APPENDIX A: Proposal Forms

TfL Proposal Summary Sheet and Delivery Forms

The following list of proposal forms are contained within this Appendix. The 'Form 2' LIP Proposal Summary Sheet is located after this list and the proposal forms are contained after the 'Form 2'.

- 1a Local Safety Schemes
- 1b Speed Reduction
- 1c Road Safety Education and Training
- 1d School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to School
- 1d1 Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)
- 1e Community Safety, Security and Partnerships
- 2a Principal Road Maintenance
- 2b Local Roads Maintenance
- 2c Street Lighting
- 2d Pedestrian Crossing Programme
- 2e Bridges and Structures
- 2f Bus Priority
- 2g Freight
- 3a Area Based Schemes
- 3b Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
- 3c Parking and Enforcement Action Plan
- 3c1 Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities and Motor-Cycle Signing
- 3d Taxi's and Private Hire Vehicles
- 4a Local Area Accessibility
- 4a2 Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies
- 4b Walking
- 4c Cycling- Cycle Training; Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update
- 4c1 Cycling- Non LCN+
- 4c2 Cycling- LCN+
- 4d Bus Stop Accessibility
- 5a Travel Awareness
- 5a1 STAN Study
- 5b Environmental Measures
- 5c Water
- 6 Parallel Initiatives
- 7 Sweltrac Programme
- 7a Sweltrac- Non-borough Specific Programme

LE	LB RICHMOND UPON THAMES LIP PROPOSAL SUMMARY SHEET								
CATEGORIES	PROPC	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS					
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс			
Strategies			3.Po5		5a	Travel Awareness			
			3.Po6		5b	Environmental Measures			
			3.Po7						
			3.Po8		3a	Area Based Schemes			
					1d1	Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)			
					1e	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships			
			3.Po9		2d	Pedestrian Crossing Programme			
					3d	Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles			
					4a	Local Area Accessibility			
					5a	Travel Awareness			
					5a1	STAN Study			
	V	3.Pr1		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes			
	VI	3.Pr2	L	7, 12	1d 3a 3c 4b	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan Walking			
					5b	Environmental Measures			
					5c	Water			
	VI	3Pr4		7, 12	1d 3a 3c 4b 5b	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan Walking Environmental Measures			
					5c	Water			
	VI	3.Pr5		7, 12	1d 3a 3c 4b	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan Walking			
					5b	Environmental Measures			
					5c	Water			

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	VI	3.Pr6		7, 12	1d 3g 3a 3c 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight Area Based Schemes Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan Walking Environmental Measures Water
		3.Pr7			4a	Local Area Accessibility
Underground		4C.Pr12			5a1	STAN Study
		4D.Pr3		-		
DLR & Tramlink		4D.Pr4				
DER & Hammirk		4D.Pr5				
	V	4D.Pr6		-		
		4D.Pr7				
		4E.Pr7				
	V	4E.Pr8 4E.Pr9		10, 11	3a 5a1 7	Area Based Schemes STAN Study Sweltrac Programme
Rail		4E.Pr10			7 7 7a	Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
	V	4E.Pr13		10, 11	3a 7	Area Based Schemes Sweltrac Programme
		4E.Pr14				Ŭ
			4E.Po3			
	II	4F.Pr2		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
	Ш	4F.Pr3		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
Due	II	4F.Pr6		3, 4	2f 3a 4d 6	Bus Priority Area Based Schemes Bus Stop accessibility Parallel Initiatives
Bus	Ш	4F.Pr7		3, 4	2f 4d	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility
		4F.Pr8		3, 4	2f 4d 6	Bus Priority Bus Stop accessibility Parallel Initiatives
	V	4F.Pr11		10, 11	3a 4d	Area Based Schemes Bus Stop Accessibility
	IV	4F.Pr21		7, 9		See Appendix I
Streets	IV	4G.Pr1		7, 9	3c1	Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities and Motor- Cycle Signing

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	111		4G.Po2		2b 6	Local Roads Maintenance Parallel Initiatives
		4G.Pr2			2c	Street Lighting
		4G.Pr3			2d	Pedestrian Crossing Programme
					2f	Bus Priority
	Ш	4G.Pr4		3, 4	3a 4d	Area Based Schemes Bus Stop accessibility
					1a 1b	Local Safety Schemes Speed Reduction
		10 5-7		4.0	1c	Road Safety Education and Training
	I	4G.Pr7		1, 2	1d 2c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools
					3b	Street Lighting Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
					1a 1b	Local Safety Schemes Speed Reduction Road Safety Education and
	I	4G.Pr9		1, 2	1c 1d 2c	Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting
					2e 3b	Bridges and Structures Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
	VI	4G.Pr10		7, 12	1d 3a	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes
		40.1110		.,	4b 5b 5c	Walking Environmental Measures Water
					1b	Road Safety Education and Training
					1d	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools
					2c	Street Lighting
					3a	Area Based Schemes
	VI	4G.Pr11		7, 12	3b	Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
					4b	Walking
					5b	Environmental Measures
					5c 7	Water
					7 7a	Sweltrac Non-Borough specific Sweltrac
						proposals
					1b	Road Safety Education and Training
		4G.Pr12		5, 6, 7, 8	1d	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools
					6	Parallel Initiatives

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	111	4G.Pr14		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 3b	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation
			4G.Po5		3с	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr15		7, 9	6	Parallel Initiatives
			4G.Po6		2a 2b 3c	Principal Road Maintenance Local Roads Maintenance Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr16		7, 9	3с	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	IV	4G.Pr17		7, 9	3c	Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan
	Ш	4G.Pr18		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Parallel Initiatives
	III	4G.Pr19		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 5b 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Environmental Measures Parallel Initiatives
	111	4G.Pr20		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Parallel Initiatives
		4G.Pr22		·	4a2	Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies
		4G.Pr23				
	Ш	4G.Pr24		5, 6, 7, 8	1d	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools
	VIII	4G.Pr25		14	2a 2b	Principal Road Maintenance Local Roads Maintenance
		4G.Pr26		14		See Appendix L
		4H.Pr1				
	IV	4H.Pr2		7, 9		See PEP- Chapter 7
Car	III	4H.Pr3		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 1d1	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
Walking	VI	4I.Pr2		7, 12	1d 1d1 1e 2c 2d 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a 5a1 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Street Lighting Pedestrian Crossing Programme Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Local Area Accessibility Walking Travel Awareness STAN Study Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4l.Pr3		7, 12	1d 2c 3a 3b 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Street Lighting Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr4		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	41.Pr6		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water
	VI	4I.Pr7		7, 12	1d 3a 4b 5b 5c 6	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water Parallel Initiatives

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAR	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	VI	4I.Pr8		7, 12	1d 1d1 1e 2c 2d 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Street Lighting Pedestrian Crossing Programme Area Based Schemes Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation Local Area Accessibility Walking Travel Awareness Environmental Measures Water
Cycling			4J.Po1		4c 4c1 4c2	Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr1		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2 5a	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Travel Awareness
	VII	4J.Pr3		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr4		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAR	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	VII	4J.Pr5		13	1a 1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2 6	Local Safety Schemes School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Parallel Initiatives
	VII	4J.Pr6		13	1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
	VII	4J.Pr7		13	1d 1d1 3a 4c 4c1 4c2	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+
		4J.Pr8		13	1c 1d 3a 4c 4c1 4c2 5a	Road Safety Education and Training School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Area Based Schemes Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling Strategy update Cycling- Non LCN+ Cycling- LCN+ Travel Awareness
Freight		4K.Pr1			2g	Freight
		4K.Pr2			2g 7	Freight Sweltrac Programme
	111	4K.Pr3		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 2g	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLI	CIES & TAF						
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс			
		4K.Pr4		7, 12	1d 2g 3a 4b 5b 5c	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Freight Area Based Schemes Walking Environmental Measures Water			
		4K.Pr5			2g 7a	Freight Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals			
International Issues			4L.Po6		7 7a	Sweltrac programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals			
Water		4M.Pr2			5c	Water			
Water			4M.Po2		5c	Water			
			4N.Po2		3c	Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles			
		4N.Pr1			3d 5a1	Taxi and Private Hire Vehicles STAN Study			
Taxi	V	4N.Pr5		10, 11	3a 7 7a	Area Based Schemes Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals			
Accessible Transport			40.Po1		1d1 1e 2d 4a 4a2 5a	Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Pedestrian Crossing Programme Local Area Accessibility Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies Travel Awareness			
	V	40.Pr1		10, 11	1e 3a 4a	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes Local Area Accessibility			
					1d1 1e	Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans) Community Safety, Security and			
			40.Po2		2d 4a 5a	Partnerships Pedestrian Crossing Programme Local Area Accessibility Travel Awareness			
	V	40.Pr3		10, 11	3a 4a	Local Area Accessibility Area Based Schemes			
	V	40.Pr4		10, 11	1е За	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes			
	V	40.Pr5		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes			

CATEGORIES	PROPO	SALS, POLIC	CIES & TAF	RGETS		
AS PER MATRIX (APPENDIX B)	Priority Area	Proposal	Policy	Target	FORM 1 Ref	Торіс
	V	40.Pr6		10, 11	1е За	Community Safety, Security and Partnerships Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr9		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr12		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
	V	40.Pr13		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
		40.Pr14		10, 11	3a	Area Based Schemes
			4P.Po2		4a2 6 7 7a	Local Area Accessibility- signage deficiencies Parallel Initiatives Sweltrac Programme Non-Borough specific Sweltrac proposals
		4P.Pr3				
Integration	ш	4P.Pr4		5, 6, 7, 8	1d 5a 7	School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to School School Travel Plans/Safer Routes to Schools Sweltrac Programme
	V	4P.Pr5		10, 11	3a 3d 5a1	Area Based Schemes Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles STAN Study
Major Projects			4Q.Po1			
		4Q.Pr7				

Form Number

	LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM
Summary of Proposal:	Local Safety Schemes
Location:	Borough-wide
Dates:	Annual Programme aimed to achieve national and regional targets by 2010.

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

An annual accident and remedial programme aimed at reducing the number of road traffic accident casualties. Measures may include:

- Traffic calming
- New and enhanced signals
- Junction improvements
- Pedestrian facilities
- Cycle and powered two wheeler facilities

RUTLIP 1a

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the national targets of reducing the number of people killed or injured in road accidents by 2010. To implement the LB Richmond upon Thames Road Safety Plan

Prioritisation Criteria

Road accidents are monitored on a continuous basis, and the local safety measures programme is prepared annually to target locations with the highest number and greatest severity of accidents. Schemes are designed in consultation with the police and emergency services. The council will continue to deliver a prioritised programme of at least 3 to 4 accident remedial schemes a year. Prioritisation will be given to schemes which will deliver a good first year rate of return.

2007/08

Schemes for 2007/08 are proposed for Hampton Court Road, Mortlake High Street, Cross Deep and Strawberry Vale, Twickenham Town Centre (East Side), Rocks Lane/Mill Hill Road Junction, Sheen Road and Richmond Road. The nature of works on these locations is in line with the measures described above although it is noted that the proposal for Hampton Court Road is reasonably high cost (£300k) due to the heritage location.

Beyond 2009/2010

The programme will continue until all reasonable measures have been implemented to reduce casualties to beyond target levels.

The LB Richmond upon Thames Road Safety Plan will be reviewed for its' effectiveness and therefore a package of mitigating measures including any impact on adjacent areas may be needed.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
Partners for this proposal include:	Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal
term contractor for delivery	include:
Transport for London	Notification requirements of the Traffic Management
 neighbouring boroughs 	Act 2004
	Where the works are related to other projects or
	planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames										
 The purpose of the borough LSS programme Reduce the number of people killed or se 40% 		MTS Priority Area	Guio Ta	_IP dance rget mber	Pro	levant MTS oposals and Policies				
 Reduce the number of children killed or s 50% Reduce the number of children slightly in This will: Enhance safety and security across all mean 	-	I	Tar	get 1		oosal 4G.Pr7 / posal 4G.Pr9				
Modal Impact		Cross C	utting Goal	s						
Mode	Impact	Cross (Cutting Goa	al			Impact			
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety					positive			
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes								
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for					positive			
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel positive Balanced road space allocation positive								
Community transport and taxis Powered two-wheeler users	positive positive	Balanced road space allocation Requirements for sustainable					neutral			
Car users	positive	developments					neutrai			
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*					positive			
	peente	Environmental impacts** positive					positive			
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T	O DELIVE	R PROPOS	AL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/0	8 2008	3/09	2009/1	0	Total (£K)			
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	850	67	75	75		1600			
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES)	0		0						

	-					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	850	675	75	1600	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	850	675	75	1600	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENT	S	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTL	IP 1b	upon Thames			
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Speed reduction measures				
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates: Annual programme subject to funding					
Description of Main Eler	nents:				
 Speed & Junction Speed cushions Pedestrian improv Road closures an 					
	ives chmond upon Thames speed reduction measures progravity of the program invite the program is the program in the program is the program				

The purpose of the LB Richmond upon Thames speed reduction measures programme is to reduce accidents and improve the local street environment by reducing vehicle speeds and deterring through traffic. They may also serve to reduce traffic flow.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: Emergency Services Local residents and businesses Local schools Term contractor for delivery Transport for London neighbouring boroughs where appropriate 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Accidents may fluctuate year to year Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of both accidents and programming. Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames						
The purpose of these zones is to reduce accidents and improve the local street environment by reducing vehicle speeds and deterring through traffic. This will enhance safety and security across all means of travel.			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidan Targe Numbe	t Pro	elevant MTS oposals and Policies
		Ι	Target		posal 4G.Pr7 / pposal 4G.Pr9	
Modal Impact		Cross Cut	ting Goal	S		
ModePedestrians and mobility impaired personsCyclistsBus passengersRail and UndergroundCommunity transport and taxisPowered two-wheeler usersCar usersFreight	Impact positive positive neutral positive positive positive positive	Cross Cutting GoalImpactPromoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modespositiveEncouraging sustainable means for travelpositiveBalanced road space allocationpositiveRequirements for sustainable developmentsneutralEquality and inclusion impacts*positiveEnvironmental impacts**positive				
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T	O DELIVER	PROPOS	AL		
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T 2006/07	O DELIVER 2007/08	PROPOS 2008		200910	Total (£K)
				8/09	200910 350	Total (£K) 1430
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008	8/ 09 0		
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	2006/07 0	2007/08 680	2008	8/ 09 0	350	1430
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	2006/07 0 0	2007/08 680 0	2008 40 0 40	8/ 09 0 0	350 0	1430 0 1430
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSPFUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCESTOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	2006/07 0 0 0	2007/08 680 0 680	2008 40 0 40 S ed/	8/ 09 0 0	350 0 350	1430 0 1430
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSPFUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCESTOTAL FUNDING REQUIREDOTHER FUNDING SOURCES	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT	2007/08 680 0 680 STATU (Request Approved/ (Request Approved/	2008 40 0 40 8 ed/ N/A) ed/ N/A)	8/ 09 0 0	350 0 350 COMMENT	1430 0 1430
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSPFUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCESTOTAL FUNDING REQUIREDOTHER FUNDING SOURCESTFL OUTSIDE BSP	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT £0k	2007/08 680 0 680 STATU (Request Approved/ (Request	2008 40 0 40 8 ed/ N/A) ed/ N/A) ed/	8/ 09 0 0	350 0 350 COMMENT N/A	1430 0 1430

Form Number RUTL	IP 1c		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames		
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Road Safety Education and Tra	aining			
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of		
Description of Main Elen	nents:				
Measures may include: School Travel Plan ar 'Safe Cycling Courses Practical pedestrian tr Walk to School Week Bike Week Richmond upon Than 'Safer Steps' Motorcycle Safety Ca Scheme Element Object Measures to complement	 School Travel Plan and Travel Awareness Toolkit 'Safe Cycling Courses' Practical pedestrian training Walk to School Week Bike Week Richmond upon Thames Junior Citizen Scheme 'Safer Steps' 				
Key Delivery Partners		Dependencies and R			
 Partners for this proposal Emergency Services Local residents and b Local schools Relevant stakeholder Federation; Motorcycl Transport for London 	usinesses groups, e.g. British Motorcycle	include:Accidents may fluEncouraging and	ks identified for this proposal ctuate year to year maintaining buy in from local and vulnerable groups.		

neighbouring boroughs where appropriate

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames							
Road safety education and training programn complement on-street physical measures. Th and security across all means of travel.	ined to ce safety	MTS Priority Area	Guio Ta	IP lance rget nber		elevant MTS oposals and Policies	
		I	Tar	get 1	Pro	posal 4G.Pr7 4J.Pr8	
Modal Impact Cross Cutting Goals							
ModePedestrians and mobility impaired personsCyclistsBus passengersRail and UndergroundCommunity transport and taxisPowered two-wheeler users	Impact positive positive positive positive positive	Promoting for all trav Encourag travel Balanced Requirem	Cross Cutting GoalImpactPromoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modespositiveEncouraging sustainable means for travelpositiveBalanced road space allocation developmentspositiveEquality and inclusion impacts*positiveEnvironmental impacts**positive				positive positive positive positive
Car users Freight	positive positive	Equality a			acts*		
Freight		Equality a Environm	ental impa	acts**	acts*		
Freight	positive	Equality a Environm	ental impa	acts**	acts* 2008	3/09	
Freight FUNDING R	positive REQUIRED T	Equality a Environm	ental impa	acts** AL 7/08			positive
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	positive REQUIRED T 2005/06	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07	ental impa PROPOS	ACts** AL 7/08	2008)	positive Total (£K)
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	positive REQUIRED T 2005/06 0	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07 68	PROPOS	AL 7/08	2008 40)	positive Total (£K) 148
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	positive REQUIRED T 2005/06 0 0	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07 68 0	PROPOS 200 4 (4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	AL 7/08	2008 40 0 40)	positive Total (£K) 148 0 148
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	positive REQUIRED T 2005/06 0 0 0	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07 68 0 68	PROPOS 200 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	AL 7/08	2008 40 0 40 COM)	positive Total (£K) 148 0 148
Freight FUNDING FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	positive REQUIRED T 2005/06 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07 68 0 68 STATU (Request	PROPOS 2000 2000 4 (0 4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	AL 7/08	2008 40 0 40 COM)) IMENT	positive Total (£K) 148 0 148
Freight FUNDING FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES TFL OUTSIDE BSP	positive positive REQUIRED T 2005/06 0	Equality a Environm O DELIVER 2006/07 68 0 68 STATU (Request Approved/ (Request	ental impa PROPOS 2000 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 4 (0 (0 4 (0 (0 4 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0	AL 7/08	2008 40 0 40 COM)) IMENT N/A	positive Total (£K) 148 0 148

Form Number RUTL	IP 1d		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames			
	LIP PROPOSAL I	DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Summary of Proposal: School Travel Plans					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of			
Description of Main Eler	nents:					
Reduce Reduce Reduce Reduce Promote The use of School Travel reduction of road traffic ar School Travel Plan engine delivered in close co-ordir Subject to obtaining suppor hoppa type buses to provi	eering measures. Thus, the scho nation. ort from key partners and adequa de residents with a further safe a	he school habits Road Safety Plan and have adopted will be a ol travel plan programn ate funding, to pursue s and secure means of lo				
Key Delivery Partners		Dependencies and F				
 Partners for this proposal Richmond upon Than Department Schools Local residents and b Term contractor for de Transport for London Neighbouring borough 	nes Council Education usinesses elivery	 include: Notification requir Act 2004 Where the works planned improver Potential impact f control. E.G. Cha management, roa Consultation outc 	sks identified for this proposal rements of the Traffic Management are related to other projects or ments, e.g. major bus priority works from situations beyond borough inges to the TLRN in terms of traffic ad layout and programming. come. uctuate year to year			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Tha	ames				
 This programme will deliver a number of the Mayor's Transport Strategy including: Improving road safety Relieving traffic congestion and improvin reliability including through the use of tra Encourage walking by improving the stree conditions for pedestrians and through the demand measures. 	ig journey tim vel demand r eet environme ne use of trav	e neasures ent, el	MTS Priori Area	ty Gui	LIP dance rget mber		elevant MTS oposals and Policies
 Encourage cycling by improving conditions for cyclists a through the use of travel demand measures. Other issues include: Healthier pupils Greater modal shift Improved pedestrian environment Travel Plan adoption: a managed approach to travel de and sensitivity to local traffic and congestion issues. 			I, III, V & VI		jet 1 & 2	4G.P 4G.P 20, 4 4H.P 4J.Pr	, 3.Pr4-6, r7, 4G.Pr9-12, r14, 4G.Pr18- G.Pr24, r3, 4I.Pr1-8, 1, 4J.Pr3-8, r3-4, 4P.Pr4
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting G	oals			
Mode Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists Bus passengers Rail and Underground Community transport and taxis Powered two-wheeler users Car users Freight	Impact positive positive neutral neutral neutral positive neutral	Cross Cutting GoalImpactPromoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modespositiveEncouraging sustainable means for travelpositiveBalanced road space allocationpositiveRequirements for sustainable developmentspositiveEquality and inclusion impacts*positive					positive positive positive positive positive
					2000	/10	Total (6)
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	2006/07	2007/08 300	2	008/09 300	2009 30		Total (£) 900
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0		0	0		0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	300		300 300 900			900
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATU	s	COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Reques Approved		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Reques Approved		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Reques Approved				N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Reques Approved				N/A	

			_		
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal: Work Travel Plans (Business Travel Plans)					
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of		
Description of Main Elen	nents:				
transport impact on the lo travel and transport needs Commuter journeys Business travel Visitors Deliveries Business fleet It is planned to undertake which will come into opera In order to progress bu encouraging cycling, walk promoted. These can inclu Setting up a car shari Providing cycle faciliti Providing information and leaflets provided Low interest or interest	e monitoring of business travel p ation this financial year. siness travel plans within Ric ing, public transport, car sharin ude: - ng initiative (using <u>www.shareth</u> es. E.g. showers, secure cycle p to staff on rail, tube and bus s by TfL st free public transport season ti kible-working practices. It	olans through the use of chmond upon Thames g, car clubs or reducing <u>ecar.org</u> , a SWELTRAC parking. ervices, in conjunction	usiness, with the aim of reducing its a following aspects of a businesses of a business travel plan database, a range of measures aimed at g the need to travel for work will be C project). with the travel information services		
Key Delivery Partners		Dependencies and F	Risks		
 Local business SWELTRAC TfL Car Club service prov 	rider	 Partnership worki Technological chains 			

RUTLIP 1d1

Form Number

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames							
 These programmes will help deliver improvements in: Modal shift Work travel- modal share 		MTS Priority Area		IP Guidance arget Number		Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
Business satisfaction		VI	7 & 12		3.Po9, 4 40.Po2,	O.Po1, 4I.Pr2, 4I.Pr8	
Modal Impact		Cross Cutti	ng Goals				
ModePedestrians and mobility impaired personsCyclistsBus passengersRail and UndergroundCommunity transport and taxisPowered two-wheeler usersCar usersFreight	Impact positive neutral positive positive neutral positive neutral	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes positi Encouraging sustainable means for travel positi Balanced road space allocation positi Requirements for sustainable positi developments positi Equality and inclusion impacts* positi			Impactpositivepositivepositivepositivepositivepositivepositive		
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T	O DELIVER P	ROPOSAL				
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	20	09/10	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	35	50		50	135	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0		0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	35	50		50	135	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/	1/	N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/	1/		N/A		

London Borough of Richmond

Form Number Pr	op 1e				orough of Richmond on Thames
		DELIVERY	FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Community Safety, Security ar	nd Partnersh	nips		
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	o continue a	nnually bey	rond the LIP pl	anning cycle of
Description of Main Eler	nents:				
Scheme Details The Council has established a Community Safety Team and joint liaison arrangements are in place with the police to deal with safety and security issues, including crime and fear of crime. The scheme requires funding for safety improvements in the public realm bought to the Council's attention through the Community Safety team including: CCTV Improved street lighting Rationalisation of street furniture and signage					
Key Delivery Partners		Depende	ncies and F	Risks	
Partners for this proposal include: • Partnership working • Council Mobility Forum • Technological changes • Council Accessible Transport Department • Bus route changes by TfL London Buses • Neighbouring boroughs • SWELTRAC					lon Buses
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond uopn Thames					
 Measures to provide: Improved public perception of personal safety Better conditions for pedestrians To make the street environment more accessible 			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
Particularly for those with	mobility impairment. By encoura equent modal shift is expected.	ging	V & VI	7, 10 & 12	3.Po9, 40.Po1, 3 Pr1, 2, 4 & 6 40.Po2, 4l.Pr2, 4l.Pr8

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	positive
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	positive
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts	positive
Environmental impacts	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Total (£K)	
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0				0	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	150	150	300	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	150	150	300	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£300k	(Requested/	ested/) No formal request has been mad		been made as	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/A		N/A		

Form Number	RUTLIP 2a	London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Summary of Proposal:	Principal Road Maintenance
Location:	Borough-wide
Dates:	Planned Maintenance is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To restructure and/or resurface the Borough's Principal Roads down to concrete road base level where it is needed to prevent further deterioration. To clear the backlog of Principal Roads in the UKPMS 70 and over category. All programmed works are consistent with bringing carriageway conditions in line with BVPI96 targets.

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the BVPI96 target the Borough requires a funding settlement of approximately £3 million each year to bring the remaining 8 Principal Roads up to standard.

Prioritisation Criteria

The programming of these roads will be dependent on a number of factors:

- Planned utilities work
- The implications and notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004
- Efficiency savings: where synergies between existing funded projects can be identified and delivery programmed to achieve all objectives with one works programme

LBRUT will prioritise those roads with the worst UKPMS condition of both carriageway and footway. Where roads are of equally serious condition those with particularly poor footway condition will be prioritised.

The following table lists the Principal Roads that require work in current priority order:

Beyond 2009/2010

Once the Principal Roads have been brought up to standard, a continuous maintenance element will be required. This funding is anticipated to be required annually to ensure roads are maintained to:

- BVPI96 target standards
- Ensure that Borough Principal Roads are maintained to the acceptable UKMPS condition index
- Allow appropriate levels of revenue funding to protect past levels of capital investment and ensure Best Value

The 09/10 proposals need to be built on and will be provided in a subsequent Annual LIP Update

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery Transport for London neighbouring boroughs 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Tha	ames				
 The purpose of the borough principal road pr To resurface roads where this is needed deterioration. To reconstruct roads where this is needed 		MTS Prior Are	ity Gu	LIP idance arget umber	Pro	evant MTS posals and Policies	
 This will: Clear the backlog of principal roads in the 70 and over oby 2009/10; and Prevent 50-70 condition roads from entering the 70+ condition in this period. 			VII	l Ta	rget 14		osal 4G.Pr25 / icy 4G.Po6
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting G	ioals			
Mode	Impact	Cross C	utting	Goal			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive			y & perce	ntion of s	safety	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all tra				aloty	poolaro
Bus passengers	positive			stainable	means fo	or travel	positive
Rail and Underground					positive		
Community transport and taxis	positive			or sustain	able		neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	positive	developr					
Car users Freight	positive positive					positive positive	
FUNDING F			ROF	POSAL			
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2	2008/09	2009)/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	3 3050.8	5	3009.3	3537	7.95	9598.1
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0		0	0		0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	3050.85 3009.3 3537.95 9598				9598.1	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATU	IS	COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A	N/A N/A				
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A N/A					
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	N/A	N/A N/A				

Form Number RUTLIP 2b

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Local Roads Maintenance					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	Planned Maintenance is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10					

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

To restructure and/or resurface the Borough's Local Roads down to concrete road base level where it is needed to prevent further deterioration. To clear the backlog of Local Roads in the UKPMS 70 and over category. All programmed works are consistent with bringing carriageway conditions in line with BVPI96 targets.

Scheme Element Objectives

To achieve the BV97a & b target the Borough requires a funding of approximately £23million to bring the Local Roads up to standard.

Prioritisation Criteria

The programming of these roads will be dependent on a number of factors:

- Planned utilities work
- The implications and notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004
- Efficiency savings: where synergies between existing funded projects can be identified and delivery programmed to achieve all objectives with one works programme

Richmond upon Thames Council will prioritise those roads with the worst UKPMS condition of both carriageway and footway. Where roads are of equally serious condition those with particularly poor footway condition will be prioritised.

The following table lists the Local Roads that require work in current priority order:

Beyond 2009/2010

Once the Local Roads have been brought up to standard, a continuous maintenance element will be required. This funding is anticipated to be required annually to ensure roads are maintained to:

- BV97 target standards
- Ensure that Borough Local Roads are maintained to the acceptable UKMPS condition index
- Allow appropriate levels of revenue funding to protect past levels of capital investment and ensure Best Value

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: Term contractor for delivery Neighbouring boroughs 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames					
 The purpose of the borough Local road programme is to: To resurface roads where this is needed to prevent fu deterioration. To reconstruct roads where this is needed. 	rther	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
 This will: Clear the backlog of Local roads in the 70 and over category by 2009/10; and Prevent 50-70 condition roads from entering the 70+ condition in this period. 		VIII	Target 14	Proposal 4G.Pr25 / Policy 4G.Po6/ 4G.Po2	
Modal Impact	Cross Cutting Goals				

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

	-		

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	neutral
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL								
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09 Total (£K)				
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0 0				
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	6500	6500	6500	18000			
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	6000	6000	6000	18000			
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENT	S			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A				
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£6500k	Requested		N/A				
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A				
OTHER (PFI)	£0k	N/A		N/A				

Form Number L	LIP 2c				orough of Richmond oon Thames				
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM									
Summary of Proposal:	Street Lighting	Street Lighting							
Location:	Borough-wide								
Dates:	Annual programme subject to	Borough fun	ding.						
Description of Main Eler	ments:								
estimated to have a 30-ye Need more comment on h	hat are overdue for replacemen ear lifespan. This Form sets ou now this scheme meets the May	t a programm voral objective	e for replaces detailed	cement of stree in 4I.Pr2, 3, ar	et lighting				
Key Delivery Partners Dependencies and Risks Partners for this proposal include: Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Contractor for delivery Funding providers • Funding providers • Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 • Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works									
Delivering the Mayor's T	Fransport Strategy in Richmo	nd upon Tha	mes						
		to:	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies				
Improve perceptionsEncourage street action		I, VI	N/A	Proposal 4G.Pr7, 4G.Pr9, 4G.Pr11, 4I.Pr2-3, & 4I.Pr8, 4G.Pr2					

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive
Car users	positive
Freight	positive

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL								
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09 2009/10 Total (£				
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0	0			
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	150	250	250	250	900			
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	150	250	250	250	900			
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS				
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A				
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A				
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A				
OTHER (specify here)	£0 k	N/A		N/A				

Form Number RUTL	IP 2d				orough of Richmond oon Thames		
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM							
Summary of Proposal:	Pedestrian Crossing Programn	ıe					
Location:	Borough-wide						
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	continue a	nnually bey	rond the LIP pl	anning cycle of		
Description of Main Eler	nents:						
 By the end of 2005 most controlled pedestrian crossings in Richmond upon Thames will comply with the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) regulations. The next step will be to continue to raise the standard of all crossings and junctions in the borough, and to introduce new crossings or move existing crossings where necessary. The council will regularly review and audit pedestrian facilities to identify locations where changes to crossing arrangements are needed. Proposed measures include: Pelican Crossings; zebra crossings; and, other new/relocated crossings. Some locations proposed for specific measures between 2007/08 and 2009/10 are: Hanworth Road near Mill Farm- pedestrian crossing Strawberry Vale near Waldegrave Road- crossing facility Warren Road near Nelson Road- new crossing facility London Road either side of the A316- Pelican crossing Hampton Hill High Street- relocation of crossing Lonsdale Road Junction with Walnut Tree Close- raised zebra crossing Petersham Road outside the Dysart Arms- Pelican crossing 							
Key Delivery Partners	1	Depende	ncies and I	Risks			
Partners for this proposal include: • Partnership working • Richmond upon Thames Borough Council Mobility Forum • Technological changes • Richmond upon Thames Council Accessible Transport Department • Transport for London • Neighbouring boroughs • Neighbouring boroughs							
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames							
 Measures to provide: Better conditions for pedestrians To make the street environment more accessible particularly for those with mobility impairment. 			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies		
encouraging walk expected.	ing in this way a consequent mo	dal shift is	VI	7 & 12	3.Po9, 40.Po1, 40.Po2, 4l.Pr2, 4l.Pr8, 4G.Pr3		

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	positive
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goals

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL								
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09 Total (#				
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	60	180	220	460			
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0			
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	60	180	220	460			
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS				
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A				
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A				
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A				
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A				

Form Number

RUTLIP 2e

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Bridges and Structures				
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005/06-09/10				
Description of Main Elements:					

-

Scheme Details

This proposal outlines the Borough programme of maintenance to bridges and structures. From time to time it is likely that there may be the need for new bridges to be built and these will be detailed when required. Work usually commences with an assessment of the condition of an existing bridge or a study on the need for a new bridge. The second phase comprises detailed design (depending on the outcome of the assessment) followed by construction of a new bridge or repairs being made to the existing. Some work also relates to a programme of work on bridges over Network Rail land (i.e. railway lines) to ensure they are constructed to certain weight standards.

A load assessment of all the 20 Network Rail highway bridges in the Borough has been commissioned and to date 18 have been completed, the current outcome is that 8 will require strengthening. A Feasibility study for interim measures and design for strengthening is in progress at present for 3 bridges. It is anticipated that work on site for the strengthening of High Street bridge will start in 2008/09 and that the cost of the strengthening contract will be around £450k. However the final programme is yet to be determined, as it will have to be coordinated with funding and coordination with Network Rail regarding "possession" on the line.

This will help improve the movement of freight across the Borough including in some cases, the movement of buses.

Cost projections have been provided for 07/08 and based on a programme of strengthening one bridge each financial year it is estimated that a sum of \pounds 450k will be required annually for 2008/09 and 2009/10, the exact costs will be known when the feasibility studies are completed. The assessment and strengthening programme for 2007/08 comprises the following locations:

- Hill View Road bridge (Strengthening)
- Uxbridge Road bridge (Strengthening)
- Network Rail assessment
- High Park Road bridge
- Kew Road
- London Road
- Park Road
- Queens Road
- Tudor Road

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks						
 Partners for this proposal include: Richmond upon Thames Borough Cour Forum Network Rail Richmond upon Thames Council Acce Transport Department Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs 		Network Rail funding and support (funding could be					
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strateg	gy in Richmo	nd upon T	hames				
Measures to provide: Safer bridges for road users Improved movement of freight and b Borough 	the	MTS Priority Area	Gui Ta	-IP dance rget mber		elevant MTS oposals and Policies	
						4G.F	Pr9
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting Goal	s			
Mode	Impact	Cross C	utting Go	al			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired person Cyclists	s positive neutral		g safety & vel modes		tion of saf	fety	positive
Bus passengers Rail and Underground	positive		ging sustai		neans for		positive
Community transport and taxis	positive					positive	
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral					positive	
Car users	positive positive						positive
Freight	Equality and inclusion impacts positive Environmental impacts** positive						
FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08 2008/09 2009/10 Total					Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	384	4	50	450		1284

	Ŭ	001	100	100	1201	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	384	450	450 450		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A		

Form Number	RUTLIP 2f
-------------	-----------

			-		
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Bus Priority				
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005/06-09/10	continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of		
Description of Main Elen	nents:				
Description of Main Elements: Scheme Details The Council will continue its programme to develop and implement bus priority measures across the Borough. The Council programme comprises 7 spending priorities: Incomplete/Committed schemes Essential works for new and/or modified bus routes Pilot routes/schemes Other new schemes Mitigation measures to protect buses Review of existing schemes Review of existing schemes Feasibility studies of future programmes and schemes The Bus Priority Programme comprises measures such as traffic signals, red surfacing where appropriate, Bus Scoot extensions; bus lanes; pelican crossings; bus stands; waiting and loading restriction reviews, lane marking amendments, bus lane lengthening, parking restrictions, and bus cages. The proposed Bus Priority programme for Richmond is determined on a year-by-year basis and whilst it is detailed in this form, is formally proposed through the lead Borough for Bus Priority- the London Borough of Bromley. The following are the proposed locations for 2007/08: A308 (Hampton Court Road); Twickenham town centre, London Road (between A316 and Whitton Road), Kew Road, Hampton Court Road to Clifford Avenue), Hampton Court Road junction with Church Road, Upper Richmond Road West (from Sheen Court Road to Clifford Avenue), Hampton Court Road junction with Hampton Wick High street, Heath Road,					
Despite the programme being determine don a year-by-year basis it is anticipated that funding will increase slightly over the coming years and this has been indicatively shown on the proposal form.					
Key Delivery Partners Dependencies and Risks					
	& L B Bromley (see also ment in Appendix G of the usinesses elivery	 include: Notification requir Act 2004 Where the works planned improver Potential impact f control. E.G. Cha 			

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy						
All measures are designed to: Improve bus journey times and reliability Reduce bus excess wait times		MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number		Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
Improve accessibilityPromote modal shift		II, V	Target 3,4	& 7		osal 4F.Pr2-3, r6-8, 4G.Pr4 /
Modal Impact		Cross Cuttir	s Cutting Goals			
ModePedestrians and mobility impaired personsCyclistsBus passengersRail and UndergroundCommunity transport and taxisPowered two-wheeler usersCar users	Impact positive positive positive neutral positive neutral	for all travel Encouraging travel Balanced ro Requiremer	safety & percep modes g sustainable r pad space alloo nts for sustaina	ety & perception of safety odespositiveustainable means for space allocation for sustainablepositive positiveclusion impacts*positive		
Freight	neutral neutral			acts*		
Freight	neutral	Equality and	d inclusion imp tal impacts**	acts*		
Freight	neutral	Equality and Environmer	d inclusion imp tal impacts**	acts* 2009/	/10	
Freight FUNDING R	neutral	Equality and Environmer	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL			positive
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	neutral REQUIRED 2006/07	TO DELIVER P	d inclusion imp ital impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09	2009/		positive Total (£K)
Freight FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	neutral REQUIRED 2006/07 0	TO DELIVER P 2007/08 1725	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09 1700	2009 / [,] 1750	0	positive Total (£K) 5175
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	neutral REQUIRED 2006/07 0 0	Equality and Environmer TO DELIVER P 2007/08 1725 0	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09 1700 0	2009 / 1750 0	0	positive Total (£K) 5175 0 5175
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	neutral REQUIRED 2006/07 0 0 0	Equality and Environmer	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09 1700 0 1700	2009/ 1750 0 1750 COMM	0	positive Total (£K) 5175 0 5175
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	neutral EQUIRED 2006/07 0 0 AMOUNT	Equality and Environmer TO DELIVER P 2007/08 1725 0 1725 5TATUS (Requested	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09 1700 0 1700 // A) //	2009/ 1750 0 1750 COMM	0 0 MENT	positive Total (£K) 5175 0 5175
Freight FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES TFL OUTSIDE BSP	neutral EQUIRED 2006/07 0 0 AMOUNT £0k	Equality and Environmer TO DELIVER P 2007/08 1725 0 1725 0 1725 STATUS (Requested Approved/N/ (Requested	d inclusion imp ntal impacts** ROPOSAL 2008/09 1700 0 1700 0 1700 / A) / A) / A) /	2009/ 1750 0 1750 COMM N	0 0 MENT J/A	positive Total (£K) 5175 0 5175

Form Number RUTLIP 2g

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Freight			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09			
Description of Main Elements:				

Scheme Details

The Council is keen to participate with the following initiatives to improve the relationship between business needs and residents concerns:

- London Sustainable Distribution Partnership (LSDP)
- London Lorry Control System

Freight Quality Partnership (FQP)

The issues of freight management are not unique to Richmond upon Thames. The Council is part of a Freight Quality Partnership (FQP) managed by SWELTRAC. The aim is to develop the FQP with its neighbouring Boroughs and:

- local businesses and business groups;
- distribution companies including the Royal Mail;
- TfL;
- local environmental groups;
- local residents groups; and
- other key stakeholders.

Objectives of the FQP could include:

- 1. A communication plan to maximise involvement of sub-regional businesses and operators.
- 2. Supporting and developing the role of London's town centres. This includes the provision of adequate information for delivery operators/drivers including loading regulations, weight, height and width restrictions, street works information and identifying hotspots and developing loading plans that identify how legal deliveries can be undertaken.
- 3. Introducing a best practice code to include developing a consistent approach over parking/loading regulations and enforcement between boroughs and linking this to best practice from industry in following regulations. Best practice will include driver training, risk management and fuel economy.

Lorries can cause nuisance such as noise, vibration, nitrogen dioxide, particulates, visual intrusion, physical obstruction and damage to the infrastructure. Council will continue programmes directly and in partnership that include:

- The London-wide Night Time and Weekend Lorry Controls prohibit the movement of vehicles over 18 tonnes unless permitted or on exempted roads (A316, A205 and the A308);
- The London 5-tonne overnight parking ban prohibits commercial vehicles and coaches between the hours of 6.30 p.m. and 8 a.m., except in exempted streets;
- Local 7.5 tonne lorry bans allow access only for loading or unloading at premises within the area;

Specific proposals for Freight include:

- Kew Road weight restriction (10k 07/08)
- Boroughwide directional signage (£15k 07/08)
- Lorry ban on residential road- measures (£60k 07/08-08/09)
- Vehicle hard standing for transfer of waste by water (£60k 07/08-08/09)

Key Delivery Partners

Dependencies and Risks

 Metropolitan Police Transport for London Association of London Government Neighbouring boroughs Future legislation Consultation outcome. Partnership working. Technological changes 	 Partners for this proposal include: Local businesses Sweltrac Distribution and logistics operators Local residents and businesses 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming.
Neighbouring boroughs Technological changes		

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

•	 Relieving traffic congestion and improving journey time reliability through rationalised and managed freight movements Encouraging walking by improving the street environment, achieved through lower air and noise pollution level particularly in Town Centres 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
		III & VI	Target 5, 6 & 12	4K.Pr1-4

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals	
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes	
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel	
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive	Requirements for sustainable	positive
Car users	positive	developments	
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*	neutral
<u> </u>		Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	45	100	50	195
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	45	100	50	195
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/A		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/A		N/A	

Form Number RUTL	IP 3а	London Borough of Richmond upon Thames			
	LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Area Based Schemes				
Location: Borough-wide					
Dates:	Depending on success of step 1 bids submitted to	TfL 05-06			
Description of Main Eler	ients:				
 To make the public reference To improve public transition Create a safer, cleaned Assess barriers to peed The town centres are as Richmond Town Centre East Sheen (tba) Teddington (tba) Twickenham (06/07-000) Whitton. (tba) Richmond has unique villation of the opportunity to enhance to enable all stakeholders Barnes (to be determined Kew (to be determined 	ties are easily accessible; alm a safe and secure place to be; asport availability and connections. er environment destrians follows: re (06/07-08/09) 9/10)) ge environments where a 'Streets for People' type e the holistic environment of local shops or green s to fully benefit. The following areas are to be consid- ned) d)	pace improving safety and accessibility			
 Marchmont Road- So Cole Park Road North Whitton Road (07/08- Park Road junction w Courtlands Ave- Ham Hospital Bridge Road 	Road and Links View Road (07/08-08/09) uth Richmond (07/08-08/09) (07/08-08/09)				
Station Access	partnership with SWELTRAC in continuing the	e Tfl funded programme to improve			
accessibility at rail and un	derground stations located within Richmond upon T				

All schemes will be looking for combination funding including TfL, S106.

2007/08- Station Access (Borough)- improved signage at Stations (starting with Hampton Wick)- (£10k pa)

Key Delivery Partners Dependencies and Risks							
 Partners for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery Transport for London neighbouring boroughs Sweltrac (Station Access) 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works 						
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in R	ichmond upo	n Thames					
The purpose of the programme is to: Address the barriers to pedestrian movement created by the main network Improve the safety, security, amenity, accessibility and convenien pedestrian and cycle routes			MTS Priority Area	Gui Ta Nu ,I Ta	LIP dance irget mber rgets	Prop F	evant MTS posals and Policies
Improve service and delivery Encourage use of public transport This will: Provide access for all including the mobility impair Reduce the number of people killed or injured in tr Reduce car dependence and impact of car use – to congestion, environmental impacts Improve access to public transport Improve personal security Support redevelopment and regeneration		111	7,10	0,12,13	4E.Pr 4F.Pr 4G.Pr Pr11, 4J.Pr 4K.Pr 4O.Pr	4, Pr10, 4I.Pr1-8, 1, Pr3-8 4, 4N.Pr5 1-14 5, Policy	
Modal Impact	Cross Cutting Goals						
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal Impact					Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting	g safety &	perceptic	on of safe	ty	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes					
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for positive				positive	
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel					
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced					positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive	Requirem		ustainable	Э		neutral
Car users	positive	developm					
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*					positive
		Environm	ental impa	acts**			positive
FUNDING		O DELIVER P	ROPOSAL	_			
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2	008/09	2009/	10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	1670		2350	260)	4280
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0						
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	1670	2350 260			4280	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATU	STATUS COMMENTS				
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)				
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)				
PARTNERS (EC1 New Deal	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)				
OTHER (S106)	£0k	(Reques) Approved					

Form Number RUTL	IP 3b	London Borough of Richm upon Thames				
	LIP PROPOSAL	DELIVERY	FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Proposal: Signs, lines & street clutter rationalisation					
Location:	Borough-wide (details below)					
Dates:	Annual programme subject to f	unding.				
Description of Main Eler	nents:					
signage. There are many locations The Borough prides itself locale and plant additiona	There are many locations across the Borough that are cluttered with too much signage and general street furniture. The Borough prides itself on its leafy appearance and intends to reduce the amount of street clutter across the locale and plant additional trees in appropriate locations. Where street furniture such as bollards is required then they can be designed to minimize visual impact. A proposal for a rising bollard at Water Street is one such proposal					
Key Delivery Partners Dependencies and Risks Partners for this proposal include: Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Contractor for delivery Eunding providers • Funding providers • Notification requirements of the Traffic Manager Act 2004 • Where the works are related to other projects of planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority we for the section of the provements of the planned improvements of the provements of the provements of the planned improvements of the provements of the provements of the planned improvements of the provements of the provements of the provements of the plannet improvements of the provements of the provements of the plannet improvements o				Traffic Management other projects or		
Delivering the Mayor's T	ransport Strategy in Richmon	d upon Tha	ames			
 The purpose of the borough street lighting programme is to: Maintain good lighting levels on all borough roads. Improve accessibility of borough streets. Improve visibility and road safety. Improve perceptions of safety and security. Encourage street activity. 			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
			I, VI	N/A	Proposal 4G.Pr7, 4G.Pr9, 4G.Pr11, 4G.Pr14, 4I.Pr2-3, & 4I.Pr8	

Impact positive

positive

positive neutral

positive positive

Aodal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for
Rail and Underground	positive	travel
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation
Powered two-wheeler users	positive	Requirements for sustainable
Car users	positive	developments
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts*
		Environmental impacts**
		· · · ·

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09 Total (£)			
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	0	0	0		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	196	196	196	588		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	196	196	196	588		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	N/A		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	N/A		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0 k	N/A		N/A			

Form Number RUTL	-IP 3c	London Borough of upon Thame			
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	mary of Proposal: Parking & Enforcement Plan Action Plan				
Location:	Borough-wide (details below)	Borough-wide (details below)			
Dates:	CPZ programme due to comp review	lete impleme	entation sta	ge by 2007 wit	h further ongoing
Description of Main Eler	nents:				
	are significant financial implica treet space, it is also about ena	bling the safe		ent movement	
 term contractor for de Transport for London neighbouring borough 	artners for this proposal include: Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: term contractor for delivery Include: Transport for London • Notification requirements of the Traffic Managements neighbouring boroughs • Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority wor elivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond-upon-Thames				
More efficient Parking and Enforcement will contribute to improving the traffic flow, safer public realm and the movement of public transport.			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
			IV	9&7	3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6 4G.Po5-6, 4G.Pr16-17

Modal Impact						
Mode	Impact					
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive					
Cyclists	positive					
Bus passengers	positive					
Rail and Underground	neutral					
Community transport and taxis	positive					
Powered two-wheeler users	positive					
Car users	positive					
Freight	positive					

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety	positive
for all travel modes	
Encouraging sustainable means for	positive
travel	
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable	neutral
developments	
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL						
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09 Total		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0					
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	1150	1150	1200	3500	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	1150	1150	1200	3500	
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£3500k	(Requested	Not forr	Not formally requested at this time		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A				
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A		

Form Number RUTLIP 3c1

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

	LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Provision of Secure Motor-Cycle Parking Facilities a	and Motor-Cycle Signing					
Location:	hroughout the Borough						
Dates:	2006-07 & 2007-08						
Description of Main Elen	ients:						
solutions – at all of the bol has 24 such areas on-stre	s – rails, floor-anchors, chains, etc as to be determine- oughs on- and off-street designated motor-cycle park et and 10 off-street. Additional areas are being provid parking areas mainly serve town and local shopping a	ing areas. The borough currently ed where this meets a need and					
Motorcycling Strategy", Fe Facilities", Technical Guid Advisory Leaflet 2/02, DTI Parking Association; "Park	various recent documents dealing with this area or we b 2005 (DfT); "IHIE Guidelines for Motorcycling", April ance B27, (in Streetscape Design Manual), TfL, April R, March 2002; "Powered Two-Wheeler Vehicle Park ing for Powered Two Wheelers", Position Paper No 8 n of Secure Parking for Motorcycles", Motorcycle Acti	I 2005; "Motorcycle Parking 2005: "Motor Cycle Parking", Traffic king", Guideline 1/2004, European , The Parking Forum; and "A Guide					
There are currently no sec	ure facilities for motor-cycle parking in the borough.						
At the same time motor-cy Regulations and General	cle spaces will be signed in accordance with Diags 80 Directions 2002.	01/804.4 of the Traffic Sign					
Scheme Element Object	ves						
To provide facilities to allo borough in a secure mann	w motor-cyclists to leave their machines at designated er.	d motor-cycle parking areas in the					
	fts. Tthe police database shows that 74 motorbikes are on 01/01/2005 and 31/12/2005	nd 150 mopeds and scooters were					
Parking for motor-cyclists	Parking for motor-cyclists will remain free of change.						
This proposal will encourage travel by motor-cycle, hopefully reducing travel by private car. At the same time it should add to those travelling onwards by train.							
The proposal would support one of the few comments received during consultation on the draft Parking and Enforcement Plan, from the Richmond and Twickenham Primary Care Trust, on the inadequacy of motor-cycle parking facilities in the borough.							
Beyond 2009/2010							
None.							

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks						
Partners for this proposal include: Motor Cycle Groups – Motorcycle Action Group British Motorcycle Federation Transport for London			Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Funding				
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Tha	ames				
 Improving the parking and loading ar fair, reasonable and effective enforce recognising the needs of businesses delivery as well as other road users, 	ement of regu for servicing thus contribut	lations, Priority and Area Number Policity			elevant MTS oposals and Policies		
easing congestion and improving acc and regeneration areas.	cess to town o	centre	IV	Та	rget 9		4G Pr1
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting Go	als			
· · ·							
Mode Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	Impact Neutral	Cross C Promotir			ntion of s	afaty	Impact Neutral
Cyclists	Neutral	for all tra				alety	Neutral
Bus passengers	Neutral				neans fo	r	Positive
Rail and Underground	Neutral Encouraging sustainable means for Positive Positive travel						
Community transport and taxis	Neutral	Balance					Neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	Very	Requirer		sustaina	able		Neutral
Carupara	Positive Small	developments					Noutral
Car users Freight	Neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts* Neutral Environmental impacts** Neutral					Neutral
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	20	07/08	2008	/09	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0		25	0		25
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	5		0	0		5
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	5	5 25 0 3		30		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATU	IS		СОМ	MENT	S
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	-	-				-	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	-	-				-	
PARTNERS (specify here	-	-				-	
OTHER (specify here)	-	-				-	

Form Number

RUTLIP 3d

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Taxis and Private Hire Vehicles			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	On-going subject to funding			

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details

The Council will also look at the positioning of taxi waiting areas for both safety and efficiency.

In line with TfL's Safer Travel at Night, the Council will be looking at the issue of personal safety of the users of taxis and private hire vehicles. The Council run a Safer Travel at Night campaign each year through work on Travel Awareness. However, it is considered that more can be undertaken in this area. One scheme is proposed in the Richmond LIP:

Taxi Rank Study- audit of existing taxi ranks, consideration of suitable locations for additional ranks, and improving existing ranks. This study would be mindful of the STAN principles in order to ensure new facilities are designed and located appropriately and it would be linked to the STAN study proposed in RUTLIP Form 5a1. (Proposed for 08/09 at £20k). Implementation to follow but the cost is unknown at this stage.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal include: Public carriage office Local residents and businesses Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs Rail Authority 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Consultation outcome.

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames

 To make the street environment more accessible Particularly for those with mobility impairment. By encouraging walking in this way a consequent modal shift is expected. 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	IV & V	10	3.Po9, 4P.Pr5, 4N.Po2

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	20	100	120		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	20	100	120		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A			

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks					
 Partners for this proposal include: Richmond upon Thames Borough Counce Forum Richmond upon Thames Council Access Transport Department Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs Arcadia 	Act 2004Where the planned inPartnersh	n requiremen works are re nprovements,	ts of the lated to e.g. ma	Traffic other p	Management	
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Thame	s			
This programme is designed to improve Accessibility Modal shift	MTS Priority Area Target Number		Pro	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies		
		IV, V & VI	7, 1	0		9, 3.Pr7, 2, 4I.Pr8, o1
Modal Impact		Cross Cutting	g Goals			
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal				Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists	positive positive					positive
Bus passengers Rail and Underground	positive positive					positive
Community transport and taxis Powered two-wheeler users	positive neutral				positive positive	
Car users Freight	positive neutral	e developments Equality and inclusion impacts* pos			positive positive	
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T	O DELIVER PR	•			
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008	8/09	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	220	71	71	1	362
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	70	0	0		70
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	290 71		71	1	432
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	S COMMENTS		S	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A	.)		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A	.)		N/A	
PARTNERS (London's Arcadia)	£70k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		Matc	h funde	ed
OTHER	£k	(NA)				

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Form Number RUTLI	P 4a2					rough on Tha	of Richmond mes
	LIP F	PROPOSAL D	DELIN	/ERY FORM			
Summary of Proposal:	Local Area Acces	sibility- signag	ge de	ficiencies			
Location:	Borough-wide						
Dates:	This programme is 2005-09/10	s expected to	cont	inue annually beyo	ond the LIP pla	anning	cycle of
Description of Main Eler	nents:						
Through informal public feed across the Borough.	dback the Borough re	eceives corresp	onde	nce on the quality a	and lack of sign	age an	d street naming
In order to address this, it is signage deficiencies and to de and timetable can be develo mplementation is to be set a Improved signage will he Signage is particularly use a major junction is due.	consider locations for oped which prioritises it an estimated £50k p op both visitors and	Advanced Direct key locations a to start, whic d residents fir	ction for ir h can nd th	Signage for Accessi nprovements first. be reviewed depen eir way about in	ble Routes. Fro The budget for ding on the outo the Borough.	om this the stu come of Advar	study a strategy dy is £25k with the study. nced Directior
Signage is also important be useful for those who an					-	rger sc	ript signs may
Key Delivery Partners			Dep	endencies and R	lisks		
TfLBorough			•	Resourcing Funding			
 Mobility Forum Delivering the Mayor's 1 	ransport Strategy	in Richmond	• au b	Findings of the A	udit and Study	/	
 Improving the ability of Borough Improving the ability of move through the Borough t	of people to move an of people with mobili	round the		MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Pro	evant MTS posals and Policies
	ability to move through the Borough 4O.Po1 and accessibility of the Borough - 4G.Pr22						
		•	ign	-	-	4G.Pr	22
• Enhancing the safety		•	-	- ss Cutting Goals	-	4G.Pr	22
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode	and accessibility of	the Borough	Cro Cro	oss Cutting Goal			Impact
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode Pedestrians and mobility Cyclists	and accessibility of	the Borough Impact positive positive	Cro Cro Pro for	oss Cutting Goal omoting safety & p all travel modes	perception of s	afety	Impact positive
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode Pedestrians and mobility	and accessibility of	the Borough Impact positive	Cro Cro Pro for En	oss Cutting Goal	perception of s	afety	Impact
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode Pedestrians and mobility Cyclists Bus passengers Rail and Underground Community transport and	and accessibility of impaired persons d taxis	the Borough Impact positive positive positive positive positive	Cro Cro Pro for En tra Ba	oss Cutting Goal omoting safety & p all travel modes couraging sustain vel lanced road space	perception of stable means for allocation	afety	Impact positive neutral neutral
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode Pedestrians and mobility Cyclists Bus passengers Rail and Underground Community transport an Powered two-wheeler us	and accessibility of impaired persons d taxis	the Borough Impact positive positive positive positive positive positive	Cro Pro for En tra Ba Re	oss Cutting Goal omoting safety & p all travel modes couraging sustain vel lanced road space quirements for su	perception of stable means for allocation	afety	Impact positive neutral
Enhancing the safety Modal Impact Mode Pedestrians and mobility Cyclists Bus passengers Rail and Underground Community transport and	and accessibility of impaired persons d taxis	the Borough Impact positive positive positive positive positive	Cro Pro for En tra Ba Re de	oss Cutting Goal omoting safety & p all travel modes couraging sustain vel lanced road space	perception of sa able means fo e allocation stainable	afety	Impact positive neutral neutral

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	25	50	75		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	25	50	75		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS			
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/A		N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/A		N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/A		N/A			

Form Number RUTL	IP 4b		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames				
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM							
Summary of Proposal:	Walking						
Location:	Borough-wide						
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09	o continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of				
Description of Main Eler	nents:						
 Directional boards Development of e Walking audits Improve the pede Promotion of Wall Areas of special interest fo Public Rights of Version of Version of the to sign many of the to sign many of the to sign many of Richmedia 	Way include public footpaths, b under the Highways Act 1980, a iem and protect their routes. The e Borough's 260 public rights of spans the stretch of the Thame	n signage across the Borough s proposals) ridleways and byways nd the Council has a le e Council has successfu way. s from Teddington to Ke	open to all traffic. These paths are gal duty to sign them from metalled ully carried out ³ ⁄ ₄ of the programme ew, passing through the London and the Council is keen to continue				
 Partners for this proposal Contractors for deliver Transport for London SWELTRAC Living Streets Cross London Partner Routes in London 		 include: Success is likely funding to preserve walking Where the works 	to be dependent on sustained ve any positive modal shift to are related to other projects or ments, e.g. major bus priority works				

Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames						
The scheme elements will encourage walking by improving street environment, conditions for pedestrians and through of travel demand measures.		MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies		
This will support the TfL and borough target to achieve an increase of at least 10% in journeys made on foot per person in London between 2001 and 2015. This will also contribute to targets for modal shift to maintain or increase the proportion of personal travel made by means other than car.		1 & VI	Target 12 & 7	Proposal 3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr10, 4GPr11, 4I.Pr1-8 , 4K.Pr4		
Modal Impact	Cross Cutting Goals					

Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING		O DELIVER PI	ROPOSAL		
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	20007/08	20008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	90	220	255	565
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	90	220	255	565
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENT	s
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	

London Borough of Richmond

Form Number RUTL	IP 4c	upon Thames
	LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM	
Summary of Proposal:	Cycling: Cycle Training, Cycle Parking; and Cycling S	Strategy update
Location:	Borough-wide	
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually bey 2005-09/10	ond the LIP planning cycle of
Description of Main Fler	nents:	

Description of Main Elements:

Scheme Details:

Cycle Training

Cyclists are particularly vulnerable in traffic. The Borough's cycle training programme is vital to improving the safety of cyclists and the minimising of points of conflict with all other modes. This also cross references to the schemes detailed in Safety Education (RUTLIP 1c)

Cycle Parking

With indications of increased numbers of residents and visitors cycling to the borough continuing the cross borough cycle parking programme is important. This also cross references to the schemes detailed in Work Travel Plans (RUTLIP 1d1)

Cycling Strategy- update

To update the Cycling Action Plan in recognition of recent changes to Borough Transport Policy and to include an action plan of non-LCN+ cycling measures in the Borough. (This will link in with RUTLIP 4c1)

Key Delivery Partners	Dependenc	cies and Ri	sks				
 Partners for this proposal include: London Cycling Campaign Local residents and businesses Term contractor for delivery Transport for London Neighbouring Boroughs 	 Where improve Potentia Change and pro- 	tion require the works a ements, e.g al impact fro	ments o re relate major om situa RN in te	of the Traf ed to othe bus priorit tions beyo	fic Mana r project y works ond Bord	igemei s or pla ough c	nt Act 2004 anned
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Tha	ames				
 All measures are designed to: Encourage cycling in Richmond upon The conditions for cyclists and through the use measures 	se of travel de	emand	MTS Priori Area	ty Gui	_IP dance irget mber		elevant MTS oposals and Policies
Achieve the Mayor's Transport Strategy share for cycling by 2012	target of a 10	% modal	1 & V		get 1 & 13		posal 4J.Pr1, Pr3-9, 4J.Po1
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting G	oals			
Mode	Impact	Cross C	utting (Goal			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral			/ & percep	otion of s	safety	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all tra					
Bus passengers	positive	travel	ging sus	stainable r	neans to	or	positive
Rail and Underground Community transport and taxis	positive positive		t road s	pace allo	cation		positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral			r sustaina			positive
Car users	neutral	developr					
Freight	neutral			usion imp	acts*		positive
		Environn	nental ir	npacts**			positive
FUNDING F	REQUIRED T		R PROP	OSAL			
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2	008/09	2009)/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	60		45	35	5	140
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0		0	0		0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	60		45	35	5	140
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATU			CON	IMENT	S
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)			N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)			N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Reques Approved	/N/A)			N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Reques Approved				N/A	

Form Number RUTLI	P 4c1		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames
	LIP PROPOSAL	DELIVERY FORM	
Summary of Proposal:	Cycling- Non LCN+		
Location:	Borough-wide		
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09/10	continue annually bey	ond the LIP planning cycle of
Description of Main Eler	nents:		
 boroughs local cycling rou Advanced stop lines Toucan crossings Mandatory and Advis Improved signing Improved safety and a Shared use walking a Locations for work could of Approaches to Richm Towpath between Ric Other Riverside towpa Cycling counts 	tes (non LCN+) with measures a ory cycle lanes access nd cycling tracks comprise, but not be limited to: ond Park hmond and Twickenham (Match	and objectives that coul	rcadia in 2007/08)
Key Delivery Partners		Dependencies and R	lisks
 Partners for this proposal London Cycling Camp Local residents and b Term contractor for de Transport for London Neighbouring Boroug 	baign usinesses elivery	 include: Notification requir Act 2004 Where the works planned improven Potential impact for control. E.G. Characteria 	sks identified for this proposal rements of the Traffic Management are related to other projects or ments, e.g. major bus priority works from situations beyond Borough nges to the TLRN in terms of traffic ad layout and programming.

 All measures are designed to: Encourage cycling in Richmond upor improving conditions for cyclists and travel demand measures 			MTS Priority Area	Guio Ta	.IP dance rget nber	Pro	levant MTS oposals and Policies
 Achieve the Mayor's Transport Strate modal share for cycling by 2012 	egy target of	a 10%	1 & VII	-	et 1 & 13		posal 4J.Pr1 Pr3-9, 4J.Po1
Modal Impact		Cross Cutt	ing Goa	ls			
Mode	Impact	Cross Cu	ttina Go	al			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral	Promoting			tion of sa	fety	positive
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes				-	
Bus passengers	positive	Encouragi	ng susta	inable n	neans for		positive
Rail and Underground	positive positive	travel Balanced	road ena	ce alloc	ation		positive
Community transport and taxis Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirem					positive
Car users	neutral	developm					poortio
Freight	neutral	Equality a			acts*		positive
		Environme	ental imp	acts**			positive
FUNDING R	REQUIRED T						positive
FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	REQUIRED T 2006/07		PROPOS		2009/1	10	positive Total (£K
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)		O DELIVER	PROPOS	SAL	2009 /1 10	10	
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	2006/07	O DELIVER 2007/08	PROPOS 200 3	SAL 8/09		10	Total (£K
FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	2006/07 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 205	PROPOS	SAL 8/09 10	10	10	Total (£K
	2006/07 0 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 205 100	PROPOS 200 3 3	SAL 8/09 10	10		Total (£K 525 0 625
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	2006/07 0 0 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 205 100 305 STATUS (Requeste Approved/N	PROPOS 200 3 3 3 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	SAL 8/09 10	10 0 10 COMN		Total (£K 525 0 625
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES TFL OUTSIDE BSP	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT	O DELIVER 2007/08 205 100 305 STATUS (Requester	PROPOS 200 3 3 3 cd/ 1/A) cd/	SAL 8/09 10 10	10 0 10 COMM N	MENT //A	Total (£K 525 0 625 S
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT £0k	O DELIVER 2007/08 205 100 305 STATUS (Requeste Approved/N (Requeste	PROPOS 200 3 3 6 4 3 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	SAL 8/09 10 10 10	10 0 10 COMM N	MENT //A //A	Total (£K 525 0 625 S

Form Number RUTLI	P 4c2					rough of Richmond on Thames
	LIP P	PROPOSAL I	DELIVERY	FORM		
Summary of Proposal:	Cycling- LCN+					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	This programme is 2005-09/10	s expected to	continue a	nnually bey	ond the LIP pl	anning cycle of
Description of Main Eler	nents:					
 boroughs LCN + with mea Advanced stop lines Toucan crossings Mandatory and Advis Improved signing A programme for the period 174 and 175. Some of the followed by implementation 	ory cycle lanes od 07/08- 09/10 has ese locations are at	been drafted				
Key Delivery Partners			Depende	ncies and I	Risks	
Partners for this proposal London Cycle Networ London Cycling Cam Local residents and b Term contractor for de Transport for London Neighbouring Boroug	k baign usinesses elivery		include: • Notific Act 20 • Where plann • Poten contro mana	cation requi 004 e the works ed improve tial impact ol. E.G. Cha	rements of the are related to ments, e.g. ma from situations anges to the TL ad layout and p	or this proposal Traffic Management other projects or njor bus priority works beyond Borough .RN in terms of traffic programming.
Delivering the Mayor's T	ransport Strategy	in Richmon	d upon Th	ames		
	cling in Richmond u ditions for cyclists a			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
	ayor's Transport St or cycling by 2012	rategy target	of a 10%	1 & VII	Target 1 & 13	Proposal 4J.Pr1, 4J.Pr3-9, 4J.Po1

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	neutral
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goals	
Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING	REQUIRED T	O DELIVER PI	ROPOSAL		
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	770	425	700	1895
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	770	425	700	1895
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENT	S
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A	

Form Number RUTL	IP 4d		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames
	LIP PI	ROPOSAL DELI	VERY FORM
Summary of Proposal:	Bus Stop accessibi	lity	
Location:	Borough-wide		
Dates:	This programme is 2005-09	expected to cont	tinue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of
Description of Main Elem	nents:		
 Reviewing all bus stop Reviewing all bus model Reviewing all bus model Reviewing all bus model These reviews are likely to Bus to Stop – Alterations can be positioned parallel Extending bus stop cl Relocating stops to be Re-arranging parking Passenger to Bus – Alter without delay. This will inv Raising the kerb, to ai Laying the footway in Installing new bus sl obstructions to passer Passenger to Stop. Improvements to foo people, especially the footways or street clu Improving the lighting It is the Council's intention 	ps to improve passed vements on the approvements on the approvements on the approvements to and allowing access earways and installing etter positions or in second to the bus stop rations to arrangement olve in some cases: d ramp deployment and new materials to allow helters and moving ngers boarding and a tways and to street disabled, to reach to tter. at the bus stop in to carry out these in accessible. The major (07) (08)	nger safety, secu oach and exit fro as for improveme ballow buses to sible access to bo ong new clearways come cases o position and ch ents to allow pass and reduce step bw changes to the existing shelters alighting.	om bus stops ents: stop close to the kerb at bus stops, ensuring buses oth sets of doors. This may be done by: s where none are present anging parking restrictions sengers to board or alight from the bus with ease and height.
Key Delivery Partners			bendencies and Risks
 Partners for this proposal London Bus Initiative Local residents and b Term contractor for de Transport for London SWELTRAC Neighbouring borough 	usinesses elivery		bendencies and risks identified for this proposal ude: Notification requirements of the Traffic Management Act 2004 Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of traffic management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome

٠

•

Consultation outcome.

Cost implication of moving stats

 All measures are designed to: Improve bus journey times and reliat Reduce bus excess wait times Improve accessibility 	bility		MTS Priority Area	Guio Ta	.IP Jance rget nber		levant MTS oposals and Policies
Promote modal shift			II, V		et 3,4 7		osal 4F.Pr2-3 Pr6-8, 4G.Pr4
Modal Impact		Cross Cu	tting Goa	ls			
Mode	Impact		utting Go				Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists	positive positive		g safety & vel modes		tion of s	afety	positive
Bus passengers	positive		ging susta		neans fo	or	positive
Rail and Underground	neutral	travel					•
Community transport and taxis	positive		road spa				positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	developn	nents for s	ustaina	ble		positive
Car users Freight	neutral neutral		and inclus	ion imp	acts*		positive
		Environm	ental imp	acts**			positive
	2006/07		PROPOS		2009	/10	
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)			200	SAL	2009 200		
FUNDING R TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	2006/07	O DELIVER 2007/08	200	SAL 8/09			Total (£K
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	2006/07 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 188	200 200	SAL 8/09	20	0	Total (£K
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	2006/07 0 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 188 0	200 200 20 20	5AL 8/09 00	200 0 200	0	Total (£K 588 0 588
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	2006/07 0 0	O DELIVER 2007/08 188 0 188 STATU (Reques: Approved)	200 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 5 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	5AL 8/09 00	200 0 200 COM	0	Total (£K 588 0 588
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED OTHER FUNDING SOURCES TFL OUTSIDE BSP	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT	O DELIVER 2007/08 188 0 188 STATU (Reques: Approved/ (Reques: Approved/ Approved/	PROPOS 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	5AL 8/09 00	200 0 200 COM	0 0 IMENT	Total (£K 588 0 588
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K) FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	2006/07 0 0 0 AMOUNT £0k	O DELIVER 2007/08 188 0 188 STATU (Reques: Approved/ (Reques:	PROPOS 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	5AL 8/09 00	200 0 200 COM	0 0 IMENT	Total (£K 588 0 588

Form Number RUTL	IP 5a			prough of Richmond oon Thames		
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Travel Awareness	Travel Awareness				
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	This programme is expected to 2005-09/10	continue annuall	y beyond the LIP pl	anning cycle of		
Description of Main Eler	nents:					
about the alternative ways of travel awareness being closely aligned to the pro	y means by which the Borough s and means of travelling through g undertaken throughout Londor grammes being undertaken in re Safety Education and Training (h and about the E h by other Boroug elation to School	Borough. It is align gh's and by Transp	ed with a programme port for London. It is		
	siastic participant in the Londor actical alternatives to the car. A prises:					
Good Going Walk to Work Good Going Week	c Campaign					
Other activities may inclu Transport Maps.	de encouraging greater awaren	ess and use of F	Public Transport ar	nd provision of Public		
An annual Safer Travel at night for women and othe	Night campaign is also held in th vulnerable groups.	e Borough which	aims to increase a	wareness of safety at		
	e of personalised travel planning work. It is currently proposed as					
Key Delivery Partners		Dependencies a	and Risks			
 Local businesses Schools SWELTRAC TfL Car Club service provider Partnership working Technological changes 						
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy in Richmond upon Thames						
These programmes will help deliver improvements in:MTS PriorityLI• Modal shiftAreaTai				Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies		
Business satisfaction		VI	7 and 12	3.Po5, 3.Po9, 4O.Po1, 4O.Po2, 4I.Pr2, 4I.Pr8		

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals	
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons Cyclists	positive positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Bus passengers Rail and Underground	positive positive	Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Community transport and taxis Powered two-wheeler users	positive	Balanced road space allocation Requirements for sustainable	positive positive
Car users	neutral neutral	developments	•
Freight	neutral	Equality and inclusion impacts* Environmental impacts**	positive positive
FUNDING R		O DELIVER PROPOSAL	

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09		Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	70	35	40	145
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	70	35	40	145
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N/A		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/A		N/A	
	£0k	(Requested, Approved/N/A		N/A	

Form Number **RUTLIP 5a1** London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	STAN Study	STAN Study			
Location:	Borough-wide				
Dates:	On-going subject to funding				
Description of Main Eler	nents:				
Scheme DetailsThis proposal is in response to the lack of clear guidance or proposals on how best to consider STAN initiatives in various locations across the Borough. Therefore it is proposed to undertake a STAN study:STAN Study- Policy study of how best to implement STAN initiatives in the Borough and to focus the Council on those locations that most require STAN initiatives. (Proposed for 08/09 at £20k). Implementation is likely to occur in other work areas and the cost in unknown at this stage. This is likely to look closely at STAN initiatives at Underground Stations, National Rail Stations and Bus Stations, together with safer walking at night and principles around using Taxi's and Private Hire Vehicles.The STAN Study would build on the initiatives undertaken through Travel Awareness (RUTLIP 5a) and is also linked to the Station Access programme undertaken by Sweltrac (and the Borough in the future). Work Travel Plans also include STAN measures from time to time depending on the nature of the Travel Plan. The Policy study would help to build on the measures used in such Travel Plans.Key Delivery PartnersDependencies and Risks					
Partners for this proposal Public carriage office Local residents and b Transport for London Neighbouring Boroug Rail Authority Sweltrac	riage officeinclude:Jents and businesses• Fundingfor London• Potential for high cost depending on findings of the study				
	ailway Stations ground Stations ulnerable groups (e.g. women) vate hire vehicle users	MTS Priority Area 3.Po9 - V VI VI VI	LIP Guidance Target Number - - 10 7, 12 10 -	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies 3.P09 4N.Pr1 4P.Pr5 4I.Pr2 4E.Pr9 4C.Pr12	

Modal Impact	
	luce a st
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	positive
Bus passengers	positive
Rail and Underground	positive
Community transport and taxis	positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	positive
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	20	100	120
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0	20	100	120
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS	STATUS		
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)		(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	

Form Number

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM				
Summary of Proposal:	Environmental Measures			
Location:	Borough-wide			
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10			

Description of Main Elements:

RUTLIP 5b

Scheme Details

As part of the LIP the council has carried out a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). The Council will have to monitor all schemes delivered from the LIP to keep an eye on their environmental impacts. There will be funding required to sustain this requirement. The transport measures relating to each of the main environmental areas can be linked to air quality, noise, energy, waste and biodiversity or a combination.

Air Quality

The Council's Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) includes measures to both reduce the pollution emitted from the vehicles on the borough's roads and to reduce the amount of traffic on the roads. In particular he council wishes to be able to monitor its air pollution hotspots both for emissions and traffic congestion.

The Council is interested in the possible establishment of a Low Emission Zone (LEZ) for London, and is working with the Greater London Assembly to ensure that any LEZ that is established is the most effective and feasible model taking into account London boroughs' capacity and resources. The Borough has prepared a submission on the draft LEZ proposals.

• Waste Programme

The Council will seek funding to deliver the transport elements of its Waste Strategy Action Plan.

• Noise Programme

Richmond upon Thames suffers noise from a variety of different sources, including road traffic, railways and aircraft. The Council will seek funding for monitoring noise levels and piloting noise reduction schemes.

Aircraft Noise Monitoring

For the 2007/08 funding period, the Borough intends to take a lead role for a joint proposal with Ealing, Hounslow and Wandsworth Council's to undertake Aircraft Noise Monitoring on a joint basis. An estimated cost of £20k is required for this in the first year although ongoing monitoring will be undertaken.

Congestion Hotspot Monitoring

The Council intends to monitor for congestion hotspots across the Borough with particular reference to improving Bus journey times but also to improving other sources of congestion such as at level crossings and certain junctions. The monitoring is proposed for the 07/08 and 08/09 periods for a total of £15k over the two-year period. Cross reference to RUTLIP form 3a for footbridge study.

• Permanent Traffic Counters

These form part of an ongoing programme of traffic counters across the Borough to monitor the volume of traffic and to assess areas that need remedial action. The £10k pa funding is used for new traffic counters but also to replace older counters as required.

Tree Planting

This is proposed in various locations throughout the Borough to help reduce the effects of air pollution. It is intended that this would be an ongoing programme commencing in 07/08 at £15k and increasing to £50k pa.

Key Delivery Partners Dependencies and Risks					
 Partners for this proposal include: Local environmental groups Countryside Agency English Heritage English Nature Environment agency Health Sector, National Health Service a Care Trusts Local residents and businesses Transport for London Neighbouring boroughs 	 Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: Potential impact from situations beyond borough control. E.G. Changes to the TLRN in terms of t management, road layout and programming. Consultation outcome. Partnerships working. 				
By designing schemes to reduce the ecologi this should improve walking, cycling and ger shift.		MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Proposal	vant MTS s and Policies
		VI & VII 12 & 13 VI & VII 12 & 13 VI & VII 4I.Pr1-8, 4K.Pr4			G.Pr10,
Modal Impact		Cross Cuttin	g Goals		
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal Impact			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons			afety & percept	tion of safety	positive
Cyclists Bus passengers	positive positive	for all travel modes			nositive
Rail and Underground	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for positive travel			positive
Community transport and taxis	positive		ad space alloca		positive
Powered two-wheeler users	positive		ts for sustainat	ble	positive
Car users Freight	positive positive	developmen	inclusion impa	acts*	positive
Treight	positive	Environment		2010	positive
	REQUIRED T	O DELIVER PF	ROPOSAL		
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07		2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	85	167.5	160	412.5
	0		0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED		85	167.5	160	412.5
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	T COMMENTS			S
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A	oved/N/A)		
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A			
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A			
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	Approved/N/A	proved/N/A) N/A		

Form Number RUTL	.IP 5c				rough of Richmond on Thames
LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM					
Summary of Proposal:	Water	Vater			
Location:	Borough's waterways				
Dates:	Annual programme subject to	o funding			
Description of Main Eler	nents:				
balance the need for safe The Council will continue	s benefit from the extensive wa access for pedestrians and cy to work with Thames Waterway nall mitigating measures progra	clists with the r ys, TfL to supp	need to pro oort sustair	otect the water hable use of all	ways biodiversity.
Partners for this proposal include: Dependencies and risks identified for this proposal include: • Term contractor for delivery include: • Transport for London • Notification requirements of the Traffic Managem Act 2004 • British Waterways • Where the works are related to other projects or planned improvements, e.g. major bus priority works				Traffic Management other projects or	
 Improving access to the borough's waterways will benefit Modal Shift and accessibility. Developing freight movement on water in line with the council's planning policy will contribute to reducing congestion. 			MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
			V & VI	10	Proposal 3.Pr2, 3.Pr4-6, 4G.Pr10, 4GPr11, 4I.Pr1-8, 4K.Pr4 4M.Po2, 4M.Pr2

Modal Impact	
Mode	Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive
Cyclists	neutral
Bus passengers	neutral
Rail and Underground	neutral
Community transport and taxis	neutral
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral
Car users	neutral
Freight	neutral

Cross Cutting Goal	Impact
Promoting safety & perception of safety for all travel modes	positive
Encouraging sustainable means for travel	positive
Balanced road space allocation	positive
Requirements for sustainable developments	neutral
Equality and inclusion impacts*	positive
Environmental impacts**	positive

FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL							
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)		
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0	5	5	10		
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	0 5		5	10		
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS COMMENTS		S			
	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A			
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A) N/A					
	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A)					
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested) Approved/N/A		N/A			

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

	Form	Number	
--	------	--------	--

RUTLIP 6

LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM						
Summary of Proposal:	Parallel Initiatives					
Location:	Borough-wide					
Dates:	This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005/06-09/10					
Description of Main Elen	nents:					
In accordance with Transport for London guidance on Parallel Initiatives, the Borough is proposing a number of works that fall within the definition of Parallel Initiatives. Parallel Initiatives involve splitting the network into homogenous sections to provide workable areas, which can be considered holistically. Generally these will relate to various sections of 'A' Roads and busy bus routes and ideally, these will have consistent land use and flows along the sections and will be suitable for designing comprehensive schemes.						
The following locations/sc	hemes are proposed in the Richmond LIP in response to this requirement:					
2007/08- Develop a programme of parallel initiatives to cover a 5-year period. This will look at bus hotspots and congestion areas (£50k), and undertake feasibility studies for Parallel Initiatives along the Strategic Road Network, on the A307, A310 and A311 (Hampton Hill) 2007/08- Delivery of two schemes for parallel initiatives (ongoing schemes bid for under Streets for People- see RUTLIP Form 3a)						
2007/08- Delivery of one bus lane scheme at Kew Road (feasibility to be undertaken in 06/07) – (see RUTLIP Form 2f for more detail on this scheme as it is included as a part of the Bus Priority proposals) 2008/09- Implementation of programme developed in 2007/08 (£250k) 2009/10- Ongoing implementation of programme developed in 2007/08 (£250k)						
 The nature of Parallel Initiatives is that they may include aspects of all or some of the following: Addressing Bus Hotspots and congestion bottlenecks (on Busy Bus Routes and 'A' Roads)- see also RUTLIP 5b- Environmental Measures for details of hotspot monitoring Bus Priority measures such as Selective Vehicle Detection measures and bus lanes Pedestrian crossings Measures to improve cycling and walking Improving interchange and integration between modes Encouraging a balanced use of street space Environmental improvements 						

Parallel Initiatives may also be provided for as a part of other programme areas with the principle overarching theme comprising a holistic approach- ie looking at all aspects.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks
 TfL Bus operators Borough Bus Priority Bus Priority Lead Borough- Bromley 	 Consultation Funding Resourcing

 Bus Hotspots Bus standing facilities and stops Bus Priority on busy bus routes and 'A' routes Balanced use of street space 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies
 Balanced use of street space Traffic Growth Parking and Loading Cycling, Walking, Road Safety Congestion Bottlenecks Pedestrian Phasing- Selective Vehicle Detection Measures Interchange and Integration 		3, 4 5, 6, 7 5, 6, 7 5, 6, 7 7 5, 6, 7 5, 6, 7 7 13	4F.Pr6 4F.Pr8 4G.Po2 4G.Pr12 4G.Pr15 4G.Pr18 4G.Pr20 4I.Pr7 4J.Pr5

Impact	Cross Cutting Goal Impact
positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety positive
positive	for all travel modes
positive	Encouraging sustainable means for positive
neutral	travel
positive	Balanced road space allocation positive
positive	Requirements for sustainable positive
positive	developments
positive	Equality and inclusion impacts* positive
	Environmental impacts** positive
	positive positive positive neutral positive positive positive

TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	10	250	250	510
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	10	250	250	510
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//	NI/A		
PARTNERS (specify here	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested Approved/N//		N/A	

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Summary of Proposal: Sweltrac Programme Borough-wide Borough-wide Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10 Description of Main Elements: A common statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statement in the one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement Escripte Movement Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Hara Based Schemes- Station Access Moriake- implementation Moriake- implementation 100,000 Barnes-implementation 100,000 River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 Conging 100,000	Form Number RUT	LIP 7		London Borough of Richmond upon Thames		
Borough-wide Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10 Description of Main Elements: A common statement on Swettrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Swettrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Swettrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement Estimation Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Hampton Hill: Station Feasibility 25,000 Hampton -feasibility and design 30,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access 100,000 Rever Crane- joint bid with adjacent Swettrac Boroughs 100,000 Hampton -feasibility 12,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access 100,000 Cycling RkArt Community Transport RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston) 80,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access 100,000 <th< th=""><th></th><th>LIP PROPOSAL [</th><th>DELIVERY FORM</th><th></th></th<>		LIP PROPOSAL [DELIVERY FORM			
Dates: This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10 Description of Main Elements: A common statement on Swettrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Swettrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement Bus Service to Richmond Park Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Hampton Hill- Station Feasibility 25,000 Hampton Kik- feasibility 12,000 Hampton-feasibility 12,000 Hampton-feasibility 12,000 Hampton-feasibility and design 30,000 Community Transport Rex- feasibility and design River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 Community Transport (with Kingston) 80,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation North Sheen-	Summary of Proposal:	Sweltrac Programme				
Dates: 2005-09/10 Description of Main Elements: A common statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Sweltrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement £'s Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access 100,000 Mortlake- implementation 100,000 Barnes- implementation 100,000 Hampton Hill: Station Feasibility 25,000 Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew-feasibility and design Kew- feasibility and design 30,000 Quing River Crane- joint bid with Adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 Raked Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation 100,000 Hampton Wick- implementation 100,000 Here Example River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,0		Borough-wide				
Description of Main Elements: A common statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Sweltrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement Estone Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Arae Based Schemes- Station Access Mortlake- implementation Mortlake- implementation 100,000 Barnes - implementation 100,000 Hampton - feasibility 12,000 Hare Based Schemes- Strete for People Kew- feasibility and design Kiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 2008/09 Community Transport Community Transport (with Kingston) 80,000 Area Based Schemes- Strete for People Kew- feasibility Kiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- impleme						
A common statement on Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G- Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes in the Borough that are proposed by Sweltrac, it was considered best to put them into one Form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN+ proposals. See also RUTLIP form 7a. The Sweltrac programme is comprehensive and covers a number of programme areas across the Borough. The programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement Bus Service to Richmond Park 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Mortiake implementation 100,000 Barnes- implementation 100,000 Hampton Hill- Station Feasibility 25,000 Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- feasibility and design 25,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 Harea Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- feasibility and design 30,000 2008/09 Community Transport RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston) 80,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- feasibility 12,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation 100,000 Hampton Wick- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- feasibility 12,000 Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- feasibility 12,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Fulwell- implementation 100,000	Description of Main Flor					
programme with scheme details from 07/08 through to 08/09 is provided as follows: 2007/08 £'s People Movement 100,000 Extension of North London Line 25,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access 100,000 Barnes- implementation 100,000 Barnes- implementation 100,000 Norti Ake- implementation 100,000 North Sheen- feasibility 12,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 Hampton- feasibility 12,000 River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 2008/09 Community Transport Community Transport 80,000 Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation North Sheen- implementation 100,000 Hampton- implementation 100,000 Gring<	A common statement on Statements. For the purp	Sweltrac which outlines it's purpose of outlining schemes in the I	Borough that are propo	osed by Sweltrac, it was considered		
People MovementBus Service to Richmond Park100,000Extension of North London Line25,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access25,000Mortlake-implementation100,000Barnes- implementation100,000North Sheen- feasibility25,000Hampton Wick- feasibility12,000Hampton- feasibility12,000Hampton- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- feasibility and design30,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac BoroughsRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09Community TransportRAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Hampton Wick- implementation100,000Hampton Dire as Station Access100,000Ruwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Roter as Station Access100,000Rew- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Rever Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000CyclingTane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000CyclingTane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000CyclingTane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs<						
Bus Service to Richmond Park100,000Extension of North London Line25,000Hampton Hill- Station Access100,000Barnes- implementation100,000Barnes- implementation100,000North Sheen- feasibility12,000Hampton Wick- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- feasibility and designKew- feasibility and design30,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac BoroughsRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- feasibilityKew- feasibility Transport100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000River Crane- inplementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/102009/10Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000Cycling12,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling12,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000 <td>2007/08</td> <td></td> <td>£'s</td> <td></td>	2007/08		£'s			
Hampton Hill- Station Feasibility25,000Area Based Schemes- Station AccessMortlake- implementation100,000Barnes- implementation100,000North Sheen- feasibility25,000Hampton Wick- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People2000Kew- feasibility and design30,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09Community TransportRAKAT Community Transport80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton- Wick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People80,000Kew- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People100,000Kew- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000	People Movement Bus Service to Richmond	-	100,000			
Mortlake- implementation100,000Barnes- implementation100,000North Sheen- feasibility and design25,000Hampton Wick- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People30,000Kew- feasibility and design30,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09Community TransportRAKAT Community Transport80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationRev implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000CyclingFulwell- implementationRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Fulwell- implementation100,000Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementationFulwell- implementation100,000Zetor feasibility12,000	Hampton Hill- Station Fea	sibility				
North Sheen- feasibility and design25,000Hampton Wick- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People30,000Kew- feasibility and design30,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09Community TransportRAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000CyclingFilveel implementationRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Fulwell- implementationArea Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementationFulwell- implementation100,000Zera Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementationComponent Station AccessFulwell- implementationComponent Station AccessFulwell- implementationComponent Station Acce	Mortlake- implementation	tation Access				
Hampton- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- feasibility and design30,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09 Community Transport RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation100,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation100,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ruwell- implementation100,000Cycling Ru	North Sheen- feasibility ar	nd design	25,000			
Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002008/09 Community Transport RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton Wick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- implementation100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation100,0002009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation100,000	Hampton- feasibility	treets for People				
2008/09Community TransportRAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station AccessNorth Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton Wick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibilityArea Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000	Cycling					
Community Transport80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Hampton Sick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Zour Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,000Cycling12,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000	River Crane- joint bid with	adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs	100,000			
RAKAT Community Transport (with Kingston)80,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton Wick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People100,000Kew- implementation100,000Cycling100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000						
North Sheen- implementation100,000Hampton Wick- implementation100,000Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for PeopleKew- implementationKew- implementation100,000CyclingRiver Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000Yet and Yet an	RAKAT Community Trans		80,000			
Hampton- implementation100,000Fulwell- feasibility12,000Area Based Schemes- Streets for People100,000Kew- implementation100,000Cycling100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000	North Sheen- implementa	tion				
Area Based Schemes- Streets for People Kew- implementation 100,000 Cycling River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 2009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Teddington- feasibility 12,000						
Kew- implementation100,000Cycling100,000River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs100,0002009/10100,000Area Based Schemes- Station Access100,000Fulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000	Fulwell- feasibility		12,000			
River Crane- joint bid with adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs 100,000 2009/10 Area Based Schemes- Station Access Fulwell- implementation 100,000 Teddington- feasibility 12,000	Kew- implementation	Treets INI Leonie	100,000			
Area Based Schemes- Station AccessFulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000		adjacent Sweltrac Boroughs	100,000			
Fulwell- implementation100,000Teddington- feasibility12,000		tation Access				
			100,000			
St Margarets- feasibility 12.000	Teddington- feasibility					
Area Based Schemes- Streets for People		traats for Poonlo	12,000			
Kew- implementation 100,000			100,000			

 Across all the Sweltrac Borough's, Sweltrac Rail/Freight distribution centres and inte Electric Charging Points Transport Links to Heathrow Airport Travel Plan Co-ordinators Workplace Travel Plans Travel Plan Support (Challenge Funds) Area Based Travel Plan for Teddington Travel Plan monitoring NHS Network Travel Awareness at the Workplace 		ndertake	work in	the following	ng areas:	
		Deper	ndencie	s and Risk	S	
 Sweltrac TfL South West Trains Network Rail Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy	 Sweltrac TfL South West Trains Funding being available over consecutive years Approvals from SW Trains and Network Rail (if required) 					
Derivering the mayor's transport strategy			maine	3		
 To improve access to Stations To improve the ability of people with mobility difficulties to move around 	To improve the ability of people with Area		Та	uidance arget mber	Relevant MTS Poli	
 To enhance neighbourhoods To enhance accessibility to Public Transport 	III; V; VI; VII VIII 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 3.Po8; 3.Pr1-2, 4-6; 4E.Pr9-10 4F.Pr6, 11; 4G.Pr4, 10-11; 4I. 4F.Pr6, 11; 4G.Pr4, 10-11; 4I. 8; 4J.Po1; 4J.Pr1, 3-8; 4K.Pr4 4L.Po5; 4N.Pr5; 4O.Pr1-14; 4I. 4P.Pr4-5 4P.Pr4-5			10-11; 4I.Pr2-4, 6- 8-8; 4K.Pr4-5;		
Modal Impact Cross Cutting Goals						
ModePedestrians and mobility impaired personsCyclistsBus passengersRail and UndergroundCommunity transport and taxisPowered two-wheeler usersCar usersFreight	ImpactCross Cutting GoalpositivePromoting safety & percerpositivefor all travel modespositiveEncouraging sustainable rpositiveBalanced road space allowneutralRequirements for sustainableneutralEquality and inclusion impEnvironmental impacts**		means for positive pocation positive nable positive			
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07			2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	0		0	0	
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	52	9		224	1345
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED		52	9	592	224	1345
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES AMOUNT STATUS COMMENTS						
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	· ·	uested/ /ed/N/A)	N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	Approv	/ed/N/A)	N/A	
PARTNERS (Sweltrac)	£1145k	· ·	uested)		Submissio	on
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	· ·	uested/ /ed/N/A)	N/A	

Form Number

Location:

Dates:

London Borough of Richmond **RUTLIP** 7a upon Thames LIP PROPOSAL DELIVERY FORM Summary of Proposal: Sweltrac Programme – Non Borough Specific SWELTRAC sub region This programme is expected to continue annually beyond the LIP planning cycle of 2005-09/10 **Description of Main Elements:** A common statement on SWELTRAC which outlines it's purpose and objectives is detailed in Appendix G: Common Statements. For the purpose of outlining schemes within the Sub Region that are proposed by SWELTRAC, it was considered appropriate to put them all in one form in a similar manner as Bus Priority and LCN proposals. These proposals cover schemes that are project managed by SWELTRAC and which are not tied to one Borough of particular geographic location. Across all the Sweltrac Borough's, Sweltrac propose to undertake work in the following areas: Freight – Efficient distribution of goods assists all sections of the local economy and SWELTRAC is well placed to address the issue of sub regional freight movement, particularly regarding the important freight hub that is Heathrow. SWELTRAC will undertake a variety of projects under this heading to maximise the efficiency of freight distribution, minimise the adverse effects of freight and develop strategies and solutions for the future. In 2007/08, SWELTRAC will bid for a study for rail freight distribution centres and interchanges. **Environment** - SWELTRAC will work with the Boroughs and TfL to develop new and innovative approaches to environmental schemes, taking advantage of the associated benefits of economies of scale and consistency in design. The problems in this area are not confined to one Borough and neither should the solutions be. In 2007/08. SWELTRAC will be bidding for a programme of electric charging points throughout the sub region. Travel Plans - Travel Plans will continue to be an integral part of the SWELTRAC programme. The SWELTRAC travel plan co-ordinators provide a framework for travel plan activities, act as liaison between the Boroughs and TfL, provide economies of scale and Borough support. The main area of work for the co-ordinators is the promotion, development and monitoring of workplace travel plans. SWELTRAC will continue to produce literature and guidance, work with specific sectors, offer a range of support and incentives to organisations, set up networks, provide a monitoring framework. SWELTRAC must consider all the options to encourage sustainable transport including personalised travel planning, residential travel planning, car sharing, car clubs, alternative fuel technology and other innovative approaches. SWELTRAC will continue to seek funding on 2007/08 onwards for its two travel plan co-ordinators, as well as funding to support their work in the development of workplace travel plans, including the provision of challenge funds, monitoring and networking projects as well as new initiatives such as area based travel plans. Travel Awareness - SWELTRAC will also continue to support the promotion of the London-wide good going campaign at workplaces as well as seeking to address reluctance to modal shift

People Movement – Although not a specific programme area, the issue of people movement is key for SWELTRAC - orbital travel, north-south, east-west links and strategic studies are an important part of the SWELTRAC programme. A key issue for SWELTRAC has always been Transport Links to Heathrow Airport and in 2007/08. SWELTRAC will be bidding for work to undertake a study in this area.

Scheme	2007/08 £k	2008/09 £k	2009/10 £k
Rail/Freight distribution	30	TBC	TBC
centres and interchanges			
Electric Charging Points	105	100	110
Transport Links to	50	TBC	TBC
Heathrow Airport			
Travel Plan Co-ordinators	95	95	100
Workplace Travel Plans	20	20	20
Travel Plan Support	20	20	20
(Challenge Funds)			
Area Based Travel Plan	50	146	64
for Teddington			
Travel Plan monitoring	20	20	20
NHS Network	10	25	25
Travel Awareness at the	15	15	15
Workplace			
Total	415	441	374

In addition to the programme set out above, SWELTRAC will continue to work with its Borough partners to deliver projects across a number of transport areas, including walking, cycling, regeneration, accessibility, town centres, streets for people and station access. Where a SWELTRAC scheme is linked to a particular borough, details of that scheme will be contained in the respective Boroughs LIP. For example, the SWELTRAC/Richmond schemes are detailed in RUTLIP 7.

Key Delivery Partners	Dependencies and Risks			
 Sweltrac Boroughs TfL Relevant Train Operating Companies Network Rail 	 Funding being available over consecutive years Approvals from Train Operating Companies and Network Rail (if required) 			
Delivering the Mayor's Transport Strategy				
 To improve access to Stations To improve the ability of people with mobility difficulties to move around To enhance neighbourhoods 	MTS Priority Area	LIP Guidance Target Number	Relevant MTS Proposals and Policies	
To enhance accessibility to Public Transport	III; IV; V; VI; VIII	7, 8, 10, 12, 14	The full list of the links between the SWELTRAC proposals and the MTS is contained in Appendix 2 of the SWELTRAC LIP Reporting and Funding Submission for 2007/08	

Modal Impact		Cross Cutting Goals			
Mode	Impact	Cross Cutting Goal			Impact
Pedestrians and mobility impaired persons	positive	Promoting safety & perception of safety			positive
Cyclists	positive	for all travel modes			
Bus passengers	positive	Encouraging sustainable means for			positive
Rail and Underground	positive	travel			
Community transport and taxis	positive	Balanced road space allocation			positive
Powered two-wheeler users	neutral	Requirements for sustainable			positive
Car users	neutral	developments			
Freight	positive	Equality and inclusion impacts			positive
	Environmental impacts			positive	
FUNDING REQUIRED TO DELIVER PROPOSAL					
TOTAL FUNDING TABLE (£K)	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Total (£K)
FUNDING REQUIRED FROM BSP	0	415	441	374	1230
FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING REQUIRED	0	415	441	374	1230
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	AMOUNT	STATUS		COMMENTS	
TFL OUTSIDE BSP	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	
BOROUGH RESOURCES	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	
PARTNERS (Sweltrac)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	
OTHER (specify here)	£0k	(Requested/ Approved/N/A		N/A	