

**Present**

- AG Abdul Ghaffar, GOL Community Safety Division
- AJ Aarti Joshi, NHS Richmond,
- AL Anne Lawtey, LBRuT, Community Planning Manager
- CA Catherine Askew, ACO, London Probation
- CA Carole Atkinson, CCP Chair
- CH Carol Hall, Richmond Housing Partnership
- Cllr David Williams, LBRuT Cabinet Member for Communities
- GN Gillian Norton, LBRuT Chief Executive (Chair)
- GH Greg Herne, London Fire Brigade
- MD Marika Dalgliesh, LBRuT, (Adults) Strategic Safeguarding Manager
- NA Natasha Allen, LBRuT Community Safety Manager
- NI Nadia Inwood, Victim Support
- RT Rick Turner, vice-Chair & Metropolitan Police Borough Commander (chaired first part of this meeting)
- TK Tamsin Kelland, MPA
- TM Tina Maidman, minute-taker & LBRuT Community Safety Admin Officer

Agenda item	Action
<b>1. Apologies</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clare Lambert, LBRuT YOT</li> <li>• Robert Henderson, LBRuT Head of Integrated Youth Support Services</li> <li>• Carol Hall, Richmond Housing Partnership</li> <li>• Jose Ortega, Interim Director of Health NHS Richmond</li> <li>• Tony Levy, Crown Prosecution Service</li> </ul>	
<b>2. Minutes of 16 October meeting &amp; matters arising</b>	
<p>CA noted she would like to be recorded in the apologies.            RT noted for the iQuanta item (4.1) 'statistically' was incorrectly spelt.            NA noted the Strategic Assessment (5.2) went to Cabinet on 22 February and will go to Council on 2 March 2010.</p> <p>The minutes for 11 December 2009 were agreed.</p>	
<b>3. Short Presentations</b>	
<p><b>3.1 Fire Service</b></p> <p>GH gave a verbal updated to the group on how they are working on increasing links with Partners. He also outlined the developing outreach projects now being carried out within the Borough:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intervention scheme 'Life' where offending young people (11-17) are taken to a fire station for the week and taught the skills of a Fire Fighter, with the hope that they (young people) will consider a career in the Fire Service. The feedback from this scheme has been a tremendous success and</li> </ul>	

<p>results show that 78%-90% of the young people do not reoffend. Funding (£10,000) for this scheme will come from the CSP 'Funding Pot'.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'Junior Fighter' - this initiative is aimed at offending younger children (5-11yrs). A Fire Fighter will give the children a set curriculum over 3 weeks, discussing the consequences of starting fires, using matches etc. Again the success rate has been very encouraging around 70% of the children do not reoffend.</li> <li>• 'Home Fire Safety Visits' – fitting free smoke alarms to vulnerable and elderly people. Whilst carrying out these visits should any domestic abuse, child protection issues come to light these can be flagged-up to the relevant partners/agencies. The Fire Service is also in communication with the Police, when they see better security needs to be fitted.</li> <li>• Liaising with Police sergeants about information on the 'timber framed' buildings in the Borough. This has been flagged due to the highly publicised fires in 'timber framed' flats within London. There are currently 4 buildings in the Borough with 'timber frames' and the aim is to have the necessary resources and information in place should an incident happen in this Borough.</li> <li>• 'PATs: Potential Arson Targets' – a scheme using Police and other Partners intelligence data to identify arson targets, such as large disused buildings/pubs.</li> </ul> <p><b>3.2 Safeguarding Adults report</b></p> <p>The report was sent to the group prior to the meeting and there were some additional comments:</p> <p>MD highlighted a few issues within the report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On a strategic level, the linking relationships between the Local Strategic Partnership, Adult Safeguarding Partnership Board (ASPB) and Community Safety Partnership CSP) and how we can strengthen these links.</li> <li>• The need for joint working/communications between ASPB and CSP how we can build this, particularly in light of the recent tragic <a href="#">Pilkington case</a>.</li> <li>• Multiple reporting across the agencies on the same vulnerable adult.</li> </ul> <p>In response to the some of the highlighted issued raised, NA explained that one of the recommendation from the ASB Review is to strengthen the links between agencies and groups. RT highlighted the issue of identifying which agency has the lead responsibility. AL commented that there are clear responsibility lines within the Local Strategic Children's Board and the same has to be applied here. The Group agreed that a proposal was needed to move forward these issues.</p> <p><b>ACTION: AL to liaise with MD</b></p> <p>CA offered the chance for MD to present her report to the CPP.</p> <p><b>ACTION: CA to contact Juliette Taylor</b></p> <p><b>3.3 Counter Terrorism</b></p> <p>The report was sent to the group prior to the meeting and there was an additional comment:</p> <p>RT agreed that it should be the Police that take the lead responsibility in Counter Terrorism and suggested a presentation taken from the Police perspective be brought to the next meeting.</p> <p><b>RT to liaise with Thomas Knox (Police)</b></p>	<p>AL &amp; MD</p> <p>CA</p> <p>RT</p>
--	--

### 3.4 Joint Tasking

NA began her verbal update by explaining that the group was set-up originally as the Safer Streets Group. The Joint Tasking Meetings currently are part of the Green Safe and Clean Weeks Planning Meetings, and that currently she was unsure if the Green, Safe and Clean Weeks will continue, but stressed that the Joint Tasking Group (JTG) will carrying on its work.

NA is looking at having a more structured approached to the JTG and developing clearer processes for example having a one page 'snap-shot' survey of an area/community with feedback on: *who, why, what and when*. This data would come direct from that whole area/community, so we have a clearer overview of that area and not just the views of 1 or 2 individuals. Another approach being looked at is a referral path, where Police Liaison Groups (PLG) can report and highlight issues.

NA is working with John Freer (Assistant Director, Development and Street Scene) having the planned JTG structured processes in place within the next two months.

NA highlighted two areas currently being monitored by the JTG - Hampton Wick and Richmond Green. The Group then discussed these areas and the issues around them.

## 4. Performance

### 4.1 iQuanta Report

RT updated the group on the latest figures from iQuanta report and stated we are still maintaining our position (Safest Borough in London), which is good news. Again we are just behind Kingston in the 'serious acquisitive crime' statics. But we are still the best performing in the 'assault with injury'. This year the Police are looking at a 2% reduction on all crimes and the picture across all areas is very positive.

GN acknowledged the fantastic results and that these (results) have been achieved by a lot of people working very hard in partnership with the Police.

### 4.2 Exception Reports

The completed Exception Reports were sent out prior to the meeting with additional comments from:

**Stop and Search** - CA informed the group that she had been invited to Camden Council CPP to discuss our success with 'Stop and Search'. These were well received by Camden and CA is looking forward with working with them.

**Communications Group** – NA noted that the annual CSP newsletter will be distributed with Arcadia in March 2010.

**Community Police Partnerships** – CA updated the group on the recent Youth Crime Conference on February 24<sup>th</sup> at the RFU. This year, only students from Richmond Borough attended the conference, there were around 120. All workshops were well received by the students and the whole event was again a great success.

### 4.3 Quarterly work-plan template performance report

NA outlined the planned template for the performance report. The group agreed the new template.

### 4.4 Substance Misuse performance

The report was sent to the group prior to the meeting and there were some additional comments:

AJ noted that Dr Gordon Hay has been brought in to look at our profile; this information will come from local intelligence data and will be completed by mid-

<p>April 2010. The report will then come to the CSP group for discussion. Dr Hay had previously been used by the National Treatment Agency (NTA) for national work and with his findings we can go back to the NTA and ask to be reassessed, so we have more realistic targets and then move nearer to the targets they have set. The group discussed the frustrations around the NTA's assessments and how we can make progress. It was agreed that it would be best to wait until we know the findings from Dr Hays report.</p>	
<p><b>5. Budget 2010/2011</b>  The report was sent to the group prior to the meeting and there were some additional comments:  GN noted that in this time where funding is being reduced across departments, it was encouraging to see the budget looking so healthy and that we should not lead ourselves into a false sense of security, because of the PVE funding.  AL noted that PVE funding is not currently ring fenced but if it was to be reversed (in the future) this could be a concern.  The group agreed the proposed funding for 2010/2011</p>	
<p><b>6. Matters Arising</b></p>	
<p>NA outlined the proposed 'Hallmark' draft report looking at how partnerships work together and setting out standards and codes of practice. The report will come to the next meeting for agreement.  <b>Responses from the group to be sent via email to NA</b>  TK brought to the attention of the group a report she received this week regarding an issue involving members of gangs targeting white middle class girls from affluent areas for hiding drugs etc. and thought that this good potentially be a problem in Richmond.  RT noted there was no evidence of this to date but it was agreed it would be interesting to see the report.  <b>TK to circulate report to the group</b>  Nadia Inwood noted that Lyn Pickle has been appointed as the new Victim Support Borough Manager.</p>	<p><b>ALL</b></p> <p><b>TK</b></p>
<p><b>7. AOB</b></p>	
<p><b>7.1 Face The People</b>  The report/minutes were sent to the group prior to the meeting and there were some additional comments:  The group discussed moving the original date for the next Face the People (FtP) meeting in January 2011 to September 2010. Outcomes from the meeting can then feed into the Strategic Assessment and the new Community Plan. The presentations from GN and Sarah Darcy were well received and it was agreed similar presentations from partners/agencies should be used at the next meeting. The group agreed that more publicity was needed for the event and this would be spearheaded by the CSP Communications Group.  The group agreed the FtP date will be moved from Jan 2011 to September 2011.</p>	
<p><b>Next Meeting:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Friday March 26 2010 – Room 7, York House – 12.30pm – 2pm</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ LSCB (2<sup>nd</sup>) Report</li> <li>○ Hate Crime Forum</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	