

Local Plan Examination

Examination Hearing Session 5

9th October 2017 09.30

Participants:

- Richmond upon Thames Borough Council
- 026 - Indigo Planning On Behalf Of Beechcroft Development Ltd.
- 083 - Friends of The River Crane Environment (FORCE)
- 181 - Max Millington
- 186 - Ann Hewitt Mortlake Brewery Community Group
- 187 - Tim Catchpole Mortlake Brewery Community Group and East Sheen Society
- 202/299- Old Deer Park Working Group (Question 9)(ODPG)
- 228 - Barton Willmore On Behalf Of Quantum Group
- 240 - Indigo Planning On Behalf of Sharpe's Refinery Service.
- 274 - Lichfields On Behalf Of St Paul's School
- 279 - David Taylor
- 280- Teddington Community Sports Ground CIC
- 290 - RPS CgMs On Behalf Of Mr Malachi Trout

Agenda

- a) Welcome
- b) Factual updates and clarifications
- c) Running order will be as follows:
 - i. Green Infrastructure (Questions 8 – 15)
 - ii. Climate Change (Questions 16 – 20)
 - iii. Character and Design (Questions 1 – 7)

Focus for Discussion:

Character and Design. Green Infrastructure. Climate Change.

Does the Plan take a justified and suitably evidenced based approach towards design, Green Infrastructure and climate change? Is the Plan consistent with national policy in such regards and will it be effective in implementation?

	Character and Design
1.	<p>Is LP 1 justified by the evidence base and consistent with national policy?</p> <p>To ensure soundness, should the Council's SPD be referenced in the supporting text and not in the Policy itself?</p> <p>Is the policy positively prepared in relation to advertisements and shop fronts?</p>
2.	Is LP 2 positively prepared, justified by the evidence base and consistent with

	national policy, particularly in relation to criteria 5, 6 and 7?
3.	Are LP 3, 4 and 7 positively prepared, justified by the evidence base and consistent with national policy?
4.	What is the evidence base underpinning the Views and Vistas referred to within LP 5. Does criteria 6 (a,b,c) make grammatical sense?
5.	Is LP 8 positively prepared, justified and capable of effective delivery?
6.	Is LP10 justified by the evidence and has it been considered for its effects upon development viability? Is the monitoring charge for CMS justified, consistent with national policy and how will it be implemented in practice?
7.	What is the justification for LP11 and is it consistent with national policy? Is the EA satisfied with the content of the policy? Should the policy include reference to SPD?
	Green Infrastructure
8.	<p>Is the evidence base supporting Policies LP12, LP13¹ and Local Green Space (LGS) robust?</p> <p>Are Policies LP12 and 13 clear in their intention/wording and means of delivery? How is the approach to LGS designed to work in practice? What evidence underpins the policy formulation in this regard?</p> <p>Does the plan contain adequate reference to the River Crane?</p>
9.	<p>Is the Local Plan's approach to Green Belt and MoL justified, consistent with national policy and in conformity with the London Plan?</p> <p>Are alterations to the Policies Map necessary?</p>

¹ A reference to LP13 has been inserted to provide clarity to the question.

10.	What is the justification for LP 14 and the designation of Other Open Land of Townscape Importance? Is the policy consistent with national policy?
11.	What evidence supports the approach of LP 15 and is Natural England satisfied fully with its content?
12.	Is LP16 B (3) justified, consistent with national policy and will it be effective in delivery?
13.	What is the justification for LP 17? Has it been considered adequately for its effect upon development viability?
14.	Is LP18 justified and flexible in operation? Are criterion C and D warranted and capable of implementation?
15.	Is LP 19 justified as a whole and are the criteria warranted and capable of implementation?
	Climate Change
16.	How will LP 20 be effective in operation?
17.	Is LP 21 justified by the evidence base and consistent with national policy? Is the EA satisfied with its content? Should there be reference to the Water Framework Directive within the Plan? Does the Plan refer adequately to Groundwater Source Protection Zones
18.	Is LP 22 positively prepared, justified by the evidence base and consistent with national policy? Are the detailed criteria necessary within planning policy?
19.	Is LP 24 justified by the evidence base? Should SPD be referenced within policy? Has the policy been assessed for its effect upon development viability?

	Is the approach towards Arlington Waste Works justified?
20.	Are issues of land contamination, remediation and water/air quality acknowledged sufficiently by the Plan?

- d) AOB
- e) Close