local Green Space where I grew up.</p> <p>It must be protected from building development otherwise we are not learning from our mistakes. Udney Park was donated, under covenant to be used for amateur sport and this is how it should remain. Quantum was aware of this when they purchased the site so don't let this covenant slip through the net.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Reducing or eliminating any open space is detrimental to the health of humans and animals and has a negative impact on our environment. Do not let this happen under your watch.
1203	Julia Taylor	I object to the removal of LGS to Udney Park Playing Fields. This is a fantastic sporting facility that our family uses on a regular basis for football.
1204	David Tregear	UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
1205	Susan Cramond	I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status for the Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington. Udney Park is a green space which is highly valued by the local community. It is very special to us because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field used by local community groups for a variety of sports, including cricket for which there is insufficient provision locally), tranquility in a built-up environment, and the richness of its wildlife. More specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a shortfall of playing fields in the area and several local clubs have used Udney Park for many years as there is no local permanent alternative. • Udney Park is a war memorial that was donated under covenant specifically for the purpose of amateur sport; this must not be violated. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments; this must be preserved in order to prevent further loss of wildlife.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no justification for a development of luxury flats on this valuable green swathe; there is already an extremely large blot-on-the-landscape development of 300 luxury flats (with absolutely ZERO affordable homes provision) under construction on the previous Teddington Studios/Haymarket brownfield site within half a mile of the park. • Quantum was well aware that policies which protect playing fields apply to private and public land when they outbid community bidders for the site, and they must not be allowed to ride roughshod over those policies for commercial gain. <p>Kindly ensure that LGS is reinstated for this site with immediate effect, in line with the High Court decision.</p>
1206	Claire Price	I am a local resident (postcode TW11 <i>[address details removed for data protection]</i>) and would like to register my objection to the removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. I have listened to both sides of the argument, including engaging directly with Quantum, and do not believe that there is a convincing argument for the removal of LGS (apart from profit for Quantum) and that the Plan B put forward by Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields is viable and of greater benefit to the local community.
1207	Harpreet Robertson	I am writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space legislation for Udney Park Gardens. Playing fields are so important to the health of the local community so I believe the UPG should be protected.
1208	Neil Morgan	I object to the removal of LGS from Udney Park Playing Fields. This area has been a playing field for over 100 years and has been use by local clubs and residents. We do not need any more development in this green space or in the local area. Udney Park is, I believe a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sport. The Planning Inspector cannot be allowed to ignore the wishes of local residents and their representatives. In my own case I have lived in Teddington for over 39 years and a green space such as this needs to continue to be protected.
1209	Lucy Cartmell	I write to express my concern of any development on udney Park grounds. As a fellow neighbour to the grounds I see far more concerns with developing on these grounds than positive measures. I am concerned that the aggressive lobbying is directly linked to financial gain and not for the ongoing needs of teddington local residents.
1210	Tim Davies	I am writing to register my objection at the proposed removal of the LGS status of Udney Park which has been a green space for over a century.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Furthermore I have 2 young children and candidly there are shockingly few playgrounds and open safe spaces for children to play. Please do not permit development on this green space. Teddington does not need to lose green space that can NEVER be recovered.</p>
1211	Jane Boydell	<p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
1212	Shaun Alpine-Crabtree	<p>I am a local resident who passionately loves Teddington and wants to see all of the playing fields protected for the community to enjoy for years to come. I see no reason to build the proposed development on our local green space, when browfield sites are available in Teddington. The proposal will result in a significant quantitative loss of playing field on a site identified in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy as a Strategic (High Priority) Site. Please consider the following: Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1213	Nicholas Worsley	<p>I wish to object to the removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park.</p> <p>My grounds are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We are very short of open green space for local sports clubs in this area. 2. Udney Park is a very important green resource for wild life, including I believe many species of bats which are nearly extinct in South East England. 3. This area was covenanted when donated for the use of amateur sport. 4. There is no shortage of housing of the type that would be created in this area. 5. The local community value this space for its intrinsic beauty and tranquility. <p>I look forward to hearing that Local Green Space protection will be retained for this space.</p>
1214	Mark Jessett	<p>I write to object to the removal of LGS status at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>We need to retain playing fields for use by the local community and sports clubs rather than give them away for development into luxury units which are wholly superfluous to substantive needs such as public health or affordable housing.</p> <p>Furthermore, the developer was well aware the policies which protect playing fields apply to both private & public land when they outbid the community bidders.</p>
1215	Jo Gerloch	<p>I object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing fields for the following reasons:</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
1216	Jennie Bartholomew	<p>I am writing to object to losing our local green space, which serves our community especially our children for uses of a sports facility.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>It is a local space where local families have been coming to for years. Our children can cycle here locally, we as parents know they are safe as it's a secure and enclosed space and it holds years of memories for all.</p> <p>I also object to the planning for luxury flats as we have a new development only 1/2 a mile down the road.</p>
1217	Christine Berrocal	<p>I would like to object to the removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park.</p> <p>It is vital that the council protects all green spaces so that they are not permanently lost to development. Teddington is a desirable residential location but there are many development opportunities using existing developed land, e.g. the land previously occupied by the Teddington Film Studios.</p> <p>Udney Park provides a important recreational green space in the very centre of Teddington. Apart from being a well used facility for communal sporting activities, it is also home to 8 protected species of bats.</p> <p>The council needs to protect this land for the enjoyment of future generations of Teddington residents.</p>
1218	Sally Owen	<p>Having followed the developments regarding proposed changes to the Udney Park playing fields, I am once again having to put forward my objections to these protracted negotiations.</p> <p>I feel strongly and passionately that the fields should remain a public green space for amateur supports, for which they were originally donated. I am determined that they should not be considered for residential development given the already dense urban surroundings plus the addition of around 300 new residences on Broom Road within a very short distance.</p> <p>I find it abhorrent that anything other than sporting endeavours should be considered for this site and object wholeheartedly to the plans proposed by Quantum.</p> <p>I am appealing to your good sense, community values and integrity in preserving this highly valued local green space.</p>
1219	Moiria Griffiths-Camino	<p>I'd like to object to the proposal for building luxury apartments in one of our areas used by many in Teddington and very much needed to be kept as it is.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
1220	Karina Sax	<p>I am writing to register my objection to the proposed removal of the LGS from Udney Park, Teddington.</p> <p>The green space is a Sports Ground and vital for local clubs and schools to exercise and keep fit.</p> <p>We do not need another development of luxury housing in Teddington.</p>
1221	Dr Christine Wright	<p>I am writing to object to the Removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing fields</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Parks are for people - not for greedy development. There is no local need for more luxury apartments in the area as within 1/2 mile of the park there are already 300 luxury flats under construction. Teddington Population has, and continues to grow significantly. Schools, parking, health care facilities have all needed to grow accordingly - and so do leisure and playing facilities.</p> <p>If this proposal goes through there will be a reduction in pitches from 9 to 2 (with no cricket) at a time when MORE not less sports facilities are required, as children and adult population in Teddington grows. As a local resident and a medical doctor I am distressed to see an application that so diminishes direct pitch and playing space, when we want to teach our youth to get more involved in sports, from school age into adulthood. Obesity and lack of exercise are well described in the population; and currently there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally. Several local clubs have no permanent home. Udney Park is a war memorial and was donated under covenant for amateur sport. This should be respected.</p> <p>Udney Park is local green space. In the London plan that makes it somewhere to protect - not to be sold on for development. Unusually it is also home to several species of bats that are protected species. It has a 100 year history as a playing field; and Quantum knew when they outbid local bidders that all the Policies that protect Playing Fields apply to private as well as public land</p> <p>Please, please, please support the retention of LGS status for this important local Park</p>
1222	Stephen Pearce	<p>I object the removal of LGS the Udney Park</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
1223	Nick Farnsworth	<p>I object to the Removal of LGS Status in Udney Park because we should seek to maintain as much green space as possible in our community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		We only get this opportunity once because as soon as it is gone, then it is too late. The local residents have come up with a better, greener counter proposal to those of the developer and have a stronger interest to see that the land stays green in the longer term.
1224	David Brewin	<p>I wish to post my objection to the removal of the Local Green Space (LGS) status from the Playing Fields on Udney Park Road.</p> <p>The subject green space is an important resource for the area which must be retained both for the use of sport and local bio diversity as part of the 'green corridor' linking Bushy and Richmond Parks and the embankments of the local waterways; this 'green corridor' has been shown to be used by a number of endangered species of bats and should be protected for that reason alone.</p> <p>The fields are now well used by local sports clubs showing a lack of similar suitable facilities in the area. The small number pitches are well worn and the fenced off area could be well utilised to provide further resource. Government policy encourages physical activity at all ages and it is therefore wrong to remove a sports amenity.</p> <p>The land was gifted by Lord Beaverbrook to Queen Mary's Hospital (now part of Imperial College) for the use of sport (rugby in particular) and should be regarded as a war memorial. Imperial have chosen to disregard the covenant associated with that gift and in turn Quantum have bought the land in the knowledge that any application for planning is contrary to both Local and National government policies for the protection of playing fields; there are a number of brown field sites in the area that could be developed by Quantum should they want to fulfil their cynical altruistic aim of building flats for the elderly (note that 50 years of age is not elderly).</p> <p>The loss of this green space must not be to the financial profit of Quantum and the flaunting of planning policy - that sends the wrong message to all potential developers across the land.</p> <p>To conclude, these fields must be protected and Local Green Space status must be used as part of that protection.</p>
1225	Mike Hibbard	<p>As a local resident of Teddington – living very close by to the playing fields and with a child attending Collis Primary School – I am strongly against the proposed development of Udney Park playing fields.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The park is a vital area of green space for exercise and team sports. • It provides a crucial 'green lung' in an otherwise built-up area. With the focus now on improving air quality in London, it is simply not tolerable that we would consider building over such a large area of greenfield land.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I understand these have been playing fields for 100 years and are also a war memorial, donated for the purpose of amateur sport. Removing such a facility runs completely against its historical usage and flies in the face of government policies aimed at increasing exercise and improving public health. • With several hundred luxury flats being built less than half a mile away – and the impending prospect of further development with the sale of the Lensbury Club – this development is unnecessary and will only increase air pollution, traffic congestion and lead to extra pressure on – already stretched – local public services. • The developers MUST have known about the restrictions protecting playing fields in general and the specific covenants that apply to Udney Park before they bought the land. Yet they went ahead with their purchase and planning application all the same. They show a clear disregard for the rule of law and contempt for the communities their ‘plan’ is supposed to support. <p>I trust you will take this opinion into account when considering your decision on maintaining the LGS Status of the playing fields. There is a clear opportunity to do the right thing in this case, I urge you to grasp this.</p>
1226	Ian Lilley	<p>I wish to add my voice to the no doubt long list of people who are objecting to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>These grounds were bequeathed for the use of amateur sports and should rightly continue in their entirety for that purpose for the benefit of our local community.</p> <p>This lovely open green space is like a lung in the middle of a crowded and busy town, and can easily be transformed into a most beneficial recreational area not only for sporting activities for all ages but for those of us in the surrounding community who like to walk and watch the sports, or simply to sit and soak up the tranquility of this wonderful asset. I am probably the one person who, apart from the groundsman, can confirm how well used it has been for sports - I have lived right opposite the car park gate for over 25 years and can see all the comings and goings. The only time the usage dropped was when Imperial College started to restrict access when they decided to sell. But the playing fields were still busy with wildlife, I myself have seen a family of foxes with their young gambolling in the middle one summer evening last year after the gates were shut, and plenty of birds and other wildlife have refuge there.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		This outrageous speculative attempt by a greedy developer to build for the few when the land should remain for the many should not be allowed to proceed using tricky inappropriate technicalities and should be kicked into touch!
1227	Becky Paton	I live in teddington with my 2 young sons, one attends Collis school and we so enjoy our daily walk past the playing fields. We love the birds and bats we spot there. The open space is good for our well being. It has been preserved as it is for 100 years and is needed for locals as a playing field. Not for luxury flats. It is valued buy the local community. This seems more about greed than what is best for the community and wildlife We object Becky paton
1228	Luke Arthur	<p>I'm writing to object to the proposal to remove LGS status from Udney Park, Teddington. Udney Park is a really special piece of land that should be opened up again for the community to enjoy and use for recreational purposes. It's a haven for local wildlife and respite from the increasing traffic and congestion in the area partly due to building projects, such as Teddington Riverside.</p> <p>I am a father of young boys who would love to use that area for all sorts of sports and recreational uses. I am also a coach and volunteer with 'Hearts of Teddlothian FC', a growing, very inclusive community club and we are desperate for our own pitches. I currently take time off work to take my son and three of his friends to train in Whitton every Tuesday. The boys all live close to Udney Park and if we could use it for the purpose it was bequeathed to the community for by Lord Beaverbrook it would have a transformational effect on us. Many of the children who can't join us because their parents can't manage the logistics of travel outside the local area at that time would be able to let off some steam in a safe outdoor environment rather than going home and sitting in front of screens. I play football myself and I find it hugely a therapeutic form of escapism and a socially binding exercrise. I've observed how it provides a real release and outlet for many men dealing with the pressures of bringing up young families, work and even depression. I know many local dads who love to play but have to travel quite a distance form our local area, we really should be able to use this park right in the centre of our community for these kinds of activities.</p> <p>Also, I deeply object to the way the developers have attempted to woo local organisations into supporting them and with misleading incentives. I also feel it is at best irresponsible for Park Road Surgery to contact their patients to support of the development of Udney Park by playing on fears of inadequate healthcare facilities.</p> <p>As a local resident I want to make clear how much we value Udney Park, it is a site of huge, natural, historic and recreational value and I think it would be a tragic to remove its protected LGS status.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1229	Rachel Derrick	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed removal of LGS status of Udney Park Playing Fields. I object on a number of grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Udney Park was donated as green space for the local community under covenant, it is simply morally wrong to remove its protected status, as far as I can see for profit. There are alternative, brownfield sites for Dr's surgeries etc. - There is a lack of sports facilities in the local area for community sports. As the parent of a disabled child I feel this keenly. There are not enough football pitches for the mainstream teams so there is no chance of any inclusive or specialist teams getting hold of a pitch at a reasonable weekend time. - The borough of Richmond has succeeded in avoiding the suburban sprawl that blights so many other boroughs. It would be a travesty if the current council started to undo this good work by allowing building on valuable green space. - Green space is important for mental health, even if you don't do sports, having access to a bit of green space to walk, picnic, think or kick a ball about with your young children or friends can make a world of difference. There are plenty of people who access Udney Park who might not benefit from green space if it was effectively removed. - Parking around that area is already terrible. Putting more development there would worsen the situation exponentially.
1230	Matt Wilkinson	<p>I would like to lodge my objection to the removal of LGS at the Udney Park Playing fields, Teddington. I strongly object to this development. Children need more green space to be outside and be active therefore I completely object building on existing protected community green space.</p> <p>This development project is simply investors identifying an opportunity to build property for huge profits without a care for the community, which will be stripped of existing green space in the process.</p> <p>The development hides behind a façade of keeping a small amount of sports facilities and a community surgery. I see straight through this façade to the real driver behind this development and that is a profit making scheme to line the pockets of the sponsor.</p> <p>The country as a whole is entering an epidemic on children's health – and taking away the outside space they currently have in communities is a irreversible and unforgivable. Building of expensive flats will not be of benefit to the local residents. Not far away there is already a development of flats being built at Teddington Lock (which was not at the expense of existing green space)</p>
1231	Lucy Goy	I'd like to object to the development of Udney Park playing fields on these grounds:

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
1232	Liz Brewin	<p>I wish to strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space status to the Udney Park playing field for the following reasons:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. Given the levels of obesity it is vital that children and adults play sport and there are so few places for them to do so in Teddington. If Udney Park was developed in any way this place to play sport would be lost for ever.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p>
1233	Nicky Barlow	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park Teddington.</p> <p>As a local resident I feel it is really important we keep our local green spaces. Many children play sports there which is important for their growth and development and also mental wellbeing. As it is so central to Teddington it means many families and children can walk or cycle to the playing fields and not have to get in a car. Additionally in the summer months it is an important and well used cricket venue, to loose another cricket pitch would be a real shame.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Please can we protect green space from more development
1234	Gary Neville	<p>I wish to object to the removal of LGS status for the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>It is vital to the community that green spaces such as this are kept for current and all future generations to participate in sport. There is already great pressure and a shortage of playing fields such as those at Udney Park.</p> <p>I am also disturbed that a covenant that this green space should be used for amateur sport is being ignored in the plans being put forward by the developer. It can not be right that the gift of this space for sports use can be over ruled when an alternative viable proposal exists for continued use of the full site as it was intended by the original gift. The removal of LGS status is a disgrace and reflects very badly on all who have made or support this decision.</p> <p>I also wish to draw your attention to the actions of the developer. Last week I answered my door to a casually dressed gentleman who said he represented a community group and had I heard about the proposed plans for Udney Park. Initially I believed that he represented the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields - he suggested that the community was behind the plans he was supporting. I then asked him if he represented the developer and he sheepishly admitted that he did and swiftly changed tact to quote how much of the land was being gifted for various community uses and that development represented only a small % of the site. If I hadn't had some knowledge of the Udney Park situation I believe I could have easily been hoodwinked into believing that his plans would be good for Teddington and thus giving my support. I wonder how many people have been misled into supporting the developers proposals.</p>
1235	Mr & Mrs Yeo	<p>As residents of Teddington and with children at Collis Primary School, we object to the development of this green field site.</p> <p>We are proud of the area we live and do as much as we can for the local community. Green space is very important to us and a factor for choosing to live in this area.</p> <p>If the developer purchased the land without permission to build they need to understand that monetary or facility compensation will not persuade the residents to grant permission to destroy this green field. We expect the council we voted in, to do the right thing.</p>
1236	Tom Mtandabari	<p>I would like to object to the removal of LGS for udney park playing fields.</p> <p>This green space was donated under covenant for local sport and has been a playing field for over 100 years. I object to a company purchasing the property, believing they can get around this protection and breaking up this land for profit. It is important that the site is a sporting home for the local community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1237	Diana Rice	<p>Objection to the proposed Main Modification to Local Plan para 5.2.8, which would remove Local Green Space (LGS) designation from Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF).</p> <p>I wish to protest strongly against the proposed Main Modification to Local Plan para 5.2.8, which would remove Local Green Space (LGS) designation from Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF), following the Inspector's Report into the Local Plan. I set out my reasons below.</p> <p>1.LGS designation in relation to Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF)</p> <p>Does UPPF meet the criteria in para 77 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012 version?</p> <p>Criterion 1: <i>the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves.</i> The Council has argued that although the playing fields are not publicly accessible, they are reasonably close to the community they serve. Part of the community they serve is the users of the playing fields (which formerly, if not currently, included local schools), which is a wider community which can nevertheless be described as reasonably close. It is important that such fields are located as near the schools as possible, so that games time isn't taken up by coaches sitting in traffic on their way to and from recreation locations. The change in land ownership a few years ago coincided with at least one local school having to seek games pitches elsewhere.</p> <p>I was particularly familiar with the use of the site when it was used by a local school. In my experience, people living in the vicinity also used the site, albeit unofficially, for example by walking (with or without their dogs) around the fields, making the fields very close to the community they serve; indeed, the community surrounds the site. It was the local community which identified the area for special protection.</p> <p>The landowner has control over the degree of public access. The existence of open space is separate from its current function. The important thing is that the space is in close proximity to the community it <u>could</u> be serving. Planning is for the long-term future, not just for the current situation. Once any part of open space has been developed, it has gone for ever.</p> <p>The site more than meets the first criterion in NPPF para 77.</p> <p>Criterion 2: <i>the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife.</i></p> <p>The local community has demonstrated that UPPF is special to them by putting it forward for LGS designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The examples of particular local significance cited in the criterion are just that – examples – but they provide a useful checklist.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Beauty is a somewhat subjective matter, but I would argue that a well-maintained open space in a built-up area such as this provides a reviving experience which can be enjoyed by those who use it, by those who pass by or overlook it. Any lack of maintenance does not reduce its potential in this regard. b) Historic significance: the pavilion was a War Memorial opened in 1919 to serve the recreation ground for orphans of the Merchant Taylors School. In 1938 the playing fields were donated by Lord Beaverbrook for the 'playing of amateur rugby' <i>in perpetuity</i>. (My italics). As a newspaper owner and later historian, Beaverbrook knew something about historic significance. c) The site has provided playing fields for the community for many decades, and holds a recreational value through its use, and continued potential use, as playing fields. Evidence from local groups has demonstrated that a number of pitches and recreational provision could be made on the site and who would use them. It is important that the site be retained in its entirety, so that the greatest flexibility of use can be retained for the future. I bear in mind that in the past it has been used for a range of sports, including at least two school cricket pitches, for example. (The Council's sustainability Appraisal 1 for Option A also refers to this). d) The fields hold a particular local significance in providing a valued and tranquil open space in a built-up residential area not far from a High Street. It is well known that such 'green lungs' serve to promote health and well-being. e) In previous evidence, the Council (in its sustainability appraisal, for example) and local groups have referred to the importance of the site for bio-diversity. I do not profess expertise in this field, but would support their findings. <p>Criterion 3: <i>Where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.</i> The UPPF is clearly local in character, being surrounded by the community it serves. It is not simply an extensive tract of land. OOLTI is a local designation. These fields need the backing of a nationally recognised designation to provide full and more forceful protection for the entire site. The Council has stated that "the assessments confirm the need to protect and enhance the facility as without this space there would be a shortage of playing pitches in the borough to satisfy future needs and demand". I have no means of verifying this, but as a parent of children who attended a local school, it comes as no surprise.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>2. Does UPPF meet the additional criteria in Local Plan para 5.2.10?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <i>The site has been submitted by the local community, and so meets that criterion.</i> b) <i>There is no current planning permission which once implemented would undermine the merit of a Local Green Space designation. This criterion has been met.</i> c) <i>The site is not land allocated for development within the Local Plan. This criterion has been met.</i> d) <i>The Local Green Space designation would provide protection additional to any existing protective policies, and its special characteristics could not be protected through any other reasonable and more adequate means. As already stated, OOLTI is a local designation. These fields need the backing of a nationally recognised designation to provide full and more forceful protection for the entire site.</i> <p>3. The Inspector's Report on the Examination of the Richmond upon Thames Local Plan and Main Modifications (26 April 2018)</p> <p>Inspector's Report para 68: LGS designation in general.</p> <p>The Council has set out additional criteria to be taken into account when assessing applications for LGS, and these are set out in Local Plan para 5.2.10. In para 68 of his report, the Inspector seems to object to these criteria. There is no reason in principle, it seems to me, why the Council should not introduce its own criteria, in addition to those set out in the NPPF. However, the Inspector thought that the reason for their introduction had not been justified. He states that "there is no clear methodology which explains how the criteria have been applied and what means of <i>value analysis</i> has been applied to the sites identified to be designated as LGS." [my italics]. It is not clear whether he is referring to all the criteria set out in para 5.2.10, or just the criteria added by the Council. The meaning of 'value analysis' eludes me. Is this a technical term? Would the Inspector have been satisfied if the Council had not added its own criteria at all, and just relied on those in the NPPF?</p> <p>Inspector's Report para 69: LGS designation of UPPF</p> <p>The Inspector says that it is unclear how UPPF serve the community. As it was the community which submitted the fields for LGS designation, they presumably thought that the fields did indeed serve the community.</p> <p>The Inspector points out that submissions have been received which argue that the site is special/not special, leaving him to conclude that the rationale is not well developed beyond assertion. Is the Inspector suggesting that a survey of local residents within a certain radius is required? It is not always possible for</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>people to articulate a rationale for their view of 'specialness' or otherwise. I would have thought it almost impossible to objectively assess judgements in relation to a site's 'beauty', as the Inspector suggests. It is not surprising if the Inspector was confused by the submissions before him. Public accessibility is not essential to LGS designation, but inevitably has an impact on public opinion. The present landowner has campaigned on the basis that part of the land would be built on and part would be retained as playing fields. This is tantamount to bribing the community with greater public access. The owners have paid for the prime advertisement spot on Google, so that if 'Udney Park Playing Fields' is entered into the search engine, the first item is about their scheme, which is alleged to 'save Udney Park Playing Fields'. It offers to send a letter (illegible online) to the Council and other parties on behalf of anyone who signs up. It states that 9.5 acres of the land would be 'gifted' to the public for use 'forever'. That is what Lord Beaverbrook thought he was doing for all 12.5 acres in 1938!</p> <p>4. Conclusion</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields more than meet the National Planning Policy Framework criteria for designation as Local Green Space, and also meet the criteria added by the Council.</p> <p>Regrettably, the Inspector's Report was less than helpful in detailing what further evidence he would have needed to see to justify LGS designation of the site. An OOLTI designation has doubtless been effective in retaining open land in the Borough in the past, but it is nonetheless a local designation. It could be argued that it was created ahead of its time, a precursor to the LGS designation devised more recently. An LGS designation is the logical next step towards safeguarding the original, historic intention that Udney Park Playing Fields in their entirety be retained as fields for the local and wider community.</p>
1238	Neil Harrison	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1239	Anders Henriksson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1240	Anilkumar Patel	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1241	Pablo Basombrio	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1242	David Urban	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1243	Name Unclear	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1244	Name unclear	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1245	Val Klyn	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. <u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
1246	S Thomson	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community.</p>
1247	Name unclear	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1248	H Whitaker	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change. <u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p>
1249	Innes Buchanan	Udney Park greenspace - I wish to object to the development proposal.
1250	Kate Mtandabari	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS status of Udney park Playing Fields. This Local Green Space (LGS) is important to them to myself and my young family. I object to an outside developer trying to overturn this decision and I wish it to remain protect LGS for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wildlife and, specifically, bat habitats 2. Permanent loss of green space secondary to building 3. To protect local green space for <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mental well being (green space positive relationship with mental health and wellbeing) b. Preventative approaches to health through exercise and physical wellbeing c. Obesity prevention through encouraging more active lifestyles through sports 4. Lack of necessity of the type of development suggested in view of alternative brown fill or unused spaces such as the police station. <p>Please do not remove LGS status from Udney Park.</p>
1251	Harvey Woolfe	<p>Objection I would like complain about the sacrifice of uddney park.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.
1252	David Tyas	<p>I am a local resident in Teddington and I am writing to you to object to the removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>The playing fields are not only special to our community, but also essential to the well-being of our community. Udney Park have huge recreational value to our community because there is a lack of</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>dedicated playing field space in our local community. This problem is exacerbated by the Developer portioning off a large section of the playing fields in anticipation of part of their building project.</p> <p>The historical significance of the playing fields also makes them special to our community. Udney Park has been a playing field for a 100 years. Lord Beaverbrook, who was a wartime cabinet minister instrumental in two World Wars, specifically gifted the playing fields to the community for amateur sport. The playing fields are a War Memorial, opened in 1922 by the WW1 Home Secretary, and are clearly special, worthy of the additional protection afforded by LGS from predatory developers.</p> <p>Finally, independent ecology reports have identified that the playing fields are home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network. This clearly demonstrates how special the playing fields are in terms of the richness of wildlife which has made Udney Park Playing Fields their home.</p>
1253	Catherine & Simon Steele	<p>We strongly object to the removal of the LGS status of Udney Park Playing Fields on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and many local clubs have no permanent home. Many of these clubs tried to purchase the site but were outbid by Quantum. 2. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. 3. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from unscrupulous plans for luxury apartments for which there is absolutely no local need. There are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites of which we believe only 10-20 have sold.
1254	Lynette Williams	<p>I wish to object to the removal of Local Green Space for the Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park is special to the local community for example because of its historic significance. I has been a playing field for 100 years.</p> <p>It also has genuine recreation value as a playing field as there is a shortfall of playing fields in the area for local clubs.</p> <p>The green space must be protected from developers who want to build luxury apartments which are not essential to the area.</p>
1255	Mrs Tamila Robinson / Davoudzadeh	<p>I am writing to express my objection to the removal of LGS from UPR.</p> <p>This area is special to our community for its recreational value, wildlife and historic significance. There is absolutely no need for additional flats to be created in this space and it is an absolute waste of a recreational value that has been enjoyed by the community for many years.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It would be a complete blow to the local community for the proposed development to take place, in this beautiful open space which today means so much to so many.
1256	Neil Syers	<p>I am writing to strongly object to the removal of LGS status at Udney Park in Teddington. I am a local Teddington resident, coach at a local community football club, and Dad of children that play sport locally (Including at Teddington Rugby Club who in my opinion are wrongly supporting this development).</p> <p>There is already a shortage of local playing fields for sports clubs and to develop on half or this land would be a disgrace. If there was ever a site that should be protected as LGS this surely must be it. It is in the heart of the community and could be used by so many more local clubs than those that have access to it today. It is close to schools, the high street and it has huge recreational value.</p> <p>The Private Equity Developer behind this has also coordinated itself very poorly with the local community and has deliberately disguised and misled people in an attempt to get planning approval for their luxury retirement homes (carefully branded as affordable housing)! What they are offering significantly reduces the quantity of available recreational space and contradicts national policy and Sport England guidelines. Paragraph 97 of NPPF clearly states that existing open space, sports and recreational ground (including playing fields) should not be built on unless;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) it is surplus to requirement. Udney Park is definitely not surplus to requirements as local sports teams cannot find alternative spaces to play. 2) it is replaced by equivalent facilities in terms of QUANTITY and QUALITY. Quantum's plans certainly do not increase quantity, the plans are to halve the playing field size! 3) it is for alternative sports and recreational use whose benefits outweigh what is there today. Again, this cannot be correct as there will not be enough pitches to accommodate the number of teams wanting access. <p>It is therefore clear that LGS should not be removed and Udney Park should be saved for future generations and protected.</p> <p>It was also donated for community sport and this is what it should be used for.</p>
1257	Alun German	I wish to object to the attempt by the Planning Inspector to remove the Local Green Space protection from Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF). I would suspect present government policy to build as many dwellings as possible played a significant role in this disgraceful and undemocratic decision, the questionable legality of which a High Court judge has now overturned.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park Playing Fields was originally given to the community for the playing of team sports of all kinds just after the end of the first world war when young people had little access to such facilities. These grounds have proved their value in sporting terms over this period and in addition have become a much-loved and valued green space for the local community because in addition it now offers an invaluable and irreplaceable haven for nationally-endangered wildlife.</p> <p>We already know that UPPF has 8 bat species in residence, provides a link between other local green spaces and should also give refuge to our other endangered mammal species. Our indigenous small mammals in particular have suffered continuous decline over recent decades. For example, I have not seen a hedgehog for more than 20 years although when I moved to my present property back in the late 1970s these delightful creatures would often visit my garden. The same applies to insects such as stag beetles, once seen often on warm Summer nights. I would also add that during the Winter months this green space attracts large numbers of migratory birds. With the Council having a statutory duty to protect and preserve such open green spaces I would question how Quantum's plans for hundreds of unnecessary flats for the well-heeled old and Astroturf pitches would facilitate these objectives.</p> <p>If we wish Teddington to preserve its present character, we must oppose the removal of LGS protection for UPPF and the destruction of a valuable sporting and environmentally-enhancing open green space. The older generation (of which I am one) have already acquired a disproportionate share of the national wealth as well as jeopardising the future of their children and grand-children. Instead of giving yet more to the old and rich should we not seek to redress this gross disparity by helping our young people to put aside their smart -phones for a few hours, improve their social skills and fitness by interacting with real people through participation in team games in an eye-pleasing and well-loved recreational park.</p>
1258	Alexey Karpovich	I am a local resident and object to the removal of LGS protection at Udney Park Playing Fields. We DO need every bit of green space for sport and recreational activities as Teddington is already over-developed, congested and difficult to live in. We mustn't allow more luxury flats/houses to be built at the expense of valuable green spaces.
1259	Diego & Dillaro Prina	I am writing to state my our objection to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. Our son is a player of the local football club and we have a deep concern of the lack of green areas in the zone.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1260	Emer Kennedy	<p>I am writing to object to the development of the green space at Udney Park, Teddington. In an age of increasing obesity amongst the population and most worryingly in our youth, loss of playing fields is adding to the barriers to activity and exercise for our children.</p> <p>Please take the long view here and preserve the green space for perpetuity. Too often developers state they will retain space only to renege on their promises down the road . Their interests are in profits but there is an opportunity to leave a legacy that promotes wellness, not financial gain.</p> <p>Richmond borough, in addition to being one of the greener boroughs in London also has a tradition of being one of the healthier ones, aided greatly by its green space. Please halt the erosion of this wonderful asset and it's lifelong benefits.</p>
1261	Julie Stoker	<p>I am writing to you because I strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>These playing fields are so important to our community. We should be doing everything we can to protect the precious green spaces we have and preserve them for future generations, not greedily build on them! Once they are developed, we will never ever get them back. As a local resident and parent of two young boys, I feel very strongly about this. Both my boys play football for their local team (Hearts of Teddlothian) and lack of playing field space is always a big issue for the club. Every Tuesday evening we have to drive 25 minutes to the nearest pitches available for training. My boys are fortunate that we are able to take them but this journey stops many other children from taking part. At a time when childhood obesity is on the rise we should be doing everything we can to encourage physical activity in children and protecting our local playing fields is crucial to this.</p> <p>These playing fields were gifted by Lord Beaverbrook to the community specifically for amateur sport. They are also a war memorial - does none of this mean anything anymore? They have a special historical significance and for this reason they should be protected.</p> <p>In a city that suffers from increasing levels of pollution, green spaces are more important than ever. Udney Park is not only a beautiful local asset, is also home to several protected species and any development would threaten the rich wildlife we are so lucky to have.</p> <p>In the 5 years I have lived in this community I have witnessed a huge amount of local property development and can already see the impact this is having on our local area with increased traffic, pollution and noise. There are huge blocks of luxury flats under construction just around the corner at Teddington</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Riverside. There is no need for any more, further development will have a very detrimental impact on Teddington.</p> <p>Quantum have behaved in a very underhand and misleading way throughout this entire process and do not have the best interests of our community at heart. Green spaces and specifically playing fields are in very short supply and there is a huge local demand for them. The whole of Udney Park should be protected and used for sport and recreational purposes, not just a section. This is vital for the well being of our community.</p>
1262	Jo Massie	<p>Please register my huge objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park playing fields in Teddington. NO development of this important green space should be considered</p> <p>Central Teddington deserves a green space and one that has historically always been there should remain so, just as Twickenham continues to enjoy its own green.</p> <p>This space is significant as a war memorial for the provision of amateur sport under its covenant and should not be used for anything other than that so that future generations can enjoy it.</p>
1263	Richard Dermott	<p>I would like to put on record my objection to any chance of use for udney park. this is a valuable space for the local residents, especially our children.</p>
1264	Finlay James	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1265	Phil Thompson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1266	Nick Sellick	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don’t even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
1267	Samantha Olsen	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1268a	Sandra Succar	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1268b	Sandra Succar	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.
1269	Wendy Balch	I have lived in Teddington all of my life - I am 50 years old now & raising my family here - 3 teenage boys. They all play football & treasure Udney Park playing Fields. I cannot emphasise enough how important it is for the Community that Udney Park retains it's status as a LGS. The nature of play & leisure today , whether we like it or not is organised around teams . For a variety of reasons, largely as children are not encouraged to play freely outside due to excessive traffic & stranger danger. Therefore sports teams provide essential physical activities To ensure they get some exercise. Not to mention the social aspect & sense of community they provide. Udney park currently provides football & rugby pitches which are much needed in this area. We have to ensure that planning is never granted so that we lose this precious area to development.
1270	Caroline McIntosh	I am writing to lodge my firm and complete object to the removal of the green space of Udney Park. I am a local resident who moved here with my 3 boys to take advantage of the easy access green spaces for my boys to be able to access to play sports with teams and with their friends. The removal of this space is against any ideals of letting children and the local residents enjoy the local green spaces for recreation - be it team sport, individual pleasure or just an unspoilt view. It is completely ridiculous to think Teddington needs this housing so badly it would have to concrete over part of a local park that has so much use and so much potential future use –what next, pave over the river?
1271	Justin Ryan	I would like to register my objection on the following grounds; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.
1272	Laura Bowers	I would like to lodge my objection to Quantum(AHH), a Private Equity developer, looking to overturn the LGS status of Udney Park in an attempt to make gaining planning permission for development easier. There is no certainty that the developer will keep their promise to maintain sporting facilities there and any further loss of green space in Teddington would be criminal. The schools here are already over subscribed

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>and there are precious few open spaces for sports use. My son plays football for hearts who have to lease pitches all over the Borough. The loss of Udney Park could mean his team has to stop paying. Building more and more houses without having an infrastructure to support the residents is shortsighted. Attention to school places, sporting facilities and road use for existing residents is required over the short term financial gain of selling off local assets and green spaces.</p>
1273	Gemma Johnson	<p>Please take this as my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park playing fields. My reasons are below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • As members of the Hearts of Teddlothian community, I understand the importance of keeping the limited green space we have in the borough for sport use.
1274	Paolo Frasson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1275	Sarah Tomlinson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1276	Jack Harrison	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1277	Ross Goodall	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1278	Neil Marcus	<p>I understand there is a possibility that the land at Udney Park Road will have its status changed from Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space (LGS). At current, there is little access and therefore little benefit to the local community. If this land is made LGS it will make it harder for the community to benefit it from it. The status should stay as it is – to enable something positive to happen in the future for all of us.</p>
1279	Thibault Law	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set of criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our local community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1280	Donald Maxwell Macdonald	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
1281	Janet Wildgoose	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set of criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our local community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1282	Jasper Gibb	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1283	S Wild	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
1284	Tom Collett	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1285	David Walch	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1286	Michael Loakman	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1287	Dean Sullivan	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1288	Mike Hiscox	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1289	Thomas Pye	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1290	Julie Dawson	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1291	Mark Evans	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1292	Keith Bush	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1293	Christopher Rankin	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1294	Lindsey Ellis	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1295	L Adams	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
1296	Tushar Shegaonkar	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1297	Keith Tomlinson	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1298	Gareth Cross	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1299	Harriet Jarvis	<p>The current designation of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' sufficiently protects the land.</p> <p>The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p><u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p>
1300a	Christopher Malbon	<p>As a resident, a player of sport, an amateur historian and naturalist the prospect of the removal of LGS status from Udney Park is dismaying. Udney park has served as a community asset for the playing of sport for 100 years. It was bequeathed to the local community forever for the playing of sports. We have a severe lack of green field community owned sports facilities in the area, as I know as my son had to travel to Imber Court when he played rugby as a boy. I too have played football at Udney Court and understand both what a fantastic facility it is and with refurbishment it's potential.</p> <p>As London's population continues to grow and people are condensed into the same geographical space, Londoners need green space more now than ever. Any loss would be a tragedy. Teddington continues to grow, whether that be through the expansion of nearby St. Mary's University or the 300+ luxury flat development on the former Teddington Studios site, it is inconceivable to me how this local community facility could be consider ripe for development. Particularly given its historical context and purpose.</p> <p>I want to see Udney Park, all of it not just what's left after Quantum have taken their slice protected for the community, in perpetuity. This was the original plan from the benefactors when the park was gifted and this must continue. In the light of the anniversary of the end of The First World War the idea of losing such an historic facility is shocking. Britons fought and died for these privileges, to build a community 'fit for heroes'. Quantum knew the historical context of the playing fields when they purchased the land. They also knew that protection for playing fields applies to both public and private land.</p> <p>With the short fall of a central community asset and green lung in Teddington, Udney Park should be at the centre of our community. It can and it must, and it fits with the council view of our area being a series of distinct villages. Udney Park should again be very part of the Teddington identity and community.</p> <p>Green spaces can be considered green deserts but their peripheral, particularly when linked with sensitive tree planting can provide an oasis for wildlife. Udney Park in part does this as the local bat community can testify, and Udney park is home a number of bat species that are protected.</p> <p>I wish to object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1300b	Christopher Malbon	<p>Udney Park is an historical community asset for the playing of sport. As a sportsman who has played football at Udney Park I consider the loss of diminishment of such a community asset disturbing. Quantum knew when they bought Udney Park that playing fields were protected, they wish to make profit and take a slice. This is wrong.</p> <p>It is the 100 year anniversary of the end of the First World War when Udney park was given to the community for the playing of sports. This must be upheld, all of Udney Park should be protected from development as its benefactors would have wanted.</p> <p>Udney park can become the centre of our community. It must. As the densification of Teddington continues we *,must* protect *all* green space for the enjoyment of all, now and in perpetuity.</p> <p>Finally, Udney Park is a home for protected species of bats. As we as a species continues to destruct the planet we live on we must protect what we've got. We must protect Udney Park, all of it.</p> <p>I object to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
1301	Matthew Ellis	<p>I am writing to strongly OBJECT to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>There is a severe shortage of playing fields in the area so the whole of Udney Park needs to be protected from developers. Local football clubs are already over subscribed and having to turn away children as there are simply not enough pitches of the requisite quality available on Saturday mornings in the local area. The long term opportunities of keeping the whole space as playing fields outweigh the short term benefits to certain clubs being offered by the developers for part of the site.</p> <p>Giving in to the developers at Udney Park will encourage developers across London to attempt to build on playing fields elsewhere. Our community is lucky to have resources to fight to save our playing fields but this might not be the case in other areas so it is important that Richmond sets a precedent in denying development.</p> <p>I do not believe there is any significant public need for the new services that are planned to be developed. For example I never have a problem getting a doctor's appointment when I need one so I don't think we need a larger doctors surgery.</p> <p>Udney Park also supports local wildlife which will be put at risk if Local Green Space development is removed.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There are already a large number of new flats being built nearby on the brownfield Teddington Studios. Other brownfield sites should be used for the development planned at Udney Park, if any of it is really justified, before building on playing fields.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial which was donated under a covenant for amateur sport. It is shocking that the previous owners were even allowed to sell it to a group of property developers, but at least Richmond Council has the power to put this right and protect the land for its intended use. Please do not remove the Local Green Space protection!</p>
1302	Alice Maguire	Objection to udney park developemrnt
1303	Darren Johnson	<p>Please take this as my objection to the removal of LGS at Udney Park playing fields. My reasons are below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As members of the Hearts of Teddlothian community, I understand the importance of keeping the limited green space we have in the borough for sport use.
1304	John Plastow	<p>As a long term resident and as a regular user of local green spaces including Udney Park. I am my family would consider it s great loss of s valued local asset if the Park was built on Cities desperately need their green lungs and the proposed development would rob the area of a historic asset that can never be regained.</p> <p>I strongly object to this short sighted proposal to reverse the decision taken at the high court to protect the Park as an undeveloped local green space.</p>
1305	Clive Mosey	<p>I wish lodge my objection to the planning application to destroy the playing fields in Udney Park Road. There are already limited playing facilities in Teddington. Schools in the area do not meet the National guidelines for outdoor space. My children who live nearby in St Winifred's Road have to travel to Hampton and New Malden to participate in sport despite having this facility nearby. If this facility was available for use as intended local children and adults would have the opportunity to participate in active sport in the locality thus benefitting them and reducing the burden on the NHS. The developers should not be granted permission to continue to flatten the small amount of remaining playing field facilities in the area.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I hope you will properly consider the long term benefits to the community and reject this planning permission.
1306	Rob Wood	I would like to register my objection to the development of Udney Park and its loss as a green space. My reasons are: Loss of public amenity and green space contributing to the ecology and healthy environment Avoid an increase in pressure on local services Avoid an increase in population density A strength of the Borough is the range of public and private amenities on offer and its consequent attractiveness to familiars. The loss of Udney Park will remove forever a space that could be invaluable for public wellbeing. Over recent years the Borough has invested heavily in schools often in ares with no attached green space. To at the same time allow the loss of green space that could otherwise be used by the schools would be a travesty that many would regret.
1307	Niaz Samadizadeh	I object to any attempt by property developers to overturn the LGS status of Udney Park. Not acceptable. We need more spaces to play in the area.
1308	Neil Thomas	I am writing to voice my objection for the removal of local green space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. It would be a travesty to loose this area to development when it is home to so many youth sports. Please reconsider this.
1309	Adam Crossley	I wanted to register my objection to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. I am involved in a number of local sports clubs and this area is already very short of playing pitch space and all of the capacity at Udney Park is needed to meet the demand for youth sports in our community. Further the continued development of green spaces in Teddington puts additional strain on public transport and other local amenities.
1310	Katie Bevan	I'm writing to object to the plans for Udney Park. This is a vital green space, used by the community and should remain as such. My son plays football here regularly and it is a beautiful part of Teddington. Thanks for registering my objection.
1311	Geoff Boyes	I would like to formally object to the removal of LGS (Local Green Space) status for Udney Park playing field in Teddington. The playing field was given to the community after the First War under covenant for local sports use in perpetuity and this is the status it should maintain.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		There is a lack of suitable playing fields in the borough
1312	Hatty Bates	<p>I am writing to express my profound sense of outrage that a private developer is seeking to overturn the protection on one of the few remaining green spaces for community sport in Teddington. The cynicism of this attempt is breathtaking, and the impact on our community potentially immense. I therefore object in the strongest terms and hope the council will take into account the very real threat that action like this poses to the health of our children and our community.</p> <p>With dwindling areas available for sport in the borough, the loss of Udney Park would potentially spell the end for a number of currently highly-attended sports clubs. This would be a travesty at a point when children's health is suffering due to inactivity, and opportunities to participate in sport need to be made available to as many as possible.</p> <p>Moreover, the objections to the development on traffic and population density grounds still stand. This is simply another attempt to circumvent very legitimate concerns about the feasibility of the development and its impact on our town. It is staggering that Quantum continue their assault on our community in this way, given the unquestionably negative impact their development would have.</p> <p>I hope the needs of the many thousands in our community who benefit from the preservation of Udney Park will outweigh the greed of these despicable developers, and the LGS protection will be maintained.</p>
1313	Nick Witney	<p>I wish to <u>object</u> to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loss of habitat for local fauna, including 8 protected species of bats. ▪ Loss of habitat for local flora, including several mature trees. ▪ Environmental & Health grounds: <p>Udney Park playing fields are not only a critical part of a connected ecology network comprising local parks and river embankments, but they also act as lungs for the local community. Increasingly, it is thought that high levels of air pollution are detrimental to health, especially for susceptible members of the community, such as the elderly, children (UPPF are located in the vicinity of several nursing homes and a few meters away from Collis school with more than 800 pupils) and people with asthma. The Royal College of Physicians estimate that currently, each year in the UK, 40,000 deaths are attributable to exposure to outdoor air pollution.</p> <p>There are also increasing evidentiary arguments regarding access to green space being beneficial for the mental health of teenagers, in particular.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loss of playing fields in an area where several local clubs have no permanent home and have long waiting list. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and was originally donated under covenant for amateur sport. ▪ There is no local need for luxury apartments in the local area, as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction in Broom road, most of them still unsold.
1314	Melanie Spencer	<p>I write to support the designation in planning terms of Udney Park Playing Fields as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI)". I feel that this offers enough protection for the site and at the same time allows the site to be used to its full potential as a community space with playing fields, new GP surgery, retirement housing and a community/sports facility.</p> <p>Local Green Space designation requires the site to be "demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of its wildlife". This land is private and was only used by a small number of people under the previous owners Imperial College. It was not openly accessible to the public. The site is screened from view by fencing and for those who overlook it, it is a less than pristine grass field with a rundown clubhouse. I don't think this counts as historically significant or special to a community. I believe the proposed development and the 9.5 acres of public sports and community facilities would make it a real asset for the community.</p> <p>I agree with the (Local Plan) Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case is not made to justify the site's classification as Local Green Space. I support it being designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p>
1315	Alistair Ramsay	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1316	Eve Nay	<p>I am writing to convey my concerns over the potential Local Green Space designation at Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>There is an increasing need for appropriate homes for elderly generations. There is a national trend in an ageing population, and the Local Plan states, “the borough has the highest proportion of people aged 75 and living alone in London” and that “<u>planning must play a role in the creation of environments and a public realm that are inclusive and accessible for the older population</u>”-</p> <p>Loneliness and isolation are common trends among older people who are living alone. The delivery of extra care housing provides a fantastic environment that becomes more a community and reduces loneliness.</p> <p>By retaining the land as OOLTI we would still safeguard from over development but allow realistic investment that is evidently needed in our Borough to plug the gaps of housing need in Richmond.</p>
1317	Mark Worrall	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1318	Ruth Blacksell-Russell	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historic significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports grounds. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1319	Jason Martin	<p>If the land does not retain its current designation of Other Open Land and Townscape Importance (OOLTI) that was given by the planning Inspector, then it will surely deny the option of getting a new GP surgery. This should be a priority in our borough rather than ensuring the retention of the whole piece of land – especially considering that in Quantum’s proposals they provide plenty of exceptional sporting facilities within the development.</p> <p>I have looked into the criteria that needs to be met for land to gain Local Green Space status and in my opinion, this does not fit with the Udney Park Playing Fields at all. As a resident of Teddington, I would rather vote for sustainable development that provides a needed healthcare centre, affordable housing and really impressive sports and recreational equipment and resource. In order for all of these to be delivered we need to keep the status that the planning inspector granted.</p>
1320	Francis Sirl	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as ‘Local Green Space’.</p> <p>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from these.</p>
1321	P A Satchwell	<p>I object to the proposed modification to Local Green Space.</p> <p>This land could be of benefit to the whole community if it was developed for the right reasons. Making this site Local Green Space preserves an underutilised, basic and rudimentary piece of land.</p> <p>With its current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI) it would be adequately protected against over development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1322	Sandra Forrest	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1323	George Walker	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p><u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p>
1324	S Dawson	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as '<u>Other Open Land of</u></p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Townscape Importance , there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.
1325	Paul Morley	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1326	Dr L da Costa	I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to ' <u>Local Green Space</u> '. There is considerable protection under its current designation ' <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> '. It is safeguarded by the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1327	Mrs Edwards	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1328	Pru Pavendale	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. <u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
1329	John Anderson	<p>As part of the public consultation on the status of Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) I wish to lodge my objection to the Inspector's ruling that Local Green Space designation was not adequately justified. My reasons for supporting the LGS status are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UPPF is particularly significant for the local community, as an area of historical importance, having been donated under covenant for amateur sport as part of the memorial to WW1, and it should continue to be respected for its intended use; - UPPF is one of few playing fields within Teddington and it has been so for over a century; - There have been around 300 new luxury flats created within half a mile of UPPF on the riverside and there is therefore all the more reason for UPPF to be retained as an important green space in the centre of Teddington. We certainly do not need, nor can the area sustain, further intensive development for luxury flats especially on such special green land;

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		- UPPF is a valued green space in a very built up environment, home to protected species of animal bat, and should remain a tranquil place where we can hear cricket bat on ball too! It is not a place for residential development. I support LGS status.
1330	Ian Glover	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1331	Oliver Round	I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.
1332	Dom Hawkings	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1333	Robert Mos	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1334	Deanne Afflick	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as 'Local Green Space'. As a patient of the Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients. Retaining its designation as ' Other Open Land of Townscape Importance ' could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community.
1335	Angela Bairstow	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1336	Michael Bayon	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1337	Dr A Hegab	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to “Local Green Space”.</p>
1338	John Kelly	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1339	Isabelle Witney	<p>I wish to <u>object</u> to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loss of habitat for local fauna, including 8 protected species of bats. ▪ Loss of habitat for local flora, including several mature trees. ▪ Environmental & Health grounds: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Udney Park playing fields are not only a critical part of a connected ecology network comprising local parks and river embankments, but they also act as lungs for the local community. Increasingly, it is thought that high levels of air pollution are detrimental to health, especially for susceptible members of the community, such as the elderly, children (UPPF are located in the vicinity of several nursing homes and a few meters away from Collis school with more than 800 pupils) and people with asthma. The Royal College of Physicians estimate that currently, each year in the UK, 40,000 deaths are attributable to exposure to outdoor air pollution.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There are also increasing evidentiary arguments regarding access to green space being beneficial for the mental health of teenagers, in particular.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loss of playing fields in an area where several local clubs have no permanent home and have long waiting list. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and was originally donated under covenant for amateur sport. ▪ There is no local need for luxury apartments in the local area, as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction in Broom road, most of them still unsold.
1340	Adrian Roscoe	<p>I strongly object to the attempted removal of the Local Green Status for Udney Park playing fields. These playing fields are a major asset for the community as a whole. They have been playing fields for the best part of a century, supporting our community. Our children and our grandchildren also deserve such a facility. Once it has been taken, it will never be replaced. There are no alternatives in the area for such a community asset. There are however many brownfield sites for property development. The delivery of more luxury flats is certainly not worth the loss of such a unique community asset.</p> <p>Secondly, this area was bequeathed I believe with the purpose of the pursuit of amateur sport. A developer buying this land on the cheap with the intention of overturning the covenants and the protection of this site is soul destroying. This was never the intention of the original gift (hence the covenant) and we should not be riding rough-shod over a war memorial gift, and the magnificent intentions of the original gifter.</p>
1341	Mark Horlock	<p>I write to support the designation in planning terms of Udney Park Playing Fields as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI)". I feel that this offers enough protection for the site and at the same time allows the site to be used to its full potential as a community space with playing fields, new GP surgery, retirement housing and a community/sports facility.</p> <p>Local Green Space designation requires the site to be "demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of its wildlife". This land is private and was only used by a small number of people under the previous owners Imperial College. It was not openly accessible to the public. The site is screened from view by fencing and for those who overlook it, it is a less than pristine grass field with a rundown clubhouse. I don't think this counts as historically significant or special to a community. I believe the proposed development and the 9.5 acres of public sports and community facilities would the make it a real asset for the community.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I agree with the (Local Plan) Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case is not made to justify the site's classification as Local Green Space. I support it being designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance".
1342	Richard Barlow	<p>I am writing to table my concerns regarding the development of Udney Park playing fields for domestic housing.</p> <p>This is a green field site that has been a playing field for over 100 years, it is also a War memorial donated under covenant for amateur Sport, it should be continued to be used for the purposes it was designed for and as our forefathers wished.</p> <p>Childhood obesity is one of the problems of our time, removing sporting facilities can only further exacerbate this and deepen the burden on the NHS. Sporting facilities are being squeezed and we cannot meet the demand of teams such as Hearts of Tedlothian, in fact we should be looking to increase not decrease Green space within the Borough.</p> <p>I vehemently object to the development of this Park for the purposes of residential flats/housing and strongly suggest the council should uphold its decision to maintain this as a Green space.</p>
1343	S Dicks	<p>Please find below my reasons for objection to proposed plans and development of Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
1344	Juliette O'Carroll	I am a local resident and have 2 boys which attend school at Collis opposite Udney park. They also belong to a local football club, Hearts of Teddlothians. Unfortunately there is little green space in our local area and we are starting to lose it. Our club does not even have our own pitches and we have to rent fields to play

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>on. If we continue to lose green space we could lose our club. We also need the capacity at Udney park for our local sports clubs.</p> <p>I am very proud to live in Teddington which access to cricket, rugby and football teams and organisations like Park run which promote a healthy lifestyle. We live in a society where obesity is growing in our children and we need to promote healthy lifestyles for our families.</p> <p>Building more homes on land which was supposed to be set aside for playing fields will not do that.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the loss of Local Green Space.</p>
1345	Gordon Edwards	<p>I am registering my strong objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park, Teddington. This park is vital green space in Teddington and needs to be protected from the possibility of destruction by the erection of housing or other buildings, as has been recently proposed by developers. We are currently seeing the completion of a vast development of flats on the Thames riverside, and there can hardly be a need for further house construction on Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and is a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sport. The ideals underlying the formation of the park should be respected and cherished, particularly now when the the pursuit of monetary profit seems to trump any ideals of community spirit.</p>
1346	Jessica Fisher	<p>Objection to Removal of LGS Status at Udney Park:</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to this as a local resident.</p> <p>My son has used Udney Park for Football training regularly, despite not being naturally sporty. The TAFC were an enormous encouragement to him, and the regular football training there helped increase his confidence and self-esteem. It would be a tragedy to lose this facility.</p> <p>The parking in the area is already extremely difficult and the development of this land would only make it worse.</p>
1347	Paul Hurst	<p>As a local resident I wish to lodge my OBJECTION to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington.</p> <p>I understand Quantum(AHH), a Private Equity developer, is looking to overturn the LGS status of Udney Park in an attempt to make gaining planning permission for development easier.</p> <p>However despite their current plans to create some sporting facilities for the community there is no certainty that the developer will keep their promise, and once the LGS protection has been removed there is a risk that ALL of the land could be used for development. Be it in the next year or in 10 years time.</p> <p>This area is already very short of playing pitch space and all of the capacity at Udney Park is needed to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>meet the demand from youth football and other sports in our community. Hearts of Teddlothian was founded in 2007 and has expanded rapidly with the support and drive of many hard working parents and volunteers. The club currently provides football for over 625 children (boys and girls) from 5 years old through to 18 years old in and around Teddington. The clubs huge growth and success over the last 11 years from a handful of children to today's numbers is simply because we open the club to any player who wants to play football, regardless of their ability. We believe in sport for all and offer that to the community.</p> <p>Despite the huge numbers, Hearts do not have a home ground and rent council pitches all around the local area, in Hampton, Whitton and Teddington. Local green space is at a premium and to build on existing sporting pitches like Udney Park is a travesty for local clubs and deprives future generations of children from playing sport in the community and pushes them on to their Xboxes instead. If we continue to build on green space and take away local sporting facilities then we can only expect the outcome to be the growing problem of childhood obesity and the strain that is putting on the NHS.</p> <p>My concern right now is if Udney Park is handed over for development and great profit to Quantum and with St Mary's University also planning to apply for more student accommodation at one of their sites and build on their Broom Lock facility on Broom Road, this will mean there will be very little space to play football in an area already overloaded. If St Mary's move their running track Hearts will have no space for U6's though to U9's to play or conduct training and will lose space for two 11v11 pitches. The council have no more pitches to rent which will mean moving matches a lot further out of the area and/or closing down children's teams.</p> <p>If land continues to be given for development, children in our community will never have the opportunity to play football.</p> <p>I believe this is our one opportunity in Teddington and the surrounding area to provide sport for our future young people. We therefore strongly oppose the loss of Local Green Space.</p>
1348	David Poole	<p>I wish to register my vehement objection to the removal of LGS protection from the above site/playing fields.</p> <p>At long last society as a whole has started to recognise the value of sport and participation in activity to all aspects of health. Having available places within residential areas is vital to encourage local teams to encourage this behaviour. The value to all the general public is far more important than enabling a few greedy folks to make money.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		This land has long been protected and that protection should continue. There is no excuse for removing the long given protection. The land was donated under covenant and that needs to be respected by all planning authorities.
1349	Christine Thorpe	<p>I wish to object to the Removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park, Teddington. The whole of Udney Park must remain a green space and continue to be a recreational area and <u>playing</u> fields in a locality where there is a serious lack of such spaces.</p> <p>Wild life, including the 8 species of bat in the Park, must be protected.</p> <p>The Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport and this should be respected as it has been for 100 years.</p> <p>There is no local need for more luxury housing with the concomitant increase in traffic and noise and air pollution.</p> <p>The interests if the local community must be put before those of commerce.</p>
1350	Dan Bates	I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the decision to overturn the protection of the playing fields at Udney Park. The development of the site should not be allowed to go ahead because the traffic will be horrendous and it is one of the few green spaces left where local sports clubs could provide facilities for local people to play sport. It is not going to be good for the health of the local population, especially the children who actually require a green space to play football on.
1351	Belinda Finlay-Laanen	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
1352	Malipande Kasonso	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let's see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1353	Scott Hinchcliffe	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1354	Christopher McTear	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1355	R. Cord	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land.</p>
1356	Posen Wang	<p>I wish to express my views that I am against the designation of private land at Udney Park as Local Green Space and therefore do not agree with your local plan modifications. . The land has always been and is currently of minimal recreational benefit to the community as you need a license to access it – and thus gives little recreational value. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS could prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community. LBRuT should take the advice of the appointed government Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of residents who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space.</p>
1357	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's decision that this site should remain designated as OOLTI. Some of the key factors for LGS designation are for it to be 'Special to the local community' and to be of 'local significance'. It would be special to me as a Teddington resident if more access was allowed. Much needed 3G pitches on this site could add an undisputable local significance. But as it stands, these factors don't present themselves. Designating it LGS could potentially achieve exactly the opposite of what is in the community interest</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I support the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC who have plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
1358	Harold Ivie	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
1359	David Tarrant	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1360	Gillie Abid	<p>I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. <p>Retaining the designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</p> <p>This is my view of the issue surrounding Udney Park.</p>
1361	Christine Hill	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1362	Ryan Allen	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1363	Leonie Groves	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1364	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p><u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1365	Dion Steward	<p>I have lived in the Borough of Richmond since 1969, and more specifically in Fairfax Road, Teddington since 1983 where I have much appreciated for a multitude of reasons the nearby presence of Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>Having raised a family in Fairfax Road I am well aware that there is already insufficient Green Space to meet the needs of local Teddington schools & clubs and our residents for sports purposes. With an increasing density of new housing in the area bringing with it more people the future needs for sports green space must be protected.</p> <p>Experience suggests that existing Green Space once built on will never be returned to its former intended use and its loss should therefore be unacceptable to our local community.</p> <p>In mentioning it's intended use while not fully aware of the detail I have been informed that the land was covenanted by its donor as to be used for amateur sport. That must be respected.</p> <p>Please accept this as an 'Objection to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status for Udney Park Playing Fields'</p>
1366	Lee-Anne Eastwood	<p>I reside opposite the udney Park grounds and I am officially objecting to the development of this space on the following grounds :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction
1367	Hilary Caminer	<p>I wish to object strongly to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park. Quantum have been fighting a strong battle to get hold of this land- even sending people round to my house on a door to door lobbying campaign.</p> <p>It seems to me as a long resident of Teddington (since 1987) that there is a commercial plan being put forward with - hopefully for them - ameliorating items within it - the new surgery and some decorative and play areas - designed to make residents feel that they will gain more than they will lose. This is not the case.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The proposed site for the surgery is not at all well-placed for public transport and there are other better places for it.</p> <p>BUT what will be lost is a beautiful open area within Teddington of very long standing. The 'green and pleasant' nature of Richmond is being eroded fast and I feel it is our duty to ensure that our children benefit from the environment as much as we have.</p> <p>The proposed development is a commercial profit-driven enterprise and not needed. We have many luxury flats in the area.</p> <p>It is interesting that the LGS status had been granted in the draft Local Plan - but that this was then revoked - and that we now have to campaign all over again. Large scale developers have a lot of clout - but we are articulate people and wish to ensure that we protect open land.</p> <p>I therefore object strongly to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park.</p>
1368	Augusta Annesley	<p>I am writing in order to make clear my objection to the planning application to the above green space. Any development will reduce the already limited space for sport in the community in order to profit building developers, which seems a distorted use of community space.</p>
1369	Juliette Froud	<p>I would like to object to the redevelopment of Udney Park for the below reasons:</p> <p>Udney Pak is a unique and special place within the borough in that it is one of the last remaining areas with substantial playing space, it was bequeathed by Lord Beaverbrook to the local community for continued sporting use. The fields have OOLTI designation and not only meet but exceed the requirements for LGS designation (hence their inclusion in the Richmond Local Plan).</p> <p>The removal and redevelopment of the remaining green spaces within the borough make it hard for sporting clubs and local schemes to continue operating as the remaining spaces become less available and more costly.</p>
1370	Matthew Bond	<p>I would like to object to the redevelopment of Udney Park for the below reasons:</p> <p>Udney Pak is a unique and special place within the borough in that it is one of the last remaining areas with substantial playing space, it was bequeathed by Lord Beaverbrook to the local community for continued sporting use. The fields have OOLTI designation and not only meet but exceed the requirements for LGS designation (hence their inclusion in the Richmond Local Plan).</p> <p>The removal and redevelopment of the remaining green spaces within the borough make it hard for sporting clubs and local schemes to continue operating as the remaining spaces become less available and more costly.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1371	Tom Hadcroft	I am writing to strongly OBJECT to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington.
1372	Jack Cullen	I'd like to make aware my objection to the removal of LGS Status at Udney Park, Teddington. The area is special to the local community because of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility and richness of its wildlife. Furthermore we do not need more developments, Teddington's roads are congested enough! More flats means more people and therefore more cars. Please take note and stop commercialising green spaces.
1373	Rebecca King	I strongly OBJECT to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington
1374	Jennifer Hutton	I am adding my name to the list of people who object to Udney Park Playing Fields having its Local Green Status removed. These are the reasons I agree with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidder. I seems outrageous this is even having to be discussed. We must protect our green space.
1375	Kerry Prescott Brann	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1376	Name unclear	I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land. The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community. The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum would like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing. The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be. Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into local green Space and would be unsound to do so because it not taking into account the wider needs of the community and policy that supports this need.
1377	Jamie Kerr Elliott	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1378	Alan Jones	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1379	Viktoria Bohta	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to <u>'Local Green Space'</u>. There is considerable protection under its current designation <u>'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'</u>.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1380	Martin Nurse	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1381	Dean Smith	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1382	Tasneem Asaad	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1383	Mrs Jean Wright	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1384	Camilla Danson	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1385	Jonathan Burson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1386	H Raymond	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1387	Vimal Patel	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1388	Sunil Rana	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space . As a patient of Park Road Surgery, I am aware that it requires new and larger premises able to accommodate the number of registered patients. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land.
1389	Katie Shedden	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1390	Sally Jackson	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1391	C Triantafillou	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as “Local Green Space”. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as “Other Open Space of Townscape Importance”, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility.</p>
1392	Martin Pretty	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1393	Joanna Walker	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1394	Thierry Busset	<p>I understand that there are plans to remove the LGS status for Udney Park in Teddington. This park has been a much needed playing field for 100 years and one of the last remaining green spaces in the centre of Teddington. Considering the huge number of new flats under construction or built over recent years in Teddington, it is important for the local community that this space is protected from further development. I therefore object to the plan to remove the LGS status for the park and hope that the council will be able to protect this green space.</p>
1395	Julian and Jane Plant	<p>Please register my Objection to the removal of Local Green Space Status from the Udney Park sports ground.</p> <p>I am writing this in conjunction with my husband and family who share these views. We are really concerned.</p> <p>We live in Udney Park Road, and having shared a physical boundary with the playing fields for over twenty years my family's relationship with the area is closer than many. Our children grew up here and played sports with their schools on the field. We have lived with the joy and the rough and tumble that has taken place here, watched as the kids grow and their siblings take over.</p> <p>We have now seen the beginning of the end with the deliberate destruction of the cricket pitches in line with the threats of the developer, proving they meant what they said when they promised a wasteland if their plans were not agreed.</p> <p>The entire sports field must be protected, not carved up and developed piecemeal. You can achieve this by ensuring Local Green Space Status is maintained and will rightly earn the lasting gratitude of your constituents.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>For a hundred years this green and open space has been home to sporting activity and used in the true spirit in which it was given as a living War Memorial to the lost generation. These young people who gave their lives for our freedom. There are no words for those who would destroy this legacy for profit.</p> <p>The well documented lack of available sports fields and suitable open space facilities for amateur clubs in the area is reason enough to grant Local Green Space Status, surely no reasonable person could deny this? We need more like this, every Government directive on this subject confirms that the health and wellbeing of our children comes first.</p> <p>The field and surroundings are home to a wonderfully diverse ecology that needs very careful protection. The field hosts the most amazing bat colony for example, and to see the bats at dusk is a thrilling privilege. Don't let's lose the fragile biodiversity.</p> <p>As you are aware there is a linked wildlife network between the river and other open spaces and parkland and this is now under threat from the development proposals. No price can be put on this, we should be conserving and nurturing not destroying our natural heritage. Local Green Space Status will be key to protection.</p> <p>The objections to actually building on the playing fields are of course manifold and known to you. The fact that the current owners never had any intention of developing the field but intend to 'turn' it for a profit once planning was accepted is shocking in itself. The clever tactics and misinformation being used with regard to this are appalling. Talk of housing for the elderly and the unnecessary relocation of a Surgery to this side of the railway are a smokescreen, it is so sad.</p> <p>We all trust that you have those you were elected to care for in mind, and know that you will do the right thing for posterity. This is an historic and socially important green space right in the heart of Teddington, and once lost these precious jewels cannot be regained.</p> <p>Please help the community by giving us all the protection that you can muster, and do not remove Local Green Space Status from the Udney Park playing fields.</p>
1396	Eileen Folan	<p>I wish to object to the decision by the Planning Inspector to remove Local Green Space status from the Udney Park Playing fields, which appears to have been done to facilitate the ambitions of Quantum to build on the site,</p> <p>Quantum were aware of the protected status of the land when they made their bid but have been determined to build on this park. The land was donated under covenant for amateur sport, and is still needed as playing fields for the local community and local sports. Please respect the covenant.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I am shocked that the Planning Inspector overturned the council decision to approve LGS status. I expect Richmond council to protect local green spaces for the public. We need to encourage more local people to participate more in sport, to improve health and fitness of children and adults in the borough. We cannot do this if we remove playing fields.</p> <p>I support the alternative proposals to retain all of the green space at Udney Park.</p>
1397	David and Beth Summerson	<p><i>We strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space Protection for Udney Park It is about time this whole sorry saga be put to rest and Quantum are kicked in to touch. Quantum took a huge 'punt' when buying this land fully knowing it's protected status and no doubt arrogantly assumed they could bulldoze/overcome local objections not only from concerned locals but The Council / Mayor of London et al Imperial College should be thoroughly ashamed of their part in this saga and hopefully when Quantum are kicked out they (Imperial) will not be receiving the 'full' amount of this deal. This assuming that there was an additional fee payable once planning permission had been obtained. Open spaces such as Under Park are very very special and must be preserved at all costs</i></p>
1398	James Lynch	<p>I object to the removal of LGS</p> <p>All local communities across the UK need and deserve spaces like Udney Park to maintain and develop a core sense of identity and life.</p> <p>Sport in particular is one of the Lynch pins of the strength of the UK and the threat losing another important place for local teams and people is disastrous</p>
1399	Nicola Archidiacono	<p>I object to the removal of LGS.</p> <p>Keeping the green space for the future is vital, not just for our generation but for the younger generation to keep more active and be healthy and keep playing the sports that they love playing weekly which is all involved with the local community and close communities. More green space equals to a better greener environment and a healthier lifestyle for everyones future and the future of our children. Building flats there would be a disgrace.</p>
1400	Andy Brampton (Club Secretary, Harlequins Amateurs RFC)	<p>I am strongly against the removal of Local Green Space protection of Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>As a volunteer helping in the running of a large thriving junior rugby club in Teddington for over 23 years and a resident of the borough for nearly 30 years, I know too well that there are insufficient pitches for junior sport and that clubs have waiting lists. We cannot afford to lower the protection on these fantastic playing pitches and encourage developers to build on protected playing fields, either in Teddington or create</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>precedents elsewhere. Udney Park was donated specifically for "amatuer rugby" by a significant historical figure, the benefactors wishes must be fulfilled.</p> <p>The Quantum/CIC communication has been extremely misleading regarding the viability of community sport to seek to justify the carving up of a playing field, cutting pitch space in half to enable the building of luxury flats. Contrary to the "spin" from Quantum/CIC, community clubs in our wider area are thriving: Teddington RFC have 2 full size pitches and junior pitches in Bushy Park and a new £600k pavilion, Teddington CC and Teddington Athletic FC are building a brand-new £1.5m pavilion. All of these funds have been raised from members and grant giving bodies. It's very ironic that two of these clubs share a Director with the Quantum funded CIC, and a Quantum employee is also a CIC Director - I wonder what sweeteners have been offered to help massage Quantum's grubby plans through the planning process. We know from our own membership that many members of the 2 clubs that share Directors with Quantum/CIC (which people assume is to gain controlling access to Udney Park if Quantum succeed in Planning) are increasingly uncomfortable that some of the leaders of their club are seeking to enable the destruction of a playing field, I personally wonder how they sleep at night, once this space has been concreted over it's never coming back.</p> <p>I have also personally complained to Park Road Surgery specifically one of the partners (Mr Grundy) using my private contactl details held by the NHS funded surgery, again I assume as a willing conspirator as Quantum seek to massage local support - Mr Grundy surely knows this is against GDPR regulations for which the penalties are serious and also a breach of the principles of medical confidentiality and non-maleficence. The surgery has misused patient data to bombard me with one-sided advertising pleading for support for Quantum's scheme, when a new Surgery is just a small part of what Quantum want to build. Furthermore, relocating a surgery just around the corner from the other surgery in Teddington serves no purpose to our community at all.</p> <p>However, in Teddington, three large community sports clubs are nomadic without a permanent home of their own, including the club where I volunteer, Harlequins Amateurs RFC. We are very supportive of an alternative future for Udney Park, the nomadic clubs are also threatened by other development nearby in the Borough that will further reduce playing pitch spaces, including where we currently play.</p> <p>There were 3 strong community bids for Udney Park and we know there is more than enough demand from clubs and schools to support ALL of Udney Park as a viable and thriving facility at the heart of our community. Hence, it is evident beyond any doubt that Udney Park has recreational value to the local</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>community, it's far from being a derelict playing field as one misguided CIC supporter described it. Planning Policy is clear, playing fields should not be built on, all means necessary to protect them should be enforced. My club has chosen not to partner with Quantum, or take their sweeteners, as we firmly respect that all playing pitches and Parks are special.</p> <p>This Modification to remove Local Green Space must be rejected. The extra protection it provides is essential against a developer with very deep pockets, who have lobbied extensively against Local Green Space and manipulated local people, solely to enable their high margin development scheme. Once this wonderful space is built upon, there is no going back.</p> <p>I really hope the proposed removal of LGS will be thrown out and that this land can be protected for our community and for future generations. Our society needs accessible sports and recreation space more than ever.</p>
1401	Luke Povey	<p>I object to remove LGS status from Udney Park</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
1402	Will O'Donnell	<p>I would like to add my name to the ever-growing list of people that are horrified at the thought of Udney Park being redeveloped on. I would like to object to the removal of its LGS status.</p> <p>Please let me know if there is any other way I can voice my opinion and support the cause to protect an important piece of land that promotes community and health in our neighbourhood</p>
1403	Jennifer Stoker	<p>WE STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF UDNEY PARK</p> <p>1. Open green spaces in urban areas are critical to the physical health and mental well being of a community. Research shows physical inactivity contributes to poor health, including rising obesity in young children, and social disengagement. Children who are active in sport learn to engage in community sport which triggers local social engagement.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The proposed development will reduce local sports space. The local sporting capacity is already of significant concern with waiting lists on many local clubs and inadequate space for matches and training.</p> <p>2. Green spaces harbour flora and fauna. We need to protect these oases in an urban environment already threatened by high levels of air pollution. Eight species of bat are listed, pollinating insects are critical to our flora, these natural areas must be protected for future generations.</p> <p>3. Udney Park has a long green history. It was Udney Farm in the 1800s. In 1919 the Udney Park War Memorial Playing Fields were established as a war memorial and recreation ground for orphans at Merchant Taylors School. The Edwardian War Memorial pavilion was opened then. Lord Beaverbrook bought the grounds in 1938 and donated it for sport to St Mary's Hospital Medical school. In 1988 Imperial College merged with St Mary's and the sports ground tradition continued. Urban environments must protect these areas and enable these sporting traditions to continue.</p> <p>We regularly visit this area to look after our grandchildren. As we walk them to school we value the beauty of the open green space in Udney Park. Teddington is frequently quoted in the national press as a highly desirable place to live. It is critical to value this accolade and stop development which threatens to damage the area.</p>
1404	Luke Nugent	<p>I have grown up in the borough of Richmond and always been proud to say so. It's a close knit community with the feel of a smaller town, in spite of being in London and I think a big part of this has been down to the green space we have always cherished. That is why I completely object to the removal of the LGS status of the playing fields on Udney Park Road. It's a lovely green space, which is rare nowadays, that I myself have even played on in the past, that I find the idea of allowing a large corporation to build a development on it upsetting. We have developments popping up everywhere at the moment and I think we need to be careful of losing what makes Richmond Borough, Richmond Borough. We're meant to be a leafy green suburb of London that is low in crime, family friendly and protective of our environment. Not only was it designated a war memorial but we have a huge number of grass roots sports clubs and school sports teams in our area with no home. This is a place that can be used as a local community centre of everything we stand for. I needn't even mention the environmental impact it would have on our area. With green space becoming harder and harder to find in London, stops between major water sources like the riverside are more important than ever, to birds, reptiles and the already protected different species of bat inhabiting Udney Park.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The increase in population numbers will also effect an already struggling infrastructure to keep stay original and iconic without becoming just another part of the growing generic spiderweb of flyovers and motorways. I hope you choose to stay community directed and just "Richmond"
1405	Sandra James	I wish to object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park playing fields. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and with an increasing obesity crisis, there is a need to ensure playing fields are not reduced further. Udney park is home to several protected species of bats and wildlife that need protecting. Udney park is a glorious central green space that needs protecting from more luxury flats , that will add more congestion to the ever congested main high street . I therefore wish you to keep the LGS status to protect the health of future generations.
1406	Adam Blackwell	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country. I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity. If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.
1407	Karen Hiscox	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1408	M R Hatherall	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1409	Lynda Daroga	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain <u>'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'</u>.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to <u>'Local Green Space'</u>.</p>
1410	R Keiss	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain <u>'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'</u>.</p> <p>I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility.</p> <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p>
1411	Tamsin Burland	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1412	James Corbishley	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1413	Name unclear	<p>I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value.</p> <p>The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.</p>
1414	Rupert Postlethwaite	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1415	Zac Kirkwood	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1416	Shaukat Razzaq	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation opens up opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1417	Gillian Booth	<p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as "Local Green Space".</p> <p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as "<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>", there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p>
1418	Alistair Mackechnie	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1419	Steven Hill	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1420	Robert Harte	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership.</p> <p>We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself.</p> <p>If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1421	Jono Venter	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1422	Aaron Donnell	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1423	Sandra Rustomji	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1424	Jamie Adam	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1425	William Day	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1426	Stephen Cook	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress</p>
1427	Adrian Luckins	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress</p>
1428	A A Langridge	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become Local Green Space. There is an urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington that could be accommodated on this site if the current status of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance was retained.</p>
1429	Rory Mercer	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress</p>
1430	Susan Harper-Clarke	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress</p>
1431	Name unclear (Playball)	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1432	Jenny Box	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.</p> <p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.
1433	R Wilson (Playball)	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1434	Name unclear	I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to change the designation for the land at Udney Park Road to Local Green Space because: In its current state, the site cannot hold enough significance to the wider community in respect to beauty, historical significance, tranquility and wildlife that would justify this increased protection to a privately-owned site. This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status. Sufficient evidence why this site but no other in the borough fits with the Local Green Space criteria is yet to provided.
1435	John Forrest	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let's see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1436	SA Colver	I agree with the Inspector's current decision for the Udney Park Playing Fields to remain within their current designation of OOLTI. Reason: The site is privately owned and therefore does not allow for unpermitted

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		use or trespassing. I am concerned that LGS designation would prove to be financially unviable and result in the sports ground being unmaintained. Retaining the OOLTI designation could open up valuable opportunities for reasonable development that meets the housing requirements within the Borough AND caters for the sports and recreational needs of the community. It doesn't hold any significance in our community in its current scruffy form – but it could. Conclusion: I believe that the current OOLTI designation provides sufficient protection against potential unsuitable overdevelopment but allows for reasonable and much needed housing development that could enable the refurbishment of the Club House, create new sports facilities and create well-maintained amenity space for the community.
1437	Michael Manlienne	Regarding the planned modifications for the designation of the private sports ground on Udney Park Road, I am writing to let you know that I object to any recommendations to change the status of the above site to Local Green Space. The Planning Inspector did not consider the site to be one for such designation, proving the current OOLTI designation to be sufficient.
1438	Mo Savey	<p>The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. <p>The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1439	Sian Isaac	<p>I cannot believe that in today's climate of environmental threat on the Borough of Richmond from air (Heathrow expansion) and ground (large scale property developers everywhere you turn) that the removal of this Local Green Space status is even being considered.</p> <p>Udney Park is special for so many reasons - fauna and flora - eight protected species have already been identified, playing fields and training pitches for local communities, a haven of green in a built up suburb. The Quantum plan is to trample all over this. The plan would bring an endless stream of traffic to the residential streets around the development, an entrance opposite a primary school, the green playing fields</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>area 'given' to the community is not to scale on the plans and will be eaten away at as they expand their building developments. It is just too distressing to contemplate.</p> <p>Udney Park was not guaranteed for development and destruction when Quantum bought the land. This was a risk they accepted and took. It shouldn't now be the local community who has to pay again and again for them to drag this through courts, reviews, appeals etc. It is pure and simply bully-boy tactics and they ought to be shown by Richmond Council that they will not be bullied into submission.</p>
1440	Matthew Connelley	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1441	J Pond	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land</p>
1442	N Read	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. There is considerable protection under its current designation 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against Inappropriate Development. • It must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure • Views in and out of the site must be maintained. • It must remain Open & Natural in character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community. These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1443	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p><u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p>
1444	Dr Sara Hunton	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields as they are a brilliant recreational space for playing sport etc. in the midst of our community. My own children played there and have many happy memories of doing so and I would hope that future generations will also be able to do so. We have a major housing development underway very close by, I strongly feel we need to save this beautiful green space for recreation.</p>
1445	Chris Hunton	<p>I am disappointed to hear that LGS may be removed for Udney Park Playing Fields which is a great community green space for sport and lots of other things. There is significant housing development going on elsewhere in Teddington and I believe saving these fields for the community is paramount.</p>
1446	Claire Wilmot	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed development of Udney Park Playing fields and the removal of the local green space. This is an area of land that was bequeathed under covenant to the local area by Lord Beaverbrook, a hundred years ago for the use of local amateur sport. Presently the waiting lists for local sports clubs are so long with a 'sports university' locally we could if we had space available offer far</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>more sports to children, it was supposed to be a legacy of the London Olympics that more would be encouraged to partake in sport however without the space to do so this becomes impossible. The plans for luxury apartments are pure greed and Quantum shouldn't have taken the risk as this site shouldn't have ever been considered.</p> <p>This area is also an area of I understand 8 protected species of bat which have been identified and are presently living on the site as they are protected this should be maintained.</p> <p>We are all aware of the need for green space for mental health, fitness and animal protection so I would hope that the local green space is not removed.</p> <p>I have been lobbied by my doctors surgery with regards to them being 'given' a new surgery on this land. This is the wrong side of Teddington as a number of their patients live on that side of the railway and is purely a ploy for them to gain access to this site they are not being altruistic but have seen this as a possible loop hole to enable them to get the site and a small offset for them against the potential gains. I wouldn't be surprised if the proposed doctors surgery was dropped if they got the planning. Why are the council not looking at moving the doctors surgery to the police station which appears to be largely unused at present and in the ideal situation as it has parking and the space.</p>
1447	Michael & Gillian Baldwin	<p>We write to OBJECT to the removal of Local Green Space protection from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the first instance, we feel that under the basis on which the area was gifted to Imperial College, they were quite incorrect to sell the area for other than continued use as playing fields • If government at all levels is at all successful in campaigns to reduce obesity and promote physical fitness, the demand for open space for amateur sport will increase. If these playing fields were lost for sporting purposes, the loss would be irreversible, as in an increasingly built-up area, there is no other land available. <p>We should be grateful if our opinion could be registered.</p>
1448	William John Bourne	<p>I'm writing to object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection of Udney Park Playing Field. In the past the whole field had been well used by educational groups and clubs for organised sports. Now that fences have been erected one can see how restricted the area left for sports would be if building work is allowed.</p> <p>Please preserve this green space for the local community.</p>
1449	H Thames	I do not support the proposed modification to Local Green Space designation at Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Retaining the land as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could open up opportunities for a limited amount of sustainable development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery .
1450	Mengelberg Edgar	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1451	Jonathan Short	<p>I wish to retain the OOLTI designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I understand that some of the criteria for LGS designation of a site are its’ beauty, tranquility and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>Beauty – “Beauty is in the eye of the beholder” <i>Margaret Wolfe Hungerford</i></p> <p>Tranquility – For the wrong reasons – it is under-used! This sports ground should be used intensively by our children. Designating this privately-owned site as LGS will not improve access for the local children.</p> <p>Richness of wildlife – Udney Park Playing Fields have been used as sports ground for nearly 100 years. The site is lined by trees but consists of mowed lawn that does not give any opportunity for a “richness of wildlife” to establish.</p>
1452	Name unclear	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let's see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1453	Bob Beevers	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1454	Name unclear	<p>I am writing regarding your proposed modification in the Local Plan relating to the designation of land at Udney Park Road. I can see no valid reason for the green space designation.</p> <p>There are plenty of sites in the borough that are of equal nature, yet none of them carries Local Green Space status. If development is a concern, then the current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance carries perfectly the right amount of protection from inappropriate use and over-development.</p>
1455	Linda Birkett	<p>I wish to object to Quantum's development of this playing area as</p> <p>This site was bequeathed for the use of amateur sport in memory of those who fought in the war, both the land and the pavilion. The previous tennis courts have already been built on for sheltered housing and any further takeover will severely limit the sports which could take place here.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Many local sports clubs are keen to use the area, in deed at weekends especially, all the site is well used. If the future of the site was secure I am sure there is sufficient interest to expand its use for more local clubs, schools and other sporting activity groups to use both the the field and the pavilion. I understand that other groups have taken on running similar sports grounds with success and local sports groups have been anxious to make a success of running this site. I think this green area is much needed.</p> <p>There has already been extensive building a short distance from this site on the previous Thames Television land. I do not think further high density flats are needed so near a gem of Teddington i.e. the High Street which is already busy with traffic as are the rather narrow roads either side.</p> <p>I therefore strongly object to to the removal of the status of a Local Green Space.</p>
1456	Mathew Frith, London Wildlife Trust	<p>London Wildlife Trust ('the Trust') has recently been made aware of the above consultation. The Trust submitted comments last year relating to the proposal at the Udney Park Playing Fields (ref 18/0151/FUL), which in our view represented a significant loss of open vegetation in an area of deficiency for biodiversity. There were also elements of the ecological appraisal that required further assessment, as well as further details required in respect of the site's design and future management if permission were granted. The proposal would have resulted in a net loss of vegetated land and permeable surfacing, with adverse impacts on a range of species, most pertinently bats. This issue is of most concern.</p> <p>We welcome the fact that Richmond upon Thames Council would have recommended refusal of planning permission had an appeal against non-determination not been made.</p> <p>We note para 69 in the Inspector's Report which states:</p> <p><i>"In light of the absence of robust analysis as to its [Udney Park Playing Fields] value against the criteria of the Framework and how any judgements have been objectively assessed in relation to, for example, its beauty, historic significance, recreational value etc, the designation is not justified adequately. The land is close to the community but it is unclear how it 'serves' that community and submissions have been received which argue that the land is both special or, in the contrary, not special and the rationale for both is not well developed beyond assertion. I am unable to conclude that the designation is justified at this time."</i>¹</p> <p>In our view the application (18/0151/FUL) revealed that the site supports a large population of bats, at least eight species have been recorded there, including reports of a (or several) roost(s), both on site and/or nearby. Further surveys would be required to confirm a more accurate assessment of bat presence and use. Nevertheless, the officer's report on the application suggests that the "<i>application site could be</i></p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p><i>designated as a SMINC for its bat interest, size and connectivity.”</i> Given its location within an Area of Deficiency, there is evidence that the Playing Fields merit consideration as a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC).</p> <p>Paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, 2018) states: <i>The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</i> <i>(b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and</i> <i>(c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.²</i> <p>A precautionary approach requires that the Playing Fields are retained as a Local Green Space on the criteria above, and in that this also meets the NPPF’s para 171 that Local Plans “<i>must... take a strategic approach to maintaining and enhancing networks of habitats and green infrastructure</i>” and para 174 that they should also “<i>(a) Identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity; wildlife corridors and stepping stones that connect them; and areas identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation.</i>”</p> <p>These playing fields deserve protection within this context, and as such the Trust objects to the proposed deletion of the site as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>We also recommend that there is sufficient evidence to consider the site as a potential SINC.</p> <p><small>1 Seaman, A. (2018). <i>Report on the Examination of the Richmond upon Thames Local Plan</i>, Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) Section 20, The Planning Inspectorate.</small></p> <p><small>2 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/8-promoting-healthy-and-safe-communities</small></p>
1457	John Blower	<p>I wish to make known my objection to the removal of LGS from Udney Park:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
1458	Shane Barber	I wish to send my objection to Quantum making change of use on the Udney Playing Fields. Quantum knew when they bought the property that it was protected Green space. Teddington clubs use the fields and that is the way it should be used.
1459	R Hamerton	<p>Objection to the Removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields Points for consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Has been a playing field for some 100 years * Donated under covenant for amateur sport. * Vital part of the ecology system of river embankments and local parks. * Absolutely no more need for more luxury apartments, flats in Teddington, there is already the Teddington Lock site. * Enough is enough.
1460	Gavin McQuater	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park. Green space should be preserved. Once built on, it is lost forever. The land has been designated as protected green belt and there is no good reason to overturn that.</p> <p>The green openness of the grounds make an important contribution, established over the 100 years that it has been a sports ground, to Teddington's character. When not being used for sport, the fields provide a restful and tranquil natural area within the urban context.</p> <p>The land was originally gifted for amateur sports use and that principle should be respected. In an age where obesity and other illnesses endanger the lives of children and adults who suffer from lack of exercise, development would severely reduce Udney Park's capacity to provide invaluable sporting and recreational space. There are not enough playing fields locally, so Udney Park is a scarce sporting resource.</p> <p>The land is a major element in the area's ecology and I understand that it is home to several species of protected bat.</p> <p>The proposed development is completely unsuitable for the location.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The development is not designed to benefit current Teddington residents. The accommodation is to be offered to anyone over age 55, wherever they currently live, and will be affordable only to rich people. It will not alleviate any local affordable housing needs and a large development of luxury apartments is being built close by. - The height and footprint of the proposed buildings would change the character of the neighbourhood, making it much more urban and congested. - Access to the site would be a nightmare. Surrounding roads are already congested. Kingston Lane is a proposed access road. On any given day vehicles are parked along the full length of both its sides, because of parking restrictions on the High Street, railway commuter parking and Collis school runs, before residents parking is taken into account. Almost every day there are over 200 parked vehicles. During school runs parking is much worse. The narrow Udney Park Road and Cromwell Road suffer similar congestion. The inadequacy of the proposed development onsite parking is obvious. Before they decided to buy the land, offering a higher price than local community bidders, Quantum must have known all about the sporting covenant and official policies against development. It seems to me that the changes to the development they have offered during the process have been little more than ineffective token gestures to try to make the development more palatable. I urge the authorities to place greater weight on the social, ecological and other benefits of retaining the designation of the land as Local Green Space.
1461	Mrs A P Godwin	<p>I object most strongly to the removal of LGS Status from Udney Park in Teddington. Udney Park is a central green space that has been a playing field for 100 years. It is centrally positioned between three residential roads and Teddington High Street. The developer wants to build luxury apartments on this space under the guise of providing community access. There is no requirement for such a development in Teddington, and the damage it would do to the infrastructure of the surrounding area is frightening, causing more pollution, excessive traffic, noise and loss of wildlife.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sport and should remain so, especially as there is a severe shortage of playing fields locally. It is vital that children and young people have the opportunity to play sport on open green fields and breathe fresh air and to take this away would be a travesty.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. It is also frequented by many species of birds.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>There is absolutely no reason whatsoever to remove LGS Status from Udney Park, to do so would be to give a green light to the greedy property developer to make millions at the health and expense of the local community.</p> <p>I OBJECT TO THE REMOVAL OF LGS STATUS FROM UDNEY PARK IN TEDDINGTON IN THE STRONGEST TERMS POSSIBLE!</p>
1462	Nick Godwin	<p>I wish to object very strongly to the removal of LGS at Udney Park for a number of reasons.</p> <p>There is an acute shortage of playing fields in the neighbourhood, by allowing a property company to profit from building on these sports fields dramatically reduces the area in which sport can be played.</p> <p>There are many local Sports clubs and Schools who do not have permanent playing fields and we should be encouraging people to participate in more sport rather than building over them.</p> <p>There is no requirement for expensive apartments, with over 300 being built half a mile away. There is also, no need for the Doctors surgery to be relocated to these sports fields. The location is wrong with an existing Doctors surgery 500 yards away, and alternative sights better serving Teddington nearer the present location of Park rd.</p> <p>Several species of Bats inhabit Udney Park, along with other wildlife that would be lost forever.</p> <p>Under Park is a green space that must be protected from predatory plans by a development company, who wish to capitalise on a green field site.</p> <p>For these reasons I strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space at Udney Park.</p>
1463	Angela Kidner	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space Protection on Udney Park, Teddington. The use of this space by youth football teams enables the Council to meet its aims to encourage outdoor sport and reduce obesity in the Borough. In the Borough's Playing Pitch Policy, it is evident that there are high population densities. Udney Park is noted as having changed hands but its future being undecided. All of this Council's policies point to a determination to improve children's health- physical and mental- and the preservation of green spaces. We hope that this will ensure that Udney Park playing fields will not be removed from the current and future hugely enthusiastic amateur junior players.</p>
1464	Penny McQuater	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park Field.</p> <p>Richmond Council approved the designation of Local Green Space to Udney Park Field in 2016. The factors that they considered relevant then still stand now.</p> <p>Green Spaces are vital to the health and wellbeing of the community. Once they have been built upon, they are lost forever. There is a shortage of playing fields in the area, so it makes no sense to build upon a</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>space that has the capacity to comfortably accommodate a variety of pitches for any number of sports from rugby to cricket. Indeed, the current state of the pitch that is being used on Udney Park illustrates how desperate is the need for more space for sport. The development plans reduce the number of pitches when more are desperately needed.</p> <p>The site was originally covenanted for amateur sport. Regardless of whether this remains legally binding, Richmond Council should think long and hard about the ethics of sacrificing a space that was gifted for this purpose.</p> <p>There is no local need for more luxury flats in this area. There are over 200 flats under construction less than ½ a mile away at Teddington Lock. I understand that these remain largely unsold. Quantum say that they are providing “much needed extra care housing for older people”. Yet these apartments will be available to purchase by anyone over the age of 55. That is not housing for older people, that is a huge amount of profit for building over a sports field.</p> <p>Similarly, the need for a larger GP surgery could be addressed without building on valuable green space. The surrounding roads can barely cope with the current traffic/parking generated by the High Street and school runs. A 13000 patient GP surgery with only 16 parking spaces will cause gridlock.</p> <p>The preservation of Udney Park Field as a sports field in its entirety was promised by all the main political parties in the last Council elections. In the light of the present Brexit debacle, I do not have much confidence in the integrity of our national politicians, but I urge the Council, as our local representatives, to maintain the status of Udney Park playing field as a Local Green Space.</p>
1465	Dominic Caffery	<p>I would like to object to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. Please register this objection. It is important the London Boroughs retain their current playing fields/community spaces for an ever growing population. For current and longer term needs those spaces should be kept. I would like to think lessons have been learnt from the disastrous decision making of previous decades where playing fields have been sold off whilst obesity and ill health in our population increases.</p>
1466	Paula Goodman	<p>We need as much green space as possible. It is now of vital importance.</p> <p>Sports areas are very important, especially for children.</p> <p>Richmond is known and admired for its open spaces and so it should remain.</p> <p>So much of this area has been built over, the air pollution here is very worrying and getting worse every time a new building goes up.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Leave Udney Park as it is.
1467	Wendy Norman	<p>I write to object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park Playing Fields from the Local Plan.</p> <p>This space is of particular importance to the local community in Teddington in which I live. It is special because it is a large area of green space used as playing fields for 100 years and with appropriate protection can continue to do so. It is a green lung in the centre of a densely built residential area which is special both for supplying much needed playing fields but also wildlife habitat and a perimeter with mature trees which in turn help to provide cleaner air. In a built up area even walking past green space improves well-being.</p> <p>The land meets the guidance in paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy framework 2018 in that this Local Green Space is</p> <p>a) “closely connected to the community which it serves”;</p> <p>b) “demonstrably special” to the community as shown by the huge number of objections by local people to the recent planning application to develop part of the playing fields and change the character of the rest; it is special for being of recreational and sport value as playing fields; because it harbours wildlife in a very built up area and because it is of historic significance being donated under covenant by Lord Beaverbrook in perpetuity as a WWI memorial.</p> <p>c) “local in character” and not an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>I completely support the Council in designating the land as Local Green Space and this will assist in protecting it from inappropriate development in the future and ensure a healthier local population as a result.</p> <p>I am very disappointed in the Park Road doctors practice canvassing its 13,000 patient NHS database for support to remove the Local Green Space status.</p>
1468	Gianna Bicknell	<p>I am writing to express my objections to the Removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When I needed to downsize 15 years ago, I chose to move from St Margaret's to Teddington because of it stills retains a village look and atmosphere, it feels safe and above all is immersed in beautiful green open spaces that the local community can access and enjoy on a regular basis, one of which in particular is Udney Park, only a 10 minute walk from my Teddington home.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years and is special for the local community not only for its beauty, but also for its historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), the tranquillity and the richness of its wild life. • Udney Park is a war memorial donated under covenant for amateur sport. Continued protection under LGS status would provide a home to several local clubs that currently have no permanent home as there is a shortfall of local playing fields. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders. <p>I trust you will give the above objections the most serious attention.</p>
1469	Tim George	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1470	Hugo Studholme	<p>I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.</p>
1471	Name unclear	<p>The current designation of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene. <p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</p> <p>These are my views. Please consider them in any discussions your department may have regarding the site at Udney Park road.</p>
1472	E.L. Vine	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPFF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people.</p> <p>The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1473	Oliver Haine	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1474	Christina Robertson	<p>Main Modification for Udney Park Playing Fields</p> <p>Before Quantum’s ownership this private land was only limited to a select few groups and clubs. Parts of the land are currently fenced off, and the clubhouse is in desperate need of investment and renovation. If this land changed to Local Green Space designation, it will remain private and under-used. This would not be of any significance to the local community that it serves.</p>
1475	Mark Sutton	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep. I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1476	Warren May	<p>May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is. A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough. On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy. It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
1477	E. Lamar	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1478	Kyle Parsons	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.
1479	JJ Wicks	<p>The Richmond Local Plan proposes that the playing fields at Udney Park Road in Teddington are to be made local green space, and this is something that I strongly object to.</p> <p>I have seen what the developers (Quantum) have in mind for this site, and I think that this is a better idea by far. I constantly read in the news that there is a housing crisis, and a shortage of places to live for older people. Also, there are so many reports of obesity and ill health due to lack of exercise. If the Government is encouraging us to bit fit and healthy, then our own borough should be doing all it can too ensure there are adequate facilities to support our community. So, for the sake of our children and future generations, let's see sense and do the right thing.</p> <p>Designating this land as local green space would be detrimental to people who live locally. This site is private land and currently has no value to the community. I urge Richmond Council to make the right decision and think of what is in the best interests of their constituents.</p>
1480	K. O'Neil	<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p> <p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1481	Tara Kaufman	I am a resident of Teddington and I am aware that Udney Park Playing Fields is private land and there is no public access. I am aware of the proposals to provide public access and gift 9.5 acres of land to the community forever, for sporting and recreational activities and build a GP surgery and affordable homes. I

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		realise if the site becomes Local Green Space, public access will not be granted, and these facilities will not be provided. A lack of public access to this private land prevents the community creating any recreational value. The private maintenance regime degrades the parks potential in terms of beauty and tranquility however with improvement it could be a far better resource. This should not become Local Green Space for the sake of the community.
1482	Oliver Shore	I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields a Local Green Space. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land.
1483	Jason Imber	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1484a	D Smith	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1484b	D Smith	<p>It is currently protected as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p> <p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1485	James Cornwall-Walker	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1486	Mr M.F. D'Netto	I worry that if this land is granted Local Green Space status, the community will be denied essential services. I understand the need to ensure that development is not inappropriate and too dominating, and I do not wish for Teddington to become over developed. Looking at the proposed plans for the site, I would not consider them as overbearing and considering the type of development, and the subsequent positive impact of these services, the Other Open Land of Townscape Importance designation protects the land from any inappropriate development. There is no need for any higher protection on this land in the form of LGS status.
1487	Gero White	I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historic significance to local people.
1488	Name unclear	I am writing to object to the plans to change the status of this land. The change in designation would not be for the benefit of the wider community. The proposals put forward by the landowners Quantum would like they would provide some much-needed resource in Teddington, especially the provision of a new GP surgery and extra-care housing. The argument that the land is currently of community significance and 'special' is an irrational one. This land is not currently 'special' but by providing better facilities for our community then it certainly could be. Therefore, I object to the grounds that this land should be made into Local Green Space and would be unsound to do so because it not taking into account the wider needs of the community and policy that supports this need.
1489	Helen Gibson	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it. Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1490	Eleanor Packham	<p>I disagree with the application to designate the Udney Park Playing Fields a Local Green Space. Retaining its designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance could allow for the potential of an appropriate surgery and healthcare facility. This would be of great benefit to the local community. I am part of the Community and this is my view of the various issues surrounding the Udney Park Land.</p>
1491	Neil Lacey	<p>I am writing into the Local Plan consultation to give my view on the proposed designation of Local Green Space to the piece of land bordering Udney Park Road. It sounds like Quantum and the CIC plan to secure the future of this sports ground for the use of the majority. This would be a fantastic opportunity for the area that must not be lost. I therefore support the retention of the current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance on this site.</p>
1492	Dr. Nicholas Grundy on behalf of Park Road Surgery, Teddington	<p>I am writing on behalf of Park Road Surgery, Teddington, an NHS GP surgery serving 13,000 patients across six of Richmond's wards.</p> <p>We support Udney Park retaining the OOLTI designation, and not being redesignated as Local Green Space. This is in line with the government planning inspector's original report which was 'unable to conclude that the designation [of Local Green Space] is justified'.</p> <p>We do not feel that Udney Park playing fields are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - "demonstrably special" to the local community - they have never been widely used, and we feel the proposed development, which has prompted this consultation, would result in their being better used in future - possessed of particular local significance either because of their beauty, historic significance (I doubt anyone other than history buffs knew Lord Beaverbrook had donated them before they were sold!), tranquillity, nor the richness of their wildlife. Regarding their playing field use, this would be enhanced in terms of usable hours per week through the proposed 4G pitch, and as above has never been historically prevalent.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		If you compare the site to Bushey Park or Richmond Park, we suggest that it falls well below these as a comparable standard, and therefore urge the council to designate the site as OOLTI.
1493	Cath Goold	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1494	James Olsen	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1495	Paul Shiels	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1496	S Gibbons	I do not agree with the proposal to designate the land at Udney Park road as 'Local Green Space'. There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough. GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.
1497	Stephen Boynton	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1498	Audrey Sinnott	<p>I wish to object most strongly to any removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status.</p> <p>There are a number of reasons against the removal of this status but, in my opinion, the main reason is air pollution. The air pollution in that part of Teddington is, I understand, already above the legal limit.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Please watch the 1p.m. news programme on BBC1 today. Which brings me to the question of pollution so near the Collision School.</p> <p>Is there no end to the voice of money-making drowning out the interests of health and life for us ordinary citizen?</p> <p>Pollution from road, rail and air make this nice area unpleasant and unhealthy to breathe.</p>
1499	Margaret Ruygrok	<p>I am writing to strongly object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Udney Park is special to the local community, being a central green space including playing fields of historic importance for a hundred years. The land, originally owned by Imperial College, was bequeathed to the local community in perpetuity for amateur sport. It is also a war memorial donated under covenant. 2. Udney Park has huge recreational value for the community, where locally there is a marked lack of playing fields and several local clubs have no permanent home. As such, Udney Park should be protected from predatory plans for more luxury apartments. 3. Udney Park provides a haven of peace for people in a rapidly developing 'village' with a growing population. It is also a tranquil natural place for wildlife to thrive. It is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. 4. Teddington is in danger of being over-developed for profit. Near Teddington Lock a stone's throw away, there are already 300 luxury flats being built on brown-field sites. All policies that protect paying fields apply to private and public land. The Property developers, Quantum, knew this when they outbid three community bidders for the site. They then lobbied to get LGS status removed. <p>As a Park Road Surgery patient for many years, I would like to make another point about the rather questionable way in which Park Road Surgery has been 'lobbying' its patients (by email and displays in the waiting area, with pre-printed letters and a 'post-box') in a very one-sided way to support the Quantum development on the 'promise' of new practice premises. No one disputes that Park Road Surgery needs new premises but I wonder if this is a proper way to achieve it?</p>
1500	Nick Dexter, Twickenham Labour Party	<p>Twickenham Labour Party object to the removal of Local Green Space status for the playing fields at Udney Park Road.</p> <p>The playing fields have been much used as open green space, first by Imperial College (also with some other school and local club use) and now with local youth football and other clubs. It is clear from current activity which occupies the whole of the area not fenced off by the developers that there is local demand for use of the the whole site. This green area anchors the neighbourhood and should be kept as a valuable local asset for use by future generations. There is a severe shortage of playing fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The pressure by the developers to remove LGS status was a cynical attempt to use financial might to overcome local need. The fields have been in use for 100 years, originally gifted for sport and to serve as a memorial to young men who gave their lives in the First World War. It would be cultural vandalism on a major scale to build on them.</p> <p>Environmentally the habitat makes a major contribution to a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments which helps maintain a diversity of protected species.</p> <p>This green space does not need to be built on to provide retirement flats. There is plenty of provision elsewhere, and plenty of brownfield land to provide future supply.</p> <p>Loss of LGS status will undermine public support for the national planning framework when it is so obviously supported locally, the only contrary view being the desire for private developer profit.</p>
1501	Konstanze Scharring	<p>As a Teddington mother of two children playing football for Teddington teams I would like to strongly object to any removal of the Local Green Space protection for Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park is one of the few green places left where our local clubs can train in Teddington, with great recreational value and special to us, allowing our children and us parents to enjoy the sport and the beautiful space.</p> <p>Already now, pressure on green space is so high that one of my children's clubs cannot offer training for young children in the vicinity, meaning that parents instead of walking with their children to the local park have to drive to Whitton School late afternoon / early evening. This cannot be a desirable outcome.</p> <p>I hope the Council will act in the interest of the Teddington Community and retain the critical LGS protection for Udney Park's green space.</p>
1502	Jean Scott	<p>This area was granted LGS protection as recently as 2016 - how can this status possibly be overturned in LESS THAN THREE YEARS. Udney Park is important as a sports and athletic area for the Borough and as many school played no fields have significantly reduced in recent years, is essential that youngsters have somewhere to develop sporting skills. Richmond May have potential Olympic champions; please let us encourage that possibility. In addition, outdoor activities have a positive impact on our youngsters - preventing the chance of obesity in future years.</p> <p>Hands off Udney Park, and respect the LGS status and listen to local residents.</p>
1503	Jonathan Williams	<p>>> As a Teddington parent of two children playing football for Teddington teams I wish to object in the strongest terms to any removal of the Local Green Space status from Udney Park.</p> <p>>> Udney Park is one of the few green places left where local sports clubs can train in Teddington, with great recreational value.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>>> Pressure on green space is already so high that one of my children's clubs cannot offer training for young children in the vicinity, meaning that parents, instead of walking with their children to the local park, have to drive to Whitton School late afternoon / early evening. This cannot be a desirable outcome.</p> <p>>> I hope the Council will act in the interest of the Teddington community and retain the critical LGS protection for Udney Park.</p>
1504	Guy Robinson	<p>I write to object to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) at Udney Park Road as it is special to the local community for its recreational value (as a playing field), beauty, tranquillity and richness of its wildlife. In short :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and river embankments • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury and unfordable apartments for which there is no local need (given Tedding Riverside which remains mostly unsold)
1505	Jon Rowles	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space Protection for Udney Park. The playing fields are of real tangible benefit for local residents and people who live within the western part of Richmond borough - as many of the sports team that use it draw members from the wider area. There is a clear shortage of sporting facilities in Teddington, which is made particularly acute as the local university - St Mary's University specialises in sport. This creates super-normal demand for sporting facilities locally and means there is a very real shortage of facilities - and there is evidence that some people are being pushed out from participating as a result. The university is in the process of expanding which makes it all the more vital that sporting facilities in the area are protected. The site is well known for being a memorial for those killed in the Great War and as such has a special place in many peoples hearts — what better memorial is there than play fields for young to enjoy themselves and their freedom? Apart from sport the site regularly hosted fetes and public events. When you look around the fields there is also clear environmental and biodiversity value in the site, and adds greatly to the character of the local areas. There are numerous views across the site from various streets (though some are now blocked since maintenance of the hedges has lapsed since Imperial collage sold the site to property developers).</p>
1506	Andrew Norman	<p>I write to object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park Playing Fields from the Local Plan.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>This space is of particular importance to the local community in Teddington in which I live. It is special because it is a large area of green space used as playing fields for 100 years and with appropriate protection can continue to do so. It is a green lung in the centre of a densely built residential area which is special both for supplying much needed playing fields but also as a wildlife habitat with a perimeter of mature trees which in turn help to provide cleaner air. In a built up area even walking past green space improves well-being.</p> <p>The land meets the guidance in paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy framework 2018 in that this Local Green Space is:-</p> <p>a) “closely connected to the community which it serves”; the site is clearly in a densely populated residential area with close connections to the community.</p> <p>b) “demonstrably special” to the community as is shown by the huge number of objections by local people to the recent planning application to develop part of the playing fields and change the character of the rest; it is special for being of recreational and sport value as playing fields; it is special because it harbours and supports wildlife in a very built up area and because it is of historic significance being donated for sporting use under a restrictive covenant by Lord Beaverbrook in perpetuity as a WWI memorial.</p> <p>c) “local in character” and is not an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>I completely support the Council in designating the land as Local Green Space. This will assist in protecting it from inappropriate development in the future and ensure a healthier local population as a result.</p> <p>I am very disappointed in the Park Road doctors practice for canvassing by e-mail its 13,000 NHS patient database for support to remove the Local Green Space status.</p>
1507	Adrian de la Touche	<p>I would like to formally OBJECT to the removal of Udney Park as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>Udney Park must be protected at all costs from any development, it sets a terrible precedence and given the obesity epidemic in the UK< we need to save our green spaces for our young and old alike.</p> <p>Udney Park was donated under covenant to be used for amateur sport and should remain so. I understand that the developers were aware of this when they purchased the site and must be conscious that is still the case. IT should not affect the outcome of the decision if they have made a bad commercial decision to purchase something they cannot develop.</p> <p>Reducing or eliminating any open space will only serve to exacerbate the present critical shortage of playing fields. It would be criminal for the borough, its residents, and, particularly, our developing children to</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		lose such an asset. Putting the health of so many at risk is not acceptable. In no circumstance should playing fields built upon.
1508	Edward Delaney	I am emailing to raise my objection of the LGS of Udney Park Playing field. The reason being it's a public park and green area for families and kids to play. It's also an area for natural habitat. Not suitable for profit, an area for the public.
1509	Sandra Horsfield	As a local resident in Teddington, I object to LGS status being removed for Udney Park. Playing fields are in short supply in our local area, with many clubs struggling to secure them, and the covenants that protect Udney Park for this purpose must be upheld. The proposed new development would increase traffic and pollution, at a time when addressing these is becoming a more and more urgent priority in Greater London, including in our local borough. Increased traffic would also increase the hazard faced by many school children on their way to and from Collis, the nearby primary school.
1510	Colin Hicks	I am emailing to register my objection to the proposal in the current consultation that Local Green Space (LGS) designation be removed from Udney Park Playing Fields. I have reviewed the various documents issued as part of this consultation. Teddington has lost much of its character in recent years with redevelopment including the large number of flats built along the river. Losing these playing fields as a green space would be another quite unnecessary blow. I am sure that the original donor to Imperial College intended them to be of broad benefit to the local community as well as to the College itself. Reading the consultation documents, I was particularly struck by the longstanding use of the site as a playing field for many years in an area which is relatively deficient in playing fields. Also by the ecological appraisal which found that "though it is situated in an urban area the sports fields and hedgerows on the site may provide important foraging habitat for bats in the local area." I understand that Udney Park Playing Fields is the haunt of a number of bats with up to eight protected species represented.
1511	Sarah Rowe	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1512	Karine Even Mendoza	<p>I object to the modification to Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields. This land is currently under-used as it is lacking in recreational value. It urgently needs to see investment in order to provide much-needed quality sports grounds and publically accessible open space. As it stands, it provides little value to the whole community. Keeping the current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance in place, would leave an opportunity for sensible holistic proposals open.</p>
1513	Jennifer Hyder	<p>I wish to retain the OOLTI designation for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I understand that some of the criteria for LGS designation of a site are its' beauty, tranquility and richness of wildlife.</p> <p>Beauty – I fail to see how a fenced-off private sportsground with a run-down and dilapidating club house can be considered beautiful.</p> <p>Tranquility – The sports ground is so under-used that it indeed provides an element of tranquility. Tranquillity for the wrong reasons. This site is a sports ground that should be used intensively by our children. Wouldn't the sound of happy children at play provide an element of tranquility within a buzzing town and subsequently add an element of well-being? I am not convinced that designating this privately-owned site as LGS will improve access for these local children.</p> <p>Richness of wildlife – Udney Park Playing Fields have been used as sports ground for nearly 100 years. The site is lined by trees but consists of mowed lawn that does not give any opportunity for wildlife to settle. It is therefore debatable whether the site is special for its 'richness' of wildlife.</p>
1514	R Cassell	<p>I object to the plans to change the status of this land to Local Green Space. This designation would not benefit the wider community. Additionally to new and improved playing pitches, this site could provide a</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		much-needed new GP surgery and some extra-care housing in Teddington. This land would then become 'special' to our community.
1515	Martin Ephson	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site . I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough.
1516	Salwa Abu-Wardeh	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1517	Nicola Case	I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. <u>Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</u>
1518	Marilyn Inglis	I wish to voice my opposition to the removal of LGS to enable development. There is little enough of this space already, it is unjust to the locals of the present and of the future. In particular it is important to the youth of today who are having trouble enough as it is.
1519	Francis Lawrence	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1520	Joe Garner	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1521	Caroline Hughes	<p>I strongly object to the removal of LGS protection from the playing fields at Udney Park. Most importantly, this area was set aside as a sports area in memory of young soldiers who died. How on earth can anyone contemplate using it in any other way in the future. it would be a desecration of the memory of those young soldiers to do so. Furthermore, Teddington has little enough remaining green space in between the housing. Any available green space is being busily filled in with more and more building. In addition, the whole area is becoming completely clogged with traffic and air pollution. Given the pressing need to achieve air quality limits it surely cannot be sensible to allow further building on this green space which inevitably leads to more traffic.</p> <p>This is an important green space in the area and should stay as such. We call on the decision-maker to please protect this area and preserve its status as an open area for sports.</p>
1522	Dan O'Leary	<p>I'd like to register my personal objection to the potential removal of LGS protection of the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>My understanding is that the playing fields were gifted in perpetuity to the community for sport and in fact should never have been sold to Quantum. However, Quantum were aware of this covenant and went ahead with the purchase, presumably in the assumption they could throw enough money at the issue and circumvent established planning laws. I live in Teddington just a few steps from the playing fields and think it is a great shame for them to be fenced off and rationed out.</p> <p>The support from local sports teams is admirable but mis-placed, the site could comfortably fit several pitches for sport, rather than one artificial pitch, which teams will need to pay approx. £100 to use for every match – not quite the community asset Quantum would suggest. Likewise there would be far too many teams wanting to use the pitch at similar times – ie. Sunday mornings unless Quantum expect U9's and u10's to play on weeknights!</p> <p>The offer of sports pitches is a fig leaf to cover the building of 300 flats which this part of Teddington does not need and should not build. There are plenty of Brown filed sites that could be utilised as another developer has done at the old Studios site. Please retain the protection of these fields and send the message that Richmond council does not put the naked greed of developers ahead of the health, well being and future of it's residents.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1523	Barbara & Ian Haynes	<p>We wish to express our objection to the removal of Local Green Space designation from Udney Park. Udney Park is an important asset within the local community for the following reasons: Both Government and local policies support the retention of playing fields. These are particularly important nowadays with increasing numbers of people leading sedentary lifestyles, resulting in a rapid increase in obesity, especially amongst children. There already exists a shortage of playing fields in the area, and it is to be hoped that a permanent home could be provided at Udney Park for those local sports clubs which are currently without one. In addition, building on green sites damages the local environment. A number of bat species are known to live in Udney Park and you will be well aware that bats are endangered and therefore protected. Furthermore, you will also be well aware that Udney Park was gifted under covenant for sports use by amateur players. The fact that the previous owners chose not to respect the covenant should not result in the loss of protection of the playing fields. There is no need for further building of luxury homes. There will be a plentiful supply of these at the Teddington Studios site. We would also like to point out the likelihood that many patients at Park Road Surgery would be unwilling to cross the railway line to access the proposed new premises. Playing fields should be used as such and not exploited by any business for profit. We therefore urge you to reject the removal of Local Green Space designation from Udney Park.</p>
1524	Brandon van der Westhuizen	<p>I am a local parent and have two young kids and do not want to see any building or development at Udeney, we already have limited access to space and sports fields so I oppose Quantum and their stupid plans. Leave all the space as sports facilities and let the community be</p>
1525	Nick O’Kelly	<p>I’d like to object to the removal of LGS at Udney Park Playing Fields as it is a ridiculous plan and only serving the developer and not the community. I accept that it does need a plan to make to viable in the long term but that should most definitely not be the building of 100+ properties on it and reducing it to only two sports pitches and so reducing its recreational value. This land should remain protected as it is precious to all local families and wildlife (protected bats). Please do the right thing and do not remove the protected status.</p>
1526	Brant Palin	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1527	Derek Grant	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1528	James Martin	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1529	Ryan Cornell	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1530	Graeme Kirkwood	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historic significance to local people.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1531	Charles Titcombe	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the removal of the LGS Status for Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs will have no permanent home if the provision is reduced in size.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p> <p>Erosion of green space which is vital for preservation of the water table and the natural environment is a threat for future generations and must be avoided.</p>
1532	Robyn Titcombe	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the removal of the LGS Status for Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons:</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs will have no permanent home if the provision is reduced in size.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p> <p>Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land.</p> <p>Erosion of green space which is vital for preservation of the water table and the natural environment is a threat for future generations and must be avoided.</p>
1533	Richard Sharples	<p>I write to object to the Planning Inspector's removal of Local Green Space ("LGS") status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I understand there are four grounds for granting LGS status to an area, as set out in Paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Framework.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. <p>1. Beauty This is 12 acres of green playing field right in the centre of Teddington and is clearly an attractive area with many trees, flora, wildlife etc.</p> <p>2. Historic Significance UPPF and the Pavilion is a war memorial opened in 1922 by Viscount Cave, the then Lord Chancellor. Clearly, the playing fields and pavilion have historical significance.</p> <p>3. Recreational value (including as a playing field) I understand Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and cricket has always been played there. UPPF is used by many local clubs every day. Previous to Quantum ownership, many more clubs and schools who used UPPF and they would wish to again in the future. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home pitches. UPPF clearly has recreational value.</p> <p>LBRUT and Sport England recently undertook a study on the availability of pitches in the Borough. Their report rightly identified the importance of including Udney Park Playing Fields in the total pitches available. UPPF features in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment Report of May 2015 where it is called the "Imperial College (Teddington Sports Ground)" site. This document is a statutory assessment written by a subject matter expert and checked for validity by Sport England before adoption by Richmond Council. It is an extremely thorough assessment of playing pitches, condition, usage and requirement to meet the needs of the local population. This strategy document was adopted by the Council in June 2015 and was an integral part of preparing the Local Plan. The "online" version of the document has been updated to March 2018. That latest document takes into account the fact that Quantum have denied access to cricket</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>use and that tenure is unsecure. Clearly, that is part of the speculative developer's attempt to downgrade the significance of these playing fields.</p> <p>In the 2015 Counsel Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment document the Imperial College site is "Strategic", meaning essential to meeting the demand for outdoor recreation in the Borough.</p> <p>Playing fields are now a scarce resource, particularly in this Borough.</p> <p>LGS status must be granted to UPPF to provide certainty they will remain as playing fields.</p> <p>4. Richness of wildlife</p> <p>UPPF is home to at least 8 protected species of bat and many different birds. It is clear the Inspector was not made aware of this at the local plan learning.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>UPPF meets every criteria for LGS and this status should be maintained to protect against any development and keep the UPPF available in entirety as much needed playing fields for future generations.</p>
1534	Anna McCarthy	<p>I am writing to STRONGLY register my objection to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space at Udney Park in Teddington</p> <p>I am a local resident and live at</p> <p><i>[Teddington address details removed for data protection]</i></p> <p>and this green space has been massively enjoyed by my daughter to play football on and my family over the years.</p> <p>I can see that there is a REAL risk that this land is going to get swallowed up by developers if not imminently over the next years and it would be an absolute TRAGEDY.</p> <p>It is hugely upsetting to many of us in the community and especially young families and we do not want this development at all.</p> <p>Please can I formally add to the countless others who have objected to this scheme.</p> <p>We MUST think of the children of this community and future generations and the absolute need to have a green space in this lovely town for them to play football on and to support that and also the need for open space and a horizon for all in the community</p>
1535	Trevor Huggins	<p>I'm writing to support the objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>Udney Park is a wonderful green space in the middle of Teddington, one that supports local wildlife and provides opportunities for local people to play sport. Sports facilities, especially on grass, are hard to find</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>for local clubs and yet we all know the physical benefits of regular exercise and the mental benefits of a collective activity.</p> <p>The Friends' plan would be a great boost to the health and wellbeing of local people, and would protect our ever more endangered wildlife.</p> <p>I strongly support the objection to the removal of LGS status and call on the Council to do everything in its power to restore that status.</p>
1536	Alistair Brewin	<p>I wish to post my objection to the removal of the Local Green Space (LGS) status from the Playing Fields on Udney Park Road.</p> <p>The subject green space is an important resource for the area which must be retained both for the use of sport and local bio diversity as part of the 'green corridor' linking Bushy and Richmond Parks and the embankments of the local waterways; this 'green corridor' has been shown to be used by a number of endangered species of bats and should be protected for that reason alone.</p> <p>The fields are now well used by local sports clubs showing a lack of similar suitable facilities in the area. The small number pitches are well worn and the fenced off area could be well utilised to provide further resource. Government policy encourages physical activity at all ages and it is therefore wrong to remove a sports amenity.</p> <p>The land was gifted by Lord Beaverbrook to Queen Mary's Hospital (now part of Imperial College) for the use of sport (rugby in particular) and should be regarded as a war memorial. Imperial have chosen to disregard the covenant associated with that gift and in turn Quantum have bought the land in the knowledge that any application for planning is contrary to both Local and National government policies for the protection of playing fields; there are a number of brown field sites in the area that could be developed by Quantum should they want to fulfil their cynical altruistic aim of building flats for the elderly (note that 50 years of age is not elderly).</p> <p>The loss of this green space must not be to the financial profit of Quantum and the flaunting of planning policy - that sends the wrong message to all potential developers across the land.</p> <p>To conclude, these fields must be protected and Local Green Space status must be used as part of that protection.</p>
1537	Elodie Teyletche - Gillham	<p>I would like to say that I am really opposed to the removal of the LGS status for Udney Park as it is important for our community in Teddington and more importantly for our children.</p>
1538	Mark Gee	<p>I object to the removal of the LGS status of the land known as Udney Park playing fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has been a sports resource for the last 100years and should remain so. 2. The open space provides a positive impact on the townscape of the immediate area. 3. The open space provides visual amenity for the local area and community. 4. The removal of the LGS would open the opportunity for inappropriate and damaging development of the site. I have no doubt the developer would use this change in designation to attempt to gain planning consent for an even more opportunistic and damaging scheme on the site. 5. The removal would set a dangerous precedent with far reaching implications for this and other communities.
1539	Mara Yamauchi	<p>I am writing to OBJECT to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington. I live in Teddington. My objection is based on the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a new development of flats has already gone up at Teddington Lock. There is no need for further development of flats in this area. 2. It is imperative to preserve green space for local residents - for recreation, sports, being close to nature etc, all of which are essential for mental and physical health. The UK is currently facing a mental ill-health epidemic and obesity crisis. 3. Several local sport clubs do not have permanent homes and this space should be preserved for sports. 4. Udney Park is a safe haven for nature and wildlife including bats.
1540	Linda Redding	<p>I strongly object to the removal of the local green space protection of the Udney Park playing fields on the basis that this community and our children need green space such as this to play sport locally, it would be a total disaster to have housing built there for profit.</p>
1541	Anna Rudenko	<p>My name is Anna Rudenko, I am a local Teddington resident.</p> <p>I am writing to you to <u>strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</u></p> <p>Here are the reasons why:</p> <p>Firstly, the historical significance of the playing fields makes them special to our community. Lord Beaverbrook, who was a wartime cabinet minister instrumental in two World Wars, specifically gifting the playing fields to the community for amateur sport. The playing fields are a War Memorial, opened in 1922 by the WW1 Home Secretary, and are clearly special, worthy of the additional protection afforded by LGS from predatory developers.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Secondly, the playing fields have huge recreational value to our community. Teddington Athletics uses these pitches for their classes which promotes sport and healthy lifestyle to kids, my son included. Finally, independent ecology reports have identified that the playing fields are home to protected species. This clearly demonstrates how special the playing fields are in terms of the richness of wildlife which has made Udney Park Playing Fields their home.</p> <p>One final point, I am very concerned about Park Road Surgery e-mailing their 13,000 patients (myself included), encouraging them not to support the designation of LGS, instead support it remaining as OOLTI, without a clear outline of the implications of this dilution of protection.</p> <p>The Park Road Surgery email doesn't even mention Quantum though pleads for opposition to LGS to support their joint Planning Application, surely a misuse of power in the doctor/patient relationship and personal patient data?</p> <p>What is more, local people are being given the view that patient healthcare in the Teddington community will be compromised unless there is support for the development on UPPF. This is untrue fear-mongering, and could result in a distorted picture of how the community feels about the loss of this special green space.</p> <p>I strongly object to the removal of the Local Green Space status because this community needs tranquility, green open spaces and playing fields, not the huge buildings Quantum wants to build.</p>
1542	Sebastian Turner	<p>Please find below my letter of objection to the removal of Local Green Space Designated at Udney Park. At present Udney Park has Local Green Space protection, Udney park was donated under a covenant for amateur sports. By removing such a substantial playing facility you would only be jeopardizing the current and younger generations community in sport. Udney provides amateur teams throughout various sports with not only a place of playing but also that of training. The London Plan and National Planning Policy additional confirm protections for sporting fields. So all policies to protect playing fields apply to BOTH public and private land.</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposal to remove the LGS designation. Small clubs which are the grassroots of rugby and other sporting codes survive purely to having the opportunity to play on a facility like Udney Park. I would also like to point out that the green space is vital to young people as a place to encourage healthy living and safe place for them to take part in sports and team activities to keep children safe and from going down the wrong path of crime due to the problems of knife crime that we face in 2019. it is an</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		important green space of the community and will be a rare green space in years to come due to London rapidly growing in population and green spaces being used for housing or other building projects. please take all points into consideration before the decision is made to either keep the green space or to destroy it. More green space is needed not £800,000 " affordable housing " in this day and age ask your self a question. will those houses be affordable for your future generations of your family?
1543	Steven Ewington	<p>I object to the removal of the LGS status for the Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The site is of significant ecological importance since it is home to protected species of bats as well as other flora and fauna and provides a “bridge” to wildlife between habitats in Bushy Park and the riverside. If this habitat is destroyed it will severely hamper the survival of this wildlife. Furthermore, green spaces are the lungs of urban areas and assist in mitigating the present high levels of pollution. 2. There is a shortage of recreational spaces in this Borough and their retention is of vital importance since as local schools expand to serve increasing populations their playing fields become reduced by development and greater use will need to be made of communal facilities such as the Udney Park Playing Fields. I believe that there is a global acknowledgment that involvement in sport is highly beneficial, particularly for the young people of our future. 3. The changing nature of commerce, particularly in retail, has resulted in Brownfield sites becoming increasingly available for the kind of residential development proposed for the Udney Park Playing Fields. These sites include the Thames TV studios on the riverside and the development about to commence adjacent Teddington railway bridge. This trend is likely to continue, if not escalate. Against this background, to develop the Udney Park Playing Fields seems entirely unnecessary and an abomination and insult to the local community. <p>I urge you to retain the Local Green Space status for the Udney Park Playing Fields. If the status is removed and the land developed, partially at first, but then inevitably infilled entirely, this precious resource will be lost forever at immeasurable cost to the community and its beleaguered flora and fauna.</p>
1544	Emily Eccles	<p>SAVE UDNEY PARK – AN OPPOSAL TO THE REMOVAL OF LOCAL GREEN SPACES.</p> <p>My name is Emily Eccles I am 29 and I began playing rugby a year ago. The first place I trained was on Udney Park with Antlers Ladies, since that day my involvement in the community and in women’s sport has been life changing. Before joining a sports team I had lived in Twickenham for 3 years and didn’t know a single person in the area, I was Lonely and felt trapped, my only peace of mind was to go for walks in</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>some of the parks in the area, the Green space eased my mind, being outside cleared my head, and helped me feel connected to the world.</p> <p>What awful news it is to hear that yet again green open space is up for development, for the concrete scab of London to slowly creep into every minute bit of freedom we have. That we may no longer be able to let our eyes rest in the distance, and instead be subjected to more brick walls, unable to see further than a few metres, letting the ever growing city claustrophobia become part of everyday life.</p> <p>I now Captain for Thamesians RFC's first and developing Ladies Rugby team. We started from scratch with 4-6 girls becoming 20. All of us have become more confident, fitter and more social. It is liberating to be outside, in the cold, in the mud, with your friends, doing what you love best. However being a new team we have found it increasingly difficult to find locations to train and play. We currently use 5 different locations to maintain our team, and none of them ideal. Our training spaces are too small, water logged or shared with another team, but they are all that is available to us. As a team we are doubtful of our future if more and more LGS is destroyed. This would leave a tight team of 20 women who have done nothing but benefit from the empowerment of being able to play Rugby together, scattered. There will be one less women's sports team in the country at a time when as a culture we are supposed to be supporting women's sport.</p> <p>If sport was my only reason for objection I could stop there, however I moved to this area for its Green spaces, for its wildlife, and for the care that is given to nature within the local community. Our lives and planet are becoming increasingly like a hamster cage. It enrages me that councils and government aren't doing more to protect green spaces, if anything we need more creating. To be replacing real living and breathing, CO2 drinking grass for PLASTIC artificial replacements is literally self-destructive, and only benefits those who will make financial gains from renting out the premises. One day when it's too late and everyone is crippled by mental health issues caused by a lack of connection to the nature and communities we live in... maybe then when it hits their bank balances, will those set to profit from these sort of developments, open their eyes and see no one truly long term benefits from the destruction of green space. Please let's keep our communities connected, open, free and green. For EVERYONE.</p>
1545	Valentina Sadat	<p>My name is Valentina Sadat , I am a local Teddington resident.</p> <p>I am writing to you to strongly object to the potential removal of Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>Here are the reasons why:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Firstly, the historical significance of the playing fields makes them special to our community. Lord Beaverbrook, who was a wartime cabinet minister instrumental in two World Wars, specifically gifting the playing fields to the community for amateur sport. The playing fields are a War Memorial, opened in 1922 by the WW1 Home Secretary, and are clearly special, worthy of the additional protection afforded by LGS from predatory developers.</p> <p>Secondly, the playing fields have huge recreational value to our community. Teddington Athletics uses these pitches for their classes which promotes sport and healthy lifestyle to kids, my son included.</p> <p>Finally, independent ecology reports have identified that the playing fields are home to protected species. This clearly demonstrates how special the playing fields are in terms of the richness of wildlife which has made Udney Park Playing Fields their home.</p> <p>One final point, I am very concerned about Park Road Surgery e-mailing their 13,000 patients (myself included), encouraging them not to support the designation of LGS, instead support it remaining as OOLTI, without a clear outline of the implications of this dilution of protection.</p> <p>The Park Road Surgery email doesn't even mention Quantum though pleads for opposition to LGS to support their joint Planning Application, surely a misuse of power in the doctor/patient relationship and personal patient data?</p> <p>What is more, local people are being given the view that patient healthcare in the Teddington community will be compromised unless there is support for the development on UPPF. This is untrue fear-mongering, and could result in a distorted picture of how the community feels about the loss of this special green space.</p> <p>I strongly object to the removal of the Local Green Space status because this community needs tranquility, green open spaces and playing fields, not the huge buildings Quantum wants to build.</p>
1546	Paul Cattermole	<p>I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development. <u>Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery.</u>
1547	J R Flower	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast number of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney Park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area.
1548	Rosemarie Campin	The current designation of the land at Udney Park Road is and should remain ' <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> '. I believe the land is adequately protected for the following reasons: the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate Development is prohibited. • It must retain Views in and out of the site. • It can not harm the openness or character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene • It must remain part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. The current designation may lead to a sensitive sustainable solution for the land. The provision of a park with gardens and the possibility of playing fields is a sensible solution as is the provision of a healthcare and surgery facility. I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to ' <u>Local Green Space</u> '.
1549	Name unclear	I do not agree with the proposals to turn the land at Udney Park Playing fields into Local Green Space. With sustainable development this could become so much more special and significant to Teddington. With patchy grass, sparse hedging this field hardly holds tranquil and beautiful significance. Subsequently in order to remain sound, the Local Plan should retain its OOLTI designation in the interests of the wider community.
1550	Heather Smith	May I bring to your attention the concern that many of us have with regards the main modification for Udney Park Road. The site does not fit the NPPF guidelines to be eligible for this status – it has never been a place of local value or significance, and many people don't even know where it is.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>A Local Green Space designation for this site would be an absolute disaster for the community – as it would mean that we will not be able to obtain the gift of 9.5 acres of private land. The Local Plan clearly states that the council will do all that it can to improve health, leisure, sport and community facilities for different parts of the borough.</p> <p>On this basis, we oppose any plans that you may have to modify the existing designation of OOLTI. A lot of us have been waiting a long time to see a public facility that we can all use for a range of activities that everyone can enjoy.</p> <p>It has been 2 years since the Planning Inspector ruled that the land should NOT be given the status of Local Green Space – and 2 years of waiting for all those children and adults who desperately need somewhere to meet, play and enjoy a range of activities.</p>
1551	Michael David Short	<p>With reference to the above site at Udney Park Road, I would like the land to remain as it is, because it does not fit the criteria of Local Green Space.</p> <p>In the National Planning Policy Framework, the Government has issued a clear set of guidelines around this designation. Most importantly, it must be a place of historic, significant or recreational value within the community. For as long as most of us can remember, it has always been privately owned, with little or no access to local people.</p> <p>The Government Planning Inspectorate – the authority on all planning matters – has already stated that the land should not become Local Green Space, so we should respect this decision.</p>
1552	Hima Bindu	<p>I am writing regarding your proposed modification in the Local Plan relating to the designation of land at Udney Park Road. I can see no valid reason for the green space designation.</p> <p>There are plenty of sites in the borough that are of equal nature, yet none of them carries Local Green Space status. If development is a concern, then the current designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance carries perfectly the right amount of protection from inappropriate use and over-development.</p>
1553	Jordan Williams	<p>I do not agree with the proposals for the Local Plan policy to change the land at Udney Park Road from Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The land is private land in a busy suburban area and public access is not allowed without prior permission. It feels rundown and abandoned. This cannot hold particular significance to the local community that suggested the modification of the designation.</p> <p>By retaining its current status as OOLTI, it will enable something positive to happen for the benefit of everyone going forwards.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1554	C Lewis	<p>I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide Parks, gardens and playing fields. A new healthcare facility could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community.</p>
1555	Name unclear	<p>I am writing regarding your local and the latest public consultation regarding the above site. As a local resident, I am familiar with this site and it has only been used sporadically over the years. As the clubhouse and pitches are in disrepair I fail to understand how this site is of value to the local community which it serves or holds particular significance.</p> <p>In relation to NPPF criteria for LGS, it can hardly be classed as "beautiful", there is limited recreational value in its current condition.</p>
1556	Julie Rennie	<p>I am a member of a local rugby club in the Teddington/Twickenham area, and I object to the removal of the local green space at Udney Park.</p> <p>Our Ladies team only started a year ago, however being a new team we have found it increasingly difficult to find locations to train and play. We currently use 5 different locations to maintain our team, and none of them ideal.</p> <p>Our training spaces are too small, water logged or shared with another team, but they are all that is available to us. As a team we are doubtful of our future if more and more LGS is destroyed. This would leave a tight team of 20 women who have done nothing but benefit from the empowerment of being able to play Rugby together, scattered. There will be one less women's sports team in the country at a time when as a culture we are supposed to be supporting women's sport.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for many years and as there is a severe shortfall of play fields locally and would like this green space to be saved.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1557	Rosie Nieper	Local Green Space is needed for the health of the whole community
1558	Rhiannon Levey	I would like to state formally my objection to the removal of LGS status from Udney park. As a local, I enjoy the green spaces of the area I live. Udney Park's current state contributes positively to my mental well being - as I'm sure it does many others. It is also home to local sporting groups and teams - which are vital to the heartbeat of a healthy community. I do not wish to see it be destroyed, when there are other places in the borough that could be developed. This action will take away from the community rather than provide.
1559	Rita Janoshazi	Udney Park is one of the few available green play area in the neighbourhood. We need to value and protect it, considering the future environment of our children. Less and less open space available and houses raise without considering the holistic impact on the neighbourhood and local community.
1560	Ilona Kunneke	This is to notify the council of my OBJECTION to the removal of LGS (Local Green Space). Udney Park is an exceptional and sizable green space in the middle of Teddington. Why when LGS was adopted in the local plan as Local Green Space is this being challenged for removal? All policies are to protect both private and public playing fields and the proposed removal of LGS is at odds with all local and national planning policies. Additionally there is local wildlife that need protection. Udney Park was donated under covenant for amateur sport. You wouldn't be building on any other Green space that has been donated, ie. Twickenham Green, Kneller Gardens, etc so the same rule of law should apply to Udney Park. It has had public access and offers a haven for local clubs who have no permanent home. My son, who has played for a local rugby club who has championed since 2001 for the retention of space so local clubs without a home can have a more permanent home and play a larger role in the community as a result. In order for that to happen retaining these valuable green spaces are critical for that. Lastly, Richmond Council state it is all about health and well being both in marketing material and local policy. I would hope that they stay true to that by retaining this unique and valuable local green space.
1561a	Mrs M A M Loughton	I support the Udney Park Road Development which includes the new GP surgery.
1561b	Mrs M A M Loughton	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1562	D Fazakerley	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1563	Name unclear	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1564	Name unclear	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1565	Michaela Barton	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1566	Name unclear	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1567	Luana Forrest	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1568	Alex Lyons	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.
1569	D Betts	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.
1570	David Merkel	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.
1571	Jane Taylor	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1572	Alison Dunn	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>.</p> <p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1573	Margaret Docker	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>.</p> <p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1574	T Delaney	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>. I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1575	Name unclear	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1576	Name unclear	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1577	Mrs M Hook	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is "demonstrably special to a local community". By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1578	Kathryn Santos	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>. I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1579	Name unclear	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1580	C Baker	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1581	B Grant	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1582	Name unclear	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>.</p> <p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1583	Margaret Young	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1584	Name unclear	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land.</p> <p>I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1585	Amanda Chesney	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1586	S M Leatherdale	<p>I would like to see the current status remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become an open Park with gardens and playing fields. Perhaps a much-needed <u>Doctors surgery and healthcare facility</u> could be built ease the overcrowding of other surgeries in the area.</p> <p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to submit these thoughts with your departments concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
1587	J Whitehead	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.</p>
1588	J Moir	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.</p>
1589	Gerald McMahon	<p>I would like to object to the removal of the Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park Playing Fields, a historically significant site having been donated by Lord Beaverbrook in perpetuity, is a key local facility, used by local people and benefiting local people. It is a tranquil space which helps wildlife to prosper and for these reasons, I feel it must be saved from unnecessary development. I therefore support the Council in designating the land as Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1590	R D Moir	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP Surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1591	P Bolton	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1592	Name unclear	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is "demonstrably special to a local community". By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1593	Christine Craik	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1594	M F Nolan	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1595	Joanna McKinson	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1596	Diana MacDonald	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1597	C Fleetwood	I would like to see the current status remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i> . This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become an open Park with gardens and playing fields. Perhaps a much-needed <u>Doctors surgery and healthcare facility</u> could be built ease the overcrowding of other surgeries in the area. I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u> . <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. I would like to submit these thoughts with your departments concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.
1598	D Hall	I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u> . It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.
1599	William Elkins	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1600	C Goodman	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.
1601	Fran Canals	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.
1602	Emma Goodwin	I support retaining the designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, nor it is it special to the local community.
1603	Nadine Goodall	I support retaining the designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, nor it is it special to the local community.
1604	Mr KJ & K Edge	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1605	Julie Holmes	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1606	Mrs Anne Bogod	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1607	Carole Andrews	I support retaining the designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a larger more effective and modern surgery could be built on a small section of this land. I do not support the application to designate the site as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, nor it is it special to the local community
1608	Lynda Norman	I am writing as part of the public consultation that you have running regarding the Udney Park Road site. I am a keen sports enthusiast and like many others in the area, am proud of our commitment to the many local clubs and groups that meet on a voluntary basis. Having lived here for many years, I can confirm that this site has never been of any recreational value to us as a community – it has been locked up and inaccessible to the public under its private ownership. We are proud to play against other teams outside the borough and welcome as many visitors as we can to participate in matches and tournaments. It is rare that an opportunity for 9.5 acres of land to be gifted to us for such purposes presents itself. If your latest Local Plan modification results in the site becoming Local Green Space then whilst we will have a nice place to sit, what we really need is somewhere to practice and play in our own borough
1609	Laura Carew Gibbs	As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1610	Brent Lawson	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1611	Samantha Burrough	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1612	Craig Upshall	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1613	Alice Thatcher	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1614	Gayle Byford	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1615	Darren McCormick	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of "Local Green Space". As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1616	Heather Worsley	<p>I am writing as a resident of Teddington to express my anger and distress at the proposals to develop the space of Udney Park as residential development. The green areas of our neighbourhood are crucial in preserving the air quality as well as a resource for residents when streets are now full of cars and not safe to walk and play in. The young generation need to have this space available to experience the outdoors but also to value it and so enhance their awareness of the environment at a crucial time for the planet! Do not permit any further residential development on green space.</p>
1617	Andy Jones on behalf of Lions Sports Academy	<p>I am writing on behalf of Lions Sports Academy, a multi-sports organisation operating with Schools and Clubs across the Richmond borough, regarding the above Main Modification. The proposal to change the designation of this land from OOLTI to LGS is something that I and Lions Sports Academy oppose. Richmond has large amounts of green space relative to other London Boroughs due to the Royal Parks and council provision. However, there are significant limitations to the operation of sports activities in the Royal Parks and elsewhere in the Borough. The local facilities available for sport are limited and community open space and facilities are inadequate given the population. Whilst we have no direct interest in the sports ground, the Imperial College facilities at Udney Park Road were too expensive for us to hire for camps and specialist training activity. The absence of an artificial pitch was a major drawback, especially in the winter. As a result, the site was uneconomic for Imperial College to operate, leading to its sale.</p> <p>In contrast, we believe that the range of community based open space activities proposed in the current planning application is much more suited to the local community, since it will provide floodlit multi-use and artificial playing surfaces that better meet the needs of local clubs and coaching organisations such as ours and the Schools we serve.</p> <p>We understand that an application of LGS status requires an area to be demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife.</p> <p>Technical arguments against this re-designation have been made in previous consultation processes and it is relevant that the conclusion of the Planning Inspector was that OOLTI provided an adequate level of protection from inappropriate development. Given the existing OOLTI designation, applied consistently over many years, the proposed re-designation, when there is a significant need for affordable housing, healthcare facilities and sustainable, multi-use sports grounds in the Borough appears strange to us. Turning to the various criteria, the playing fields at Udney Park Road can't be deemed demonstrably</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>special to the local community, since they have been closed, expensive to hire, private grounds for many years, only used occasionally by clubs or schools.</p> <p>There is no evidence of demonstrable beauty – the fields are all grass and the playing surface is gradually decaying through lack of investment. There is poorly maintained multi-use games area and the boundaries and fencing are in state of disrepair.</p> <p>The level of historic importance is hard to substantiate – despite its gift to St Mary’s Hospital many years ago, nothing of significance appears to have occurred on the site over the past 50-100 years.</p> <p>There has been very little recreational value for the local community since the policy and prices of Imperial College inhibited local clubs and sports organisations/schools from using the land to any great extent. This is not, and never has been, open park land owned by the council. The land has been closed, private land, essentially unused by the community use for many years and of considerably lower recreational value or utility than that envisaged in the proposed development.</p> <p>Regarding tranquillity, nowhere in central Teddington is tranquil given local traffic and aircraft noise. It is only ‘quiet’ because historically, other than one school’s term time use, no one else could afford to regularly use the sports ground.</p> <p>In terms of wildlife, whilst we are not involved directly, we understand that surveys undertaken to date do not appear to demonstrate any richness within the boundaries of the site – all it is are grass pitches and poor-quality hedges. The trees on the boundary appear to be poor quality in-fill of negligible value.</p> <p>In summary, we believe that a Local Green Space designation is unjustified, and that it could stand in the way of developing a facility that the community appears to need and could benefit from. Turning private, largely unused land into facilities of real value appears a better outcome for the Borough than designating it LGS and having the site deteriorate over years until some other solution is found for this land in the heart of Teddington.</p> <p>As a consequence, Lions Sports Academy and I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to change the designation of the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to Local Green Space.</p>
1618	Matthew Battle (Newland House School)	Newland House School, a junior preparatory school in Teddington with over 450 pupils, leased Udney Park as its primary location for sport for over 30 years up-to the unfortunate sale by Imperial College to a developer in 2015. We played rugby, netball, rounders, cricket and football 6 days per week during winter

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>and summer months, when the cricket pitches were prepared by Richmond CC for their use and Newland House played most afternoons and Saturday mornings.</p> <p>The school also used the Pavilion for changing rooms and function rooms for hosting parents which is in need of modernising though has character and potential. Imperial College also made the pitches and Pavilion available for rent on an ad-hoc basis to other local clubs and schools, and independently ran summer camps which were attended by many children from the school.</p> <p>My children attended Newland House for 10 years or so and I have been a Governor with responsibility for estates for 6 years, so I have personal knowledge of the community use I describe. Sport is an essential part of local community life in Teddington and there is a major shortage of pitch space to meet the demand from schools and clubs and during this 'sale period' for Udney Park , Newland House School has now relocated its sport to an different location in the Borough.</p> <p>As a school we are keen to maintain sporting facilities in the borough and therefore supportive of any proposal which preserves Local Green Space, such as Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
1619	Shirley Gibbs	<p>I would like to make an objection to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. These playing fields are of specific importance to the local community, as there is a shortage of local playing fields.</p> <p>It is essential that it remains a green space and not be built up with an accompanying increase in traffic. It is also home to 8 species of bats, which are protected and must be kept this way.</p>
1620	K Cooper	<p>Udney Park has been a playing field for many years and the LGS status should not be removed. Udney Park is a central green space and there is lack of playing fields in this area.</p> <p>As there is an increase in the population in this area, it is more than necessary to retain Udney Park as it is.</p>
1621	Terence Worster	<p>As a resident of Teddington for more than 45 years I do not believe that the designation of the Udney Park Playing Fields as a Local Green Space would benefit the vast majority of the current population of Teddington. Teddington is most fortunate in already having a Royal Park of 1100 acres just over 500m away in one direction and the River Thames with the adjacent Ham Lands a similar distance away in another.</p> <p>Udney Park playing Fields most not be lost for the benefits that it could provide in increasing the playing of sports which until recently was limited to the fortunate few. More importantly the opportunity of providing a new local doctors surgery for the current Park Road Surgery with a patient list in excess of 13,125 taking</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>them from their current inadequate facilities to a surgery fit for the 21st century. Surely the health of the community deserves serious support.</p> <p>I believe that other local organisations likely to benefit from the proposed development include the Scouts who lost their facilities due to the development of the church in Station Road and the Park Lane Stables who require additional facilities.</p> <p>In addition to all the above Quantum the owners of the site are proposing to provide a number of much needed extra-care homes.</p> <p>For all the above reasons I am strongly against the Udney Park Playing Fields being designated as a Local Green Space to the detriment of the majority of the Teddington Community.</p>
1622	Louise Davies, Historic England - Archaeology Advisory Services	Thank you for your consultation. We do not have any comments on the on the proposed main modification in respect of Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields.
1623	Richard Annetts	<p>Local green space is at a premium and to build on existing sporting pitches like Udney Park is a travesty for local sports clubs in the area and deprives future generations of children from playing sport in the community and pushes them to a sedentary lifestyle instead. If we continue to build on green space and take away local sporting facilities then we can only expect the outcome to be the growing problem of childhood obesity and the strain that is putting on the NHS.</p> <p>My concern right now is that if Udney Park is handed over for development and great profit to Quantum and with St Mary's University also planning to apply for more student accommodation at one of their sites and build on their Broom Lock facility on Broom Road, this will mean local sports clubs will have very little space to facilitate sporting activities in an area already overloaded. If St Mary's move their running track there will be no space for existing sports clubs that use that facility to play or conduct training and the loss of space at Udney Park further restricting available sports space. The council have no more pitches that can be rented which will mean moving our sports activities a lot further out of the area and/or closing down some of the children's sports teams.</p> <p>Here are some pertinent points regarding my objection to the removal of Local Green Space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders. <p>If land continues to be given for development, children in our community will never have the opportunity to play sports. I believe this is our one opportunity in Teddington and the surrounding area to provide sport for our future young people. I therefore strongly oppose the loss of Local Green Space.</p>
1624	Deepa Reddy	<p>I would like to provide my personal objection for the removal of this status.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.
1625	S A Allison	<p>As a resident of more than 20 years of [Teddington address details removed for data protection], I strongly object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields. Udney Park Playing Fields are and for many years have been a very important local amenity and a notable and much valued feature of the neighbourhood. They should not to be sacrificed to development plans which, if implemented, would heavily detract from the environment and character of the town. There is no local need for the development; indeed there is already near to completion a major development of flats and town houses less than a mile away on a brown field site. There is a severe shortage of playing fields in the area, which this removal of LGS status and the proposed development would exacerbate. I also understand that Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.</p>
1626	Mari Day	<p>I am writing to strongly object to the removal of Local Green Status for Udney Park Playing Fields. I am raising two children in Teddington and these playing fields are an essential asset to the community. Outdoor activity is key to my children's health and they provide much needed pitches for sports activity in our local community without having to use a car to drive further afield to access playing field space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I walk my children to school, past Udney Park playing fields. To replace any of this amazing green space with buildings, increased traffic and parking problems will destroy the health benefits of this open green space in our community.</p> <p>The playing fields are home to an abundance of wildlife including a number of endangered species, worthy of protection from the damaging effects of any building work that the developer is proposing to carry out. I urge you to protect our local playing fields.</p>
1627	Gavin Miller	<p>As a former resident of Teddington High St and a current member of the Harlequin Amateur Rugby club I would like to register an objection to the removal of Udney Park's LGS status.</p> <p>The area is in dire need of sports spaces and recreational fields. There are many sports teams that compete for local sports fields and changing facilities that would occupy the space at short notice and provide ongoing usage for full sporting facilities (Harlequin Amateurs and Thamesians rugby, football, hockey and many others).</p> <p>I would happily sign any petition and object to any plans to develop this site residentially primarily due to the loss of the sports grounds but additionally for many other reasons such as overpopulation of that space.</p>
1628	John Woolley	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status for the Udney Park playing fields, though I offer no unique insights.</p> <p>LGS provides protection for current open spaces, (in this case to a playing field). Were it to be removed then I fear and would object to the subsequent commercial development that has been proposed.</p> <p>There is a dearth of playing fields locally, where several local clubs have no permanent home, and for obvious social and health reasons – well articulated in national and Government initiatives - I think that <i>any</i> reduction in these assets should be strongly resisted. It is to be regretted that such facilities as do exist, including Udney Park when under the ownership of Imperial College, have not been utilised more widely, but that is no reason to change their status and intent. We should instead resolve to do better in the future. In this regard it should be noted that Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years.</p> <p>Losing LGS status would open the path for Udney Park to be commercially developed, involving the construction of luxury apartments, for which there is no local need. (Note that there are already three hundred expensive flats being built nearby). Not only no need, but they would add to the existing overdevelopment of the area: traffic, and associated infrastructure.</p>
1629	Amy Parker	<p>My name is Amy Parker and I am a 24 year old living in the Twickenham area.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I am unfortunately a sufferer is depression and anxiety and I'm telling you this because in my spare time I like to be outside as much as possible. It infuriates me to hear that another green space is up for development and the concrete jungle is growing closer to home.</p> <p>It is disgusting that money in fat cats pockets is more valuable than our green spaces which literally provide life for us not to mention the wildlife.</p> <p>We need to stand together and fight the destruction of our planet even if it is step by step.</p> <p>Please save Udney Park.</p>
1630	Melanie Spencer, on behalf of 3rd Teddington Scout Group	<p>I write as Executive Committee Chair of 3rdTeddington Scout Group to support the designation in planning terms of Udney Park Playing Fields as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI)".</p> <p>We believe that its OOLTI designation provides the right balance of control and criteria to retain as much openness of the site as possible while providing Teddington with a valuable public resource for community and sporting activities.</p> <p>We do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space (LGS). It has had no community or historical significance so conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse.</p> <p>Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the (Local Plan) Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>The LGS designation could directly rob Teddington of the confirmed offer of 9.5 acres of purpose built community and sporting facilities and public open space to be gifted in perpetuity by the owners of this private land – if their planning application is approved. This gift would be managed by a Community Interest Company, and offers a resource which local groups cannot afford to secure on their own. Under LGS, the land could go from occasional use to no use at all as the owners are under no obligation to allow</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>public access to the site. It would remove the site's recreational value as playing fields as these would no longer be maintained or used.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site - but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the (Local Plan) Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>Therefore, we reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1631	David Cull	<p>I wish to strenuously object to the removal of LGS status of Udney Park in teddington. There is a significant shortage of green space in the area, particularly for sports such as football. I believe losing this green space would be detrimental to the development of children in the local area.</p>
1632	Clive Jenkins	<p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Since then it has remained a playing field for 100 years.</p> <p>There is a severe shortfall of playing fields in the area and there are several local clubs that have no permanent home for which Udney Park would be ideal.</p> <p>There is also clear evidence that Udney Park is home to several protected species of bat and is a critical part of an ecological network of parks and river embankments.</p> <p>Against this background, which, the developer, Quantum, must have been aware it still went ahead and acquired this land for a speculative development. The proposed development whilst, no doubt, extremely profitable for the developers will be of little no benefit to the general public of Teddington.</p> <p>It takes no account of, or merely ignores, the fact that this site was intended as a war memorial and offers nothing that can remotely compensate for the loss of open space and public amenity.</p> <p>It is interesting to note that in 2016 Richmond Council approved Udney Park as Local Green Space. Clearly there must have been sufficient weight of evidence for it to do so.</p> <p>However after much lobbying by the developers the Inspector would, it appears unilaterally, cut 'Local Green Space' from the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Inspector must have erred in making this decision, or was just wrong to do so, because his decision was subsequently overturned by the High Court.</p> <p>For all of these reasons Udney Park's status as Local Green Space should be reinstated.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1633	Brian Cornick	<p>I wish to register my objection to the proposed removal of LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields. It is an important part of the available playing field facilities in the area. There is great demand for playing field facilities. This playing field was established by a generous gift many years ago and this should be recognised and honoured. It is also a significant link in the network of local green spaces allowing the protection and conservation of local wildlife</p>
1634	Carolyn O'Leary	<p>I wish to object in the strongest manner to the removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing fields and would please ask that this application be once again rejected for the following reasons. Udney Park is a war memorial that was donated for amateur sport use and for the last 100 years has been serving this purpose. I think it's disgusting that Quantum are looking to profit off our cherished green space that is not only a home for our local sports teams but also for many different types of wildlife, including 8 protected species of bat. Quantum has already shown that they have no respect for this natural environment and protected space when they sought to have many of the protected trees on site removed. They've also had a large portion of the grounds fenced off for the past year, stopping local teams from using the space and further reducing the ability for community clubs/programs to provide options for healthy active living choices. We absolutely do not need another block of overpriced "luxury" flats that only cater to the Over 60's. This will not be affordable housing and will not help the shortage in any way. Above all, Udney Park is protected green space and not a brown-field. Quantum knew this was protected space when they bought it and have actively and systematically attacked every angle they could to get planning permission. It would set a horrible precedent within the borough should green spaces be allowed to be bought for cheap by developers and then wear the council down through multiple and repetitive planning applications with the sole purpose of profiting off our community space. Please do not let this happen. I wish to once again object to the removal of the LGS status for Udney Park, thank you for your time.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1635	Ken Thatcher	I wish that you retain the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park and therefore OBJECT to the removal of this designation from the draft local plan because of its significant contribution to the local area for its recreational value, beauty as an open space and an area for wildlife.
1636	Joan Gibson	I object to the removal of local green space protection from Udney Park playing fields in Teddington. Green space is too valuable to wellbeing and needs protecting.
1637	John Cole	As a borough resident, and a parent with children who require outdoor space for exercise and activities such as football in Udney Park, I strongly OBJECT to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. It is important that we protect our outdoor and green spaces for future generations and removing this protection will no doubt be the first step in turning this site over for development
1638	Nicola Lawrie	I am a resident of this neighbourhood at [address details removed for data protection] , Teddington and have 3 primary aged children. Two of my children belong to Hearts football club, and as there is a shortage of green space for such sporting activities, my 5 year old has to play at St Mary's ground on Broom Road and my 8 year old plays his home matches out at Carlisle Park in Hampton or at Broom Road rec if we are lucky enough to be able hire a pitch there. The situation is ridiculous given the green space at Udney Park. The mere thought of it having its LGS status removed and its likely sale for development is shameful when we are continually told our nation is becoming obese and our children are inactive, overweight and unfit. I personally would like my children to benefit from all of our local green spaces, including Udney Park Gardens which is very close to our house and school, so that they can enjoy the environment we chose for them when we moved here around 6 years ago and have the childhood that they deserve.
1639	Winston Taylor, for and on behalf of Richmond and Twickenham Green Party	Richmond and Twickenham Green Party welcome the opportunity to comment on the Council's Consultation on Proposed Main Modification in respect of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation at Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington (UPPF). Richmond and Twickenham Green Party is part of the Green Party of England and Wales, a registered political party. Its membership broadly consists of Green Party members resident in the Parliamentary Constituencies of Twickenham and Richmond Park. At 1 March 2019 Richmond and Twickenham Green Party had 234 members. We strongly object to the removal of LGS status for UPPF.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The criteria for granting LGS status to an area is set out in Paragraph 100, National Planning Policy Framework. It reads:</p> <p>'100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the Green Space is</p> <p>(a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</p> <p>(b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife; and</p> <p>(c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.'</p> <p>Looking at the framework it is clear that all three conditions (a), (b) and (c) must be met. Condition (b) is a general condition 'demonstrably special to a local community' followed by a number of possible examples through which the general condition can be shown to be met. It is our view that only one of the examples needs to apply for LGS status to be appropriate. However, we consider that all the examples are satisfied in any event.</p> <p>Dealing first with (c), it is our view that UPPF, at 12.7 acres and situated in the heart of Teddington, are local in character and not an extensive tract of land. Published commentaries on this provision state that its intention is to ensure that LGS status is not applied to large tracts of land. LGS status is similar to green belt status and it was never the intention of policymakers to allow further large tracts of land effective green belt status through the back door. This is clearly not the position here.</p> <p>(a) is, we submit, equally simple to deal with despite the views of the previous Inspector. UPPF is close to Teddington High Street on the edge of central Teddington and surrounded by mainly residential properties. It clearly services and serves the local community by providing sports facilities for recreational sport and as an oasis of quiet and tranquility in a busy Outer London Town.</p> <p>For condition (b) to be met UPPF must be 'demonstrably special to a local community'. It has been used as playing fields for nearly 100 years, firstly by Merchant Taylor's school and later by St Mary's Hospital Medical School before being taken over by Imperial College, University of London, following its merger with that college. It is well known locally that UPPF was donated to St Mary's Hospital Medical School under covenant by Lord Beverbrook for amateur sports in perpetuity.</p> <p>Despite UPPF being owned privately, the playing fields have also been used by local sports clubs right up to the present day.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>In about 2015 Richmond Borough and Sport England carried out a Borough wide Playing Fields Assessment. The report concluded that UPPF was essential to meeting the demand for outdoor recreation in the Borough. In our view the use of UPPF by the local Teddington community and the overall pressure on outdoor playing fields in the Borough are sufficient to show that the UPPF is demonstrably special to a local community. However, we will now go on to consider in more detail the five particular examples mentioned in (b).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Beauty UPPF is an oasis in a busy Outer London Town. 2. Historic Significance As indicated above, UPPF has been used as playing fields for nearly 100 years by both Merchant Taylor's school and St Mary's College Medical School and the local Teddington community. UPPF was purchased by Lord Beverbrook under covenant for use as amateur sports, mainly rugby union, in perpetuity. 3. Recreational Value (including as a playing field) Richmond Borough as a whole has a shortage of playing fields and there are several local sports clubs , other than those asociated with Quantum and Teddington CIC that are looking for playing fields. In our view, LGS status is particulally appropriate for UPPF to protect its use as playing fields. 4. Tranquility UPPF is an oasis of calm and tranquility within a busy Outer London Town. As such it contributes greatly to the health and wellbeing of the local community. 5. Richness of Wildlife. UPPF is home to at least 8 protected species of Bat. Again, we would argue that LGS status is particularly appropriate to enhance the protection of these species. <p>In our view the evidence shows that all the five conditions in the second part of (b) are met. Conclusion: We confirm that we strongly object to the removal of LGS status from UPPF.</p>
1640	Kel Razza	<p>The Teddington area is already very short of playing pitch space and all of the capacity at Udney Park is needed to meet the demand from youth football and other sports in our community. As a coach for hearts U10 boys team who have to play at various pitches around the borough with no fixed time or location due to in part to the success of hearts football club as well not enough pitches being available and feel very strongly about the continual lose of green space .</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I strongly believe we need to work hard to preserve this valuable space as a open green space and can not reiterate strongly enough my objection to the removal of LGS.</p> <p>In addition while looking at what had been written on this subject, I was surprised to find out that the space was given in covenant to the memory of those who gave up their lives so that we have the freedom to express our feelings without fear, surely that has to count for something!</p>
1641	Enda Hendron	<p>I want to object the Removal of the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant.</p> <p>Udney Park is central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need.</p>
1642	David Blaikley	<p>I wish to object strongly to any removal of Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington.</p> <p>How lucky we are in Teddington to have such a special site that serves the community so well. These green playing fields are such a rarity in our congested town/city, and must be retained. Once they - or any portion of them - are gone, they can never be recovered. They are an amenity that can help young and old alike stay active, as well as provide the neighbourhood with some space in which to breathe. The population density of the local area has already increased dramatically in recent years, and there is already extensive development of "luxury" accommodation in the vicinity. We need no more. What we need is to retain the privilege of this lovely open green area, to be enjoyed many for many years to come. It would be a tragedy for the community for it to lose its Local Green Space Designation. If ever an area deserves such a Designation, Udney Park Playing Fields is that area.</p>
1643	Kate Rashkes	<p>I object to the development on Udney Park playing fields.</p>
1644	Gavin Clarke	<p>I am writing to oppose the removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington, Middlesex.</p> <p>Udney Park occupies a special place in the heart of our community: for a century, generations have benefitted from direct access to this common sporting and recreational space while the land around it has been developed, leaving Udney park hemmed in.</p> <p>Losing Udney would break with the spirit of the donors' original endowment a century ago and exacerbate the shortage of playing fields that currently exists for our local clubs.</p> <p>It would indirectly impact the mental and physical health of people in Teddington. The presence of green spaces in communities is a proven way of helping promote mental health and wellbeing, thereby improving</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>quality of life. Thanks to its location in the heart of Teddington – where it’s surrounded by residents, students and workers - this is a role played by Udney.</p> <p>Finally, Udney provides a vital link in London’s chain of open, green spaces and it makes a major contribution to our environment.</p> <p>A number of our native wildlife species have suffered sharp declines in their population numbers during the post-war period in part thanks to destruction of habitats. Udney is a bulwark against that – a valuable home to wildlife in Teddington and serving the area more broadly, as a link in the London-network of parks and its proximity to river banks in the borough.</p> <p>Illustrating Udney’s importance in this regard is the presence of eight protected species of bat. Their presence bring a vital component to the biodiversity or our local ecosystem.</p> <p>Udney also plays a vital part in the local fight against climate change.</p> <p>As a green space Udney provides a means for rain from flash storms to soak away rather than run off into local streets and roads, causing flooding and damage. Being undeveloped and covered in vegetation and trees means, too, that Udney plays a vital role in reducing local air temperatures during hot weather and in soaking up pollution; as a green lung, Udney therefore serves the health of those in Teddington while helping clean and cool the climate for Londoners more broadly.</p>
1645	Kate Brookes	Please don't allow developers to build over our last remaining patches of green open space. We need it for the overall health of the community, our children, wildlife and the planet. I hereby register my objection to Udney Park being built over.
1646	M Tomlinsen	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.</p>
1647	R A Carr	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1648	L Miles	<p>I would like to see the current status remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become an open Park with gardens and playing fields. Perhaps a much-needed <u>Doctors surgery and healthcare facility</u> could be built ease the overcrowding of other surgeries in the area.</p> <p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <i>Local Green Space</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to submit these thoughts with your departments concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
1649	D Hunter	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’, there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as ‘Local Green Space’.</p>
1650	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1651	Mr P Hales	<p>I do not agree with the site being designated as Local Green space and I do not believe the Council has demonstrated why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.</p> <p>The Inspector’s recent decision to remove several of the criteria from the list of criteria for LGS demonstrates the LGS designation was based on inadequate evidence and was not consistent with national policy.</p> <p>I support the planning Inspectors original decision to keep the site as OOLTI.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1652	Bradley Schofiels	<p>As part of your public consultation, I would like the Council to know that I do not agree with any proposals you may be considering to give the sports ground in Udney Park Rd a status of “Local Green Space”. As well as not meeting NPPF guidelines to give it this status (it is not a place of beauty or tranquility) there are other reasons why the council should not change it.</p> <p>Following the 2012 Olympics, many councils across the UK saw a revival in community led sports activities, and the Government was wholly supportive, both in terms of encouraging world class sportsmen and having a fit and healthy country.</p> <p>I do not understand, therefore, why Richmond Council feels it more appropriate to make a piece of land an area of local green space – and overlook an opportunity for 9.5 acres of free land to be gifted to its residents for sport, leisure and recreational use in perpetuity.</p> <p>If you change the status of this private piece of land, you will inevitably end up with a site that both the council and LBRuT taxpayers will have to fund in terms of future maintenance and upkeep.</p> <p>I hope that the right decision is made at the end of the public consultation, and that you keep us fully informed of any progress.</p>
1653	Kathryn Parkin	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1654	Tim Parkin	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1655	Jacques Frankenberger	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1656	Michaela Millard	<p>I am writing to lodge my objection to the removal of LGS status of Udney Park playing fields. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. Udny Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is not local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown field sites.</p> <p>All policies that protect playing fields apply to public and private land - Quantum knew this when they outbid 3 community bidders.</p> <p>It is vital that the LGS status is retained to protect the playing fields for this and future generations.</p> <p>Teddington is an amazing family focused town and we need more, not less green space!</p> <p>Please retain LGS status of Udney Park playing fields.</p>
1657	Ann & Bernard King	<p>We are writing to support the suggestion that the playing field should remain an OOLTI space and that the current plans to include the building of new premises for the Park road surgery should be promoted.</p> <p>We like the idea that the space will still include some playing fields and tennis courts, and that sheltered accommodation for older people should also be considered as a possibility for the site. Clearly, both the new surgery and the sheltered accommodation would need good parking facilities as also would the leisure facilities. One of the problems with the site of the present surgery in Park Lane is that parking is very difficult.</p> <p>It is a pity that if the surgery is relocated on the Udney Park site, it will be quite close to the surgery already situated on the High Street, but it seems that no other suitable site can be offered.</p> <p>One suggestion we might make is that if the sheltered accommodation goes ahead, some affordable housing should be added to help younger people get a foot on the housing ladder. This can be done by building the affordable housing on the outside of the development such that it doesn't impinge too much on elderly residents! This has been done quite successfully in Topsham, Devon, with the Armada Court sheltered accommodation.</p> <p>We do sympathise with the residents of Udney Park road and might well feel as they do if we lived there, but it seems a shame for the site to be so underused.</p> <p>Just a thought, but could more use be made of the playing field behind Collis Primary school in Fairfax road? Does it belong to the school, or is it borough property?</p> <p>Good luck with your deliberations; it is never easy!</p>
1658	Robert Williams	<p>I am writing to you to object to the removal of Local Green Space designation to Udney Park Playing Fields. As a local resident I believe Udney Park Playing Fields are of special value to our community. They have been enjoyed as playing fields for around 100 years.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I know from first-hand experience that they have genuine recreational value and are benefitting local clubs. Local green space areas must be protected from hedge funds and developers who want to build luxury apartments and line their pockets while local communities suffer the loss of valuable recreational space.
1659	Olivia Kerigan	<p>I, Olivia Kerigan, of [address details removed for data protection] teddington, object to to the change in LGS status of Udney park in teddington.</p> <p>As a resident of Teddington for 24 years this is an outrageous and frankly unacceptable change in our local environment.</p> <p>I myself have enjoyed the sporting facilities available and it would be a tragedy to remove these for future generations.</p> <p>I concur with the below points raised by fellow residents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
1660	Alison Campbell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would like to object to the removal of LGS stays from Udney Park. The area is already densely populated with small, congested roads. <p>UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.
1661	Harry Stafford	<p>I am writing in regards to the LGS status of Udney park.</p> <p>As a recent resident of teddington, I have come to love the local green spaces in our idyllic town. The removal of Udney Park's LGS status would be detrimental to the enjoyment of the space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Working as a property manager, I can also attest to the benefits that open green spaces have on the local populace. As such, I object to the removal of the LGC status from Udney park.
1662	Stephen Paul Kerigan	I write to register my strong objection to the removal of LGS status on the Udney Park playing fields. This green space is crucial to the ongoing enjoyment of many sports clubs and activities as there is a distinct lack of such playing fields in the area; Teddington does not need yet more luxury houses/apartments in addition to those already being built within a few hundred yards on the site of the old Teddington studios; Any new residential development will put even more strain on already stretched local resources such as schools, GP practices etc; I understand that there is a covenant on the land which restricts its use to amateur sport - how can this be simply ignored in the pursuit of profit?
1663	Linda Cartwright	I strongly OBJECT to the proposed removal of the local green space protection at Udney Park in Teddington. My children both play football for Hearts of Teddlothian. This growing club provides football for all children in the community regardless of ability. Over 625 children currently play football for Hearts and are reliant on the small number of local pitches available. Giving private developers the opportunity to develop this valuable green space would be a travesty, especially at a time when childhood obesity is on the increase. Any activity that encourages children to exercise can never be a bad thing and loss of this green space will mean less area for any activity. I strongly oppose the loss of this local green space.
1664	Sophie Ramsay	I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the attempted removal of local green space status from Udney Park Playing Fields. To attempt the removal of Local Green Space Status is a cynical ploy by property developer Quantam motivated by the desire to profit at the expense of the local community by building luxury apartments which are in fact not needed in Teddington as there are already 300 flats under construction within half a mile of Udney Park on unprotected brown-field sites. When Quantam bid on Udney Park they were fully aware that it was land with local green space status, yet they carried on in the hope that their money and greed could over come this when they out bid community bidders.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is not only a much needed green open space for our community, an area that schools and children's clubs use for recreational activities in a time when we have a growing childhood obesity problem and local schools are forced to build on their playing fields to cope with ever increasing need for school places locally this space is much needed. It is also a piece of land that was given in a bequest to be used in perpetuity for amateur sport and recreation with in the local community. It is also a piece of land that is a war memorial. So buildings on Udney Park is to ignore that Covenant.</p> <p>Green spaces need to preserved for the sake of the future of our planet, we are responsible for taking action on climate change now, so not covering yet more green spaces with more concrete and destroying the local eco system by covering Udney Park with more luxury flats would show Richmond council are committed to acting on Climate change.</p> <p>We live in challenging times where people are exposed to ever increasing levels of stress, it has been scientifically proven that for those who live in the City access to green open spaces help people manage the stress of their daily lives.</p> <p>Please do not let Quantum over turn the Local Green Space status of Udney Park, all they are interested in is profit and have no concern for the well being of the local community, children, the environment or the war memorial covenant.</p>
1665	Katy Watson	<p>It's unfortunate that I find myself in the position of writing to the council to object to an inappropriate decision that seems to be being considered for Teddington and its environs.</p> <p>I was born and bred in Teddington and have been a staunch supporter of local independent businesses (of which are dying breed) and the beautiful green spaces of the area (which are diminishing before my eyes).</p> <p>Getting straight to the point, I think it would be appalling to see that something such as the LGS status given to Udney Park playing fields, which was approved by Richmond council, be withdrawn so easily. Why would this even be considered? Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home – that's hardly testament to the borough's principles towards budding sports enthusiasts of the area.</p> <p>Teddington has a plethora of houses and few green spaces – park aside – but those we do have help make it the desirable area it is to live in.</p> <p>We watch the news whereby we're informed that obesity in young children is on the increase, so it's important that we keep these playing fields as a place where current and future generations can come and enjoy sport in the community, keeping themselves fit and healthy. There are many young families in the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>area whose children growing up will be looking to get involved in sports within the community, we need this space to help that happen as well as promote wellbeing not only physically but mentally too.</p> <p>I often walk past on a Saturday / Sunday morning and see the children running around with their families cheering them on playing football / rugby in the field and it gives a great sense family unit. This is how we should be spending time together, and what makes it such a popular area.</p> <p>If this status is revoked it means that the fields will be built on, a community will be lost and pollution and buildings increased. Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p> <p>Let's keep Teddington as it should be, a great place for young families to enjoy with open space for sports and enjoyment - to keep the long term health of local families heading in the right direction.</p> <p>We should be encouraging sport to the younger generations not taking it away from them due to greed and profit. As stated your strategic principles;</p> <p><i>Richmond council Parks and Open Spaces Strategic Principles</i></p> <p><i>The protection, improvement and management of these spaces is of primary importance to the Borough's residents, who rate the quality of their environment as a priority</i></p> <p><i>The Borough has a strong 'parks culture', with parks and open spaces highly valued as the hub for local communities for activities, events, sports and relaxation.</i></p> <p><i>The Management of Parks and Open Spaces will create a sustainable legacy for future generations. The Quality of our Parks and open spaces will continue to define LBRuT. Parks and Opens spaces will enrich the life, health and wellbeing of residents and visitors. Richmond will lead in the delivery of excellent parks and open spaces services. Increased community participation will be encouraged and supported. The Parks and Open Spaces within Richmond will be centres of excellence and celebrated.</i></p> <p><i>Parks and Open Spaces will provide:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe, welcoming, accessible and stimulating spaces for all our residents and visitors • Opportunities to enhance the health, well-being and quality of life of our residents • Facilities for sport and opportunities to develop a more healthy and active lifestyle <p>Parks and open spaces reflect the cultural and natural heritage of the Borough and also provide wildlife habitat (Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat), contribute to carbon reduction and flood water management and form green transport links.</p> <p>Please don't revoke the LGS status from Udney Park playing fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1666	Dean Potter on behalf of the Football Foundation	<p>I write in respect of the Local Green Space Consultation for Udney Park Playing Fields, on behalf of the Football Foundation.</p> <p>The Football Foundation considers that Udney Park Playing Fields should be designated as a Local Green Space, as was put forward by the Council in the Local Plan, on the basis that the existing playing fields should be protected. Udney Park Playing Fields may now be owned by a developer, but is has been used for community sport for many years.</p> <p>Based on present evidence from the Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) there are four grass football pitches comprising of two 7v7 pitches and two 11v11 pitches. The PPS suggests that current demand is being met for adult, 5v5, 7v7, 9v9; however, there is a shortfall in pitches for youth 11v11 matches. The same applies to future demand, however with an expected increase in teams during the period of the PPS, the youth 11v11 situation is exacerbated.</p> <p>Therefore, we fully support this site being designated as a Local Green Space, to protect future sporting use for generations to come.</p>
1667	Jo Casley	<p>As a resident of Kingston Lane, Teddington which backs on to the Udney Park field and proposed development site, I wish to state my personal objection to the removal of Local Green Space status for Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>Despite Teddington being a very family oriented area we are desperately short of public green space for playing sports and childrens recreation. Many local sports clubs do not have a place to play, and it seems grossly unfair to remove the right of local residents to protect their local green space from development, when the developers who purchased it were absolutely aware of its green space designation when they bid on it.</p> <p>Udney park playing fields is important for local wildlife, sports, childrens' health and the general air quality of the surrounding residential area. Please do the right thing by the residents of this area and do not remove the safeguard protection of LGS designation form this important space.</p>
1668	Charlie Greenwood	<p>I am writing as a Teddington resident, to object to the removal of LGS status from Udney Park fields.</p> <p>Whilst I am supportive of the need to open up Udney Park fields more effectively to local residents and sports teams, I do not believe that by significantly reducing the amount of pitches and green space, in order to facilitate a large residential development is the way to do this.</p> <p>Green spaces and sports facilities are very important to the local community, and children in particular, and the LGS status should remain.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1669	Corrina Turner	<p>I strongly object to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) protection.</p> <p>Q: Please ask yourselves: what do we need more for our well-being: urban green spaces or more urban built up areas?</p> <p>A: Space, lots of it and especially of the green variety.</p> <p>Q: Why then build on local green spaces, such as the Udney Park fields?</p> <p>A: Because housing developers are desperate for land they can build high spec accommodation on, which they will then sell at great profit.</p> <p>Q: What and whom will the housing proposed for the Udney Park fields benefit?</p> <p>A: Quantum will build retirement flats/assisted living accommodation on the site.</p> <p>Q: So would it benefit young families, families whose children attend the local schools.</p> <p>A: No. There is no plan to build housing for this part of the community, nor will there be any social housing built on the site.</p> <p>Q: What about the sport facilities currently in use at the Udney Park fields?</p> <p>A: Quantum currently propose to create some sporting facilities for the community. However, there is no certainty that the developer will keep their promise, and once the LGS protection has been removed there is a risk that ALL of the land could be used for development, be it in the next year or in 10 years time.</p> <p>Q: What is my specific objection to removing the LGS protection</p> <p>A: This area is already very short of playing pitch space and all of the capacity at Udney Park is needed to meet the demand from youth football and other sports in our community.</p> <p>Just to give you an example, my son's local football club, Hearts of Teddlothian has 625 players. However, they have no home ground and have to rent council pitches all around the local area, in Hampton, Whitton and Teddington. If Udney Park is handed over for development and great profit to Quantum and with St Mary's University also planning to apply for more student accommodation at one of their sites and build on their Broom Lock facility on Broom Road, this will mean Hearts of Teddlothian FC will have very little space to play football in an already overloaded area. Similarly, if St Mary's move their running track Hearts will have no space for U6's through to the U9's to play or conduct training and they will lose space for two 11v11 pitches. The council have no more pitches Hearts can rent which will mean moving their matches a lot further out of the area and/or closing down some of their children's teams.</p> <p>Local green space is at a premium and to build on existing sporting pitches like Udney Park is a travesty for clubs like Hearts. It deprives future generations of children from playing sport in the community and pushes</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>them on to their Xboxes instead. If we continue to build on green space and take away local sporting facilities then we can only expect the outcome to be the growing problem of childhood obesity and the strain that is putting on the NHS.</p> <p>I believe this is our one opportunity in Teddington to safeguard prime playing fields for our future young people. Therefore I strongly oppose the removal of Local Green Space protection.</p>
1670	Tom Kemp-Potter	<p>I strongly object to the removal of LGS status on Udney Park playing fields. Removing LGS status sets a terrible precedent - these playing fields need to be protected. Playing fields should not be built on - once they are gone, they are gone for good.</p>
1671	Philippa Smith	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1672	Name unclear	<p>I wish to express my views that I am against the designation of private land at Udney Park as Local Green Space and therefore do not agree with your local plan modifications. . The land has always been and is currently of minimal recreational benefit to the community as you need a license to access it – and thus gives little recreational value. Changing the status from OOLTI to LGS could prevent any sustainable development and leave 12.5 acres of land in the heart of Teddington unavailable to the local community.</p> <p>LBRuT should take the advice of the appointed government Planning Inspector who felt this should remain as OOLTI rather than be swayed by a handful of residents who only wish to prevent the local community from enjoying this space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1673	Vincent Harte	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1674	Name unclear	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1675	Name unclear	<u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility . The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.
1676	Name unclear	It is currently protected as ' <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> '. The protection guarantees the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>.</p> <p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1677	Michelle Lyons	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1678	Susan Reeves	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land.</p> <p>I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1679	P Mills	<p>I would like to see the current status remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become an open Park with gardens and playing fields. Perhaps a much-needed <u>Doctors surgery and healthcare facility</u> could be built ease the overcrowding of other surgeries in the area.</p> <p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to submit these thoughts with your departments concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
1680	A Hunt	<p>It is currently protected as '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new <u>surgery and healthcare facility</u>. I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'. I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1681	L Alexander	<p>There is considerable protection under its current designation '<u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u>'.</p> <p>It is safeguarded by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is protected against <i>Inappropriate Development</i>. • It must remain a part of the <i>Urban Green Infrastructure</i> • <i>Views in and out</i> of the site must be maintained. • It must remain <i>Open & Natural in character</i>. • It must contribute to the <i>Local Character and Street Scene</i> <p>The current designation allows the potential to appropriately improve the land and provide <i>Parks, gardens and playing fields</i>. A new <i>healthcare facility</i> could also be considered. These improvements would positively contribute to the greater local community. I do not support any application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'.</p>
1682	Mrs P James	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed <u>Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility</u>. The community would surely benefit from this. I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1683	Joanna Freeman	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land.</p> <p>I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1684	Name unclear	<p>I would like to see the current status remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>. This is likely to benefit a wider community as it keeps the option open to future potential appropriate enhancement. This would mean the land may become an open Park with gardens and playing fields. Perhaps a much-needed <u>Doctors surgery and healthcare facility</u> could be built ease the overcrowding of other surgeries in the area.</p> <p>I do not agree with the modification to make the land at Udney Park road <u>Local Green Space</u>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land is not demonstrably special to the local community. • It has virtually no recreational value as it is private land. The public has no access to the land. <p>I would like to submit these thoughts with your departments concerning the issues surrounding the land adjacent to Udney Park road.</p>
1685	V Ritchie	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed <u>Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility</u>. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>
1686	Name unclear	<p>I support retaining the designation of <u>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u> to this land. Sensible and appropriate sustainable improvement of the land such as the introduction of a public park with gardens and playing fields would benefit the local community. Perhaps a <u>larger more effective and modern surgery</u> could be built on a small section of this land.</p> <p>I do not support the application to designate the site as <u>Local Green Space</u>. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community.</p>
1687	Name unclear	<p><u>There is a shortfall in GP floorspace in the Borough.</u> GP surgeries are overextended and struggling to accommodate the number of patients in the area. If this land were to remain as 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance', there is the potential to provide a much-needed <u>Doctors Surgery and healthcare facility</u>. The community would surely benefit from this.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to designate this land as 'Local Green Space'.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1688	Tom Doran Tom Doran	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1689	Laura Howarth	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1690	Kate Finch	I write to object to the removal of LGS status for these playing fields. This space was bequeathed to the community by Lord Beaverbrook for sporting use, there was no intention that it should ever be sold to property developers. This is a wonderful open space that is already home to a large variety of wildlife and we have the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>opportunity as a community to make it available for a great number local sporting clubs. Please do not let profit and commercial greed set the tone, we have been thrown a variety of sops by the company who purchased this land under the guise of 'giving back to the community' when we are all aware that their main motive has to be financial gain. Nothing wrong with commerce but it is not appropriate for this venue. Teddington is a lovely place and we need this land for recreational and breathing space. Please let the community run the playing fields as they were willing to all along. We have enough high end property in the area, if anything we need more social housing!</p>
1691	Rui Ma	<p>My name is Rui Ma. I am a resident in Teddington from [address details removed for data protection]. I object the removal of LGS (Local Green Status) because of its 100 years of rich history with recreation value such as the playing field. The Udney park is a central green area and it must be protected from "sneaky" plans for luxury apartments that is not needed by locals, rather it will harm the local community because it will increase the house price, make parking more difficult and bring more trouble to the local area. Also there has already been 300 luxury apartments under construction near the park.</p>
1692	Alasdair Turner	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space status to Udney Park Playing Fields. As a local resident I wish to voice my desire to see the retention of Udney Park Playing Fields as an important tract of land for future generations to enjoy for sport and recreation. It is prime playing field land and should be protected as such from development. Teddington has seen a lot of development in recent years: large blocks of flats built at the former Teddington Studios, Teddington Lock and the former Jewson site. Other brown field sites will become available for development The proposed development by Quantum of Udney Park Playing fields is therefore inappropriate.</p>
1693	Sian Gaughan	<p>Please register my request to object to Quantum Group's request to remove LGS status on Udney Park Gardens.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, that was gifted for the use of amateur sports. The luxury flats that Quantum want to build (on central green space) break this covenant and line the pockets of the investors. There will be no benefit to local residents if Quantum manage to remove LGS status on Udney Park Gardens. In fact this will result in a loss of local green space for local residents and families to play amateur sports.</p> <p>As a local resident I experience the Bats flying overhead at dusk/night, development of this land will kill these protected species. The bats have a right to remain in the park - undisturbed.</p> <p>Please please do not ignore the objections, please maintain LGS status on Udney Park Gardens.</p>
1694	P Syverson	<p>I am writing to register my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The playing fields has served as important green space playing fields for various sports for 100 years. In addition, Udney Park is a war memorial site donated under covenant for amateur sports purposes. It is vital for the local community that we do not lose this critical sports field and recreational green space.</p> <p>Too often local communities suffer as a result of corporate greed and profit goals linked to construction projects. I urge you to maintain the established LGS status for Udney Park playing fields, retaining this important community sports resource and wildlife space for local community use.</p>
1695	J Gosschalk	<p>We are local residents and, along with the majority of our community, have a young family with active, outdoors-based hobbies/sporting pursuits. I am writing to register my objection to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>The playing fields have served as important green space playing fields for various sports for 100 years. In addition, Udney Park is a war memorial site donated under covenant for amateur sports purposes. It is vital for the local community that we do not lose this critical sports field and recreational green space.</p> <p>Too often local communities suffer as a result of corporate greed and profit goals linked to construction projects. I urge you to maintain the established LGS status for Udney Park playing fields, retaining this important community sports resource and wildlife space for local community use.</p>
1696	Dr A R Greenway	<p>I am very strongly opposed to the Plans by Quantum to build on these Playing Fields:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public Interest grounds that they were donated by Covenant for recreation and used as playing fields for 100 years in an area where green space is limited and sports clubs have no permanent home. Also there is ther local ecosystem to be protected. 2. Personal grounds that they have a calm and beautiful atmosphere which I have enjoyed on numerous occasions. <p>I trust that the Plans by Quantum will again be thrown out</p> <p>PS Please note my strong objections to Quantums lobbying tactics : a few days ago , a caller from this Developer at my home wanted to discuss my support for their Plans. I asked him to leave me their literature to back up the Plans but he refused to do so. He claimed they were experienced in community development and when I asked about that claim he could not reply. I then ascertained he had come from Salisbury and could not explain how they would manage 'community development ' from there. it seemed a bit like 'rent a crowd'!!!</p>
1697	Mark Gaughan	<p>Please register my request to object to Quantum Group's request to remove LGS status on Udney Park Gardens.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is a war memorial, that was gifted for the use of amateur sports. The luxury flats that Quantum want to build (on central green space) break this covenant and line the pockets of the investors. There will be no benefit to local residents if Quantum manage to remove LGS status on Udney Park Gardens. In fact this will result in a loss of local green space for local residents and families to play amateur sports. As a local resident I experience the Bats flying overhead at dusk/night, development of this land will kill these protected species. The bats have a right to remain in the park - undisturbed. Please please do not ignore the objections, please maintain LGS status on Udney Park Gardens.</p>
1698	Alan Roe	<p>I object to the removal of local green space designation for Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons Udney Park playing fields is a welcome patch of green in a built up area. The playing fields are still used for recreation and could be (if properly managed) an important resource for local clubs and schools. The playing fields have historical significance as they were donated for the purposes of sport as a memorial to those lost in war .</p>
1699	Jane Gear	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1700	Ivan Parks	<p>As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS). By awarding this land with the LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria as it is not demonstrably special currently.</p>
1701	Paul Brown	<p>I do not support the proposals to change the status of the land at Udney Park road to <u>Local Green Space</u> for the following reasons:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land, in its current state, is not beautiful. It is shabby and bland in appearance. The old clubhouse is run down and anti-social behavior has rendered the building very unattractive. • The land is surrounded on three sides by roads and houses. Traffic flow is heavy, and it does not seem particularly tranquil. • Access to the land is limited to a few sports clubs that have been granted permission by the land owner. <p>If the current status were to remain <i>Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</i>, perhaps the wider community would benefit. Perhaps more attractive Parks and gardens & playing fields and other facilities could be provided. The wider community could benefit.</p>
1702	Allan Fraser	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1703	Carl Jansen	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1704	A Fitzgerald	I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group. I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
1705	M Clayden	I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because: The site does not hold significance to the community. The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system. • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.
1706	Michelle Kent	I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because: The site does not hold significance to the community. The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system. • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1707	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's decision that this site should remain designated as OOLTI. Some of the key factors for LGS designation are for it to be 'Special to the local community' and to be of 'local significance'. Designating it LGS could potentially achieve exactly the opposite of what is in the community interest. I support the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC who have plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
1708	Fergus Farrell	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1709	Martin Hodges	I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LRBuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.
1710	C Lovegrove	I do not approve of the proposed modifications to the Local Plan in relation to the private land at Udney Park. The land does not meet the LGS criteria. As the land is private land it makes very little contribution to the meaningful character of the area as most of the site is currently restricted from view. Existing sports facilities are basic but with investment and open access far more people could benefit from it. Designating the site as LGS will restrict any potential benefits to the community.
1711	Robert Allen	I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1712	S C Lovegrove	I would like to reject the proposals of LGS designation for Udney Park Playing Fields on the basis that these playing fields have so far only been accessible by a small elite group.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		I support the Community Interest Group (CIC) who has plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas
1713	M E Oton	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1714	Caryn Jenner	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1715	Rebecca Saunders	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1716	Mrs Mackenzie	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space”

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>(LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1717	Edward Davies	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1718	Jonathan Harte	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1719	K Hussein	I do not believe the status for the private land at Udney Park should be changed and I believe it should stay as OOLTI. I fail to see how this land benefits the local community given its current status but retaining the OOLTI status could open up opportunities for a limited amount of development, thereby securing the future of the site and helping to address some of the needs of the local Borough including a provision of extra care housing and a new GP surgery. The OOLTI status will protect the site from being over developed whilst enabling the general public and community from finally being able to access this land. LRBuT do not seem to have justified how this land should be LGS and I therefore find the plan unsound.
1720	Marcus Sweeney	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1721	George Reid	<p>I do not agree with the site being designated as Local Green space and I do not believe the Council has demonstrated why the site fits with their Local Green space criteria.</p> <p>The Inspector's recent decision to remove several of the criteria from the list of criteria for LGS demonstrates the LGS designation was based on inadequate evidence and was not consistent with national policy.</p> <p>I support the planning Inspectors original decision to keep the site as OOLTI.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1722	Hugh Hartley	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1723	Byron Madden	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1724	Huw Davies	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1725	Giane Telles	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because:</p> <p>The site does not hold significance to the community.</p> <p>The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1726	Stuart Flynn	<p>I am writing to give my opinion as a local resident on the issue of changing land status at Udney Park site. Rather than it being developed bit by bit over the years (for example Fullerton court), I feel the plan put forward by Quantum safeguard it from that scenario by gifting it to the community in perpetuity.</p> <p>The plans that has been put forward by Quantum is, in my opinion, a sustainable development that has mixed use benefits. It is good to see that different needs of the community have been considered including the need for more healthcare provision, homes that provide a level of extra care and are suitable to the needs of the older generation and state of the art sporting facilities.</p> <p>I do not see any generations that these would not benefit. However, if the land was granted the status of Local Green Space then it would probably deny all these potential services and for these reasons, I do not see it sound to give the said designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1727	G M Caffey	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1728	X Shomalia	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because:</p> <p>The site does not hold significance to the community.</p> <p>The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1729	G A Jehu	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1730	Susan Cooper	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1731	Frank Offer	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1732	M Baldwin	<p>It is currently protected as ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’. The protection guarantees the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is safeguarded against any Inappropriate Development. • The land must remain predominantly Open & Natural in character. • There must be views in and out of the land. • The land must remain a part of the Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must contribute to the Local Character. <p>Retaining the current designation allows the opportunity for potential sports and playing fields, Parks and perhaps even a brand-new surgery and healthcare facility.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I cannot support the application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road to 'Local Green Space'.</p> <p>I would like to have my comments considered with your department concerning land between Udney Park road & Kingston Lane.</p>
1733	Mrs S Perrier	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is "demonstrably special to a local community". By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1734	Name unclear	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is "demonstrably special to a local community". By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1735	Michele Hall	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1736	Sarah Shovlin	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1737	A M Rigge	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1738	Helen Morgan	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1739	Elizabeth Banigan	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space”

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>(LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1740	Eoin O'Neill	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation. The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation. If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities. I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and association far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities. Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1741	Gareth Jones	<p>I am emailing to register my strong personal objection to the removal of LGS Status of Udney Park. All policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land and Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders, thus depriving a community based proposal the chance of success. Udney Park was donated under covenant and I find it shocking that this covenant could be breached by a bidder seeking to make profit.</p> <p>To reiterate, I strongly object to the removal of LGS Status for Udney Park and I hope you will take my personal objection into account.</p>
1742	Kevin Rudd	<p>I confirm that I object to the removal of Local Green Space having used Udney Park several times for community sport over the last few years and having lived in the local area for a number of years. Once the land is given away from being a protected green space... it is gone..</p>
1743	John Veichmanis	<p>I would like to raise an objection to the removal of LGS from Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		As a coach at Hearts of Teddlothian Football Club I am acutely aware of how difficult it is to find pitches for our children to play sport on in the local area. My kids have to travel to Whitton just to train on a Tuesday evening. LGS was designed as a mechanism for the community to use to protect what little green space we have left and I think it's really important that we keep the space we have left so that the local community can use all of it for sport and community events. There are lots of brown-field locations to build medical facilities and more housing on. Over development and building on green space will result in London becoming a less attractive city for families and it will likely lose some of it's appeal both domestically and internationally.
1744	Jessica Petelin	I strongly object to the removal of the LGS status for Udney Park. Teddington is a dense family area which desperately needs green space and playing fields. An LGS status would deter profit seeking developers building on land with a rich historical, ecological, emotional and community value.
1745	John McCarthy	I wish to post and Objection to the Removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. My Objection is based on the fact that the Playing Fields are a valuable community sporting asset and green space and it would be terrible for the immediate neighbourhood and wider community if this precious space, that is meant to be used for sports, were to be built on.
1746	Alan Gardner	I object to the removal of LGS
1747	Warwick Shillaker	I am writing to advise you that I <u>strongly</u> object to the removal of the LGS status on the above playing fields. I am amazed that when almost all Government bodies are trying to encourage people of all ages but particularly the younger element to take up and persue more physical activities in order to improve their health and well being as to why this issue with these playing fields is still going on, the opposition to this development is well founded and comes from caring people from all walks of life who are extremely and rightly concerned about the enviroment in which they work and live and the children who go to school in the community. We all know that the Teddinton area suffers from very poor air quality and by removing another area of green fields will only make the situation far worse, I am of the retirement age, it is not for me that I object but if we are to be forward thinking we will need to protect our young people's health and do all we can to maintain the green space for sport and other physical acitivities which obviously will benefit all who reside in the community and in the long run will ease the ever increasing burden on the state and NHS.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Surely this is much more important than lining the purse of a developer who is only in this for commercial gain... I consider this a 'No Brainer' if anyone really cares and wants to listen ...
1748	Gabbie Filmer-Pasco	Protect Teddington's Green Space Appeal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
1749	Nicola Spencer	I object in the strongest possible terms to the application by Quantum to build on the green playing fields of Udney Park Road. Quantum has no genuine feeling for our local community- it's only agenda is to make a huge profit at the expense of ruining local quality of life, through unsustainable extra traffic in the surrounding roads, which is already heavy and can be dangerous for school children walking to and from school. You only need to visit the site at school drop-off and pick-up times to see the problem with cars jostling for spaces, mounting kerbs to let others pass etc etc. This will be so much worse if flats and a surgery are built. There has been a great deal of residential development already in Teddington, ensuring there is no shortage of housing, so these extra flats are not necessary. The land is protected by covenant and any change of use would break that covenant and set a terrible precedent to other greedy developers at a time when we are in no doubt that our population is becoming more unhealthy and in need of exercise and green space. Please, please listen to the local community who live here on a day-to-day basis and whose quality of life would be severely adversely affected every day by this development. Keep the playing fields as purely that and don't let these unscrupulous developers make money from our suffering.
1750	Martin Bridge	I am writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space protection of Udney Park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Udney Park is an essential part of the overall green space in Teddington and sees considerable use for many Teddington children playing sports. Its loss is irreversible once permission to build is given. Teddington is already densely populated and becoming more so with the new flats at Teddington Lock and agreeing to build on sites like this destroys part of the popularity of the town. The council should be standing up to this unneeded development.</p>
1751	Stewart Horne	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park. For the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The IPCC has indicated that there are only 12 years in which to take action to avert a 2 degree centigrade rise in global average temperature. It is imperative that LBRUT protects local green spaces and prevents developments which will destroy local green spaces (which act as carbon sinks and wildlife habitats). LBRUT has a legal duty to deliver on environmental outcomes and this is a key way in which it can help to meet these ambitions. • Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. • Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. • Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. • Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. • All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders
1752	Liz Tidhar	<p>As a local resident and someone who has lived and grown up here all my life I am horrified to learn of this development proposal. For all the listed objections relating to conservation, pollution, noise, not to mention the short fall of playing fields and that as far as I was this land was a 'gift' I am also concerned for Collis Primary School. The school entrance on Cromwell Rd is run with children and added traffic would be a nightmare, the pollution doesn't bear thinking about especially being that I understand the car park entrance was designed to be close by! I am deeply concerned about the dangers this poses for what I consider to be a completely grotesque, unnecessary, poncey and money spinning greedy idea! Leave the area as it was intended to be, open green playing field space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1753	Simon Cartmell for and on behalf of the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC	<p>I am writing on behalf of Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC, (TCSG CIC), the community interest company that that has been formed to operate the facilities at the above site on Udney Park Road, Teddington, regarding the above main modification. The change of designation from OOLTI to LGS is something that I and the Board of the TCSG CIC strongly oppose.</p> <p>Although Richmond has large amounts of green space relative to other London Boroughs, largely as a result of its Royal Parks, appropriate facilities available for sport are limited as various Council reviews make clear. We know from our own experience and speaking with other clubs and societies that local sports and community groups struggle to find suitable spaces and facilities for their activities.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Royal Parks have imposed ever stricter controls on activities in their open spaces. For the last 20 years various members of the TCSG CIC have looked at the under used and private Udney Park Road site with longing, but the costs imposed by Imperial College made hiring of the grounds completely uneconomic and the clubhouse facility is far from the child welfare and safeguarding standards required today. It is for these reasons that we support the development at Udney Park Road – to secure a top-class facility for the community that helps address local needs, at no cost to the Council – something, given the pressure on Council budgets, that we would like to think our local Council would support.</p> <p>Our understanding is that to meet the criteria for Local Green Space our understanding is that an area has to be demonstrably special to a local community and hold a particular local significance, for example, because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife.</p> <p>There are various technical arguments against this re-designation as has been made plain in the previous consultation exercises. We would point to the conclusion of the Planning Inspector that there is not sufficient evidence to support LGS and that OOLTI provides adequate protection from inappropriate development. Specifically, given the historic OOLTI designation, the proposed re-designation, at a time when there is such need for affordable housing, additional or new healthcare facilities and sustainable sports grounds, would seem perverse. We also note that planning permission was granted for the development of Fullerton Court in the North West corner of the site sometime ago. Clearly the former Imperial Private Grounds were not deemed to be particularly special at that time and nothing has happened subsequently to reinforce this status.</p> <p>Regarding the criteria, the playing fields at Udney Park Road do not seem to be demonstrably special to the local community, since they have been closed private grounds for many years, used occasionally by clubs</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>or schools who are now playing elsewhere. The ground has never been a public open park, being variously farmed land and subsequently privately-owned sports fields.</p> <p>With regard to recreational value, there has been very little value for the local community, since, as I noted above, the policies of and prices charged by Imperial College were a major disincentive to local clubs and societies from using the land or clubhouse. Additionally, the clubhouse or pavilion is extremely limited in terms of its capacity and application as a community facility. It needs extensive modernisation to meet current standards and is of little value in and of itself.</p> <p>There is no evidence of demonstrable beauty – it's a large area of grass that is gradually decaying through lack of investment, has a scruffy MUGA pitch, unkempt boundaries etc. There is no redeeming merit to any of the facilities or monuments of significance.</p> <p>A piece of land in central Teddington clearly could not be called tranquil given school children drop off, local traffic and aircraft noise. And furthermore, its original use was by definition 'noisy' as the playing of sport on multiple pitches across multiple days inevitably creates noise. It is only 'quiet' today because hardly anyone uses the land. It is no wonder the self-interest of local residents leads them to object to its development to create a community facility.</p> <p>The issue of historic importance seems unjustifiable since nothing meaningful occurring on the site over the past 50-100 years and the apparent happiness of previous Councils to permit development on the site.</p> <p>There has been no attempt to celebrate or utilise the War Memorial on the site. And if all buildings or pieces of land donated by a wealthy benefactor over 100 years ago were suddenly to become LGS, the planning system would be placed under intolerable strain. And similarly, if every private war memorial was to become a thing of public interest and significance, brown field site development schemes would be adversely impacted across the country.</p> <p>And re wildlife, we understand that surveys undertaken to date do not demonstrate any richness within the boundaries of the site – it's effectively just grass and some poor-quality hedging. The trees within the boundary, other than one or two, are poor and scrappy in-fill of negligible value. The apparent presence of multiple species of bat is news to those of us who have operated on the site for the past few years. As we understand it, these mostly forage on trees that sit outside the site boundary on the public verge.</p> <p>Moreover, not only do we believe that a Local Green Space designation is unjustified, but it is detrimental to the chances of creative solutions being found to support a community facility development – at no cost to the Council. It also seems to us that the LBRUT are taking into account the views of two local residents'</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>groups to a greater extent than the broader views of the local community and the sports bodies, patients and potential residents of the proposed development. Turning private, largely unused land into facilities of real value surely has to be a better outcome for the Borough than designating it LGS and having the site deteriorate over years until some other solution, probably not one with as much benefit as the current scheme, is found for this land in the heart of Teddington. Since forming the CIC and making the site properly available through this management organisation, we have welcomed far more diverse and broad use of the site than was ever possible previously. The proposed development will ensure this land remains a community asset, properly equipped for the needs of sports and community groups moving forward.</p> <p>As a consequence, the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC and I do not agree with the Local Plan policy to change the designation of the land at Udney Park Road from OOLTI to Local Green Space.</p>
1754	Oxana Dorrington	<p>By introduction, my name is Oxana Dorrington and i am a resident at <i>[address details removed for data protection]</i> Teddington. I am writing to object against the removal of LGC at Udney Park Road Playing fields Teddington.</p> <p>Udney Park Road is a central green space that must be protected.</p> <p>It is almost the only green space left in Central Teddington since i have become resident to the area more than 20 years ago. Over this period i have witnessed the gradual disappearance of green area to developments and every free space being built upon.</p> <p>I understand the need for building housing but other areas must be found and there is no need for luxury apartments in Teddington which is already unfordable to local residents.</p> <p>I hope you will consider my objection seriously.</p>
1755	Emma Baker	<p>I am writing to object against the removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park Playing Fields for the following reasons.</p> <p>Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant by Lord Beaverbrook for amateur sport in perpetuity. This makes it a special space.</p> <p>Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years, and is still much needed. There is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. The developer's plans would reduce the playing field area to one grass and one artificial pitch when there is the potential for nine pitches (notwithstanding a large section of the field being closed off at the moment).</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The community has many examples of viable playing fields without the need of them being carved up for development.</p> <p>The developer's site survey (during planning application) was flawed. It has been proven that Udney Park Playing Fields is indeed home to eight protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Any development on it would damage the ecology and destroy wildlife.</p> <p>There is no need for the type of high-rise 'luxury flat' development proposed by the application. There are already in excess of 300 such flats being constructed a few hundred metres away on the river, on a brown site. There are other brownfield sites in Teddington that would be more suitable for development.</p> <p>Udney Park Playing Fields are an important central green space in Teddington and must be protected. Much has been made by the developer of the Fields' 'private' status, that the space is not available to the public. This is a red herring because playing fields do tend to be private as they require specialist maintenance – they are not simply open common ground. The fields were always well used. My late mother's apartment overlooked the fields and she, and I when I used to visit, witnessed games being played in the fields almost every day when they were owned by Imperial College, before the developer closed off a large section of them.</p> <p>I urge the council not to remove Local Green Status from Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
1756	Paul Caldwell	<p>I live within 50 metres of Udney Park Playing Fields and I strongly object to the removal of LGS.</p> <p>I have lived here for over 24 years and I have enjoyed Udney Parks special place within our community, both as a playing field for local schools and clubs and a space of tranquility. In the evening it brings a sense of calm to the local area, which I particularly appreciate. Increasingly wildlife spaces are being reduced significantly in Teddington with new build, house extensions, front gardens being paved over and plastic grass replacing lawns. We need to ensure our green spaces are connected and not isolated pockets please do not remove the LGS. We need to help make London a National Park City. As you are well aware playing field space is crucial for our wellbeing and as the local population continually increases the shortfall in sports field space becomes even more acute. This site has been grass playing fields for over 100 years, donated under a covenant for amateur sport and as such is an important reminder of how previous generations cared for our future.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Teddington does not need more housing, hundreds of apartments are currently being built on the old tv studios site. Quantum knew the restrictions that protected the site when they bought it. They are focused on profit and shareholder value.</p> <p>I strongly object to the removal of LGS, I hope you will as well.</p>
1757	Phil Bailes	<p>I am in APPROVAL of the Removal of LGS for the Udney Park Playing Fields. I wish to see development there.</p> <p>Ive been a local resident in Teddington for over 20 years and have seen continuous under use of the Udney Park Playing fields. In my knowledge these fields have never been a public resource. I once belonged to a local Teddington Veterans football team and we had an awful job to get some access to play football on the Udney Park playing fields. Eventually we had to play outside of Teddington. These fields have never been managed properly to favour the local community. Any claims are bogus.</p> <p>What this area DOES need IS housing for the ageing population. I am living in an inappropriately large family home because I cannot find a smaller property to downsize to in Teddington.</p> <p>I am blocking a move for a family to have a good sized property to develop in in Teddington.</p> <p>The Quantum development will protect the green space whilst providing some small amount of accommodation for the ageing population. It is not luxury apartments such as the new Riverside development near the Lensbury. Also it is affordable to those of us looking to buy smaller properties.</p> <p>The claims of the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields lack credibility as they are not based on facts. They should be rejected and the Quantum development should go ahead.</p>
1758	Mike Allsop	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space protection from Udney Park on the following grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has been open space used for sports for around 100 years and should remain in its entirety for this purpose. 2. It was gifted to the community specifically for sports purposes and there is no justification for hiving off part of the site for private development unrelated to sports activities. 3. With the pressure on local schools in the Borough leading to school playing fields having been built over and new schools being built without any sports fields attached, it is essential that we preserve what open playing fields we have in the Borough. 4. There is massive local support for the preservation of the entire Udney Park as an open space, and little if any support for the plans for the Quantum development other than from interested parties who stand to benefit financially from from the speculative acquisition of the park.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>5. The area has no local need for further luxury apartments, which are already being generously supplied by developers.</p> <p>6. Planning policies intended to preserve green open space which have been shown to be essential for mental and physical well-being should not be overturned at the behest of the deep pockets of speculators.</p>
1759	Joanna Oliffe	<p>I am writing to show that I support the current designation of Open Space of Local Townscape Improvement (OOLTI) for the above site. I do not agree that the land should become an area of Local Green Space. It has had no community or historical significance which conflicts with the definition of the designation.</p> <p>The land is private and was only used by a small number of people under its previous owners, Imperial College. It is not visible to pedestrians as it is mostly screened from view by close fencing. To residents who live next to it, it is a simple grass field with poor boundary shrubbery and a rundown clubhouse. Since it was purchased by Quantum, various community groups, including 3rd Teddington Scout Group, have been able to use the current facilities on a temporary basis. Should the Council decide that it wants to reverse the decision made by the Planning Inspector (to keep it as an OOLTI), then this would reduce the potential for it to become a community focused space as proposed by Quantum – and many clubs and groups like ours would be negatively impacted.</p> <p>The original proposal to change the designation to LGS was not submitted by the local community nor the community groups who currently use the site - but by two special interest groups (Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields and Teddington Society) who did not actively consult their members or the community. I agree with the Planning Inspector's conclusion that the case was not made to increase the site designation to Local Green Space.</p> <p>The 3rd Teddington Scout Group is one of the longest established Scout Groups in Teddington and it would be a loss to the community if we were no longer able to meet in our catchment area.</p> <p>Therefore, I reject any proposals to designate the former Imperial College private land on Udney Park Road as Local Green Space.</p>
1760	Rt Hon Sir Vince Cable MP for Twickenham, Teddington, The Hamptons and Whitton	<p>I wish to strongly object to the proposed removal of Local Green Space protection at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>I have been MP for the local area for 20 of the last 22 years and I have been personally involved with the future of Udney Park for over 4 years.</p> <p>In March 2012 the coalition government launched the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), a</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>simplification and clarification of many Planning Policies into a single coherent structure. The NPPF puts the Local Plan, built on three rounds of public consultation, at the heart of decision making. Furthermore, the original launch briefing for the NPPF by the Minister for Communities and Local Government stated that the NPPF is “crystal clear that sustainable development embraces social and environmental objectives” and also “ensures the protection of playing fields”.</p> <p>The NPPF also launched a new designation of protection called Local Green Space, for locations “special to communities” and uniquely nominated by communities during the Local Plan process.</p> <p>In 2014 Imperial College announced they were selling Udney Park. Early in 2015 two Planning Briefs were produced for Imperial by Richmond Council that were clear that Udney Park had zero development potential due its recreational value as a playing field. I personally met with the President of Imperial College to recommend that Imperial sold the Park to one of the local community bidders that were committed to retaining all of the green space.</p> <p>I was Chairman of the “Space to Play” consortium, a group of 12 local schools and sports clubs that are short of playing space and bid for Udney Park. Unfortunately, Imperial sold Udney Park to a developer who was prepared to speculate on the overturning the Planning briefs and Policy that protects playing fields. Directors from 2 clubs in “Space to Play” chose to form a Community Interest Company that I agreed in principle to Chair, but when, against my advice the CIC decided to pursue a joint application with the developer and oppose the Council’s designation of the land as Local Green Space I severed my connection.</p> <p>Richmond Council Playing Pitch Strategy documents prove the Borough has a deficit in outdoor recreation space for community sport and I am aware that several local clubs are short of space and have waiting lists. Community sport is a thriving and essential part of the social fabric in my constituency and so of course Udney Park has significant recreational value to the local community.</p> <p>Udney Park is a World War 1 war memorial, opened by the war-time Home Secretary Viscount Cave. The ecological value of Udney Park is also substantial, there are 8 protected species present and the Council Planning Officer confirmed that “Udney Park could be designated as a Site of Metropolitan Interest for Nature Conservation for its bat interest, size and connectivity. This site is unique in the local environment, and as a corridor contribution between the River Thames and Bushy Park”.</p> <p>NPPF paragraph 99 states: “the designation of land as Local Green Space through Local Plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them”. NPPF para 100 states:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>“Local Green Space should only be used where the green space is: demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of its wildlife”. It is clear that Udney Park surpasses these criteria.</p> <p>Udney Park is a perfect example of the type of green infrastructure, important and special to the community and requiring additional protection, that Local Green Space is designed to safeguard.</p>
1761	Mary Bennett	<p>I would like to object to the removal of LGS for Udney Park playing fields as this is an area that is special to the local community because of its beauty, tranquillity and richness of wildlife (being home to 8 protected species of bat).</p> <p>Furthermore, Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. It has been a playing field for 100 years and there is a severe shortfall of playing fields in the area (several local clubs have no permanent home).</p> <p>Even without a personal reason for using these playing fields, I enjoy seeing a large expanse of open green area within the confines of the residential streets and believe we should be enabling young people to spend time playing sport outdoors.</p> <p>This central green space must be protected from plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 under construction nearby on brown-field sites. I ask you to keep in mind when you are making your decision, that once lost this green space will never again be recovered for the local community.</p>
1762	Sarah-Jane Middleton	<p>I write to object to the removal of LGS designation from Udney Park Playing fields.</p> <p>As a community we need more not less open green spaces, and in particular those that can be walked to by the local community. Once this green space has disappeared it can never be replaced, it's wrong that the needs of a few should be met at the detriment of the many. This land was donated for sport and should remain an open space for sport in its entirety, it should not be built over for profit. The local community does not wish to see this space disappear and its wishes should be upheld. My children were born and brought up in Teddington, and have enjoyed the lovely community here with its green spaces and this should be available for future generations of children and families</p>
1763	James Tullo	<p>In relation to the current consultation on this matter I support Udney Park Playing Fields retaining its current designation as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” OOLTI, which will continue to offer it protection against inappropriate development.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1764	Nigel Dodds	<p>I am writing to make an objection against the proposed development of Udney Park in Teddington. My objection is based on the following reasons:</p> <p>I am a youth rugby referee for Teddington Rugby Club and have had the opportunity to referee matches at Udney Park. This is an excellent location for young rugby players to get involved in the sport. There are insufficient pitches available in the borough. The development will reduce the number of pitches available which will inevitably cause a reduction in young people playing the sport.</p> <p>Teddington has already had to suffer a lot of development in the immediate area (eg Teddington Lock). This development will inevitably increase the pressure on the immediate roads both in the building phase and when it is in use.</p> <p>I am appalled to hear that land that was bequeathed for a specific purpose in perpetuity (in this case sport) can have its designated purpose changed to benefit a company that is working solely for commercial gain. Surely this is wrong.</p> <p>I sincerely hope that the council decides against this development.</p>
1765	P G & E Rigge	<p>Please note our objection to the removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park Playing Fields. We have lived in the local area for over thirty years and have watched local green space progressively being developed to the detriment of open space for playing formal sport and being able to participate in informal sport and recreation.</p> <p>The Udney Park playing fields have provided a venue for sport and recreation across their entire space for more years than we have lived locally. Both during the week, during summer evenings and over weekends. The loss of any of this space would be severely detrimental to the local community.</p> <p>Additionally all of this Local Green Space was donated as a memorial for amateur sport and recreation. With the continuing development of Teddington and the consequent increasing population locally, many people living in new build flats with no outside space, all of this space remaining available as Local Green Space is vitally important to the well being of the community.</p>
1766	Stefania Cerasale	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of LGS for several reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has been a dedicated area to local sports teams for many years. 2. The original covenant stated it was donated on the premises it would be a sport facility for ever. 3. We need to preserve our green spaces as more and more houses are being built in the area (see broom road site of over 300 apartments). Local Traffic is already terrible at rush hour. How many more cars can Teddington take?

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>4. This space has been used by local rugby and football teams contributing to create community spirit in the heart of Teddington.</p> <p>5. Quantum bought the land knowing that this was protected land.</p> <p>6. Finally, it's a haven for wildlife right in the centre of town.</p>
1767	Duncan Jenkinson (England & Wales Cricket Board)	<p>I am writing in a personal capacity as Regional Clubs and Facilities Manager at the England & Wales Cricket Board, in response to the above consultation.</p> <p>In my view Udney Park Playing Fields should be designated as a Local Green Space within the Local Plan to safeguard the site for future community use and protect against inappropriate development of the site. Playing Fields are appropriate as designations of Local Green Space, and the National Planning Policy Framework specifically seeks to protect Playing Fields from development.</p> <p>The site was originally donated with the intention that it would remain in use by the local community for sports facilities and was fully utilised prior to purchase. The allocation for use by the local community should be respected and the Local Green Space designation will ensure future protection of the site for recreational activity.</p> <p>The Playing Fields have significant recreational value to local residents, providing facilities for many years to the local community for several sports, including cricket, football and rugby. There remains significant and growing demand within the borough to have access to more Playing Fields as highlighted by the up-to-date Playing Pitch Strategy which provides a robust consideration of supply and demand for sport facilities within the London Borough of Richmond.</p> <p>In consultation with Sport England, substantial detail has been provided of the community cricket use of the site prior to access being prevented to the site by cricket at the end of 2015, and also include consideration of the significant level of local cricket users that would be expected to participate at the Playing Fields if the site was brought back into community use.</p> <p>With due consideration of the above, I would urge the consultation to conclude that the Udney Park Playing Fields should be protected by designation as a Local Green Space.</p>
1768	Harry Mann	<p>I wish to object to the potential loss of Local Green Space designation at the Udney Park Road Playing Fields site. I support all the arguments made by my neighbour Philip Barnes in his letter attached. [See Comment MM Rep No. 673]</p>
1769	William Langbridge	<p>I and my partner object most strongly to the removal of green space status to Udney Park Road playing fields. At a time when the local community is under all kinds of pressures from an enlarged Heathrow with</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		its likely pollution, NHS health crisis and the removal of spaces for sport it is nothing short of criminal to remove the protection of open green space. Teddington is under threat from numerous developments and once this green space is lost it can <u>NEVER BE RETRIEVED</u> . <u>I urge our council to maintain the local green space status for the Udney Park Playing fields.</u>
1770	David & Sheila Browning	We wish to object to the decision by the Planning Inspector to remove Udney Park playing fields from Local Green Space status. This removal has resulted in the likelihood that a significant proportion of the site currently, and for many years, used as playing field space will be lost to the local community. The interest of a developer in maximising the profit potential of a speculative land acquisition is of little concern to us; a far greater concern is the loss of green space available to the community of which we are a part.
1771	Jane & Jerry Parle	We object to the removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park on the following grounds: the land was donated under covenant for amateur sport; it has been a playing field for 100 years; it is home to 8 species of bat and is a critical part of the local ecological network of parks and embankments; there is no need for yet more luxury apartments in the area; & the developer, Quantum, must have been aware of the policies applicable to playing fields when they bought the land.
1772	John Simpson	I want you to retain the Local Green Space Designation for Udney Park and therefore OBJECT to the removal of this designation from the draft local plan because of its contribution to the local area for its recreational value, beauty as an open space and an area for wildlife.
1773	Linda McMahon	I wish to object to the removal of the Local Green Space status for Udney Park Playing Fields. This space has played an important role in my life and many others who have used the space and benefited from the services it provides. Health & Social importance: As a recreational facility it provides a valuable local resource giving children and adults access to play sport and socialise. Udney Park has been a playing field for a century and provides local people with a 'sense of place' - a green space that the community cares about and is connected to. Environmentally important: As Teddington becomes increasingly densely built up, this space helps to preserve local ecosystems amid our growing built environment. It also provides a vital wildlife corridor helping to link the fields with the gardens which surround it, which in turn link to the wider landscape of the

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		river and parks, allowing wildlife to move freely. We need this green space to help mitigate the effects of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere by helping to filter out pollutants and dust from the air. The surrounding trees provide shade and therefore help to lower temperatures and reduce the 'heat island' effect. Teddington is very close to the river and this green space is vital in mitigating the risk of flooding. Udney Park must remain a Local Green Space to ensure the mental and physical well being of our community, to enhance our local environment and to protect the site from future unsuitable development and therefore I support the Council in designating the land as Local Green Space.
1774	Barry Rideout on behalf of the Udney Park Playing Fields Trust (background report from Greenspace Information for Greater London (GiGL) CIC)	I have been in contact with Barry Rideout concerning the planning application for the registration as Teddington playing field as a local green space, we had compiled a report for him previously , planning Inspector Local Green Space reference 1881. I have therefore attached a copy of the search report we originally sent Barry. [See Appendix 2 for Report]
1775	Max Elston	I am going to keep it short but I am stunned that you are turning Udney park into flats. Does London need more luxury housing? No it doesn't. Stop being greedy! Think of the majority of people who have enjoyed that park for over 100 years rather than a few incredibly wealthy property developers! This narrative is getting so repetitive and frustrating. Sort it out and do not sign off those plans! No need for this whatsoever. I have no idea why you pander towards selfish developers so much. Sorry I hate to have a go but this is so upsetting!
1776	Helen Thresh	I strongly object to the removal of LGS status for Udney Park in Teddington. Udney Park is invaluable as a playing field and therefore hugely important for the local community. As a Teddington resident for 33 years, I am continually dismayed by the enormous amount of development that has taken place over that period. Teddington has become very congested and we need open spaces to preserve wildlife and provide recreation more than ever.
1777	Michael Vass	I would like to submit an objection to the removal of LGS for Udney Park, in Teddington. UdneyPark was donated under covenant for amateur sport use and any change to this use, would just add to the lack of community playing fields.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		It is important that local clubs can train and play matches, locally, with minimal travel involved for both players and spectators.
1778	Simon Passmore	I'd like to register my objection to the removal of the Local Green Space status granted to Udney Park, and the plans to develop this green space commercially. There are many reasons why the existing green space should be preserved in its totality, including its importance to Richmond residents' well-being (physical, mental, and spiritual), to the borough's wildlife, and for local sport and recreation.
1779	Sarah Gough	I am writing to object to the removal of the Local Green Space designation for Udney Park. This should be kept for the local community for its the recreational value, historical significance and importance to wildlife (including I am told protected bats). There really is no need for more homes just here. A large development within 10 minutes walk at Teddington Lock is already providing lots of new homes. I also want to draw to you attention that this morning someone came round door knocking saying he was seeking "support for more doctors surgeries in Teddington", please would I sign a letter to the council to that effect. As I was alert to the LGS issue, I asked him where the surgery was to be, he said "Udney Park" so further asked "Doesn't that mean there will be other development there as well" to which he said "Oh no it will still be a community space". It seems rather disingenuous and wanted to alert you to this in case there are lots of letters about doctors surgeries! I am afraid I wasn't on the ball enough to find out his name/who he was representing.
1780	Joyce Painton	Please leave this area for all to enjoy - we need more green spaces not fewer for a health and well being
1781	Anthony Irwin	I write in response to the unwelcome news that the LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields has been cut without warning. As all residents of Teddington are aware, Udney Park Playing Fields provides a unique location in the centre of the community, providing green space, valuable sports facilities, as well as incredible luxury of tranquillity so close to the High St. It would be a travesty for the long term future of the town, if LGS were removed from the site and this increased the possibility of the arrival of further high end, generic luxury flats. The unique and individual feel to Teddington has already been eroded significantly in recent years, and decisions on matters such as LGS for Udney Park speak to whether Teddington follows other urban space

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>and becomes an over developed generic town, or somewhere that is able to protect its unique and valuable playing fields, recreational space and village feel.</p> <p>I would ask that issues such as history and heritage inform the decision to restate LGS protection for Udney Park. These are unique qualities that can't be bought or put in place overnight.</p> <p>For that reason I would urge the restatement of LGS protection. I will remain actively interested in the outcome of the Public Inquiry.</p>
1782	Hayley-Joanne Keatley	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1783	Joe Napper	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1784	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's decision that this site should remain designated as OOLTI. Some of the key factors for LGS designation are for it to be 'Special to the local community' and to be of 'local significance'. It would be special to me as a Teddington resident if more access was allowed. Much needed 3G pitches on this site could add an undisputable local significance. But as it stands, these factors don't present themselves. Designating it LGS could potentially achieve exactly the opposite of what is in the community interest</p> <p>I support the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC who have plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.</p>
1785	Nicholas Collett	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1786	Mr S Ghuman	<p>I cannot support the application to change the site at Udney Park Road from (OOLTI) Other Open Land of Townscape Importance to (LGS) Local Green Space.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The land is not demonstrably special as it has no particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The land is not beautiful, it has a derelict clubhouse to one side and is surrounded by trees (a large number of which are unkempt) and it is not open in character. There is an apparent lack of wildlife present.</p> <p>If the current designation were to remain, there is an opportunity to beautify the land through appropriate development and careful reintroduction of indigenous wildlife species.</p>
1787	Alan Perrien	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1788	Name unclear	<p>I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires.</p> <p>Retaining the designation of OOLTI would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development.</p>
1789	Fiona Auty	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1790	Christine Mayhew-Smith	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1791	J.B. Whiting	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1792	C Kearns	<p>The current designation of ‘Other Open Land of Townscape Importance’ sufficiently protects the land. The following clauses ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There can be no Inappropriate Development. • It is not lost to other causes without good cause. • It must remain part of the Multi-Functional network of Urban Green Infrastructure. • It must be predominantly open or natural in character • It must have immediate or long Views in and out of the site. • It cannot harm the openness of character. • It must contribute to the Local Character and Street Scene.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I am opposed to the application to change the designation of the site at Udney Park Road to '<u>Local Green Space</u>'. No additional local benefit would be gained by this change.</p> <p><u>The borough is struggling with demands on its Surgeries. Perhaps this could be remedied if the land remains OOLTI.</u></p>
1793	Trixie Youngs	<p>I do not support any application to change the status of the land at Udney park Road.</p> <p>I understand there is a group of residents that would like to apply for the status (LGS) Local Green Space. The land is not special, it has no great beauty and there is a distinct lack of wildlife. It is not tranquil as there is a vehicular traffic overload in the adjacent streets. There are some underutilized sports pitches on the land with an old clubhouse. The site could benefit the local community so much more if there was an appropriate sporting development that could accommodate 3G pitches and new clubhouse.</p> <p>If the current status remains unchanged, the possibility of a sustainable development beneficial to all could be achieved.</p>
1794	Juliet Touw	<p>As a resident of Teddington I am writing to object to the proposal of changing the land at Udney Park Road from its current designation up to Local Green Space (LGS).</p> <p>By awarding this land with the LGS status then it could potentially deny this advantageous position that we find ourselves in to finally have another GP surgery in Teddington as well as sports and community facilities for everyone to enjoy. I feel the current status is adequate and there is no need to change it to LGS as I do not see the evidence that shows it fits the required LGS criteria as it is not demonstrably special currently.</p>
1795	R Cook	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.
1796	Paul Gatehouse	I do not support the application to designate the site at Udney Park Road as Local Green Space. It does not have any particular local significance, nor is it special to the local community. The Site is privately owned with a run-down clubhouse to one side and fenced off with scrappy hedges and trees to the perimeter. It does not demonstrate the character of beauty and openness that Local Green Space requires. Retaining the designation of OOLTI would benefit the local community as it would allow improvement through sensible and appropriate sustainable development.
1797	S Rigge	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1798	Name unclear	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1799	Steve and Emma Nicholls	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1800	Jack Peachey	<p>I object to the removal of Local Green Space (LGS) status from Udney Park Playing Fields because of the great value the playing fields hold as an asset to my community. These playing fields must be protected under LGS, as the sporting activities they can sustain lead to improved physical health and mental wellbeing in the children of the local community.</p> <p>I have personally benefited from Udney Park in the past; I was able to play football, rugby and cricket on its beautiful pitches, as Imperial College used to allow my primary school to use it. Myself and my classmates benefitted from its quality as a local sports facility and its ideal location (many of us, including myself, could walk home afterwards) . Unfortunately, the current owners no longer allow the school to use it, forcing them to travel further to pitches in NPL sports ground and Bushy Park, resulting in a higher environmental cost, and less time for sports. LGS status will help to restore the ability for children in my community to use and benefit from Udney Park as a sportsground.</p> <p>Previous development plans have suggested that 7 of the current 8 pitches will be destroyed, only leaving one natural playing field. This equates to losing 50% of the total number of natural playing fields in Teddington, as stated by the London Playing Fields Foundation. LGS is needed to protect my community from such a detrimental loss.</p> <p>Furthermore, the need for playing fields far outweighs the need for the proposed development of apartments, especially given there are already 300 flats under construction within half a mile of Udney Park, which have been constructed on brownfield sites at a much lower environmental and social cost.</p> <p>If LGS is removed, the children of my community may be denied the same positive sporting opportunities I was lucky enough to have during my own childhood. Udney Park is of huge community value, and hence must be preserved for future generations under LGS status.</p>
1801	Professor Judith Harwin	<p>I am writing to express my personal objection to the removal of Local Green Space designation for Udney Park.</p> <p>I have a number of reasons for my objection. There is a marked shortage of local playing fields and Udney Park has provided this valuable amenity for 100 years. A number of local clubs do not have a permanent home.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Losing its protection is at odds with Udney Park's historical importance as a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. In addition to the fact that removal of its protected LGS designation is a breach of covenant, removal of the playing field also runs counter to the importance that government gives to tackling obesity among the young.</p> <p>Removing Udney Park's designation as an LGS makes it vulnerable to the development of this valuable land for new luxury accommodation. There is no need for new luxury flats as 300 are already being built in a brownfield site, just half a mile away.</p> <p>The impact on the local area will be felt in other ways. Udney Park is home to protected species of bats which are part of the linked ecology of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>For all these reasons I object to the removal of Udney Park's protected Local Green Space Designation.</p>
1802	Ann Curtis	<p>I am writing as a local resident of Teddington to object to the removal of Local Green Space status of Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>This green space was donated to Imperial College to be used as a sports field for perpetuity. It was morally wrong of Imperial College to sell this land to Quantum to develop it in to housing. This is a vital central green space in a crowded town and is large numbers of birds, bats and wildlife. There are local sports clubs that have no permanent playing fields in Teddington and it would be a valuable resource to be returned to local use.</p> <p>Teddington has seen a great number of large developments recently including the 300 apartments being developed in the old Teddington studios site. There is no local need for a green space that is a much needed playing field to be turned in to building plot for the profit of a private company. Developing Udney Park Playing Fields in to luxury apartments does not serve the community it merely allows a speculative company to make substantial profits whilst pretending to offer the local area some benefits. In a country where obesity is constantly rising and indexes of well being show mental health rapidly declining we need these green spaces even more than ever.</p> <p>The council's role is to support the local community. It is not to allow private developers to overturn covenants and pave over protected green spaces.</p>
1803	Emma Callaway	<p>I oppose the redesignation as LGS and support the current designation as OOLTI</p> <p>My son went to Collis school – the children have no access to the area and don't play there after school. For the Collis children it is not special or significant because they have no access.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>We have walked and cycled past the playing fields for 6 years now – you can't even see into the green space due to the fence. It is not a special or significant to us - to be honest it wouldn't matter what was there because we have no access and can't see into the area. The only people who benefit from the area are a few football players who run around a few hours a week. It is not demonstrable special to the community (I would consider Bushy Park which is a short walk away as special because it's open for everyone!)</p> <p>The proposed development will create something for the whole community which will be like a village cricket green – it will be the centre of the community and the whole community can enjoy it = playground / walk across it / go to GP / scout hut / horses for the disabled/ fitness classes for Collis mums after drop off or before pick up / children can play after school / dog walkers in the morning evening / scouts can run around / bench for oldies to sit and enjoy the sun - it's a no brainer.</p> <p>The feeling of community around a space for everyone at any time of the day with a feeling of a village green could make this part of Teddington really really special.</p> <p>I think it would be a perfect area to have controlled development – and be Other Open Land of Townscape Importance.</p>
1804	John Turner	<p>I wish to object to the removal of the removal of the green space designation for Udney Park as I do not believe that there is any good reason for you to have done so.</p> <p>Udney Park is a valuable resource and has been playing field for over 100 years and will be well used by local clubs who have no other 'home'.</p> <p>It is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. That means the WHOLE of it, not just the part developers are happy to 'donate to the community'.</p> <p>It is a wildlife resource and is home to protected bat species among lots of other wildlife</p> <p>We do not need more development and luxury apartments if the occupants have nowhere to get out and exercise, hence why ALL of the park needs to be used, not just part</p> <p>Trusting you will make the right decision,</p>
1805	Mrs N Hawke	<p>I would like to post an “Objection to the Removal of LGS” for the Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington. Udney Park should be maintained as it is, for the local community as a valuable green space for recreational use, as a place of tranquillity and a haven for wildlife. Too many of the green spaces in the Teddington area have been built on recently and it is ruining the beauty of the area. The development at</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Teddington Studios is a disgrace and should never have been approved. Udney Park was donated to the community for amateur sport and should not be built on for anything other than this.
1806	Mr B A Holder	I have a particular interest in the future of the whole of Udney Park remaining available for the widest range of sports, including cricket. In the 1960s and 1970s, my parents owned [Teddington address details removed for data protection] , right opposite the main cricket square, and we were able to watch cricket matches from an upstairs room which gave us a grandstand view. Throughout the year we were able to watch a range of other sports, including rugby, soccer, and tennis. It would be again good to see a much wider range of clubs and schools on this playing field as , the Borough is having to provide new schools, and new schools will need such space to play sports as many school sites are short of such space.
1807	Dr Judith Lawton	<p>I object to the application to remove the Local Green Status on Udney Park.</p> <p>All Policies that protect playing fields apply to both private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders.</p> <p>There is a single and simple purpose in land being designated Local Green Status, and that is to preserve it for perpetuity as an area for sport and recreation, and certainly not for building on.</p> <p>If it is built on then:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There would be a significant quantitative loss of playing fields, 2. It would destroy facilities necessary for wide community use, 3. The pollution and carbon footprint would negate the green space value. <p>National policy on sport and healthy living overwhelmingly supports the retention of playing fields, especially for the benefit of the younger generation, who, more than ever, are at risk of obesity and diabetes.</p> <p>It is surprising, bearing in mind the consequent huge loss of sport and health facilities, that a doctors' surgery finds it fit to support destruction of the Local Green Space Status and subsequent development.</p>
1808	Richard Hill	<p>I am writing to object to the proposal to remove Local Green Space from Udney Park.</p> <p>The park has been an important resource for the community, as green space for play and recreation, for over 100 years.</p> <p>I object to any proposals to develop on Udney Park. It should be retained for its current use.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1809a	Charlotte Ruddick	<p>I'm writing to object not only to the development of the Udney Park field in Teddington but even more importantly on the way the developer has gone about to receive signed letters for the development of the field in the pretence of saying we need another doctor's surgery in the area.</p> <p>This afternoon, I was asked by a gentleman who came to my front door if I would sign a letter to say to the council that another doctor surgery is needed in the area. I was about to sign it as I do think the surgeries in Teddington are overstretched when I realised that in actual fact I was more importantly signing that the surgery would be located on the present Udney Park site. It certainly wasn't obvious unless you fully read the letter and checked all of the wording and the gentleman who was doing the door to door knocking certainly did not give away that very important detail! Very misrepresented! And upon asking my neighbours in the cul de sac, they did not know they were signing anything to do with the petition that is being so forcefully fought regarding Udney Park. They just thought they were signing for another doctor's surgery. It's location was not readily advertised. The developer should be ashamed. This is over stretching the truth. The full details should be disclosed so that the person signing knows all the information, not just a bit of it.</p>
1809b	Charlotte Ruddick	<p>I object to the removal of the LGS as Udney Park is a war memorial that was donated for the use of amateur sport in a covenant. Please don't overlook this. Moreover, the field is home to bats and also the development of houses has been granted not far away from this site as it is.</p>
1810	Philip Briggs on behalf of the Richmond Bat Species Action Plan Steering Group	<p>I am writing on behalf of the Richmond Bat Species Action Plan steering group in response to the Consultation on Proposed Main Modification in respect of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation at Udney Park Playing Fields, Teddington.</p> <p>As a group we lead on developing and implementing the Richmond Bat Species Action Plan within the framework of the Richmond Biodiversity Partnership.</p> <p>We object to the withdrawal of the designation of Udney Park Playing Fields in Teddington as Local Green Space (LGS) on the following grounds:</p> <p>The site has high species richness, with eight bat species recorded during the surveys carried out by Peach Ecology & Environmental Services in 2017.</p> <p>This included records of species of conservation concern in London:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • brown long-eared bat - the borough of Richmond is one of the few places in London with a concentration of records (London Bat Group 2015); this species is very light-shy and the protection of dark wildlife corridors is key to its survival

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • serotine - also has a sporadic distribution across London (London Bat Group 2015) and it appears to be declining in the borough of Richmond - over 20 years of bat monitoring at the London Wetland Centre a steep declining trend has been recorded to the extent that it has virtually disappeared from the site (Mayfield et al, 2017) • noctule - this species has shown a very steep decline over 20 years of bat monitoring at the London Wetland Centre (Mayfield et al, 2017) and a similar trend was shown at the Greater London scale from 1997 to 2006 (Briggs et al, 2007) • two species have been recorded (Leisler's bat and Nathusius' pipistrelle) whose population size in the UK is uncertain (Mathews et al 2018). The borough of Richmond appears to be an important locality for both species, at least within a London context (London Bat Group 2015). <p>The site is part of a network of green spaces connecting two important bat habitats, Bushy Park and the river Thames. It is vitally important to maintain these wildlife corridors which are constantly under threat and gradually disappearing.</p> <p>References: Briggs, P.A., Bullock, R.J., Tovey, J.D. (2007) Ten years of bat monitoring at the WWT London Wetland Centre - a comparison with National Bat Monitoring Programme trends for Greater London. The London Naturalist 86: 47-70 London Bat Group (2015) The London Bat Atlas https://londonbats.org.uk/projects-surveys/the-bat-atlas-of-london/ Mathews F, Kubasiewicz LM, Gurnell J, Harrower CA, McDonald RA, Shore RF. (2018) A Review of the Population and Conservation Status of British Mammals. A report by the Mammal Society under contract to Natural England, Natural Resources Wales and Scottish Natural Heritage. Natural England, Peterborough. ISBN 978-1-78354-494-3. Mayfield, H.J., Bullock, R.J., Briggs, P.A., Faulker, S.C., Hilton, G.M. (2017) Twenty years of bat monitoring at the London Wetland Centre: showing the biodiversity value of a man-made urban reserve. The London Naturalist 96: 102-114</p>
1811	HTK Leong	<p>I am writing to object to the removal of Local Green Space status from Udney Park playing fields in Teddington.</p> <p>The reasons for my objection are as follows:-</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1) Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. At a time of growing obesity we should be doing everything possible to encourage physical activity.</p> <p>2) Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport and should remain solely for this purpose.</p> <p>3) Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments.</p> <p>4) Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from unnecessary development and no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites.</p>
1812	Douglas Craik	<p>I have previously written in support of the proposals to provide public access and gift aid forever, the Udney Park Playing Fields land, for sporting and recreational activities and build alternative facilities for my GP and build affordable home for elderly people. I now do so again.</p> <p>For the benefit of all people in the community this should not become Local Green Space.</p>
1813	Calum Tavender-Tait	<p>I am writing to let you know that I do not agree with any recommendations in your modified Local plan to give the former Imperial Ground at Udney Park Road a Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation has a set criteria which states, amongst other things, that the land must be of local or historical significance. The ground at Udney Park Road does not fit into this description, so therefore is not eligible for this designation.</p> <p>If it is designated as Local Green Space, then I fear that the old sports ground will be retained for private use and the wider community will be unable to enjoy some excellent facilities.</p> <p>I understand Quantum has plans to provide a variety of sports facilities which will far enhance the facilities that we have currently in Teddington on the sports ground. If this land was developed, then the potential for modern multi-use facilities would meet the needs of all the local clubs and associations far better than its current state. The land in its current form is basic; with sound planning and open access far more people could access high quality facilities.</p> <p>Therefore, I conclude it would fail to meet the needs of our community and consequently in my opinion your Local Plan will be unsound if the council allows this site to become Local Green Space.</p>
1814	Name unclear	<p>I agree with the Inspector's decision that this site should remain designated as OOLTI. Some of the key factors for LGS designation are for it to be 'Special to the local community' and to be of 'local significance'.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Designating it LGS could potentially achieve exactly the opposite of what is in the community interest I support the Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC who have plans for new and improved publicly accessible sports pitches, playing equipment and a landscape park. I fear that putting further restrictions on land that is already protected by OOLTI designation, will hinder these wonderful ideas.
1815	Dylan Patel	I do not support any application to change the status of the land at Udney Park Road. I understand there is a group of residents that would like to apply for the status (LGS) Local Green Space. The land is not special, it has no great beauty and there is a distinct lack of wildlife. It is not tranquil as there is a vehicular traffic overload in the adjacent streets. There are some underutilized sports pitches on the land with an old clubhouse. The site could benefit the local community so much more if there was an appropriate sporting development that could accommodate 3G pitches and new clubhouse. If the current status remains unchanged, the possibility of a sustainable development beneficial to all could be achieved.
1816	Tim Christian	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1817	David Ford	I do not agree with the proposals to designate the land at Udney Park Road (Formerly ICL Private Grounds) as LGS. I understand that an LGS application must be required from the local community. I was not given an opportunity to give my opinion and was not consulted on this, so I do not believe the Local plan is sound. In addition, I understand LGS status has to demonstrate that the land has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance to the local community which it serves. There are no other designations of LGS in the Borough so there is nothing to compare this land with and I fail to see how this land can be described as having “special qualities” or holds “particular significance” as the site look run down and as it is privately owned very few people have access to it. The OOLTI status will still protect the site from mass development to should stand.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1818	Frances Konden	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1819	Suzanne Janis	<p>I do not agree with the site being designated as Local Green Space and I do not believe the Council has demonstrated why the site fits with their Local Green Space criteria. The Inspector’s recent decision to remove several of the criteria from the list of criteria for LGS demonstrates the LGS designation was based on inadequate evidence and was not consistent with national policy. I support the planning Inspector’s original decision to keep the site as OOLTI.</p>
1820	David Cloke	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1821	Ann Flood	<p>I do not agree with the Local Plan Policy to designate the land at Udney Park Road as local Green Space because:</p> <p>The site does not hold significance to the community.</p> <p>The site is not special in regard to beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquility or richness of wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are scruffy, unkept trees and hedges and broken fences which borders a suburban area • There is no monument or plaque or any acknowledgement on the existing site which shows any historical significance. If all space which was left by a wealthy benefactor was made LGS it would ridicule the whole planning system.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is limited recreational value in its current state with just a few random pitches • The only reason the site is tranquil is because it is hardly used • This private, empty piece of land cannot hold significance to the local community as the community cannot access it unless they have a licence from the landowner. <p>This land is protected sufficiently by its current OOLTI status and I do not believe the Council has provided sufficient evidence why this site fits with their Local Green Space criteria.</p>
1822	B Hainey	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1823	Elspeth Lee	<p>I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1824	Malcolm Slater	<p>I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a rundown field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and scrappy hedges. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast numbers of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area. This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1825	Kimm Stevens	<p>I would like to register my objection to the proposed removal of the Local Green Space status of the Udney Park playing fields.</p> <p>This land was left to the community for sport purposes and provides a valuable resource and open space in what is becoming an increasingly densely populated and built upon area of SW London.</p> <p>It is used regularly by local people and could be made into a beautiful recreational area through planting trees and wilding spaces alongside the existing playing fields, thus providing both a community space and a haven for wild life which is already thriving.</p> <p>There should be a balance between development and profit and community space.</p> <p>There are other areas in the town which could house the Park Road Doctors' practice – for instance the police station which now seems to be empty; it is at the heart of the town and is opposite a chemist.</p> <p>To divide the land between development and community use would be to sacrifice a space already allocated and given to the community.</p> <p>This is a rich local resource - it has great potential for sports clubs, youth involvement, engagement with nature and an environment to foster and preserve wildlife.</p> <p>Please think again before giving it up for development.</p>
1826	Tim Godfray	<p>Three of my children have played sport on the Udney Park Playing Fields when at school. I believe it would be detrimental should Quantum be allowed to realise their plans to build so many luxury apartments on this site. This area has been donated under covenant for amateur sport. Its use should not be changed to allow the building of so many apartments. Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years. My sons' school found it so difficult to find a ground on which its children could play sport. Playing fields are few and far between in the area. We need more, not less.</p> <p>I hope the Council and, indeed, the Secretary of State, will object to the Local Green Space Protection being removed for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p>
1827	Michael and Kirsten Darowski	<p>We write to object to the removal of Local Green Space protection for Udney Park. There are a number of reasons for our objection, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Udney Park has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. - The developers plans indicate that they intend to provide a certain area for sport. The area provided will be covered by 4G artificial pitches. It would be better to retain natural grass pitches. - Udney Park is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>- Udney Park is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. Building on Udney Park would remove green space for water to run off to and affect the water table in an area that is already very close to the River Thames and the associated risk of flooding.</p> <p>- Udney Park is a central green space that must be protected from plans for luxury apartments for wealthy over-50s retirees for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. The marketing of these apartments by Quantum makes clear that it has little interest in local residents residing in the flats and the flats do not benefit the local area or its residents.</p>
1828	Stuart Withycombe	<p>I strongly object to the Removal of LGS for Udney Park Playing Fields. I have lived in this area for over 20 years and feel the land is special to the community and has great recreational value in addition to being beautiful and tranquil when not in use. There is plenty of development taking place nearby on brown-field sites and this Udney Park site was donated under covenant for amateur sport. It should all, 100%, be kept for that purpose.</p>
1829	Sarah Page	<p>I wish to object to the removal of the LGS because: UdneyPark has been a playing field for 100 years; there is a severe shortfall of affordable playing fields locally and several local clubs have no permanent home. UdneyPark is a war memorial, donated under covenant for amateur sport. UdneyPark is home to 8 protected species of bat and is a critical part of a connected ecology network of local parks and river embankments. UdneyPark is a central green space that must be protected from predatory plans for luxury apartments for which there is no local need as there are already 300 luxury flats under construction within half a mile of the park on brown-field sites. All Policies that protect playing fields apply to private and public land - Quantum knew this when they outbid the three community bidders</p>
1830	Judith Wilks	<p>I am a Teddington resident and parent and object strongly to the removal of local green space status from Udney Park. It is a vital green space for the area, reducing air pollution and mitigating the risk of flooding. It provides much needed playing fields for the community. Playing fields play a crucial role in the mental and physical wellbeing of the community, and in particular, the health of future generations in a time when</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>obesity is on the rise. According to Sport England, "The proposal will result in a significant quantitative loss of playing field on a site identified in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy as a Strategic (High Priority) Site." As a lover of wildlife, I am delighted that eight protected species of bat have been found at Udney Park. Every seemingly small decision like this one has national and global significance: numbers of our native wildlife have decreased dramatically over the last few decades as a direct result of human action. If we continue to concrete over the natural habitats, we will have no wildlife left - with far-reaching implications for the health of our planet as a whole. Action needs to start at the local level.</p> <p>Lastly, there is no need for additional development in Teddington. There are new developments at beautiful spots on the river and at Langdon Park, which, in addition to being unsightly, will already place a strain on the area's local amenities, schools and transport.</p> <p>For all these reasons, I urge you NOT to remove LGS status from Udney Park.</p>
1831	Craig Nicholson on behalf of the Middlesex Football Association	<p>The Middlesex FA considers that Udney Park Playing Fields should be designated as a Local Green Space, as was put forward by the Council in the Local Plan, on the basis that the existing playing fields should be protected. Udney Park Playing Fields may now be owned by a developer, but it has been used for community sport for many years.</p> <p>Based on present evidence from the Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) there are four grass football pitches comprising of two 7v7 pitches and two 11v11 pitches. The PPS suggests that current demand is being met for adult, 5v5, 7v7, 9v9; however, there is a shortfall in pitches for youth 11v11 matches. The same applies to future demand, however with an expected increase in teams during the period of the PPS, the youth 11v11 situation is exacerbated.</p> <p>On site currently there are 9 affiliated teams and 9 training squads, with the development's accommodating these teams onsite along with other sports would not be practical along with local pitches at capacity would cause considerable challenges for the continued growth in the area for football.</p> <p>Therefore, we fully support this site being designated as a Local Green Space, to protect future sporting use for generations to come.</p>
1832	Ian Moore on behalf of Middlesex Cricket	<p>I write on behalf of Middlesex Cricket, the sport's governing body in the London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, to express my organisation's objection to the possible re-development of the former Imperial College Sports Ground on Udney Park Road, Teddington.</p> <p>This site is a key facility for cricket in the borough, with a good quality square regularly used by adults and juniors alike, a non-turf pitch (NTP), plus a further area that had been cultivated for use as a cricket square,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>also in regular use until the end of the 2015 season after the site had been sold and clubs were no longer permitted to use the pitches. The site also boasts significant ancillary facilities, given the numerous changing rooms, showers & toilets, social area and bar available, too.</p> <p>In the years leading up to the end of the 2015 season, cricket pitches were used regularly by a number of stakeholders, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Richmond CC (anchor tenant, having been on-site every week during the cricket season since the start of 2006) • Teddington CC • Newland House School • St James's CC • Salix CC • Charing Cross & Westminster hospitals staff <p>Furthermore, not only was the site identified as being of strategic importance in London Borough of Richmond's Playing Pitch Strategy, since that report was published, participation in cricket has grown by in excess of 4% in the borough. Needless to say therefore, the importance of retaining existing sports facilities – and those of the best quality in particular (which this site undoubtedly was) – has only grown in importance during the intervening time period.</p> <p>I therefore cannot stress strongly enough of the need to retain the existing cricket facilities.</p>
1833	Vicky Aston, Sport England	<p>Sport England considers that Udney Park Playing Fields should be designated as a Local Green Space in the Local Plan (as was put forward by the Council in the Local Plan). Sport England supported the designation of this site as a local green space in our Local Plan representations (attached) [See Appendix 3 for Response].</p> <p>Paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy Statement states;</p> <p>The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Sport England considers that the Council's designation of this playing field site as a local green space remains relevant. Sport England has considered this as follows;</p> <p><i>(a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</i></p> <p>Sport England has reviewed the Inspector's comments and in relation to Paragraph 100(a), Sport England considers that the Inspector's approach in paragraph 69 (of the Inspector's Local Plan report) is flawed in relation to there being a separate requirement to demonstrate that the land "serves" the community. This point was addressed directly by Mr Justice Dove in R (Legard) v RBKC [2018] PTSR 1415 at paragraph 187, which states:</p> <p><i>"I can see no justification for having, in effect, a separate and free standing requirement that the land "serves" the local community, other than by being "demonstrably special" and holding "a particular significance" for the local community in the manner required by the second bullet point. In my view, read in the context of the policy as a whole, the word "serves" operates in this way, and I see no justification for reading it more widely to create a requirement that the open space "serves" the local community in a free standing manner beyond the question of being "demonstrably special" and holding "a particular local significance". This interpretation is in my view, clearly more consistent with the purpose of the policy than the claimant's construction."</i></p> <p>Whilst this was referring to the previous NPPF, this judgment undermines the Inspector's decision on this point and assists the case for designation. As the Council considered that the site was demonstrably special to the community it therefore should be granted the designation.</p> <p><i>(b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance</i></p> <p>(B) The Local Green Space designation specifically recognises playing fields as being appropriate for designation as a local green space. This playing field site was donated by Lord Beaverbrook and Sport England further understands that its original donor intended the whole of the site to be used for sport. Udney Park playing fields may now be owned by a developer, but it has been used by the community for sport for many years (and continues to be used to the extent that the current owner permits it). Paragraph 97 of the National Planning Policy Framework seeks to protect all playing fields from development, not just those that are in private ownership. In my response to the recent planning application submitted by a developer for this site, I highlighted the previous use by community cricket clubs and the current use by local rugby and football clubs. The Council's evidence base, in the form of its</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Playing Pitch Strategy also evidences the importance of the protection of the playing field on this site to meet current and future needs of the local sports community.</p> <p>My conclusion is that the evidence available to me has demonstrated that despite this site being privately owned, there has been and continues to be demand from local community groups to access these playing fields to play sport. The site is also an asset of community value and should be protected from development.</p> <p><i>(C) The site identified is local in character and not an extensive tract of land</i></p> <p>The site is a playing field that supports the local community and it is not an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>In light of the above and in view of the development pressures being applied to this playing field site it is important that the Council retains this designation in the Local Plan. The community has requested that this site be designated as a local green space and it is also recognised as an Asset of Community value. Without the local green space designation in the development plan, the only other protection in the development plan is a local designation of Other Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI). The addition of a designation of local green space (and its recognition as a playing field) would help to secure this site for the community for the future.</p>
1834	Katarina Hagstrom	<p>Ref: Udney Park – Local Green Space (LGS) Consultation</p> <p>Re: <u>Wildlife Assessment</u></p> <p>I OBJECT to the proposed Removal of LGS protection for the Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>The Udney Park Playing Fields meet all the ‘screening’ requirements for LGS designation AND are demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance due to their <u>richness of wildlife</u>. The LGS designation and protection that this brings for Udney Park’s important wildlife habitat MUST therefore be retained.</p> <p>1. <u>Summary</u></p> <p>1.1. This submission details the clear case that Udney Park meets the LGS criterion (NPPF parag. 77) that it is demonstrably special and holds a particular local significance due to its <u>richness of wildlife</u>.</p> <p>1.2. Under UK law, every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1.3. Udney Park supports an unquestionable richness of wildlife. Based on its Bat biodiversity and abundance alone, the site objectively qualifies as rich in wildlife.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surveying has found <u>at least 8-9¹ species of Bat</u> at Udney Park including rare and scarce species. • <u>All</u> these bat species are European Protected Species, London BAP² priority species and LBRuT SAP³ species. • Udney Park has been demonstrated to be a critical feeding, roosting, breeding, mating and commuting habitat for these bats. <p>1.4. In addition to its Bats, evidence indicates that Udney Park supports a wealth of other wildlife including protected and notable species.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighbours and locals attest that Udney Park supports a diverse, thriving bird fauna. GiGL records list 110^{4,5} protected and notable bird species within 2km; and suitable bird habitat* onsite indicates that Udney Park is likely to be used by a significant number of these birds. • GiGL records of other protected and notable species within 2km include an impressive array of mammals (5 excl. bats), reptiles (2), amphibians (3) and invertebrates (336)^{6,7}. • Udney Park has suitable habitat (and context) to support Hedgehogs, Reptiles and Great Crested Newts. • It must also be considered an important invertebrate habitat and Stag beetles almost certainly onsite. <p>1.5. Udney Park must be considered a unique and special habitat of particular local significance when considering Bat ecology and behaviour. It is beyond reasonable doubt that disturbance of Udney Park’s finely balanced local ecosystem would have drastic consequences for its wildlife generally, and its 8-9* European Protected Species of Bat, in particular. This, in itself, ought to be sufficient grounds to merit Local Green Space protection. (<i>A body of robust evidence is presented in this submission</i>).</p> <p>1.6. The fact that Udney Park has been noted as meeting the criteria for SMINC protection, but that there is a process constraint on implementing this, further underscores why LGS protection should be retained.</p> <p>1.7. The previous local plan examination suggested that it was “<i>unclear how Udney Park ‘serves’ the community</i>”.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>I observe that the LBRuT Local Plan, item 5.4.4⁸ appears to detail this: 5.4.4 Biodiversity does not only enhance and enrich the borough's landscapes and wildlife, but it also makes a significant contribution to the distinctiveness of this unique borough, providing a sense of place, cultural heritage, tranquillity as well as opportunities for recreation and a healthier lifestyle. In addition, protecting and enhancing biodiversity, including the provision of new habitats and features, increases the resilience of our ecosystems and helps the physical environment to change and adapt to different stresses. Biodiversity, including the wider ecological and green infrastructure networks, play a crucial role in adapting to the effects of climate change.</p> <p>1.8. Even our Wildlife per se “<i>serves the community</i>” – although – to our own detriment – we too often fail to consider how – and how much...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On a local, micro level: Udney Park’s Birds provide a daily chorus of birdsong. This will have a small, but daily, impact on the well-being of every commuter walking to and from the station, and every school child walking back and forth to school, along Udney Park. • Bats are pest controllers, that keep local flying insect populations in balance. Bats are also particularly fascinating creatures (ask children), and the Bats at Udney Park bring the ‘magic’ of nature right into the heart of our urban environment: it is possible to go for a Bat walk right in the middle of Teddington and come across 8-9* European Protected Species. • Invertebrates are pollinators, prey, predators, pest-controllers and decomposers. They are known to be declining at drastic rates (a study in Germany⁹ found a 75% loss over 25 years) with severe knock-on consequences predicted for the entire ecosystems they underpin, as well as for ourselves. <p>1.9. Nature is complex: dependencies and interdependencies are often difficult to assess / predict. And, like climate-change, the impacts of decreasing Biodiversity are likely to have severe, long-term consequences.</p> <p>Legislation on conserving Biodiversity and the protection of Threatened and Notable species is there for a reason. For our children’s – and grandchildren’s – sake, we need to ensure it is diligently applied.</p> <p>1.10. I believe that the Developer’s Planning Application, and approach taken to achieve this, demonstrates - beyond reasonable doubt – why Local Green Space protection for Udney Park is not simply justified – but actually required – in order to protect its protected species and the ecosystem that is needed to support them.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>1.11. Udney Park's Bats are our community's Elephants. They are special; they are at risk; and they need protection. There are 26 terrestrial European Protected Species in the UK; 18 are Bats; 8-9* are found at Udney Park. It is easy to look at <u>long lists of species and lose the context of what they mean</u>. For example: One Bat species¹⁰ (NOT at Udney Park) is classified as "UK Threatened: critically endangered" One solitary male of this species is known in the UK. At Udney Park, we have 3 species that are Threatened/Near Threatened Threatened means "<u>appreciable risk of [UK] extinction in the near future</u> (generally within the next decade, or 3 generations – whichever is longer". Near Threatened means "<u>close to qualifying as Threatened, or likely to qualify as such in the near future</u>" Please allow us to retain LGS protection for Udney Park in order to preserve their habitat.</p> <p>1.12. I observe that public access is not <u>required</u> to qualify for Local Green Space designation.</p> <p>1.13 I wish to apologise to the Planning Inspector for the length of this submission. This has been necessary in order to try to address some of the problems with the Ecology reports, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Omission of relevant data / failure to disclose relevant data. (eg. large discrepancies between GiGL data provided in Ph1 ecology report vs. sourced from GiGL direct) • Failures to meet Basic Good Practice, without clarifying the consequence of such failures on the findings. (eg. a bat emergence survey requires several stationary surveyors to watch all sides of the building simultaneously; an emergence survey carried out by a single mobile surveyor is likely to miss emerging bats, and is therefore invalid). • Discrepancies between the data and the findings presented – or findings are presented out of context. (eg. Summarising that "<i>a single soprano pipistrelle bat was recorded in the pavilion</i>"; when the detail in the report additionally shows that "175 recordings of common pipistrelle were recorded the type of calls are similar to calls <u>just before they roost</u> so it is possible common

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p><i>pipistrelle are roosting in the Pavilion to the eastern side although there is no visual recording of roosting</i> (as well as roosting evidence for further species); A visual record was not possible because the time data shows that the surveyors had left the site).</p> <p>1.14. I have a degree in Zoology (MA Hons, Cantab) including ecology and experience doing field surveys. I have done my best to provide clear back-up and reference sources to support my observations. If any further clarifications would be helpful, I would be happy to provide any further detail required.</p> <p>¹ Peachecology Ph 2 Bat survey report; July 2017, arrives at a count of “at least 8 species” by grouping “Myotis species” as one. However, the survey data indicates both Brandts and Daubentons ie. 9 species. 10 bat species are recorded in the vicinity. (Detailed evidence and references are provided in section 3 of this report)</p> <p>² Biodiversity Action Plan</p> <p>³ Species Action Plan</p> <p>⁴ GiGL Data Search for Udney Park Playing Fields Trust, report ref 1881, 5/3/19, p 43-47 & p68-69</p> <p>⁵ The LC Ph1 ecology report reports only 60 species; see further detail on ecology reports data omissions (section 5).</p> <p>* LC Phase 1 Ecology report, p34 note on Hedgerows under table 10; p34 target note 11, p35 note under table 11 on scrub, p36 note under table 12 on treeline</p> <p>⁶ GiGL Data Search for Udney Park Playing Fields Trust, report ref 1881, 5/3/19, p 30-42, p47 & p69</p> <p>⁷ The LC Ph1 ecology report reports only 3 mammals, 1 amphibian, 7 invertebrates; ecology reports omissions are covered in section 5.</p> <p>* Peachecology Ph 2 Bat survey report; July 2017, arrives at a count of “at least 8 species” by grouping “Myotis species” as one. However, the survey data suggests both Brandts and Daubentons, ie. 9 species. 10 bat species are recorded in the vicinity. (Detailed evidence and references are provided in section 3 of this report)</p> <p>⁸ Interim adopted Local Plan https://www.richmond.gov.uk/media/15935/adopted_local_plan_interim.pdf, p53, 5.4.4</p> <p>⁹ https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0185809</p> <p>¹⁰ The Greater Mouse-Eared Bat (Myotis myotis)</p> <p><u>Note on sources:</u> <i>I note that throughout this submission:</i> <i>“The Phase 1 LC Ecology report”/ “Phase 1 Ecology report” and variations of these refer to the:</i></p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>Lindsay Carrington Ecological Services ‘Ecological Appraisal’, dated March 2016. This report is publically available through LBRuT planning portal; Planning Application 18/0151/FUL</p> <p><i>“The Phase 2 Bat report”/ “Phase 2 ecology survey”/“Ph2 Peachecology report”</i>, and variations of these refer to the:</p> <p>Peachecology ‘Phase 2 Bat and Reptile survey report’, dated 11 July 2017. Unless noted otherwise, data references eg: ‘4.18’ refer to the relevant Phase 2 Bat report sections. This report is publically available through LBRuT planning portal; Planning Application 18/0151/FUL</p> <p><i>“GiGL for UPPFT” / “the GiGL report”</i> and variations refers to the: GiGL Data Search for Udney Park Playing Fields Trust, report ref 1881, dated 5 March 2019. GiGL has confirmed that a copy of this report has been provided directly to the LGS Consultation Officer.</p> <p>[See Appendix 4 for Supporting Content] I further attach - for the Planning Inspector's record - the 2 ecology reports from the Planning Application 18/0151/FUL. [See links in the Council’s Statement] (These are publically available through LBRuT, and are referred to in my document). I understand that GiGL has also provided a report on their data directly to the Planning Inspector, for reference. [See Comment MM Rep No. 1774 and Appendix 2]</p>
1835	Ken Howe	<p>In the matter of an application for registration of as “Local Green Space” for former Sports Ground Udney Park Road Teddington. Statement of Ken Howe. I am Ken Howe and reside at [Teddington address details removed for data protection] I am a retired insurance broker.</p> <p>1). I have resided at the above address since 1980. I was born in Teddington. I started to play rugby at school and after leaving school I joined the Antlers Rugby Club based then as now in Bushy Park Teddington. I am still a non playing Vice President of that club.</p> <p>2). In 1972 I was playing on Saturdays for the Antlers and being single and keen saught additional rugby by joining an itinerant Sunday side called the Stags who had no formal base.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>3). Whilst we played each week the ground used varied on what was available. We also played at the ground owned by Bentalls the Kingston Department Store and at Molesey on a ground owned by one fo the big five banks.</p> <p>4). Our favourite ground was that owned by St., Marys Hospital in Udney Park Road, Teddington. This was our regular if intermitant ground and we played there on average two games a month. This ground at that time was a preferred location for inter hospital matches and was maintained to a high standard. I knew nothing of the formal arrangements that may have been in place for us playing there.</p> <p>5). There was a major advantage of the use of Udney Park in that it was centered in Teddington. Sunday Sides are often made up of older members and are or were more gregarious than regular Club sides. It was most often the case that players had no available motor transport and a ground in central Teddington was ideal being close to buses from and to Teddington High Street and Teddington Train Station approx. ten minutes walk from the ground.</p> <p>6). We were not the only non Hospital users of the ground. Another regular user was gentleman who exercises his greyhounds on the ground by trialing them against each other over a fixed distance.</p> <p>7). A good friend of mine was elected to run the Old Thamesians RFC Clots XV in the early 1980s. This was the in-between side between the School XV and the Old Boys proper, and Udney Park became tgher home ground. I think this operated for at least three years.</p> <p>8). I played at Udney Park on a irregular basis from 1972 to 1976. I married in 1976 and my Sunday Rugby became less and less ceasing in the following year. Good old days.</p>
1836	Daniel Osborne, Barton Willmore on behalf of the Quantum Group	<p>We write on behalf of our client, Quantum Group, in response to the current consultation on the Proposed Main Modi fication to the Local Plan, in respect of Local Green Space at Udney Park Playing Fields.</p> <p>We have prepared representations on the current consultation, which are appended to this letter. The Quantum Group has provided representations to previous Local Pla n consultations held in February 2017 and we provided evidence at the Local Plan Review Examination at the public Hearings in September 2017. These previous representations are also enclosed with this letter. Following the Examination, the Inspector published his report on 26 April 2018 in which he asserts that he is “unable to conclude that the designation [of the Udney Park Playing Fields as Local Green Space] is justified”. The proposed designation was subsequently removed from the replacement Local Plan following LBRuT’s consultation on Main Modifications to incorporate</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>recommendations made by the Inspector into the Plan.</p> <p>Following the Main Modifications publication, Mr Jopling, a member of the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields (FUPPF) filed a statutory review claim, pursuant to Section 113 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, in the High Court to challenge the adoption by LBRuT of this revised Local Plan on 3 July 2018. Mr Jopling wrote to LBRuT serving the claim on them explaining that he sought a review of the Council's decision to adopt the revised Local Plan, incorporating the amendments suggested by the Inspector, and thus the removal of the Local Green Space designation of the Site.</p> <p>This statutory challenge was heard in the High Court on 16 and 17 January 2019 and Mr Justice Waksman concluded that Mr Jopling was substantially prejudiced as a result of the procedural defeats in the consultation process on the proposed modifications to the Local Plan, i.e. the de-designation of the site as Local Green Space. As a result of this, a Court Order dated 8 February 2019 has quashed paragraph 5.2.8 in the Local Plan, which relates to the designation of land as Local Green Space. The Court Order requires LBRuT to publish the proposed change to 5.2.8 as a proposed Main Modification to the submitted version of the Local Plan for public consultation and representations are invited until 5 April 2019.</p> <p>The document enclosed sets out our representations in relation to the removal of the Local Green Space allocation, made on behalf of the Quantum Group.</p> <p>Planning Application/Appeal</p> <p>A planning application was submitted to develop part of the Udney Park Playing Fields and provide enhanced sports facilities. The application was submitted to the Council on 16 February 2018 for the following:</p> <p><i>“Erection of a new extra-care community, with new public open space and improved sports facilities, comprising: 107 extra-care apartments (Class C2 use), visitor suites, and associated car parking; 12 GP surgery (Class D1 use) and associated car parking; new public open space including a public park, and a community orchard; improved sports facilities (Class D2 use) comprising a 3G pitch, turf pitch, MUGA, playground, pavilion and community space, and associated parking (68 spaces); paddock for horses; and a new pedestrian crossing at Cromwell Road; and all other associated works.”</i></p> <p>LBRuT failed to determine the planning application within the statutory period, therefore a non -</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>determination appeal was submitted to the Secretary of State. A 10-day Inquiry has been set to commence from 25 June 2019.</p> <p>Summary We are of the view that there was no evidential basis for the inclusion of the policy tests in relation to local green space designations and therefore we agree that the previously drafted text was unsound and may have unduly influenced the decision to propose a Local Green Space designation at the former Imperial College Private Ground.</p> <p>Encl. [See Appendix 5 for Representations]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Representations to Main modification to LBRuT's Local Plan Policy LP13: Local Green Space Paragraph 5.2.8 on behalf of the Quantum Group 2. Representations to the LBRuT Local Plan Main Modifications, February 2018
1837	Adam Wallace, Natural England – Thames Team	<p>Natural England is a delivery body with a statutory duty to advise on biodiversity, landscape and access to nature and open space, and the contribution that spatial planning can make to further these elements . A key part of our purpose is also to support social and economic well-being through management of the natural environment.</p> <p>Our vision is conservation which reconnects people with their environment; restores and recovers ecosystems and landscapes; and where a thriving economy with a rich and resilient natural environment is integral to everyday life.</p> <p>Natural England were consulted on the Richmond Local Plan previously and we are now aware that there is an additional consultation relating to designation of Local Green Space at Udney Park in Richmond that has been issued. We are keen to underline that areas such as Udney Park are significant in a number of ways in relation to Natural England's remit. The area holds a range of ecological interests of particular note the bat species (8 in total) that use the area for commuting, foraging and roosting. Taken more strategically green open space such at this plays a significant role in the provision of a mosaic of linked and related greenspace across the area that serve a range of important functions both for wildlife and people. (Bushy Park, the river corridor, Richmond Park and a range of additional greenspaces including Udney Park act as important 'stepping stone' sites for wildlife). Access to nature for local communities, climate change adaptation benefits, intrinsic biodiversity value are all important elements at this site. We believe designation as Local Green Space is important and would help to ensure these functions are recognised and retained in the future as an important element of green infrastructure within the Borough.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1838	Chris Whitaker, England and Wales Cricket Board	<p>As a case officer working on this particular site with the cricket playing population in the local area of Udney Park, I would maintain that the protection of this site for sport in general and cricket in particular is highly important to the local and wider community.</p> <p>Cricket has been played on the site for almost as long as it has been there in the form of playing fields and within the borough of Richmond serves as an important site for clubs and teams to access, given the well evidenced undersupply of playing pitches in this area of London.</p> <p>In particular the sharp increase in female participation within organised team sports such as cricket, football and rugby union brings with it the additional demand for playing fields. This is to be welcomed and represents a major opportunity for these sports to thrive and grow and deliver all the benefits which community sport excels at such as community engagement, social cohesion and of course physical activity, all key indices which Local Government in London is struggling to deliver against.</p> <p>Given what's said above and in light of the pressure for development being applied to this playing field site it is important that the Council retains this designation in the Local Plan. The local community has requested that this be designated as a local green space and it is also recognised as an Asset of Community value.</p> <p>The addition of a designation of local green space (and its recognition as a playing field) would help to secure this site for the community for their future use.</p>
1839	Mark Jopling on behalf of the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields, the Teddington Society and the Udney Park Playing Fields Trust	<p>Representation made by Mark Jopling on behalf of the Friends of Udney Park Playing Fields (the "Friends") and The Teddington Society (the "Society") and the Udney Park Playing Fields Trust (the "Trust")</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This representation is made on behalf of the Friends and the Society. The Friends are an informal Group who came together to save Udney Park Playing Fields; the Society is a long-established local amenity group in Teddington with a wide interest in community matters. Together they represent at least 1500 local residents, almost all of whom live within 2 miles distance of the Udney Park Playing Fields ("UPPF"). 2. The representation is made in response to the proposed Main Modification of paragraph 5.2.8 of the supporting text to Policy LP13 of the Local Plan, following the quashing of the adopted version by the High Court on 8 February 2019, pursuant to Mr Jopling's successful Claim (see attached Judgment at tab 1).

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>3. On the basis of all the following reasons and the accompanying documents, the Trust, the Society and Friends strongly object to the Main Modification and maintain that there is no proper or sound basis for removing the LGS designation from Udney Park Playing Fields (“UPPF”).</p> <p><u>The Proposed Main Modification</u></p> <p>4. The proposed Main Modification is as follows: “Amend para to read: 5.2.8 Local Green Space, as <u>to be</u> identified on the Proposals Map, is green or open space which has been demonstrated to have special qualities and hold particular significance and value to the local community which it serves. <u>New areas of Local Green Space designation can only be identified when a plan is being prepared or reviewed.</u>”</p> <p>5. The consultation document published by the Council now makes clear the effect and basis of the Main Modification as follows: “To ensure clarity and effectiveness consistent with national policy. The effect of this change represents the proposed removal of the Local Green Space (LGS) designation from Udney Park Playing Fields (UPPF) (based on paragraphs 68 and 69 of the Inspector’s Report April 2018).”</p> <p>6. Paragraphs 68 and 69 of the Inspector’s Report are in the following terms: “68. Part D of the policy provides protection to identified LGS. National policy makes provision for the development plan process to designate LGS where three criteria are satisfied albeit also states that the designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space. The Council has, at para 5.2.10, created a number of additional criteria to be considered for the designation of LGS. The rationale for these is not clearly explained in the pre-submission evidence. Critically however and as accepted by the Council during the Examination Hearings process, there is no clear methodology which explains how the criteria have been applied and what means of value analysis has been applied to the sites identified to be designated as LGS. Thus the justification for any decision to designate land is more one of assertive opinion rather than evidential analysis and consequently is insufficiently robust. In the absence of such analytical process the inclusion of land as LGS cannot be supported at this time. Nonetheless, the LGS references within the Plan can be retained subject to modification to ensure clarity and consistency with national policy (MM 7).</p> <p>69. I have noted the volume of representation received in relation to the Udney Park Playing Fields. It is clear that a large section of the community supports the designation of the land as LGS, albeit this is not universal and I note the submissions to the contrary. Regardless of the particular development aspirations that may apply to the site, my focus is upon whether designation of the land as LGS can be justified. In light of the absence of robust analysis as to its value against the criteria of the Framework and how any judgements have been objectively assessed in</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>relation to, for example, its beauty, historic significance, recreational value etc, the designation is not justified adequately. The land is close to the community but it is unclear how it 'serves' that community and submissions have been received which argue that the land is both special or, in the contrary, not special and the rationale for both is not well developed beyond assertion. I am unable to conclude that the designation is justified at this time. The site will retain its existing designation as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI). As a simple point of fact, the absence of a LGS designation of itself does not mean the site is, or is not, suitable for development."</p> <p><u>Policy Basis and Criteria</u></p> <p>7. Adopted Policy LP13 includes the following: "D. Local Green Space, which has been demonstrated to be special to a local community and which holds a particular local significance, will be protected from inappropriate development that could cause harm to its qualities."</p> <p>8. Paragraph 5.2.9 of the supporting text to Policy LP13 states: "5.2.9 In line with the NPPF, managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with policy for Green Belt. Development, which would cause harm to the qualities of the Local Green Space, will be considered inappropriate and will only be acceptable in very special circumstances where benefits can be demonstrated to significantly outweigh the harm."</p> <p>9. The adopted criteria in the Local Plan for designation as LGS (i.e the criteria that were amended pursuant to an unchallenged Main Modification) are as follows: "5.2.10 The following criteria are taken into account when defining Local Green Space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site is submitted by the local community; • There is no current planning permission which once implemented would undermine the merit of a Local Green Space designation; • The site is not land allocated for development within the Local Plan; • The site is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land." <p>10. National policy applicable to the Local Plan states the following at paras 76 to 77 of the NPPF (2012): "76. Local communities through local and neighbourhood plans should be able to identify for special protection green areas of particular importance to them. By designating land as Local Green Space local communities will be able to rule out new development other than in very special circumstances. Identifying land as Local Green Space should therefore be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or reviewed, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>77. The Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space. The designation should only be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; - Where the green space is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and - where the green area is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.” <p>11. The NPPF 2012 was revised with a new draft in July 2018 and revised again in February 2019. Annex 1 to both revised versions state that policies in the previous version (March 2012) will apply for the purposes of examining plans, where those plans were submitted on or before 24 January 2019, as is obviously case with the Local Plan here. However, in determining matters of weight, it is worth noting the direction of travel of Government Policy on LGS: The more limiting sentence from para 77 of the NPPF 2012 (“The Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space”) has been deleted from the current versions of the NPPF.</p> <p><u>Case Law</u></p> <p>12. In <i>Legard v. London Borough of Kensington & Chelsea</i> ([2018] EWHC 32 (Admin)), (attached at tab 2) the High Court ruled that paragraph 77 of the NPPF does not impose any free-standing requirement that the green space must ‘serve’ the local community beyond being ‘demonstrably special’ or holding a ‘particular significance’. The Court upheld the designation of a site as LGS in a Neighbourhood Plan. The case concerned a site with no current public access but which had been in recreational use in the 1950s and 60s and then became in horticultural use and remained of particular significance the local community (see extract from the Examiner’s report at para 91 of the Judgment). The claimant in the case challenged the designation on the basis of the following:</p> <p>“The claimant’s submission is that when the Framework sets out the three bullet points at paragraph 77, the first bullet point includes a requirement that the green space must be found to currently serve the community. It was the claimant’s contention that the site did not at the time of the examination “serve” the local community in any way at all. They had no access to it and it had a very limited visual envelope. As such therefore, on the basis of the claimant’s interpretation of paragraph 77 of the Framework, the site could not fulfil the criteria.” (paragraph 185 of <i>Legard</i>).</p> <p>13. Mr Justice Dove rejected this submission:</p> <p>“I can see no justification for having, in effect, a separate and free standing requirement that the land “serves” the local community, other than by being “demonstrably special” and holding “a particular significance” for the local</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>community in the manner required by the second bullet point. In my view, read in the context of the policy as a whole, the word “serves” operates in this way, and I see no justification for reading it more widely to create a requirement that the open space “serves” the local community in a free standing manner beyond the question of being “demonstrably special” and holding “a particular local significance”. (para 187 of <u>Legard</u>).</p> <p>14. As mentioned above, in <u>Jopling v. Richmond upon Thames LBC</u> ([2019] EWHC 190 (Admin)) (attached at tab 1), the High Court determined that the previous consultation process on the proposed Main Modification in this case had been “<i>manifestly unfair</i>” and “<i>in particular towards those interested in supporting the designation</i>” (see para 59 of the Judgment attached at tab 1). As a result of that unfairness, the Court found that the interests of Mr Jopling had been “<i>substantially prejudiced</i>” by the fact that, as a supporter of a designation (which had been accepted by the Council and was part of the submitted Plan), he was not given a fair opportunity to present the full case for designation to the Inspector (see para 67 to 72 of the Judgment). The Court found that “<i>it is clearly shown that the outcome may have been different if he had a proper opportunity to take part in the consultation in the way that he should have been. Or to put it another way it is certainly conceivable that there would have been a different outcome.</i>” (see para 73 of the Judgment).</p> <p>15. It follows from this that the information and submission that forms part of this representation (and that is referred to in the Judgment) is clearly capable of demonstrating that the LGS designation is sound and should not be disturbed by the proposed Main Modification. Plainly the purpose of the consultation on the proposed Main Modification is to provide a proper opportunity to re-think the proposed Main Modification in light of the further information received. The Inspector appointed to consider the proposed Main Modification must approach the consultation responses with an open mind as to whether the MM is, or is not, necessary to make the Plan sound. He or she must also bear in mind that the reasoning of the previous Inspector is undermined by the lack of a fair process of public consultation, and the Inspector’s conclusions were therefore based on only a partial picture (in both senses of the word): Only those seeking to delete the LGS designation had a seat at the table in the examination hearings and the opportunities that should have existed to make counter submissions or put in counter information were not made public.</p> <p><u>Justification for the designation of UPPF as LGS</u></p> <p>16. In September 2016, the Teddington Society and the Friends submitted to the Council a rigorous and structured Application (drafted by Mr Jopling) assessing UPPF against the national policy criteria in the NPPF and the associated Planning Practice Guidance (submission and appendices attached at tab 3).</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>The Application was fully approved as a joint representative submission by the Executives of both the Friends and the Society. There was clear evidence in the community about the breadth of concern: the Friends had an information stand at two of the Teddington Society Fairs and the interest level was very high at both events, with over 90% support for opposing any loss of Green Space. At the Teddington Village Plan community events, an early stage of the Local Plan Consultation process organised by Richmond Council, protection of Udney Park from development was the subject raised most frequently by the community (see tab 4 for Council's summary of feedback from Village Plan consultation). After detailed analysis of the LGS Application the Council decided to accept the LGS designation, add LGS status at UPPF into the Local Plan consultation process, thereby inviting comments from the community, debating publicly then approving at Cabinet and subsequently putting it forward in the submission draft Local Plan for Public Examination. UPPF was the only LGS designation made by Richmond Council, showing that the Council did not over-use LGS as a protection mechanism.</p> <p>17. The original Application made to the Council was not provided to the Local Plan Inspector. This is regrettable as it formed the basis of the Council's decision (on 13 December 2016) to designate UPPF as LGS in the publication draft Local Plan and it demonstrates that the Council's decision to designate was based on evidence and assessment and not mere 'assertion'. The fact that the Inspector did not have sight of the original submission and the basis for the designation further undermines his conclusions at paragraph 69 of this report.</p> <p>18. In any event, as with the examiner in the <u>Legard</u> case (above) it is for the examining Inspector now to assess for him or herself as to whether that submission and all the additional evidence provided now meets the policy criteria. For the detailed reasons set out below (and on the basis of all the associated attached evidence, including the original Application), there can now be no doubt that it does.</p> <p>19. First it is a matter of incontrovertible fact that UPPF meets the adopted Local Plan criteria for LGS designation that are set out in paragraph 5.2.10 of the now adopted Plan. This is clear from the following: As already stated the site was submitted for designation by the local community (represented by the Teddington Society and the Friends); there was and is no current planning permission which once implemented would undermine the merit of a Local Green Space designation; UPPF is not land allocated for development in the Local Plan and no-one has contended, nor sensibly could contend that the site is an 'extensive tract of land' or is anything other than local in character.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>20. The only remaining criteria to be met are those within Policy LP13 itself, namely that the site must be demonstrated to be “special to the local community and which holds a particular local significance” (LP13.D above) and (within national policy) that the green space must be ‘in reasonably close proximity’ to the relevant local community. As to the latter point, the Local Plan Inspector accepted (at para 69 of his report) that the site is close to the local community and no-one has ever sought to doubt this.</p> <p>21. As is clear from the High Court decision in <u>Legard</u>, there is no additional policy requirement that the local green space must ‘serve’ the local community. To the extent that the Local Plan Inspector interpreted the policy differently and imported such a requirement in his reasoning at paragraph 69 of his report (set out above), he was wrong to do so. The Inspector now appointed to determine whether the previous Inspector’s Main Modification is necessary to make the Local Plan sound will need to bear in mind that the previous Inspector’s proposed Main Modification was recommended, at least in part, on that legally erroneous basis. This point significantly, and potentially fatally, undermines the previous Inspector’s justification for the proposed Main Modification.</p> <p>22. Finally, as to paragraph 76 of the NPPF, it is important to note that development policies and allocations in the Local Plan have now been adopted pursuant to the Inspector’s confirmation that they are sound and meet the required development needs. Furthermore, there is no suggestion from either the Council or the previous Inspector that the UPPF site might be needed as, or be appropriate for, a future development allocation in any future development plan. It follows from this that the designation of the site as LGS is “consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services” and is “capable of enduring beyond the plan period,” both within the terms of NPPF para 76. The sustainability of the designation is also confirmed by the recently produced Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal (see below).</p> <p>23. In light of all of the above which demonstrates that LGS designation is consistent with national and Local Plan policy, the only remaining issue for the Inspector to determine is whether or not the site is demonstrably special and/or holds a particular local significance.</p> <p><u>Is it demonstrated that the UPPF is special to the local community and does it hold a particular local significance?</u></p> <p>24. The short answer to this question is a resounding ‘yes’ for the following reasons:</p> <p>25. National policy provides a number of examples of how specialness and local significance might be demonstrated. The NPPF itself (at para 77 of NPPF 2012) cites as examples “historic significance”,</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>“recreational value (including as a playing field)” and “richness of wildlife”. Further, the NPPG (at ID 37-013-20140306) specifically refers to the presence of a war memorial as indicating local significance.</p> <p>26. Self-evidently, these are only examples and a green space does not have to demonstrate qualities from all (or any) of the examples cited in national policy. It can be sufficient if a green space fits within just one of the examples cited (or is of particular significance for some other reason).</p> <p>27. In this case, the green space falls within at least three of the examples cited in national policy. We deal with these in turn: <u>Playing field and recreational value</u></p> <p>28. The most striking and obvious point is that UPPF is incontrovertibly a ‘playing field’. This is not a matter of ‘assertion’ but obvious fact which is not disputed. Furthermore, its recreational value to the local community as a playing field is, and has been, recognised in many ways, both subjectively by members of the local community and objectively by public documents and evidence:</p> <p>29. First, UPPF features in the Council’s Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment Report dated 2015, where it is called the “Imperial College (Teddington Sports Ground)” and also in the Council’s strategy paper of August 2015. In both documents, the UPPF is regarded as a “strategic site of Borough-wide importance.”</p> <p>30. In fact, until 2015, UPPF was owned by Imperial College London, who were successors in title to the owners who were gifted the fields in about 1937. By that gift, UPPF is subject to a restrictive covenant (attached at tab 5), including a requirement that the land be used only for amateur sport.</p> <p>31. Second, UPPF has been formally recognised for its community value by its registration as an ‘Asset of Community Value’ under the Assets of Community Value (England) Regulations 2012 and ss 87 to 103 of the Localism Act 2011. The registration is objective recognition that the site meets the statutory criteria for an Asset of Community Value, namely that its actual (or recent past) use of the site furthers the social wellbeing or social interests of the local community, and that it is realistic to think that there can continue to be use of the land that furthers those interests (see s.88 Localism Act 2011).</p> <p>32. Third, Sport England have strongly objected to proposals for development of the UPPF. Their consultation response to a current planning application by Quantum (dated 30 March 2018), attached at tab 6 states: “Sport England strongly objects to the above application as it is not considered to meet our adopted playing fields policy or NPPF para 74 for the following reasons; the proposal will result in a significant</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>quantitative loss of playing field on site identified in the Council's PPS as a Strategic (High Priority) site. The applicant has provided an indicative plan for sports facilities on the remaining area of plan but the applicant is not intending to deliver these proposals as part of the development.”</p> <p>The objection also confirms:</p> <p>“This is a private site. However, for many years the site has been used by community sports clubs.”</p> <p>33. Sports England consulted the England & Wales Cricket Board as part of its response the Middlesex County Board Participation Officer confirms that Richmond Cricket Club played adult cricket at UPPF every weekend and most evenings from the start of the 2006 season until the end of 2015 and that other sports users of UPPF included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Teddington CC (for regular, junior cricket) - Newland House School (who also used the Non-Turf Pitch on-site to run two games at once). - A further adult, non-league club who used to play matches every other weekend, using the area in the south east corner of the site that had been cultivated into a full size cricket pitch, who also used the pavilion on Saturdays for the changing rooms, showers & toilets, tea and socialising in the bar afterwards. - Many visiting teams short of space at their home ground (see tab 6) <p>34. The above documents provided by Sport England and England and Wales Cricket Board constitute independent evidence of the recent past use and recreational value of the site to the local community.</p> <p>35. In a further consultation on the Planning Application submitted on 18 May 2018 (see tab 7) in reply to Quantum's response to the first objection (in tab 6) Sport England reconfirmed its objection to Quantum's development and stated;</p> <p>“Sport England therefore upholds its objection to the above application as it is not considered to meet our adopted playing fields policy or NPPF para 74 for the following reasons; the proposal will result in a significant quantitative loss of playing field on a site identified in the Council's PPS as a Strategic (High Priority) site. It is acknowledged that the applicant will provide new facilities for sport on the site (i.e enabling development) but this is not sufficient to overcome the quantitative loss of playing field from the site. The site is also an Asset of Community Value.”</p> <p>36. The Friends and the Trust recognise that Quantum's planning appeal and the objections to it are part of a different process that is not before this Inspector. However, the above Sport England representations</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>are plainly of relevance to the LGS designation that is before this Inspector. This is because they provide objective evidence of the community use and value of the site for recreational purposes and, by emphasising and relying on the “loss” of playing field from the site, the Sport England representations are objective recognition of the value of the existing playing field provision offered by UPPF (this evidence from Sport England was not available when the original LGS was made, nor was it available to the previous Inspector).</p> <p>37. Further objective evidence (that was not seen by the original Inspector) of the community use and value of the site for recreational purposes is provided by the Sports Imperial website screen shot from 2007 (attached at tab 8). This shows how UPPF and its facilities was available and openly advertised for community sports use, a point denied frequently in communications from Quantum and partners and in their submission to the Local Plan Public Examination, where Quantum’s submission stated UPPF had “no recreation value” (see tab 13, section 2.3). It also details the partnership between the previous owners (Imperial) with the local Richmond Cricket Club, again demonstrating the value of the site to a local community club.</p> <p>38. The email (at tab 9) from the Operations Manager of the previous owner (Sports Imperial, a division of Imperial College London) demonstrates that UPPF continued to be available for community sports use up to at least November 2014. The email lists many local community clubs using the facilities such as Teddington Netball Club, Sheen Vets Football Club and many others and that the ad hoc hire was still available, with Imperial officer responsible for UPPF stating that “we also get plenty of one off enquiries” proving that a variety of local groups used UPPF. This email was the handover from Imperial College to the primary tenant Newland House School, as in the final year of Imperial ownership their students stopped using UPPF and Newland House took over the operation directly. Newland House used UPPF as their primary playing field 6 days per week during term time for around 30 years. Other local schools used UPPF on an ad hoc basis. It is also inappropriate to dismiss the usage by the home club Imperial Medicals, who played at UPPF 2 days per week, as they are still members of the wider community, albeit many are not originally from Teddington.</p> <p><u>How UPPF has “recreational value” for those that seek to remove LGS</u></p> <p>39. The previous Inspector erroneously misinterpreted the intentions of those that Objected to the LGS designation when he said in paragraph 69 of his final report:</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>o “It is clear that a large section of the community supports the designation of the land as LGS, albeit this is not universal and I note the submissions to the contrary”</p> <p>o “submissions have been received which argue that the land is both special or, in the contrary, not special”</p> <p>40. As the original Inspector acknowledged: “it is clear that a large section of the community supports the designation of the land as LGS”. However, to the extent that this is not universal, nor does it need to be to meet the threshold for LGS, it is important to recognise what has motivated the submissions to the contrary and it is crucial to note those motivations are most definitely NOT based on an argument that the land is NOT special to the community. Prior to the current Main Modification Consultation Quantum and their development partner, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC, have invested in a lobbying campaign in support of their planning application and against the Local Plan LGS designation to seek to enable the success of that planning application. (evidence of this campaign is at tab 10), which shows a block email that was sent to local residents in January 2017 during the original Local Plan consultation, which expressly threatened a closure of UPPF if club members failed to submit Objections against LGS. During the Planning Application a donation was made by Quantum to one club, London Scottish RFC, in return for rights to lobby members, whose responses the club later disassociated themselves from. No responses to the original Local Plan consultation or Planning Application argued that all of UPPF should be developed for housing nor did any submit that the land was not of particular value or was not special to the local community.</p> <p>41. During this current LGS Main Modification consultation there has again been a substantial campaign orchestrated by Quantum across their CIC partners and beneficiaries from their joint Planning Application, urging people to “act now” at a dedicated website to produce generic support letters to remove LGS. This method was also used during the Planning Application consultation. Whilst Quantum is entitled to invest significant resources during this LGS Main Modification consultation, many concerned members of the community are reporting to the Friends major concerns about the validity of the results from Quantum lobbying during this process, based on allegedly inaccurate or misleading messages disseminated through their CIC partners. Quantum fund the CIC and a senior Quantum employee is on the Board of the CIC, they are partners in a joint Planning Application so have common ground in removing LGS status from Udney Park Playing Fields. However, the CIC partner communications either failed to mention Quantum at all or caused confusion by introducing a new name</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>for Quantum. According to the anecdotal feedback passed to the Friends, of particular concern is that Quantum Teddington LLP, the joint owner of Udney Park, seems now to be representing itself as “Affordable Housing & Healthcare”. Although “Affordable Housing and Healthcare Group Ltd” is itself an “officer” of Quantum Teddington LLP, the use of the name appears to be deliberately misleading as the Quantum Planning Application expressly states that the scheme due for appeal at Public Inquiry in June 2019 contains no Affordable Housing. (see tab 11 for examples of the misleading material circulated by CIC members during this consultation).</p> <p>42. The concerns about Quantum’s campaign during this Consultation precipitated unsolicited feedback to the Friends regarding the lobbying of patients of Park Road Surgery. Quantum and their partner Park Road Surgery, as they did in the Planning Application consultation, have again used private data (the Park Road Surgery patient list) and presence in the waiting room to generate support for removing LGS status despite complaints to NHS England and the Information Commissioner after similar behaviour during the Planning Application consultation.</p> <p>43. There is further anecdotal evidence that Quantum have deployed door-to-door researchers who state on the doorstep that are “working for a charity” to seek “votes” to remove LGS by posing variations of the question: “do you support plans for more affordable housing” to which the answer, absent of any fuller context, is tautologically yes. These researchers have been reported as far away as Ham, where local knowledge of Udney Park and the issues surrounding it will be limited (also see tab 11). It has been suggested to us by subject matter experts that such “research” could be a breach of the Market Research Society “Code of Conduct” which, for example, states that “Researchers shall be transparent as to the subject and purpose of data collection”, and that this “research” does not pass the most basic of validity and reliability tests, rather it appears to have been designed to produce a specific pre-determined outcome.</p> <p>44. The theme throughout the last two years of lobbying “community engagement” communication from Quantum/CIC regarding designation as LGS is a “carrot and stick”. The emails and support generating website offer a “carrot”: to seek to persuade people that preventing LGS designation and supporting the planning application will “ensure continued and enhanced community use of this marvellous open space in the centre of Teddington,” backed up by claims that UPPF is not sustainable without Quantum, (a point easily disproved by the demand for UPPF from other clubs, availability of grants and other successful Playing Fields at other ends of the Borough). The “stick” is also repeated</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>often, the attached documents (in tab 11) refer to the risk that LGS could “greatly restrict or even prevent, community use”, thereby acknowledging the value of the community use that currently exists, and, more bluntly, that if Local Green Space is retained then “Quantum has informed us that the lease will be terminated and all community use of the site will stop.”</p> <p>45. In conclusion on the issue of lobbying by the owner and their partners to remove LGS, it can be seen that, far from detracting from the contention that UPPF is demonstrably special to the local community or of particular significance, the Quantum/CIC campaign relies on the value of the site to the community for sport in order to seek to persuade members of CIC clubs to support their campaign to lower the threshold for development. All of those that responded to the Quantum/CIC campaign to object to the LGS designation were confirming that UPPF was needed for community sport (and is therefore “of particular value” or “special” to everyone that “voted” to remove LGS), but that they were willing to accept a reduction in space if Quantum were successful with their Planning Application, in return for implied priority access to that reduced playing space after any development.</p> <p>46. This information only goes to confirm the existing (and previous) community use of the site and the importance that the local community place on that continued community use. To the extent that there are local objectors to the LGS designation, they are largely motivated by assurances that preventing LGS designation is the best way to protect and ensure the continuance of the community use which is so valued. Whether those assurances are correct or not is not the issue to be determined here. The merits or otherwise of the planning application are of course not before the Local Plan Inspector, nor is it for the Local Plan Inspector to judge whether the claimed benefits of Quantum’s development may outweigh its harms. What is before this Inspector is simply to assess whether the site is demonstrably special and/or of particular significance to the local community. The attached information which has been used to persuade people to object to the LGS designation in fact shows the importance of the site to the local community. It also shows that objectors to the designation are in fact seeking to protect the community value of the site. The fact that those attempts are misguided does not detract from the fact that the objections in themselves demonstrate the value of the site to the objectors, thereby further showing that the LGS policy criteria are met.</p> <p><u>Historic Significance</u></p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>47. Additionally, the UPPF is special to the local community for its historic significance (another example in national policy of when the LGS specialness criteria can be met). The original community LGS submission (attached at tab 3) sets out the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The site is mentioned in autobiographies of significant sporting figures, for example Sir Roger Bannister, who was a St Mary's student when he broke the 4 minute mile record (St Mary's Hospital Medical School subsequently became part of Imperial); - In 1937, the site was donated by Lord Beaverbrook to be used in perpetuity for amateur sport (see restrictive covenant at tab 4). Lord Beaverbrook was a major industrialist and served in both war-time cabinets. In World War 2, he was Minister responsible for Arms and Aircraft Production and represented Churchill in negotiations with Stalin and Roosevelt. He was a key historical figure and the Friends and Trust consider that his generous legacy should morally be honoured. This wish is supported by a letter from London Sport dated 9 July 2015 (see second page of Appendix D to the community submission at tab 3); and see Objections to the Planning Application from the Grandson of Lord Beaverbrook at tab 12) - The War Memorial Pavilion was built in 1919 as a tribute to the fallen fathers from Merchant Taylor's School; <p>48. Furthermore, it has subsequently been discovered from archive footage on Pathe News that Udney Park itself was officially opened by Viscount Cave in 1922 and dedicated as a War Memorial and a recreation facility for orphans. Viscount Cave was Home Secretary from 1916 – 1920 and was Lord Chancellor when he opened the park.</p> <p>49. All this demonstrates the historic significance of the site (which is an example in the NPPF of how a site can meet the LGS criteria). Also, as mentioned above, the fact that the site is also a War Memorial is a key factor expressly recognised by the NPPG in the context of LGS designation.</p> <p><u>Richness of Wildlife</u></p> <p>50. The previous Inspector was misled as to the substance of the ecology reports prepared on behalf of Quantum in relation to their planning application. At paragraph 2.3 of their September 2017 submission to the Local Plan Public Examination (tab 13), Quantum stated that UPPF: "does not demonstrate a richness of wildlife, as supported by the Phase 1 Ecology Report that will accompany the Planning Application, which identifies that there are no protected species on site". This was wrong and misleading. Quantum offered the Inspector the opportunity of an advance view the Phase 1 Ecology at</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>the Public Exam but based on the public records the Inspector did not ask for these documents before making his decision to remove LGS in the December 2017 Main Modification.</p> <p>51. Contrary to Quantum's assertion to the Public Exam in September 2017, Quantum already had the second ecology report that does demonstrate a significant richness of wildlife at UPPF (see the July 2017 Phase 2 Ecology Report at tab 14) which confirmed the presence of 8 (eight) protected species of bat on site. Indeed, when considering the planning application, the Council officer's report to Committee dated 28 September 2018 recommended that the site should be assessed as a Site of Metropolitan Importance for Nature Conservation, highlighting the "corridor contribution" importance of Udney Park as part of the wider ecology network, a consideration in evaluating the contribution to biodiversity in the community from a single site since HM Government's Lawton Report "Making space for nature". The Council officer's report included the following advice to Committee at paragraphs 153 and 155: "This site is unique in the local environment, due to a number of factors, its sheer size, the lack of public disturbance and its position as a stepping stone and corridor contribution between the River Thames, St Marys University and Bushy Park, in an area with a network of connecting back gardens and also houses which due to their age and design have many bat roosting features."</p> <p>52. This appreciation of the particular significance of the role of the site in the local environment is appreciated by members of the local community, a point that will be obvious from other representations. <u>Response to Quantum (and their supporters') case against LGS designation</u></p> <p>53. In light of the abundance of evidence set out above (and attached), it is must be clear beyond any doubt that the UPPF is a prime example of the type of site envisaged for LGS designation in national policy. Notwithstanding this, it is important context when evaluating submissions to note that Quantum's campaign to remove LGS is part of a pattern of spending heavily to challenge any legislative and policy barriers to the development of the site as they stand to make an abnormally large profit if they are successful in converting land acquired at the value of a playing field to land with permission to develop premium properties which they retain ownership of under complex lease and equity-share arrangements. Whilst Quantum are entitled to lobby their supporters to seek to defeat the designation in order to increase the chances of success of their development proposals for the site, it is important to note a number of points in response to their case can be made as follows:</p> <p>54. First, as already set out above, many of the supporters of Quantum's proposals are motivated by an attempt to preserve some of the local community value of the site. This in itself recognises and demonstrates that the site meets the criteria for LGS designation.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>55. Second, designation as LGS will not prevent the alleged public benefits of Quantum’s proposals from being assessed and evaluated in their planning appeal as part of any case put seeking to demonstrate ‘very special circumstances’ (which will be the applicable test if the LGS designation is confirmed). The issue before this Inspector is whether the site meets the LGS policy criteria for designation. The merits or otherwise of the proposed development (and the effect that LGS designation may or may not have on the prospects of that development) are irrelevant to the decision to make the designation.</p> <p>56. Third, to the extent that Quantum currently prevents public access to the site, or publicly threatens to prevent public access to the site in future, that is not a proper or lawful basis on which to decide that LGS designation would be unsound. If that were the case then LGS designation proposals could always be defeated by landowners, thereby defeating the purpose and value of the policy designation. Indeed, it is notable that there is no policy requirement that an LGS site must be publicly accessible and it would be wrong for this Inspector to introduce one. Plainly the purpose of the policy protection is to prevent the loss of the site to development. If that purpose could be frustrated simply by preventing public access, the policy would be rendered of no effect. Whilst policy designation cannot in itself <u>ensure</u> continued public access, it does tend to have that effect. This is because, by preventing inappropriate development, the LGS policy designation means that there is little incentive for a developer to hold on to the site. Further, the policy protection prevents the financial value of the site from being inflated above the site’s value as a community asset, meaning that the site’s value will ultimately be set at a level enabling it to be purchased by a community group bidder for that use in line with the intention of the legislation on Assets of Community Value, or it could potentially be acquired by compulsory purchase by the Council or another public body.</p> <p>57. Finally, the fact that the site’s public value is recognised in other ways (Asset of Community Value, potential designation as a SMINC, Local Plan designation as an OOLTI) can in no way detract from the appropriateness of designating it as LGS. It will often be the case that a site of particular local significance and specialness will attract other policy and statutory designations. However, those other designations perform different functions (such as allowing community bids, or protection of particular elements of the site) and do not provide the particular policy protection that LGS confers (i.e preventing inappropriate development except in very special circumstances). National policy is clear that that particular policy protection should be conferred where, as here, the stated criteria are met (see NPPF 2012, para 78).</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p><u>The Sustainability Appraisal Addendum</u></p> <p>58. The Addendum to the Council’s Sustainability Appraisal of the Local Plan (which has been produced following the High Court decision and is published as part of this consultation on the Main Modification) further demonstrates the sustainability benefits of the LGS designation.</p> <p>59. The Addendum shows a comparison between an appraisal of policy LP13 with the UPPF LGS designation (option A) and an appraisal of policy LP13 without the UPPF LGS designation (i.e the Main Modification - option B). Option A scores significantly better in sustainability terms, showing more strongly positive scores for biodiversity and health & wellbeing in particular and with no change in negative scores. The text confirms this with the following: “A strongly positive effect for health and well-being, as it allows sports, leisure and recreation. The Udney Park Playing Fields are currently able to accommodate 2 x cricket pitches and has been valued for its use for sports and recreation since 1919.” and “The Udney Park Playing Fields provide a habitat for bats (a protected species), which is of significant value to the borough’s ecology.” and the summary states: “The policy has overall a positive impact, in particular in terms of environmental and social perspectives. The Udney Park Playing Fields supports a habitat for a protected species (i.e bats), which is considered to be of significant value to the borough’s overall ecology. The Playing Fields’ historic recreational value for many sports including cricket and football, which is likely to be maintained for the future.”</p> <p>60. The conclusions of the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum on the Policy are: “Both Option A and Option B protect Green Belt and MOL. The LGS identified at Udney Park Playing Fields offers additional protection over its current status as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance; the LGS designation would support the protection of bats and would help to preserve the sporting use for the local community. Option A is considered to be potentially slightly more sustainable due to the likely significant biodiversity benefits.”</p> <p>61. All this, together with the site-specific appraisal which draws similar conclusions, confirms that the LGS designation furthers the achievement of sustainability objectives, consistently with Inspector’s duty under s.39(2) Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.</p> <p><u>Conclusions</u></p> <p>62. As a matter of law (section 20 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004) the Inspector is only able to recommend a Main Modification to a Local Plan if the proposed modification is necessary to make the</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment																						
		<p>document legally compliant and sound. In this case, it is clearly demonstrated that the designation of UPPF as LGS is justified and sound and needs no modification. As demonstrated above, all the policy criteria are clearly met by an abundance of objective independent evidence, much of which was not available to the Inspector when he recommended removal of LGS status. Accordingly, there can be no legal basis for the proposed Main Modification which deletes the only LGS designation in the Plan. The Inspector is requested to confirm as sound the UPPF LGS designation as made in the submitted Plan (and accompanying proposed changes to the Policies Map).</p> <p><i>[See Appendix 6 for Attached Tabs]</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="640 608 1854 1332"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 608 835 735">1.</td> <td data-bbox="842 608 1854 735">Mark Jopling - and - (1) Richmond-Upon-Thames London Borough Council (2) Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government - and- Quantum Teddington Llp Interested Party [2019] EWHC 190 (Admin). http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2019/190.html</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 740 835 799">2.</td> <td data-bbox="842 740 1854 799">Legard v. London Borough of Kensington & Chelsea ([2018] EWHC 32 (Admin)), http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2018/32.html</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 804 835 863">3.</td> <td data-bbox="842 804 1854 863">September 2016, rigorous and structured submission seeking LGS status for UPPF submitted to LBRUT by Teddington Society and the Friends.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 868 835 911">4.</td> <td data-bbox="842 868 1854 911">Teddington Village Plan Stakeholder event feedback</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 916 835 959">5.</td> <td data-bbox="842 916 1854 959">Restrictive Covenant on UPPF.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 963 835 1023">6.</td> <td data-bbox="842 963 1854 1023">Sports England's 30 March 2018 strong objection to proposals for development of UPPF</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 1027 835 1070">7.</td> <td data-bbox="842 1027 1854 1070">Sports England's 18 May 2018 reconfirmed objection to Quantum's development</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 1107 835 1150">8.</td> <td data-bbox="842 1107 1854 1150">Imperial website screen shot from 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 1155 835 1198">9.</td> <td data-bbox="842 1155 1854 1198">Email from the Operations Manager of the previous owner (Sports Imperial)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 1203 835 1262">10.</td> <td data-bbox="842 1203 1854 1262">Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the original Local Plan LGS designation.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 1267 835 1326">11.</td> <td data-bbox="842 1267 1854 1326">Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the new Main Modification Local Plan LGS designation.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	1.	Mark Jopling - and - (1) Richmond-Upon-Thames London Borough Council (2) Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government - and- Quantum Teddington Llp Interested Party [2019] EWHC 190 (Admin). http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2019/190.html	2.	Legard v. London Borough of Kensington & Chelsea ([2018] EWHC 32 (Admin)), http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2018/32.html	3.	September 2016, rigorous and structured submission seeking LGS status for UPPF submitted to LBRUT by Teddington Society and the Friends.	4.	Teddington Village Plan Stakeholder event feedback	5.	Restrictive Covenant on UPPF.	6.	Sports England's 30 March 2018 strong objection to proposals for development of UPPF	7.	Sports England's 18 May 2018 reconfirmed objection to Quantum's development	8.	Imperial website screen shot from 2007	9.	Email from the Operations Manager of the previous owner (Sports Imperial)	10.	Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the original Local Plan LGS designation.	11.	Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the new Main Modification Local Plan LGS designation.
1.	Mark Jopling - and - (1) Richmond-Upon-Thames London Borough Council (2) Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government - and- Quantum Teddington Llp Interested Party [2019] EWHC 190 (Admin). http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2019/190.html																							
2.	Legard v. London Borough of Kensington & Chelsea ([2018] EWHC 32 (Admin)), http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2018/32.html																							
3.	September 2016, rigorous and structured submission seeking LGS status for UPPF submitted to LBRUT by Teddington Society and the Friends.																							
4.	Teddington Village Plan Stakeholder event feedback																							
5.	Restrictive Covenant on UPPF.																							
6.	Sports England's 30 March 2018 strong objection to proposals for development of UPPF																							
7.	Sports England's 18 May 2018 reconfirmed objection to Quantum's development																							
8.	Imperial website screen shot from 2007																							
9.	Email from the Operations Manager of the previous owner (Sports Imperial)																							
10.	Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the original Local Plan LGS designation.																							
11.	Evidence of Quantum and, Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC's campaign against the new Main Modification Local Plan LGS designation.																							

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment						
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 304 831 347">12.</td> <td data-bbox="837 304 1861 347">Submission from donor's family</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 352 831 395">13.</td> <td data-bbox="837 352 1861 395">Quantum submission to Local Plan Public Exam (summary section only)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="640 400 831 443">14.</td> <td data-bbox="837 400 1861 443">Phase 2 Ecology Report</td> </tr> </table>	12.	Submission from donor's family	13.	Quantum submission to Local Plan Public Exam (summary section only)	14.	Phase 2 Ecology Report
12.	Submission from donor's family							
13.	Quantum submission to Local Plan Public Exam (summary section only)							
14.	Phase 2 Ecology Report							
1840	Sam Hobson, AHH (Affordable Housing & Healthcare Group – formerly Quantum)	<p>With regard to Barton Willmore's submission to this consultation [See Comment MM Rep No. 1846] I would like to add to their paragraph 5.33 under Historical Significance . The panels they refer to were removed from the clubhouse in 1937 and now reside at Merchant Taylor School</p> <p>The Inscription on the panels begins: "This clubhouse its pavilion and playing fields replace the sports ground at Teddington 1922-1937 and Durrants 1937-2011 as the memorial to all old Merchant Taylor. and school and staff who have given their lives in war" The wording is explicit – the site at Udney Park Playing Fields is no longer a war memorial for old Merchant Taylors.</p>						
1841	David Gillingham	<p>I wish to register my objection to any construction on the site of Udney Park playing fields. We need to preserve all the green space we have whilst acknowledging the need for more housing. My preference, though, would be to increase the density of existing housing areas and maximise the use of brownfield sites.</p>						
1842	Michael Walker	<p>I write in relation to Udney Park playing fields in Teddington which have traditionally been used by young people for playing football and other sports. I would like them to be able to continue to do so and not just in a mean spirited space half the size of the present playing fields. So I favour the playing fields being designated as Local Green Space with all the benefits that such a designation entails. I am a resident of Teddington. I'm also a patient at the Park Road surgery which is so keen to build on the playing fields.</p>						
1843	Stephen Drudge	<p>I wish to let you know that I fully support the current designation of OOLTI for this site, and will oppose any decisions to make it Local Green Space.</p> <p>A lot of people in this borough do not have access to decent sport, training and community facilities and not everyone can afford membership fees to private clubs.</p>						

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>If the Local Green Space designation is re-instated, then all those who are excited by TCSG & Quantum's plans will effectively be overlooked. The multi-use games area, pavilion and places to train were all overwhelmingly supported by thousands of us.</p> <p>I understand that this is not directly linked to the decisions made on local plan modifications – but planning policy does encourage sustainable development, with a focus on health and well-being. In Richmond's Local Plan it states:</p> <p>"..the planning system plays an important role in the influencing the built and natural environment...and a healthy environment can promote well-being and healthy lifestyles for all and can contribute to a reduction in health inequalities."</p> <p>I hope that your public consultation is fair and balanced, and that it will take all of this into account.</p>
1844	Mr J. Burns	<p>I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.</p>
1845	Name unclear	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1846	Name unclear	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1847	Jenevieve Burns	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>
1848	Anjela Kilenyi	<p>I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.</p>

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
1849	A Drudge	I object to the land near Udney Park Road becoming Local Green Space (LGS) on the basis that it holds little beautiful and tranquil worth to our community. It is an under-used and under-utilised piece of land, and with improvement and appropriate development it could hold much more value to Teddington.
1850	Dave Smith	I am writing regarding the main modification listed on your website, following the legal challenge around the site at Udney Park Road. Please do not grant this site the status of Local Green Space. It goes against all the planning policies of Central and Local Government, the NPPF and the Planning Inspector. Nor does it fit the criteria to be eligible as it is not a place of value or significance to local people. The designation would call into question the recommended guidelines for a Local Green Space designation, none of which apply to this site. It is a field in the middle of three residential roads that has no value or historical significance to local people.
1851	Mr M.J. Sloggett	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (OOLTI) or "Local Green Space" (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is "demonstrably special to a local community". By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1852	A Warrior	I do not agree that the current status of the land at Udney Park Road should be changed to Local Green Space. The Status 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' suitably protects the land whilst allowing for enhancement through appropriate sustainable development. This land is not special to the local community, it has no beauty and very little openness as it is completely fenced off. It is noisy, not tranquil and has no particular significance. The richness of the wildlife is not evidence and could be improved dramatically with careful appropriate development. I do not see that the land should qualify for the status of 'Local Green Space'.
1853	M Warrior	I am writing to you to portray my opinions on the local plan consultation in relation to the land near Udney Park Road. By keeping this land as a field, I do not see how it will suit the needs of the community. Without public access to the site and visual openness, the site currently provides very little benefit to anyone.

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		Therefore, I would deem the designation of Local Green Space to Udney Park Playing Fields as unsound. By enclosing this land in layers of planning policy we could lose a valuable opportunity to deliver the needs in our community. There are planning proposals to bring a GP surgery to Teddington – a resource that is greatly needed.
1854	J Warrior	I disagree with the proposal for the Udney Park Playing Fields to become LGS designated. I do not see how the land is worthy of this designation. It is quite a rundown field, with a lack-lustre clubhouse and scrappy hedges. I am well aware of the urgent need for a new GP surgery in Teddington to accommodate the vast numbers of registered patients. Designating the land at Udney park as LGS could potentially close all doors to the possibility of a sustainable location for this surgery that caters for over 13,000 patients in the area. This seems more important to me as a resident, rather than allowing a basic field to become the equivalent of green belt.
1855	Roseanna Moore	I am writing to give my view on the land near to Udney Park and Kingston Road- also known as Udney Park Playing Fields. This land in its present state does not provide sophisticated enough sporting facilities. According to the local plan there is an increasing trend of obesity in the borough, and clearly the land in its present state is not helping to buck this trend. The land is quite basic and holds little recreational value. With the right level of improvement it could give the community far better facilities. However, with the designation of LGS this could become difficult to do so.
1856	Peter R Smith	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space” (LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance , and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space. Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery. As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.
1857	Nevmide Smith	I am writing to respond to the Council consultation on the Udney park Playing Fields, and whether the site should be designated as “Other Open Land of Townscape Importance” (OOLTI) or “Local Green Space”

MM Rep No.	Respondent Name	Comment
		<p>(LGS). I support the site being designated as Other Open Land of Townscape Importance, and oppose it being designated as Local Green Space.</p> <p>Historically, I feel that the site has not been used sufficiently often or widely by the community to make me feel that it is “demonstrably special to a local community”. By contrast, the proposed redevelopment of the site would put 9.5 acres of private land into community ownership and provide a new GP surgery.</p> <p>As such, I support the designation of the site as OOLTI.</p>
1858	Mary C Barnes	<p>I, Mrs Mary C. Barnes object to the Inspector’s proposed removal of Local Green Status on Udney Park from the Council’s Local Plan.</p> <p>Udney Park is a WAR MEMORIAL given under covenant to the community of Teddington for amateur sport. In the centre of Teddington, The Udney Park Playing Fields provide the local community with green space large enough for open air sports facilities for several local clubs as well as for recreational facilities for the local community.</p> <p>Some of my grandchildren live in Teddington. I do not wish them to be deprived of the facility of The Green Space of Udney Park Playing Fields – originally designated in perpetuity.</p> <p>Greater London needs its lungs. Udney Park Playing Fields provide a much needed lung.</p> <p>Known wild life habitats at Udney Park Playing Fields need to be preserved.</p> <p>I object strongly to the proposed removal of Local Green Space Status on Udney Park from the Council’s Local Plan.</p>
1859	Rosemary McGlashon	<p>I object to the removal of local green space status for Udney Park playing fields on the following grounds.</p> <p>They have been used for playing sport for nearly 100 years, having been created originally from World War 1 memorial fund and then donated in perpetuity for amateur sport. LGS status enhances and protects these legacies.</p> <p>The playing fields are part of a set of necessary green spaces across Teddington as a relief from the built up nature of most of the town. It gives space to wildlife, grass and trees and is home to protected species of bats.</p> <p>Playing fields are needed to play sport by local clubs. At the moment there is an area big enough for a number of pitches, including cricket. Build on it and it will be lost forever and unable to be a community resource.</p>